

Anthem Data Breach Analysis

Cybersecurity Management Course

Federica Consoli
Matricola 1538420

June 26, 2018

1 Introduction

The goal of this report is to discuss the data breach that affected Anthem (the second largest health insurer in the United States) in 2014. In the first section, I will offer an insight on which security controls were either missing or implemented inadequately.

Then, in the second section, I will reconstruct the aforementioned attack lifecycle and discuss, at each stage, what allowed it to happen and how the security controls could have prevented it.

2 Security Controls

Upon reading Steve Ragan's article [1] describing the nature of the breach that affected Anthem, it appears that the company's security measures were both insufficient and inadequate. This means that it was not only a matter of *which* controls were missing: the ones that were actually in place may have not been configured or even used properly.

From what I gathered, the following security measures were either missing or not properly implemented at the time of the attack.

2.1 Email and Web Security

Due to the popularity of emails as attack vectors, company should take appropriate measures to mitigate such risks, by using specialized systems as Secure Email Gateway, sophisticated spam filters and so on.

In addition to that, it is often crucial to monitor user activity on the Internet (for example through the use of a web proxy), in order to prevent employees from accessing malicious websites that could compromise their machines.

2.2 Endpoint Security & User Monitoring

Endpoint Protection solutions allow enterprises to secure user workstations and prevent even the more sophisticated attacks. This kind of solutions may involve monitoring user activities to define a pattern of user behaviour to detect later anomalies.

2.3 Security Awareness Training

It is unclear whether Anthem had a Security Awareness program in place for their employees. However, even if there was, it was clearly inadequate. All employees, especially those with access to critical systems, should be educated on corporate policies, procedures and best practices with regards to information security.

2.4 Privileged Access Management

Administrative privileges on computers, networks and applications should be assigned and managed properly, according to the principle of least privilege. Moreover, the company should have full visibility and control over all privileged accounts across their assets. This serves two purposes, mitigating the risks posed by insider threats and preventing data breaches.

2.5 Logging

Logging should be enabled on every system for security purposes. Logs should be collected, aggregated and analyzed in order to identify anomalies and abnormal events.

2.6 Vulnerability Management

Companies should have a thorough vulnerability assessment/management program in place. Systems should be scanned regularly in order to identify, classify and mitigate vulnerabilities.

2.7 Data Loss Prevention

In order to prevent exfiltration, data should be monitored at all stages: in-use, in-motion and at rest. DLP solutions are focused on preventing unauthorized access, abnormal use and unauthorized copies/leakage.

3 Ethical Implications

The evolution of computer and technology certainly made life easier under several aspects both for individuals and businesses, but it does not come without a price. This is why a new branch of applied ethics was created, called *computer ethics*: the term was coined by Walter Maner in the mid-70s, and it refers to the study of all those ethical problems “aggravated, transformed or created by computer technology” [2].

Companies that try to enforce some of the security controls mentioned in the previous section cannot do so without taking into consideration the ethical issues that come with them, which are illustrated in the following sections.

3.1 Email and Web Security

First and foremost, certain controls might affect the *privacy* of the employees. As discussed before, it might be important to monitor the exchange of emails in order to identify potentially malicious messages. There are several considerations to be made:

- Is it ethical for a company to access employees email, even if it is to avoid loss/theft of sensitive corporate data?
- Should the company be able to read the content of the email? Or should they have access only to headers and attachments?
- Should employees be allowed to access their personal email account while at work? If so, should the company monitor both personal and professional emails?
- Should this policy be disclosed to employees?

Similar issues affect the monitoring of web activity through the use of web proxies and such. Although the ultimate goal is to ensure the security of corporate data, the following questions arise:

- Is it ethical for companies to access the web history of their employees?
- Should such data be logged? If so, who should be able to access it?
- If something problematic were to be found, should management be involved? Even if it means to jeopardize the employee’s reputation?

3.2 Endpoint Security

Employees may also be affected by the adoption of endpoint security solutions. As mentioned before, certain systems may incorporate User Behaviour Analysis: this type of software monitors the user’s activity under normal system conditions and keeps track of other information such as date,time and location of login events. This data is then used to generate a profile of what is considered to be a regular set of activities inside the system, and every behaviour that deviates from this will trigger an alert.

There are several ethical considerations to be made about this approach:

- Should companies use such solutions, even if they might affect the overall performance of the system, causing disturbance or disruption of staff activities?
- Is it ethical for companies to use software that performs keystroke analysis to better determine the profile of a user? This actually means having a keylogger installed on the employee machine.
- Should companies be able to collect data about user location and date/time of login events? Or is it a violation of their privacy?

3.3 Security Awareness

The goal of Security Awareness is to provide an insight on what information security is and why it should be considered an integrant part of the business, together with knowledge about corporate policies and regulations. It should be clear for employees what their jobs and duties are, and most importantly who to contact if they notice something suspicious or potentially malicious.

This includes what are commonly called *whistleblowing policies*. The term “whistleblower” is used to indicate an employee that reports misconduct to people or entities that could take corrective action. Whistleblower policies are needed to make sure that employees have an anonymous way to report

illegal practices or violations of corporate policies, without fearing any form of retaliation or discrimination.

The topic of whistleblowers is a delicate one, since there is a clear ethical conflict: on one hand, there is loyalty to the employer, while on the other there's loyalty to one's moral principles. This topic becomes even more complicated when there are governative agencies involved (especially those dealing with sensitive information and/or public security): is it worth it to let the truth out, even if it would mean to jeopardize sensitive missions that are aimed at protecting citizens?

