FOLYTOPES  POLYTOPES  Def A concex polygan P is one where:  If xyeP than the line tegment xy is in P.  Cambrinatorial types of polygan:  Diff A concex polygan P is one where:  If xyeP than the line tegment xy is in P.  Cambrinatorial types of polygan:  Diff (A) (D) (D) (D) (D) (D) (D) (D) (D) (D) (D	This coupe is an introduction b: Techne!	In fact,
POLYTOPES  Polygon: D, D, D, Does this characterist types of 3 polygon?  Def A conex polygon P is one where:  If xyeP then the line tegenest xy is in P.  Combinatorial types of polygons:  Def A conex polygon P is one where:  If xyeP then the line tegenest xy is in P.  Combinatorial types of polygons:  Def A conex polygon P is one where:  If xyeP then the line tegenest xy is in P.  Combinatorial types of polygons:  Def A conex polygon P is one where:  If xyeP then the line tegenest xy is in P.  Combinatorial types of polygons:  Def A conex polygon P is one where:  If xyeP then the line tegenest xy is in P.  Combinatorial types of polygons:  Def A conex polygon P is one where:  Does this characterize the combinatorial types of 3 polygons?  With the same mucker of white edges, facer?  Only regular polygons: bething the description.  Description of combin. types have a regular realization.  Description of combin. types probably, hopeless of the description of the condition types: grobably, hopeless of the description of the first threaten.  There is no tenanum analog to been threaten.  Zegler t team have would have an this and made linch prograss. His much harder, and not done (year).  There is no tenanum analog to been threaten.  Zegler t team have would have an this and made linch prograss. His much harder, and not done (year).  Only regular polychores simplic city composition, all (road).	(Conex) polytopes hyperplane arrangements and 25:	Mesum (Steinity, 1906)
Def A conex polygon P is one where:  If x,y \in P then the line regiment xy is in P.  Cambrinatorial types of polygon:  \[ \lambda, \pi \pi \lambda, \pi \lambda, \pi \lambda, \pi \lambda,	with a combinational ficus.	v vertier
Def A comex polygon P is one where  If xyeP then the line regiment xy is in P.  Combinatorial types of polygons:  (boring)  Also, very few combin. types have a regular realization.  Only regular polytypes: tetrihodom cite actions.  Only regular polytypes: probably hopeless.  Only regular team have would have an this and combinatorial hopeless.  Only regular polytypes: the man have would have an this and made much progress. His much however, and not done (yet?)!  Only regular polytypes: simples cite regular polytypes. Christis His and the former form		Does this chandense the combination types of 3-polytopes?
Also, very few combin. types have a regular realization.  Nive representative: regular in-gons (n=3,4,5,)  Also, very few combin. types have a regular realization.  Only regular polytopes: tetrihiday cute, activednam, dedecatednam, scarahednam.  (3-D) Polytopes:  V e f  V e f  Theorem (5) 8 12 6 12 30 20 Google; finale regular  Theorem (5) 8 5 60 90 32  Theorem (5) 12 8  Also, very few combin. types have a regular realization.  Only regular polytopes: tetrihiday cute, active combination, types: probably hopeless.  Only regular polytopes: tetrihiday cute, active combination, types: probably hopeless.  Only regular polytopes: tetrihiday cute, active combination.  Only regular polytopes: tetrihiday cute, active cute cute cute cute cute.  Only regular polytopes: tetrihiday cute, active cute cute cute.  Only regular polytopes: tetrihiday cute, active cute.  Only regular polytopes: tetrihiday cute, active cute.  Only regular polytopes: tetrihiday cute.  Only regular polytopes: tetrihid		Oustion Do there exist combin. distinct polytopes
Only coder polytoper: tetrshed on, cite, acts hedron, dedecand on the combinatorial types are much made complete. But there is no tenant analog to Steinitis theorem.  Combinatorial types are much made complete. But there is onder the coder in the coder of the coder	Combinational types of polygons:	
Nie ep esert hie: egslar n-gans (n:3,4,5)  Only legalar polytopes: tettehodor cite, actahodor, dodecahodor, icosahodor, dodecahodor, icosahodor, ic	$\triangle, \Box, \triangle, \bigcirc, \dots$ (borng)	Also, very few combin. types have a regular restriction.
Nile representative: regular in-gons (n=3,4,5,)  3-D Polytheper:  Ve f  Ve e f  V	V=3 V=4 e=3 e=4	Only legular polytoper: tetrohedron, cute, actohedron,
3-D Polytoper:  ve f  ve f  lethold A 6 4 20 30 12 "Google indoor of Combin. types: probably hopeless  cale A 8 12 6 12 30 20 Google indo  synce A 5 8 5 60 90 32 Thouse is an analog to Eight theorem  orbital a 6 12 8 60 90 32 Thouse is no lengum analog to Alenitis theorem  Combinatorial types are much more complex, Rt there is order  Theorem (Exter, 1752)   Klee: "first landmark in the of polytopes"  Only regular polychora: simplex cuts complex, 24-cut 12-cut 130-cut 150-cut 150-cu	Nice representation: regular n-gons (n=3,4,5,)	d-decelhedism, costalhedism
Combinato noil types are much more complex. But there is order:  Theorem (Eller, 1752)   Klee: "first landmark in the of polytopes"  Othere is an analog to Eleve theorem  Theorem (Eller, 1752)   Klee: "first landmark in the of polytopes"  Othere is an analog to Eleve theorem  Theorem (Eller, 1752)   Klee: "first landmark in the of polytopes"  Only regular polytopes: Simplex cleerory dutopes, 24-cell, 120-cell, 600-cell	3-D Polytopes:	
Combinato rial types are much more complex. But there is onder:  Theorem (Eller, 1752) Live: "first landmark in the of polysters"  Other is an analog to Elevis theorem  Theorem (Eller, 1752) Live: "first landmark in the of polysters"  Only regular polyschoon: Simplex che complex, 24-cell, 120-cell, (100-cell)		o Description of Combin. types: probably hopeless
promod D 5 8 5 60 90 32	We A 8 12 6 12 30 20 Google hedra	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Combinato rial types are much more complex. But there is order:  Theorem (Eiler, 1752) (Klee: "first landmark in the of polythers" o Only regular polythons: Simplex cut, compositions, 24-cell, 120-cell.	5 8 5 60 90 32 monded	
Combinato rial types are much more complex. But there is order:  Theorem (Eiler, 1752) (Klee: "first landmark in the of polythers" o Only regular polythons: Simplex cut, compositions, 24-cell, 120-cell.	oclahedra 6 12 3	Ziegler + team have worked hard on this and
	Combinatorial types are much more complexes. But there is order-	done (yet?)!
V-t + 2 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	Theorem (Eiler, 1752)   Klee: that landmark in the of polytoper"  V-e+f=2  Alward of 1-Hopf: "Fut important evet in topology"	Only regular polychon: simplex cole cromp of tope, 24-cell, 120-cell, 600-cell