

# Report for Sheet 4

Lab Course Machine Learning and Data Analysis

Hiromasa Okada

July 9, 2017

## Implementation comments

In this exercise I implemented support vector machine and plot function of it. There are two type of support vector machines, the first one is trained by SMO algorithm and the second one is with direct solving of quadratic programming.

```
# SVM class with SMO algorithm
smo_svm = svm_smo(kernel, C, kernelparameter)
```

```
# SVM class with quadratic problem
qb_svm=svm_qp(kernel, C, kernelparameter)
```

Both class get  $kernel \in \{'gaussian', 'polynomial', 'linear'\}$  and  $C$  as a constraint parameter for lagrange multipliers. Both two classes has same kernel function "getkernel(self, X, Y=None)" and predict function "fx(self,X1,X2,Y)". On the other hands SMO class has following functions which QP class does not have.

```
_compute_box_constraints(self, i, j, Y, alpha, C)
_update_parameters(self, E_i, E_j, i, j, K, Y, alpha, b, C)
_compute_updated_b(self, E_i, E_j, i, j, K, Y, alpha_old, alpha_new, b_old, C)
```

With these functions SMO class finds iteratively optimal lagrange multipliers and bias. But QP class solve quadratic programming in the function "fit(self, X, Y)" without functions above. In both classes lagrange multipliers, bias, support vector and labels are saved as object like

```
self.SV= X[alp_idx]
self.y = Y[alp_idx]
```

```
self.alpha = self.alpha[alp_idx]
self.b = np.mean(self.y - self.fx(self.SV,self.SV,self.y)[: ,0])
```

## Assignment 3

The formular of the SVM optimization problem and the quadratic programming are given in 8th page of the slide.

**Quadratic Programming (QP)**

Reminder: The SVM optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\alpha} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j k(x_i, x_j) \\ \text{subject to} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i y_i = 0 \text{ and } C \geq \alpha_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1 \dots N \end{aligned}$$

**Quadratic Programming**

$$\begin{aligned} \min_x \quad & \frac{1}{2} x^T P x + q^T x \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & Gx \preceq h \\ & Ax = b \end{aligned}$$

⇒ We can solve the SVM problem with a QP solver.

Felix Brockherde
Support Vector Machines (SVM) and Sequen
25 Jun 2014
8 / 11

The relation between them:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\alpha} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j k(x_i, x_j) \\ = \min_{\alpha} \quad & - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j k(x_i, x_j) \end{aligned}$$

$$x = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N)^T, \quad q = (-1, \dots, -1)^T$$

$P = Y \odot K$ , Where  $\odot$  elementwise multiplication and  $Y, K \in \mathbf{R}^{N \times N}$

$$Y = yy^T, \quad y = (y_1, \dots, y_i)^T \in \{-1, 1\}^N, \quad K = \begin{pmatrix} k(x_1, x_1) & \cdots & k(x_1, x_j) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ k(x_i, x_1) & \cdots & k(x_i, x_j) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$G = \begin{bmatrix} -I \\ I \end{bmatrix}, \quad h = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ C \\ \vdots \\ C \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{Where } I \text{ is identical matrix and } G \in \mathbf{R}^{2N \times N}, \quad h \in \mathbf{R}^{2N}$$

$$A = (y_1, \dots, y_N) \in \mathbf{Z}^{1 \times N}, \quad \forall_{i=0}^N y_i \in \{-1, 1\}, \quad b = [0] \in \mathbf{R}^{1 \times 1}$$

## Assignment 4

### 1. Find parameters C and for a Gaussian kernel

To find parameters C and for a Gaussian kernel I used the cross validation with following parameters


```
para = { 'kernel': ['gaussian'], 'kernelparameter': np.logspace(-2,2,10),
'regularization': np.linspace(1.0,3.0,10)}
```

Then the parameters C and for a Gaussian kernel are

Kernelparameter: 0.599484250319

C: 2.777777777778

After the plot of predictions of training data and test data I found that it classified well and there was no over fitting and no under fitting by training data so that it classified also test data well.




