EXPRESSIVITY AND COMPLEXITY IN PROBABILISTIC TEAM SEMANTICS

By: Minna Hirvonen

Opponent: Fredrik Engström

November 16, 2024

University of Helsinki

 $\forall x \exists y \forall z \exists w R(x, y, z, w)$

$$\forall x \exists y \forall z \exists w R(x, y, z, w)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \forall x \exists y \\ \forall z \exists w \end{pmatrix} R(x, y, z, w)$$

$$\forall x \exists y \forall z \exists w R(x, y, z, w)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \forall x \exists y \\ \forall z \exists w \end{pmatrix} R(x, y, z, w)$$

$$\exists f \exists g \ \forall x \ \forall z \ R(x, f(x), z, g(z))$$

$$\forall x \exists y \forall z \exists w R(x, y, z, w)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \forall x \exists y \\ \forall z \exists w \end{pmatrix} R(x, y, z, w)$$

$$\exists f \exists g \forall x \forall z R(x, f(x), z, g(z))$$

$$\forall x \exists y \forall z \exists w / x R(x, y, z, w)$$

$$\forall x \exists y \forall z \exists w R(x, y, z, w)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \forall x \exists y \\ \forall z \exists w \end{pmatrix} R(x, y, z, w)$$

$$\exists f \exists g \ \forall x \ \forall z \ R(x, f(x), z, g(z))$$

$$\forall x \exists y \forall z \exists w/x R(x, y, z, w)$$

$$\forall x \exists y \forall z \exists w \ (=(z, w) \land R(x, y, z, w))$$

Relational databases

• Databases are tables, or relations

- Databases are tables, or relations
- Reduce data redundancy and improve data integrity

- Databases are tables, or relations
- Reduce data redundancy and improve data integrity
- Constraints and normal forms

- Databases are tables, or relations
- Reduce data redundancy and improve data integrity
- Constraints and normal forms
- Functional dependence

- Databases are tables, or relations
- Reduce data redundancy and improve data integrity
- Constraints and normal forms
- Functional dependence
- (Embedded) multivalued dependence

- Databases are tables, or relations
- Reduce data redundancy and improve data integrity
- Constraints and normal forms
- Functional dependence
- (Embedded) multivalued dependence

Databases = teams.

Probabilistic conditional independence

 \bullet $X \perp \!\!\! \perp Y \mid Z$

Probabilistic conditional independence

• X 111 Y | Z

In the finite case:

- uniform probability distributions = teams
- probability distributions = multiteams

The thesis: Expressivity and

Complexity in Probabilistic Team
Semantics

Four papers

- Article I: On Elementary Logics for Quantitative Dependencies
- Article II: Logics with Probabilistic Team Semantics and the Boolean Negation
- Article III: The Implication Problem for Functional Dependencies and Variants of Marginal Distribution Equivalences
- Article IV: Axiomatization of Implication for Probabilistic Independence and Unary Variants of Marginal Identity and Marginal Distribution Equivalence

Article III and IV are single authored and I and II jointly with supervisors and others. All published in peer-reviewed venues.

The thesis: Expressivity and Complexity in Probabilistic Team Semantics

Two themes

The thesis is about **probabilistic team semantics**.

Two themes

The thesis is about probabilistic team semantics.

Without "logic": Articles III and IV are on axiomatization and complexity of combinations of groups of atoms:

- Functional dependence
- Marginal identity and marginal distribution equivalence
- Probabilistic independence

Focus is on complete axiomatizations and corresponding complexity results.

Two themes

The thesis is about probabilistic team semantics.

Without "logic": Articles III and IV are on axiomatization and complexity of combinations of groups of atoms:

- Functional dependence
- Marginal identity and marginal distribution equivalence
- Probabilistic independence

Focus is on complete axiomatizations and corresponding complexity results.

With "logic": Articles I and II are about adding "logics" to some atoms:

- First-order logic, FO
- First-order team logic, FOT

Focus is on **expressivity** and **complexity** of model-checking and satisfiability.