## Balinsla's Theorem

A groph is connected if there is a path between any two vertices.

It is d-connected if, after removing any Ed-1 vertice,

(and all incident edges) it is still connected

Messem (Ralinshi)

P d-polytope > G(P) d-connected

(In particular, deg (iensex) ≥ d.)

Pf let V={vertur of P} S=V |S| \le d1.

Claim: G(P)\S is connected

Pf. We induct on d. d=1:1 Let L=span S

Can 1: L doesn't intersect int P.

Then  $S \subset F$  for some four  $F \subseteq P$ .

Say F = Pc.

Let F' = Pc.

By linear programming, F'.

Every vertex has a c-decreasing path F.

C'that doesn't up S). By indiction, G(F')It connected.

So  $G(P) \setminus S$  it connected.

Case 2 L interfect int P.

Let H be a hyperplane containing S and at least one vertex VEVIS. (= = d points)

Say H= {x \in P: cx = Co3.

This is not a facet!

let F=Pc, F'=P-c

Then: any reduce of Pt has a c-increasing path to F (avoiding 5)

· G(F) connected by Induction.

So G(P+)\S connected

Also G(P-)\S connected

Also vir in both > G(P)\s connected m

Note:

o Steinity: (graphs of) (=) (Simple, planar, planar, electric interfect
3-polytopes)

In higher-dim, ???

O This says something about the "dimension" of a G(P).

But G(P) can be "dimensionally ambiguous", e.g., G(P)=Kn
is possible for dim P=n,2n,2n,n,2n,n,...

This is not nell-industed.

Open: Is G(d-cube) dim. ambig? Is G(Cs)?

23)