Bachelor Thesis Marginal-Sampling

Michael Fedders 17.09.2020

Table of Contents

1. Normal-Gamma Prior

2. Log-Normal Prior

3. Laplacian Prior

Normal-Gamma Prior

Environment

In contrast to last time we now assume the two priors from our likelihood are now a joint probability distribution, the so called normal-gamma distribution.

The two parameters which we wanna marginalize are the offset parameter c and the precision λ , which is the same as $1/\sigma^2$.

prior-definition

The normal-gamma prior depends on 4 shape parameters, $\mu, \kappa, \alpha, \beta$ and has the following structure:

$$p(c,\lambda) = f(c,\lambda \mid \mu,\kappa,\alpha,\beta)$$
 (1)

$$= \mathcal{N}(c \mid \mu, 1/(\kappa \lambda)) \cdot \Gamma(\lambda \mid \alpha, \beta). \tag{2}$$

likelihood

As a result we also get a different likelihood:

$$p(D \mid \theta, c, \lambda) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} (\overline{y}_k - (c + h_k))^2\right)$$
(3)

Calculation

We can start with the usual setup:

$$p(D \mid \theta) = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}} p(D \mid \theta, c, \lambda) p(c, \lambda) d(c, \lambda)$$
 (4)

Calculation

We can start with the usual setup:

$$p(D \mid \theta) = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}} p(D \mid \theta, c, \lambda) p(c, \lambda) d(c, \lambda)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{N/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N} (\overline{y}_{k} - (c + h_{k}))^{2}\right).$$

$$\frac{\beta^{\alpha} \sqrt{\kappa}}{\Gamma(\alpha) \sqrt{2\pi}} \lambda^{\alpha - 1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} [\kappa(c - \mu)^{2} + 2\beta]\right) dc d\lambda$$
 (7)

We pull out the constants and terms which only rely on λ to integrate over c.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2} \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} ((\overline{y_k} - h_k) - c)^2 \right) + \kappa (c - \mu)^2 + 2\beta \right) \right) dc$$
(8)

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda}{2}\left((N+\kappa)c^2 - 2\left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} \overline{y_k} - h_k\right) + \kappa\mu\right)c\right)$$
(9)

$$+\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N}(\overline{y_k}-h_k)^2\right)+\kappa\mu^2+2\beta\right)dc$$
 (10)

Now we can use the exponential integration formula:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(-a \cdot c^2 + b \cdot c - d) \, dc = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{b^2}{4a} - d\right)$$

Now we can use the exponential integration formula:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(-a \cdot c^2 + b \cdot c - d) \, dc = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{b^2}{4a} - d\right)$$

and receive

$$\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\lambda(N+\kappa)}} \cdot \exp\left(\lambda \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2(N+\kappa)} \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} \overline{y_k} - h_k\right) + \kappa\mu\right)^2\right) (11)$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} (\overline{y_k} - h_k)^2\right) + \kappa\mu^2 + 2\beta\right)\right) (12)$$

In total we have the integral

$$\frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{N+\kappa}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \lambda^{\alpha+\frac{N}{2}-1} \cdot e^{-\lambda \cdot C} d\lambda,$$

while C is a constant.

Final steps

Together with the substitution of $\varphi(\lambda) = C \cdot \lambda$ and the knowledge about the gamma-function we conclude with the following form:

$$\frac{(\beta/C)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)(2\pi C)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{N+\kappa}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{N}{2} + \alpha\right)$$

Numerical Testing

The relative error

Log-Normal Prior

Log-Normal likelihood

We make the assumption that $\varepsilon_k \sim e^{\sigma \cdot Z}$, i.e. it has a log-normal distribution. The new likelihood has the following form:

$$p(D \mid \theta, c, \sigma^{2}) = \prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\overline{y}_{k} - c - h_{k}} \mathcal{N} \left(\ln(\overline{y}_{k} - c - h_{k}) \mid 0, \sigma^{2} \right)$$

$$= \prod_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \left(\ln^{2}(y_{k} - c - h_{k}) \right) \right)$$

$$+ 2\sigma^{2} \cdot \ln(y_{k} - c - h_{k})$$
(15)

Arising problem

Problem: We can't substitute here as every $\ln(\overline{y}_k - c - h_k)$ part has a different substraction and the logarithm can't be summed up.

Laplacian Prior