

## # Paper Summary

<!--META\_START-->

Title: CARE: Coherent Actionable Recourse based on Sound Counterfactual Explanations

Authors: Peyman Rasouli, Ingrid Chieh Yu

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnnn.nnnnnnnn>

Year: 2021

Publication Type: Conference

Discipline/Domain: Computer Science / Artificial Intelligence

Subdomain/Topic: Interpretable Machine Learning, Counterfactual Explanations, Actionable Recourse

Eligibility: Eligible

Overall Relevance Score: 95

Operationalization Score: 95

Contains Definition of Actionability: Yes

Contains Systematic Features/Dimensions: Yes

Contains Explainability: Yes

Contains Interpretability: Yes

Contains Framework/Model: Yes

Operationalization Present: Yes

Primary Methodology: Conceptual with empirical evaluation

Study Context: Model-agnostic counterfactual and recourse generation for classification and regression

Geographic/Institutional Context: University of Oslo, Norway

Target Users/Stakeholders: End-users seeking actionable guidance from ML predictions; researchers in

Primary Contribution Type: Modular explanation framework (CARE) integrating model-level and user-level

CL: Yes

CR: Yes

FE: Yes

TI: No

EX: Partial

GA: Yes

Reason if Not Eligible: n/a

<!--META\_END-->

**\*\*Title:\*\* CARE: Coherent Actionable Recourse based on Sound Counterfactual Explanations**

**\*\*Authors:\*\*** Peyman Rasouli, Ingrid Chieh Yu

**\*\*DOI:\*\*** <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

**\*\*Year:\*\*** 2021

**\*\*Publication Type:\*\*** Conference

**\*\*Discipline/Domain:\*\*** Computer Science / Artificial Intelligence

**\*\*Subdomain/Topic:\*\*** Interpretable Machine Learning, Counterfactual Explanations, Actionable Recourse

**\*\*Contextual Background:\*\*** The paper addresses the limitations of existing counterfactual explanation methods

**\*\*Geographic/Institutional Context:\*\*** University of Oslo, Norway

**\*\*Target Users/Stakeholders:\*\*** ML end-users needing recourse (e.g., loan applicants), explainable AI researchers

**\*\*Primary Methodology:\*\*** Conceptual with empirical evaluation

**\*\*Primary Contribution Type:\*\*** New modular framework for counterfactual and recourse generation

## ## General Summary of the Paper

The authors propose CARE, a modular, model-agnostic explanation framework for generating actionable

## ## Eligibility

Eligible for inclusion: **\*\*Yes\*\***

## ## How Actionability is Understood

Actionability is defined as satisfying global and local user/domain-specific preferences through constraints

> “A counterfactual should satisfy some global and local preferences that are domain-specific and defined by the user”

> “An actionable explanation... takes into account the user’s preferences containing the name of mutable features”

## ## What Makes Something Actionable

- Alignment with user-specified constraints (mutable/immutable features, allowed ranges/values)
- Preservation of feature coherency under constraints
- Feasibility in real-world terms (not recommending impossible changes)
- Respecting constraint importance (prioritizing non-violable constraints)

## ## How Actionability is Achieved / Operationalized

- **\*\*Framework/Approach Name(s):\*\*** CARE
- **\*\*Methods/Leverage:\*\*** Modular hierarchy with four modules; multi-objective optimization using NSGA-III
- **\*\*Operational Steps / Workflow:\*\***
  1. **\*\*VALIDITY:\*\*** Enforce minimal, sparse changes to achieve the desired outcome.
  2. **\*\*SOUNDNESS:\*\*** Ensure proximity and connectedness to real, same-class data points.
  3. **\*\*COHERENCY:\*\*** Use correlation models to preserve feature relationships.
  4. **\*\*ACTIONABILITY:\*\*** Apply user-defined constraints with importance weighting.

- **Data & Measures:** Gower distance, Local Outlier Factor, HDBSCAN clustering, correlation measures
  - **Implementation Context:** Model-agnostic; applicable to tabular classification/regression; handles mixed data
- > “We propose a constraint language... and the notion of constraint importance to weigh the constraints against the model performance”
- > “CARE... generates actionable recourse by fulfilling the mentioned desiderata through objective function optimization”

## ## Dimensions and Attributes of Actionability (Authors' Perspective)

- **CL (Clarity):** Yes — minimal, interpretable feature changes improve understandability (p. 3).
- **CR (Contextual Relevance):** Yes — proximity and connectedness ensure alignment with domain data
- **FE (Feasibility):** Yes — coherent changes preserve real-world plausibility (p. 2–3).
- **TI (Timeliness):** No — not explicitly addressed.
- **EX (Explainability):** Partial — explanations are inherent but focus is on actionable counterfactuals, not model internals
- **GA (Goal Alignment):** Yes — constraints ensure user goals/preferences are respected (p. 6).
- **Other Dimensions Named by Authors:** Coherency, proximity, connectedness.

## ## Theoretical or Conceptual Foundations

- Counterfactual explanations in XAI (Wachter et al., 2017)
- Proximity and connectedness metrics (Laugel et al., 2019)
- Actionable recourse frameworks (Ustun et al., 2019; Karimi et al., 2020)
- Multi-objective optimization (NSGA-III)

## ## Indicators or Metrics for Actionability

- Actionability cost (sum of violated constraint importance values)
- Proximity and connectedness scores to assess plausibility
- Coherency rate (preservation of feature correlations)

## ## Barriers and Enablers to Actionability

- **Barriers:** Conflicting constraints; lack of coherent feature changes; artifacts in model space (p. 2–3).
- **Enablers:** Modular structure allowing selective enforcement of properties; weighting of constraints by importance

## ## Relation to Existing Literature

The paper extends prior counterfactual explanation methods by integrating seldom-addressed properties like feasibility and goal alignment

## ## Summary

CARE is a modular, model-agnostic framework for generating actionable recourse grounded in sound counterfactual reasoning

## ## Scores

- **Overall Relevance Score:** 95 — Provides explicit and nuanced definition of actionability with multiple dimensions
- **Operationalization Score:** 95 — Fully details how to implement actionability in practice through constraints

## ## Supporting Quotes from the Paper

- “A counterfactual should satisfy some global and local preferences that are domain-specific and defined
- “We introduce a novel notion of actionability that can cover various constraints and prioritize different pr
- “Our proposed objective function... computes the actionability cost... according to the user’s preference
- “An actionable explanation... takes into account the user’s preferences containing the name of mutable

## ## Actionability References to Other Papers

- Ustun, Spangher, Liu (2019) — Actionable recourse in linear classification
- Karimi et al. (2020) — Algorithmic recourse
- Wachter et al. (2017) — Counterfactual explanations
- Laugel et al. (2019) — Proximity and connectedness in counterfactuals
- Dandl et al. (2020) — Multi-objective counterfactual explanations