# Computer Lab 7: Land snow cover

Climate Data Analysis, ATS 301, Fall 2018

As before, we start by specifing that we want plots to be displayed inside the Jupyter Notebook, and load the modules we'll need.

```
In [ ]: %matplotlib inline
   import numpy as np
   import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

# Set default figure size
   # This is so I don't have to keep specifying figsize later.
   plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = (10.0, 8.0)

import xarray as xr
   import cartopy.crs as ccrs
   from scipy import stats
   import math
```

## Introduction to the dataset

```
In [ ]: snow_file='/data/ATS_301/Data/nhsce_v01r01_19661004_20181001.nc'
dsnow = xr.open_dataset(snow_file)
```

The reference for this dataset is:

Robinson, David A., Estilow, Thomas W., and NOAA CDR Program (2012):NOAA Climate Date Record (CDR) of Northern Hemisphere (NH) Snow Cover Extent (SCE), Version 1. NOAA National Climatic Data Center. doi:10.7289/V5N014G9 [accessed 10/19/2018].

Start, as usual, by looking at the basic information in the file:

```
In [ ]: dsnow
```

Notice the dimensions of the latitude and longitude arrays:

```
In [ ]: dsnow.latitude
In [ ]: dsnow.longitude
```

This dataset uses a non-regular grid. We'll get back to this in a bit.

Now, look at the three "Data Variables" (ignore coord\_system). What data do they each contain?

```
In [ ]: dsnow.land
In [ ]: dsnow.snow_cover_extent
```

```
In [ ]: # This is just an subset of the data so we can see example values.
# The specific time, lats, and lons aren't important right now.
dsnow.snow_cover_extent[0,30:35,:].values
```

Note all snow cover extent values are either 0 or 1.

```
In [ ]: dsnow.area
In [ ]: # quick look at data for one time
dsnow.snow_cover_extent[0,:,:].plot()
```

Because the values are all either 0 or 1, the plot for a single time only has two colors. Average over all the times to get the snow climatology.

# **Excluding ocean grid points**

This plot doesn't show the difference between land with no snow and ocean. Fortunately, the land variable (in the netcdf file) indicates where there is land (where it is =1). 0 corresponds to ocean. We can create a new variable, equal to snow\_cover\_extent when there is land (dsnow.land>0), and nan where there is ocean.

```
In [ ]: # False if land = 0, True is land = 1 (i.e., >0)
# Only a slice of the array shown
dsnow.land[31,:].values > 0

In [ ]: snow = dsnow.snow_cover_extent.where(dsnow.land > 0)
snow[0,31,:].values
```

Now we can use this new snow variable for our plot. Fortunately, the xr.plot function omits nan 's by default.

```
In [ ]: time_average_snow=snow.mean(dim='time')
time_average_snow.plot()
```

## Weird coordinates...

So this looks a little odd. What's going on?

Looking at those latitudes and longitudes again...

```
In [ ]: dsnow.longitude.plot()
```

```
In [ ]: dsnow.latitude.plot()
```

Fortunately, python can deal with these weird 2-d lats and lons. We just pass them to the xarray plot function.

To make this plot, use cartopy and switch to the orthographic projection. We want the N. Pole in the middle. Use (0,90) to indicate the center at 0 deg long, 90 deg lat.

Change the colormap to Greys r, so that white=snow.

```
In [ ]: ax = plt.axes(projection=ccrs.Orthographic(0, 90))
    time_average_snow.plot(x='longitude',y='latitude',ax=ax,transform=ccrs.PlateCarree
    (),cmap='Greys_r')
    plt.show()
```

We can add in a prettier ocean, using the <code>ax.stock\_img()</code> command. We'll do this first, and then put the snow on top of it. We'll still see the ocean (where <code>time\_average\_snow</code> is <code>nan</code>). This is one advantage of using the <code>land</code> variable to distinguish land from ocean points.

# **Probability distributions**

We'll start by plotting the histogram for all data points and times.

Note: sometimes it will take a long time calculating the histogram. In these cases, specify range=(0,1) to help plt.hist out a bit. It gets confused by the fact that there are only 2 possible values.

```
In [ ]: snow.plot.hist()
```

To convert this histogram to a **probability distribution** plot, use the density=True option.

```
In [ ]: snow.plot.hist(density=True)
```

density=True will make integral of histogram sum to 1. The total count for all bins is 10. Since the bins are each 0.1 wide, this integrates to 1.

What sort of distribution is this?

Another way to create this plot is using the plt.hist function [note that this goes before the variable]. It doesn't deal with missing values very well, but it will let us include two histograms next to each other later. Since we have missing values, we have to explicitly indicate the range using range=(0,1). We'll still get a warning, but at least it will plot.

The np.ravel function converts the 3-d array into a 1-D array. plt.hist will not work with arrays that have more than two dimensions.

```
In [ ]: plt.hist(np.ravel(snow),range=(0,1),density=True)
```

#### Generate random sample from distribution

This is a **Bernoulli** distribution. scipy.stats includes a Bernoulli distribution function we can use for comparison.

