## POLICY EVALUATION - ASSIGNMENT

Deadline: November 30th, 10 am

Answer the questions and report the results and the related comments in a document. The answers should be clear, concise and (possibly) correct.

Load in Gretl the dataset oil data.gdt containing monthly time series of the percent change in global crude oil production ( $\Delta prod_t$ ), an index of real economic activity ( $rea_t$ ), and the real price of oil ( $rpo_t$ ) from 1973:1 to 2007:12. The  $rea_t$  and  $rpo_t$  series are expressed in logs.

- 1. Plot the time series and explore the correlogram of  $rea_t$ . Test the null hypothesis that the series is I(1) using an ADF test. Select the lag order for the ADF test, starting from a maximum lag order k = 12, using the BIC criterion. Report the ADF regression, the test results and motivate your choice for the deterministic component in the ADF regression.
- 2. Perform an ADF test on the first difference of  $rea_t$ , i.e.  $\Delta rea_t = rea_t rea_{t-1}$ , and motivate your choice for the deterministic component in the ADF regression. What can you conclude on the order of integration of  $rea_t$ ?
- 3. Select the best ARMA(p,q) specification for the series  $\Delta rea_t$  according to the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), where p = 0...4 and q = 0...4. Use conditional maximum likelihood to estimate ARMA models and set the tolerance parameter to 0.0001 using the command "bhhh\_toler 0.0001". Report the results. Then, plot the residuals and their ACF. Do they look like a white noise?
- 4. Estimate a reduced form VAR:

$$\Theta(L) y_t = \delta + e_t$$

where  $y_t = [\Delta prod_t; rea_t; rpo_t]'$ . Select the number of lags, starting from a maximum lag order k = 12, and motivate your choice using the BIC criterion. Plot the VAR inverse roots ( $Graphs \rightarrow VAR$  inverse roots) as well as the residuals from the 3 VAR equations. What can you conclude about the stationarity of the VAR?

5. Consider the mapping  $e_t = Cu_t$  between reduced-form residuals  $e_t$  and structural shocks  $u_t$ , where:

$$\begin{pmatrix} e_t^{\Delta prod} \\ e_t^{rea} \\ e_t^{rpo} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} \\ c_{21} & c_{22} & c_{23} \\ c_{31} & c_{32} & c_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_t^{oil\, supply\, shock} \\ u_t^{agg\, dem\, and\, shock} \\ u_t^{oil\, -specific\, dem\, and\, shock} \end{pmatrix}$$

Consider the following assumptions:

(a) Crude oil supply shocks (referred to as *oil-supply shocks*) are defined as unpredictable innovations to global oil production. Crude oil supply is assumed not to respond to aggregate and oil-specific demand shocks within the same month.

- (b) Innovations to global real economic activity depend on crude oil supply shocks and on shocks to the global demand for industrial commodities (referred to as aggregate-demand shocks). Shocks that are specific to the oil market do not affect global real economic activity immediately, but with a delay of at least a month.
- (c) Innovations to the real price of oil depend oil supply shocks, aggregate demand shocks and shocks in the demand for oil (referred to as oil-specific demand shocks). Based on the information above, suggest an identication strategy in terms of restrictions to the C matrix coefficients.
- 6. Report estimates of matrix C using a VAR with 24 lags (i.e. 2 years) and plot the responses of  $rea_t$  and  $rpo_t$  to the following shocks for a 25-period horizon and comment the results:
  - (a) a negative shock to oil supply;
  - (b) a positive shock to aggregate demand;
  - (c) a positive shock to oil-market specific demand.
- 7. Plot the Historical Decomposition for the real price of oil (variable  $rpo_t$ ) using the SVAR specification of point 5 and briefly comment the results.