|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Criterion | Explanation | Points |
| Relevant research question | Interesting and well-motivated question | 2 |
| Clear research hypothesis | Clear definition of hypotheses, including reasonable justification | 2 |
| Justified and suitable methods | Suitable setup to address hypotheses | 2 |
| Justified and suitable selection of participants | Good description of participants, yet justification for their selection is missing | 1 |
| Quality of applying method | Well conducted study with camera observation | 2 |
| Quality of analysis | Thorough analysis with correct methods | 2 |
| Suitable discussion of validity | Suitable discussion, although internal validity could have been discussed coherently (not in confounding factors) | 2 |
| Clear and suitable structure of report | A clear structure of the report; easy to follow | 2 |
| Writing quality of report and adherence to template | Well-written and easy to read | 2 |
| Bonus point | Pilot study with honest description of errors and adjustment of design | 1 |
| Sum: 18 ; Grade: 1.0 | | |
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