

Paper Summary

<!--META_START-->

Title: Data-Driven Machine Learning-Informed Framework for Model Predictive Control in Vehicles

Authors: Edgar Amalyan, Shahram Latifi

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/info16060511>

Year: 2025

Publication Type: Journal

Discipline/Domain: Electrical and Computer Engineering / Automotive Control Systems

Subdomain/Topic: Hybrid Machine Learning–Model Predictive Control (ML–MPC) for vehicle subsystems

Eligibility: Eligible

Overall Relevance Score: 90

Operationalization Score: 88

Contains Definition of Actionability: Yes (explicitly in terms of “transforming ML outputs into actionable commands”)

Contains Systematic Features/Dimensions: Yes

Contains Explainability: Yes (MPC’s transparency offsets ML’s black-box nature)

Contains Interpretability: Yes (hybrid design enables interpreting ML outputs through MPC)

Contains Framework/Model: Yes (machine learning–informed MPC hybrid framework)

Operationalization Present: Yes (detailed multi-step workflow for training, inference, sliding-window smoothing)

Primary Methodology: Experimental + Conceptual Framework Development

Study Context: Performance vehicle suspension as primary subsystem case study; extensible to other systems

Geographic/Institutional Context: University of Nevada, Las Vegas, USA

Target Users/Stakeholders: Automotive engineers, control system designers, autonomous vehicle developers

Primary Contribution Type: Conceptual + Technical Framework with proof-of-concept implementation and validation

CL: Yes — “MPC translates ML outputs into actionable commands” ensuring clear operational meaning (p. 15)

CR: Yes — Actionability tied to real-time contextual vehicle state awareness (p. 16)

FE: Yes — Feasibility discussed in terms of real-time latency, computational load, and integration with existing systems

TI: Yes — Sliding-window and exponential weighting for timely response (p. 12)

EX: Yes — MPC provides explainable layer for ML’s black box outputs (p. 3)

GA: Yes — Goal alignment through mode-specific constraint tuning for performance, safety, comfort (p. 14)

Reason if Not Eligible: n/a

<!--META_END-->

****Title.****

Data-Driven Machine Learning-Informed Framework for Model Predictive Control in Vehicles

****Authors:****

Edgar Amalyan, Shahram Latifi

****DOI:****

<https://doi.org/10.3390/info16060511>

****Year:****

2025

****Publication Type:****

Journal

****Discipline/Domain:****

Electrical and Computer Engineering / Automotive Control Systems

****Subdomain/Topic:****

Hybrid ML–MPC framework for adaptive, self-optimizing vehicle control

****Contextual Background:****

The paper develops a data-driven ML module to interpret vehicle subsystem states from sensor data, pro

****Geographic/Institutional Context:****

University of Nevada, Las Vegas, USA

****Target Users/Stakeholders:****

Vehicle control engineers, autonomous vehicle designers, motorsport engineers, component manufactur

****Primary Methodology:****

Experimental sensor-data collection + ML model training + integration concept for MPC

****Primary Contribution Type:****

Conceptual and technical framework with performance validation

General Summary of the Paper

The paper proposes and validates a machine learning–informed framework to enhance Model Predictive

Eligibility

Eligible for inclusion: ****Yes****

How Actionability is Understood

The authors explicitly define actionability as the transformation of ML outputs into real-world control action

- > “MPC in the hybrid approach translates ML outputs into actionable commands in the real world.” (p. 3)
- > “By grading each subsystem's real-world status and feeding those semantic modes into the optimizer, t

What Makes Something Actionable

- Interpretability through MPC translating ML outputs into constraints and control commands
- Contextual relevance to current driving conditions
- Real-time responsiveness without destabilizing oscillations
- Feasibility for deployment on automotive ECUs
- Goal alignment with performance, safety, and comfort objectives

How Actionability is Achieved / Operationalized

- **Framework/Approach Name(s):** ML-informed MPC hybrid control framework
- **Methods/Levers:** Sensor fusion (accelerometer, gyroscope), XGBoost classification, pseudo-labeling
- **Operational Steps / Workflow:**
 1. Collect curated “seed” maneuver data
 2. Train prototype classifier
 3. Pseudo-label large exemplar dataset
 4. Train inference model
 5. Real-time operation using overlapping sliding window + reverse exponential weighting
 6. Feed mode predictions to MPC for constraint/parameter updates
- **Data & Measures:** Six inertial features (GForceX/Y/Z, GyroX/Y/Z) with defined sign conventions and
- **Implementation Context:** Performance suspension tuning case study; extensible to brakes, traction,
- > “An overlapping sliding-window grading approach with reverse exponential weighting smooths transient
- > “The controller can adjust its own internal constraints...based on the inferred driving mode.” (p. 16)

Dimensions and Attributes of Actionability (Authors' Perspective)

- **CL (Clarity):** Yes — ML outputs interpreted via MPC into explicit commands (p. 3)
- **CR (Contextual Relevance):** Yes — Predictions reflect real-time driving modes for adaptive control (p. 12)
- **FE (Feasibility):** Yes — Tested with latency measurements; hardware considerations discussed (p. 12)
- **TI (Timeliness):** Yes — Sliding window + weighting ensures rapid yet stable response (p. 12)
- **EX (Explainability):** Yes — MPC's rule-based transparency provides explainability (p. 3)
- **GA (Goal Alignment):** Yes — Constraints tuned for performance, safety, comfort (p. 16)

- **Other Dimensions Named by Authors:** Stability through constraint management; robustness to sensor noise

Theoretical or Conceptual Foundations

- Model Predictive Control theory (receding horizon optimization, constraints)
- Semi-supervised ML (pseudo-labeling)
- Feature importance metrics from gradient-boosted decision trees

Indicators or Metrics for Actionability

- Real-time classification accuracy (97.6%)
- Latency (~119 μ s inference + 32 μ s aggregation)
- F1-scores per maneuver class
- Confusion matrix diagonality (low cross-mode error)

Barriers and Enablers to Actionability

- **Barriers:**
 - Mislabeling under-represented scenarios
 - Trade-off between window size and responsiveness
 - Computational load on ECUs
 - Limited coverage of rare driving conditions in datasets
- **Enablers:**
 - MPC's safeguard role against erroneous ML outputs
 - Modular adaptability across vehicle subsystems
 - High accuracy and generalization via pseudo-labeling

Relation to Existing Literature

Positions itself as a practical, data-driven integration of ML and MPC, leveraging MPC's transparency to control engineers

Summary

This paper offers a complete methodology for making ML outputs actionable in automotive control through a multi-layered approach

Scores

- **Overall Relevance Score:** 90 — Strong explicit conceptualization of actionability, well-linked features to control goals

- **Operationalization Score:** 88 — Detailed, multi-step technical pipeline with performance metrics; lack of

Supporting Quotes from the Paper

- “MPC...translates ML outputs into actionable commands in the real world.” (p. 3)
- “By grading each subsystem’s real-world status and feeding those semantic modes into the optimizer, the
- “An overlapping sliding-window grading approach with reverse exponential weighting smooths transient
- “The controller can adjust its own internal constraints...based on the inferred driving mode.” (p. 16)

Actionability References to Other Papers

- Norouzi et al. (2023) — ML–MPC integration review
- Maiworm et al. (2021) — Online learning-based MPC with stability guarantees
- Goel et al. (2023) — Semantically informed MPC for context-aware control
- Ribeiro et al. (2016) — Explaining predictions of classifiers