

Paper Summary

<!--META_START-->

Title: Development of Actionable Insights for Regulating Students' Collaborative Writing of Scientific Texts

Authors: Christian Hoffmann, Nadine Mandran, Cédric d'Ham, Sébastien Rebaudo, Mohamed Anis Haddad

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-16290-9_47

Year: 2022

Publication Type: Conference Paper

Discipline/Domain: Learning Analytics / Educational Technology

Subdomain/Topic: Collaborative Writing, Teacher Dashboards, Educational Collaboration Analytics

Eligibility: Eligible

Overall Relevance Score: 82

Operationalization Score: 90

Contains Definition of Actionability: Yes (via Jørnø & Gynther, 2018 and Martinez-Maldonado et al., 2021)

Contains Systematic Features/Dimensions: Yes

Contains Explainability: Partial

Contains Interpretability: Yes

Contains Framework/Model: Yes (Mapping "From Clicks to Constructs")

Operationalization Present: Yes

Primary Methodology: Design-Based Research (Iterative User-Centered Design)

Study Context: Web-based science learning environment (LabNbook) for collaborative writing of scientific texts

Geographic/Institutional Context: Univ. Grenoble Alpes (France), IMT Atlantique (France)

Target Users/Stakeholders: Teachers in secondary and higher education

Primary Contribution Type: Indicators and visualizations for actionable insights in collaborative writing

CL: Yes

CR: Yes

FE: No

TI: No

EX: Partial

GA: No

Reason if Not Eligible: N/A

<!--META_END-->

****Title:** Development of Actionable Insights for Regulating Students' Collaborative Writing of Scientific Texts**

****Authors:**** Christian Hoffmann, Nadine Mandran, Cédric d'Ham, Sébastien Rebaudo, Mohamed Anis H

****DOI:**** https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-16290-9_47

****Year:**** 2022

****Publication Type:**** Conference Paper

****Discipline/Domain:**** Learning Analytics / Educational Technology

****Subdomain/Topic:**** Collaborative Writing, Teacher Dashboards, Educational Collaboration Analytics

****Contextual Background:**** The study focuses on supporting teachers in monitoring and regulating stude

****Geographic/Institutional Context:**** Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, LIG, France; IMT Atlantique, LABSTIC

****Target Users/Stakeholders:**** Teachers (secondary and higher education) using OLEs for science educ

****Primary Methodology:**** Design-Based Research (iterative, user-centered design with interviews, focus

****Primary Contribution Type:**** Development of computational indicators and visualizations for CW action

General Summary of the Paper

The paper develops a set of computationally calculable indicators and visualizations to provide teachers v

Eligibility

Eligible for inclusion: ****Yes****

How Actionability is Understood

Actionable insights are defined (via Jørnø & Gynther, 2018) as “data that allows a corrective procedure, c

> “The challenge for designers of LADs is to provide teachers with actionable group insights defined... as

> “They emphasize the role of a clear ‘mapping from low-level data to higher-order constructs...’” (p. 535)

What Makes Something Actionable

- Clear mapping from trace data to meaningful educational constructs
- Relevance to teacher goals (e.g., assessing collaboration strategies)
- Understandable by the intended user (teacher)
- Presented in a way that supports immediate pedagogical decisions

How Actionability is Achieved / Operationalized

- ****Framework/Approach Name(s):**** Mapping “From Clicks to Constructs”
- ****Methods/Levers:**** Use of educational sub-constructs (symmetry in action, territorial functioning) deriv
- ****Operational Steps / Workflow:****
 1. Collect authorship, timestamp, and version data
 2. Calculate indicators (turn taking, writing time, contribution scores, cowriting score)
 3. Visualize indicators in teacher-friendly timelines and panels
 4. Teachers interpret in context to diagnose collaboration strategy

- **Data & Measures:** Words added (difflib), editor changes, sentence-level overlap detection
- **Implementation Context:** LabNbook platform in science education

> “Our analytics are based on... symmetry in action and territorial functioning... translated... into computational science”

> “Visualization... allows a teacher to get a wealth of information about how the report was co-constructed”

Dimensions and Attributes of Actionability (Authors' Perspective)

- **CL (Clarity):** Yes — Simplicity and clear indicator definitions stressed (p. 540)
- **CR (Contextual Relevance):** Yes — Indicators tied directly to collaborative writing processes (p. 535)
- **FE (Feasibility):** No explicit link
- **TI (Timeliness):** No explicit link
- **EX (Explainability):** Partial — Mapping framework provides interpretability, but some computational science concepts are not explained
- **GA (Goal Alignment):** No explicit link
- **Other Dimensions Named by Authors:** Complementarity of indicators, avoidance of aggregation

Theoretical or Conceptual Foundations

- Jørnø & Gynther's definition of actionable insights
- Martinez-Maldonado et al.'s collaboration analytics model (five-step mapping)
- CSCW constructs: symmetry in action (Dillenbourg, 1999), territorial functioning (Larsen-Ledet & Korsgaard, 2014)

Indicators or Metrics for Actionability

- Turn taking (number of editor changes)
- Writing time (active editing time in 30s windows)
- Contribution scores (words added)
- Cowriting score (percentage of sentences modified by multiple authors)

Barriers and Enablers to Actionability

- **Barriers:** Over-aggregation of indicators, complex visualizations reducing interpretability
- **Enablers:** Iterative teacher feedback, complementary indicators, simple visualizations, on-demand data

Relation to Existing Literature

Builds on CSCW collaborative writing strategy distinctions (summative vs integrative), extends Martinez-Maldonado et al.'s model

Summary

The authors present a design-based research approach to developing actionable insights for regulating science education

Scores

- **Overall Relevance Score:** 82 — Strong conceptual link to actionability, with clear definitions and dimensions
- **Operationalization Score:** 90 — Comprehensive explanation of how to calculate, visualize, and interpret data

Supporting Quotes from the Paper

- “[Actionable insights]... ‘data that allows a corrective procedure, or feedback loop...’” (p. 535)
- “They emphasize the role of a clear ‘mapping from low-level data to higher-order constructs...’” (p. 535)
- “Our analytics are based on... symmetry in action and territorial functioning...” (p. 537)
- “Visualization... allows a teacher to get a wealth of information about how the report was co-constructed

Actionability References to Other Papers

- Jørnø & Gynther (2018) — Definition of actionable insights
- Martinez-Maldonado et al. (2021) — Collaboration analytics model
- Dillenbourg (1999) — Symmetry in action
- Larsen-Ledet & Korsgaard (2019) — Territorial functioning in collaborative writing