4 The Attack

4.1 Initial Compromise

As discussed in the article, the root cause for the data breach is *spear phishing*. According to the reconstruction of the events, the attackers gathered as many information as possible about a couple of tech employees (via Facebook, LinkedIn and such) and then used said information to craft a legitimate-looking email with a malicious attachment.

The employees were tricked into opening the attachment, consequentially providing the attackers with remote access to the machine.

Why did this happen? First of all, the users' behaviour shows a lack of *awareness training*. As mentioned before, companies should have an awareness training program in place to educate them about corporate policies, procedures and best practices with regards to information security.

A thorough awareness training would have provided employees with the ability to recognize a non-legitimate email and report it to the appropriate department, without opening the attachment.

It also appears that Anthem did not have any solutions for *email security* on their network. A Secure Email Gateway, for example, could have provided protection against phishing emails by means of signature-based and sandboxing inspections of the attachment and email authentication methods to detect spoofing.

The malware contained in the email was probably especially crafted for the attack, so even if the company had an *anti-virus* solution in place it may

not have been useful, as the malware’s signature would probably not match any entry in the signature database. It is not clear whether *two-factor authentication (2FA)* was enabled or not: a lack of 2FA would definitely make it easier to perform such an attack, but it does not really make much of a difference in the scenario presented, since the attacker infected a machine residing on the corporate network.

4.2 Privilege Escalation & Lateral Movement

After the first initial compromise, the attacker was able to perform privilege escalation. This suggests that the company did not have any form of *privileged access management* in place, that would have prevented applications to run with administrative privileges. Another solution could have been using a *privileged access workstation*, which provides a dedicated and secured operating system to perform sensitive and privileged operations[3].

The attackers were then able to move laterally and compromising more accounts. This was probably made possible by exploiting vulnerabilities affecting systems on the network. As some audits[4] showed, Anthem had numerous servers either unpatched or running unsupported operating systems: this shows a lack of a proper *vulnerability assessment/mitigation process*. Systems should be scanned regularly and kept up-to-date with security patches; moreover, end-of-life hardware and software should be replaced.

It is also interesting to point out that the intrusion was only detected because an employee noticed a query on the database they did not initiate. This shows a lack of several security controls. First of all, the company probably did not collect logs to be used in a *Security Information and Event Management (SIEM)*. SIEMs provide visibility on the whole company by storing, analyzing and correlating different types of security events (authentication events, anti-virus events, intrusion events...). Moreover, SIEMs can be tuned by specifying rules and "normal" behaviour. Any suspicious activity would be promptly picked up, generating an alert requiring immediate action.

User Behaviour Analytics (UBA) software focuses on a range of specific user activities [5] in order to identify abnormal user behaviour that may indicate that the account was compromised. UBA software usually compares current user activity to their historic activity and the activity of other users in similar roles; moreover, it might check things like the location of

the session and the time of the day, even if the credential was authenticated through valid (phished) credentials. This kind of software helps mitigate the risks of both insider and outsider threats.

4.3 Data Exfiltration

After successfully accessing the database, the attackers reportedly were able to expose over 80 million customer records, completely unnoticed. The attackers were able to do so because Anthem was lacking *Data Loss Prevention (DLP)* controls. This includes traffic monitoring and analysis at egress point near the perimeter, to detect sensitive or confidential data that is being sent in violation of security policies.

5 Standards

The Center for Internet Security (CIS) provides a set of 20 security controls and best practices aimed at mitigating the most common attacks against systems and networks, helping companies to improve their overall security state [6].

5.1 CSC 3: Secure Software Configuration

5.2 CSC 4: Continuous Vulnerability Assessment

5.3 CSC 5: Controlled Use of Administrative Privileges

5.4 CSC 6: Maintenance, Monitoring and Analysis of Audit Logs

5.5 CSC 7: Email and Web Browser Protections

5.6 CSC 13: Data Protection

6 Conclusions

Conclude your analysis with summary observations about any potential limitations presented by the referenced information security standard/best practices/frameworks

7 References

- [1] Steve Ragan. “Anthem: How does a breach like this happen?” In: (2015). Ed. by CSO Online. URL: <https://www.csoonline.com/article/2881532/business-continuity/anthem-how-does-a-breach-like-this-happen.html>.
- [2] Herman T. Tavani Richard A. Spinello. *Readings in CyberEthics*. Ed. by Jones and Bartlett Publisher. 2nd ed. 2004, p. 18.
- [3] Microsoft Foundation, ed. *Privileged Access Workstation*. 2016. URL: <https://docs.microsoft.com/en-gb/windows-server/identity/securing-privileged-access/privileged-access-workstations>.
- [4] U.S. Office of Personnel Management. *Audit of the Information Systems General and Application Controls at Anthem Blue Cross Blue Shield*. 2016. URL: <https://www.opm.gov/our-inspector-general/reports/2016/audit-of-the-information-systems-general-and-application-controls-at-anthem-blue-cross-blue-shield.pdf>.
- [5] Cindy Ng. *The Difference Between SIEM and UBA*. URL: <https://blog.varonis.com/the-difference-between-siem-and-uba/>.
- [6] Center for Internet Security. *CIS Controls Version 7*. URL: <https://learn.cisecurity.org/20-controls-download>.