## 2. Over fitting and under fitting

Which parameter  $C$  and  $\sigma$  for a Gaussian kernel overfit and underfit? The parameters which cause underfitting are

Kernelparameter: 100.0  
 $C$ : 0.7

and plots are




In case of the under fitting the classifier will be a linear.

The parameters which cause overfitting are

Kernelparameter: 0.1

C: 3.7


and plots are



In case of the overfitting there are more than two clusters even we don't need more. When the sigma is higher and C is lower than optimal it tends to underfit, on the other hands the sigma is lower and C is higher than optimal it tends to overfit.

### 3. ROC curve with different bias

For optimal C and  $\sigma$ , a receiver operator characteristics (ROC) curve is plotted by varying the bias parameter b of your SVM model.



The used possible bias are in this range:

```
bias = np.linspace(-10,10,110)
```


## Assignment 5

## Assignment 6

First to visualize the separation by a hyperplane the dimensions of datas are reduced by PCA.

```
pca= PCA(X)
X_pro = pca.project(X,2)
```


In case of linear separation class 1 and class 3 are well separated from other classes but class 2 are not. Because class 2 lies in the center of classes and it is not possible to separate by a linear line.



The losses for three linear separations are

```
Loss of linearly separation between class 1 and others: 0.0
Loss of linearly separation between class 2 and others: 0.2814814814814815
Loss of linearly separation between class 3 and others: 0.037037037037037035
```

In case of non-linear separation(gaussian) all of three classes are separated well. Non-linear separation line can be a circle line therefore it is also useful for classification of this dataset.



```
Loss of non-linearly separation between class 1 and others: 0.0
Loss of non-linearly separation between class 2 and others: 0.2814814814814815
```

Loss of non-linearly separation between class 3 and others: 0.037037037037037035

## Assignment 7

### 1. The best kernel and kernel parameters for each digit

After the Cross Validation with linear kernel and  $c$  in range `"np.linspace(0.1,3.0,10)"` we got following results.

Best C for the digit 0 by the Linear Kernel C= 0.422222222222

The Error rate: 0.00498256103637

Best C for the digit 1 by the Linear Kernel C= 0.1

The Error rate: 0.00348779272546

Best C for the digit 2 by the Linear Kernel C= 0.1

The Error rate: 0.0189337319382

Best C for the digit 3 by the Linear Kernel C= 0.422222222222

The Error rate: 0.00896860986547

Best C for the digit 4 by the Linear Kernel C= 0.422222222222

The Error rate: 0.00996512207275

Best C for the digit 5 by the Linear Kernel C= 0.744444444444

The Error rate: 0.00697558545092

Best C for the digit 6 by the Linear Kernel C= 1.71111111111

The Error rate: 0.0

Best C for the digit 7 by the Linear Kernel C= 3.0

The Error rate: 0.000498256103637

Best C for the digit 8 by the Linear Kernel C= 2.67777777778

The Error rate: 0.0104633781764

Best C for the digit 9 by the Linear Kernel C= 0.422222222222

The Error rate: 0.01096163428

The results with polynomial kernel,  $c$  in range `"np.linspace(1.0,3.0,5)"` and `kernelparameter` in range `"np.logspace(1,5,5)"`

Best C for the digit 0 by the Polynomial Kernel C= 1.0

And degree  $p=$  10.0

The Error rate: 0.178873941206

Best C for the digit 1 by the Polynomial Kernel C= 1.0



And degree  $p= 10.0$   
 The Error rate: 0.00946686596911  
 Best C for the digit 2 by the Polynomial Kernel  $C= 1.0$   
 And degree  $p= 10.0$   
 The Error rate: 0.0986547085202  
 Best C for the digit 3 by the Polynomial Kernel  $C= 1.0$   
 And degree  $p= 10.0$   
 The Error rate: 0.0827105132038  
 Best C for the digit 4 by the Polynomial Kernel  $C= 1.0$   
 And degree  $p= 10.0$   
 The Error rate: 0.0996512207275  
 Best C for the digit 5 by the Polynomial Kernel  $C= 1.0$   
 And degree  $p= 10.0$   
 The Error rate: 0.079720976582  
 Best C for the digit 6 by the Polynomial Kernel  $C= 1.0$   
 And degree  $p= 10.0$   
 The Error rate: 0.0847035376183  
 Best C for the digit 7 by the Polynomial Kernel  $C= 2.0$   
 And degree  $p= 10.0$   
 The Error rate: 0.0568011958146  
 Best C for the digit 8 by the Polynomial Kernel  $C= 1.0$   
 And degree  $p= 10.0$   
 The Error rate: 0.0827105132038  
 Best C for the digit 9 by the Polynomial Kernel  $C= 1.0$   
 And degree  $p= 10.0$   
 The Error rate: 0.0881913303438