The p shape parameter determines the fraction of 1's versus 0's in the distribution.

To generate a random sample of from this distribution, we use the rvs function. The size option indicates how many samples to generate.

Plot both distributions for comparison. We have to use the plt.hist function with np.ravel for the snow variable, which means we'll get warning messages.

```
In [ ]: p = 0.3
    r = stats.bernoulli.rvs(p, size=100)

plt.hist([np.ravel(snow),r],range=(0,1),density=True,color=('b', 'r'))
```

Repeat the above cell a few times. What happens to the red bars?

```
In [ ]: # Digression: if you want to get rid of the warning, you have to do two steps:
    # convert 3D array to 1D array
    snow_1d=np.ravel(snow)

# Make new array with just the land values
    land_snow_frac=snow_1d[np.where(np.isfinite(snow_1d))]

# Then, use land_snow_frac in plt.hist.

# Unfortunately, need to do this whenever want to use new array for histogram.
```

Now, increase the number of samples until the distribution doesn't change much when you re-sample from the Bernoulli distribution.

```
In [ ]: p = 0.3
## Your sample number here
r = stats.bernoulli.rvs(p, size=???)
plt.hist([np.ravel(snow),r],range=(0,1),density=True,color=('b', 'r'))
```

Once you have a sample number that results in a fairly consistent distribution, play around with the p parameter until the Bernoulli distribution approx. matches the data. (You can actually calculate this explicitly from the snow data.)

```
In [ ]: ## Your parameter here↔
```

#### Removing grid points that never have snow

Some grid points never have snow, so their snow fraction always = 0. These just "pile up" on the left-hand side of the plot. To better see how snow cover *changes* with time, we'll create a new array that just has grid points where there's snow at some point (during the entire record).

np.any is True if any value along a given axis (0=time, in this case) is True for the comparison. We use where, similarly to what we did to omit the ocean points, to only keep these grid point values, setting the rest to nan.

```
In []: new_snow = snow.where(np.any(snow > 0,axis=0))
In []: #plt.hist((np.ravel(snow),np.ravel(new_snow)),range=(0,1),density=False)
# Don't specify density=True here, since we want the count of values.
snow.plot.hist()
new_snow.plot.hist(color='red',alpha=0.5)
```

# Averaging snow cover fraction over different time periods (Central Limit Theorem)

Now, we're going to average snow cover over increasing periods of time to see the Central Limit Theorem in action:

The distribution of the mean of a big enough sample of independent random variables is a normal (Gaussian) distribution.

We're starting from **binary** data, and we don't have enough data to actually get to a Gaussian distribution, but we can start to see it occurring.

Part of the reason we eliminated the grid points that never have snow in the previous step is to make the fractions start converging closer to the middle.

#### Average one month at a time (monthly mean data)

Start by average data from the same month together.

This will average 4 or 5 weeks together. What are possible values?

(Note that, since the behaviors of the different months (as well as the different grid cells) differ, these points don't really come from the same distribution. So we don't actually expect them to have a normal distribution.)

Remind ourselves what new\_snow looks like:

```
In [ ]: print(np.shape(new_snow))
In [ ]: print(new_snow.time)
```

To convert from these weekly values, we resample into individual M onths. This behaves similarly to the groupby function. Then, we average these different groups over the 'time' dimension. (Note that this is not .mean(dim='time') as before. Unfortunately, this is another place xarray is inconsistent.)

```
In [ ]: monthly_ave_snow=new_snow.resample(time='M').mean('time')
    print('Shape = ',np.shape(monthly_ave_snow))
    print('')
    print(monthly_ave_snow.time)
    monthly_ave_snow.plot(bins=40,density=True)
```

Averaging over more time steps gives us more possible values.

```
In [ ]: # Seasonal mean
# About 13 weeks per season
# Smaller bars are b/c some seasons have 14 weeks.

season_mean_snow = new_snow.resample(time='Q').mean('time')
print('Shape = ',np.shape(season_mean_snow))
print('')
print(season_mean_snow.time)
season_mean_snow.plot(bins=40,density=True)
```

## Average one year at a time (annual-mean data)

```
In []: annual_mean_snow = new_snow.groupby('time.year').mean(dim='time')
    print('Shape = ',np.shape(annual_mean_snow))
    print('')
    print(annual_mean_snow.year)
    annual_mean_snow.plot(bins=40,density=True)
```

#### Time-mean

Time-mean snow cover, still calculated for each grid cell separately. This differs from the first time-mean average in that the points that never have snow are excluded.

(The grid point are, again, not expected to have the same distributions themselves, since amount of snow varies with location.)

```
In [ ]: time_average_snow_new=new_snow.mean(dim='time')
    time_average_snow_new.plot.hist(bins=40,density=True)
    plt.show()
```

#### Global-mean

Rather than looking at the distribution of the time mean for each grid point, another way we can examine the data is through the distribution of the "global"-mean time series.

This is just a rough calculation, since it doesn't take into account the areas of the cells.