The results with gaussian kernel,  $c$  in range "np.linspace(1.0,3.0,5)" and kernelparameter in range "np.linspace(1/1.0,1/5.0,10)"

Best C for the digit 0 by the Gaussian Kernel  $C= 0.825$   
 And kernel width  $w= 1.31558702896$   
 The Error rate: 0.0  
 Best C for the digit 1 by the Gaussian Kernel  $C= 1.55$   
 And kernel width  $w= 0.948683298051$   
 The Error rate: 0.000498256103637  
 Best C for the digit 2 by the Gaussian Kernel  $C= 0.1$

And kernel width  $w = 0.707106781187$   
 The Error rate: 0.0986547085202  
 Best C for the digit 3 by the Gaussian Kernel  $C = 0.1$   
 And kernel width  $w = 0.707106781187$   
 The Error rate: 0.0827105132038  
 Best C for the digit 4 by the Gaussian Kernel  $C = 0.1$   
 And kernel width  $w = 0.707106781187$   
 The Error rate: 0.0996512207275  
 Best C for the digit 5 by the Gaussian Kernel  $C = 0.1$   
 And kernel width  $w = 0.707106781187$   
 The Error rate: 0.079720976582  
 Best C for the digit 6 by the Gaussian Kernel  $C = 0.825$   
 And kernel width  $w = 1.58113883008$   
 The Error rate: 0.0279023418037  
 Best C for the digit 7 by the Gaussian Kernel  $C = 0.825$   
 And kernel width  $w = 1.58113883008$   
 The Error rate: 0.0189337319382  
 Best C for the digit 8 by the Gaussian Kernel  $C = 0.1$   
 And kernel width  $w = 0.707106781187$   
 The Error rate: 0.0827105132038  
 Best C for the digit 9 by the Gaussian Kernel  $C = 2.275$   
 And kernel width  $w = 1.31558702896$   
 The Error rate: 0.000498256103637  
  
 The best kernel and the best parameter combinations for each digit are:  
  
 For the digit 0 with the Gaussian Kernel  $C = 0.825$   
 And kernel width  $w = 1.31558702896$   
 The Error rate: 0.0  
  
 For the digit 1 with the Gaussian Kernel  $C = 1.55$   
 And kernel width  $w = 0.948683298051$   
 The Error rate: 0.000498256103637  
  
 For the digit 2 with the Linear Kernel  $C = 0.1$   
 The Error rate: 0.0189337319382

For the digit 3 with the Linear Kernel  $C = 0.422222222222$   
The Error rate: 0.00896860986547

For the digit 4 by the Linear Kernel  $C = 0.422222222222$   
The Error rate: 0.00996512207275

For the digit 5 by the Linear Kernel  $C = 0.744444444444$   
The Error rate: 0.00697558545092

For the digit 6 by the Linear Kernel  $C = 1.711111111111$   
The Error rate: 0.0

For the digit 7 by the Linear Kernel  $C = 3.0$   
The Error rate: 0.000498256103637

For the digit 8 by the Linear Kernel  $C = 2.677777777778$   
The Error rate: 0.0104633781764

For the digit 9 by the Gaussian Kernel  $C = 2.275$   
And kernel width  $w = 1.31558702896$   
The Error rate: 0.000498256103637

7 of 10 digits are best classified with linear kernel and 3 of 10 digits are with Gaussian kernel.

## 2. Plot Support Vectors


Random choice of SV of polynomial for class 1



Random choice of SV of polynomial for class 7



Random choice of SV of Gaussian for class 0



Random choice of SV of Gaussian for class 1



### 3. Problem for one-vs-rest classification