

A nonsmooth Chow-Rashevski's theorem

Ermal Feleqi

University of Vlora, Albania

Optimization, State Constraints and Geometric Control
Padova, May 25, 2018

References

1. F. Rampazzo & H. Sussmann, *Set-valued differentials and a nonsmooth version of Chow-Rashevski's theorem*, Proceedings of the 40th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, Orlando, FL, December 2001.
2. F. Rampazzo and H. Sussmann, *Commutators of flow maps of nonsmooth vector fields*, J. Differential Equations 2007

Sketch of results in

1. E. Feleqi & F. Rampazzo, *Integral representations for bracket-generating multi-flows*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. A., 2015.
2. E. Feleqi & F. Rampazzo, *Iterated Lie brackets for nonsmooth vector fields*, NoDEA - Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 2017.
3. E. Feleqi & F. Rampazzo, *An L^∞ -Chow-Rashevski's Theorem*, work in progress.

Controllability

Given

$\mathcal{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_p)$ vector fields .

on some open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

\mathcal{X} – trajectory := concatenation of a finite no. of integral curves of $X_1, \dots, X_p, -X_1, \dots, -X_p$.

Definition

\mathcal{X} controllable in Ω

if $\forall x, y \in \Omega \exists \mathcal{X}$ – trajectory $\xi: [t_1, t_2] \rightarrow \Omega$

s.t. $\xi(t_1) = x, \xi(t_2) = y$.

Definition

Given two vector fields X, Y

$$[X, Y] = XY - YX \equiv DY \cdot X - DX \cdot Y.$$

Definition

Given two vector fields X, Y

$$[X, Y] = XY - YX \equiv DY \cdot X - DX \cdot Y.$$

Main fact needed here

$$e^{-tY} \circ e^{-tX} \circ e^{tY} \circ e^{tX}(x) = x + t^2[X, Y](x_*) + o(t^2)$$

as $(t, x) \rightarrow (0, x_*)$.

Iterated Lie brackets

Iterated brackets of a family X_1, \dots, X_p of vector fields:

- degree 1

$$X_1, \dots, X_p$$

Iterated Lie brackets

Iterated brackets of a family X_1, \dots, X_p of vector fields:

- degree 1

$$X_1, \dots, X_p$$

- degree 2 (**Lie Bracket or Commutator**)

$$[X_i, X_j] := X_i X_j - X_j X_i \equiv \nabla X_j X_i - \nabla X_i X_j$$

Iterated Lie brackets

Iterated brackets of a family X_1, \dots, X_p of vector fields:

- degree 1

$$X_1, \dots, X_p$$

- degree 2 (**Lie Bracket or Commutator**)

$$[X_i, X_j] := X_i X_j - X_j X_i \equiv \nabla X_j X_i - \nabla X_i X_j$$

- degree 3

$$[[X_i, X_j], X_k]$$

Iterated Lie brackets

Iterated brackets of a family X_1, \dots, X_p of vector fields:

- degree 1

$$X_1, \dots, X_p$$

- degree 2 (**Lie Bracket or Commutator**)

$$[X_i, X_j] := X_i X_j - X_j X_i \equiv \nabla X_j X_i - \nabla X_i X_j$$

- degree 3

$$[[X_i, X_j], X_k]$$

- degree 4

$$[[[X_i, X_j], X_k], X_\ell] \quad \dots \quad [[X_i, X_j], [X_k, X_\ell]]$$

Iterated Lie brackets

Iterated brackets of a family X_1, \dots, X_p of vector fields:

- degree 1

$$X_1, \dots, X_p$$

- degree 2 (**Lie Bracket or Commutator**)

$$[X_i, X_j] := X_i X_j - X_j X_i \equiv \nabla X_j X_i - \nabla X_i X_j$$

- degree 3

$$[[X_i, X_j], X_k]$$

- degree 4

$$[[[X_i, X_j], X_k], X_\ell] \quad \dots \quad [[X_i, X_j], [X_k, X_\ell]]$$

- et cetera....

LARC, Chow-Rashevski's theorem and other deep results

Theorem

Assume X_1, \dots, X_p *satisfy Lie Algebra Rank Condition or Hörmander's Condition or, that is,*

$$\text{span}\{\text{iterated Lie brackets at } x\} = \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (\text{LARC})$$

LARC, Chow-Rashevski's theorem and other deep results

Theorem

Assume X_1, \dots, X_p *satisfy Lie Algebra Rank Condition or Hörmander's Condition or, that is,*

$$\text{span}\{\text{iterated Lie brackets at } x\} = \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (\text{LARC})$$

Then

1. *(Chow-Rashevski) Any two points can be connected by an X -trajectory:*

LARC, Chow-Rashevski's theorem and other deep results

Theorem

Assume X_1, \dots, X_p *satisfy Lie Algebra Rank Condition or Hörmander's Condition or, that is,*

$$\text{span}\{\text{iterated Lie brackets at } x\} = \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (\text{LARC})$$

Then

1. *(Chow-Rashevski) Any two points can be connected by an X -trajectory: $T(y, x) \leq C|y - x|^{1/k}$*

LARC, Chow-Rashevski's theorem and other deep results

Theorem

Assume X_1, \dots, X_p *satisfy Lie Algebra Rank Condition or Hörmander's Condition or, that is,*

$$\text{span}\{\text{iterated Lie brackets at } x\} = \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (\text{LARC})$$

Then

1. (Chow-Rashevski) Any two points can be connected by an X -trajectory: $T(y, x) \leq C|y - x|^{1/k}$
2. (Hörmander)

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{j=1}^p X_j^2 \quad \text{is hypoelliptic}$$

3. (Bony) \mathcal{L} satisfies the strong maximum principle.

A set-valued-bracket (Franco and Hector)

If X_1, X_2 are $C^{0,1}$, we set

$$[\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2]_{\text{set}}(\mathbf{x}) := \overline{\text{co}} \left\{ \mathbf{v} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} [\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2](\mathbf{x}_j), \right\}$$

where

1. $x_j \in \mathcal{D}iff(X_1) \cap \mathcal{D}iff(X_2)$ for all j ,
2. $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_j = x$

A set-valued-bracket (Franco and Hector)

If X_1, X_2 are $C^{0,1}$, we set

$$[\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2]_{\text{set}}(\mathbf{x}) := \overline{\text{co}} \left\{ \mathbf{v} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} [\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2](\mathbf{x}_j), \right\}$$

where

1. $x_j \in \mathcal{D}iff(X_1) \cap \mathcal{D}iff(X_2)$ for all j ,
2. $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_j = x$

Properties: $x \mapsto [X_1, X_2]_{\text{set}}(x)$ u. s.c., comp. convex valued; robust

A set-valued-bracket (Franco and Hector)

If X_1, X_2 are $C^{0,1}$, we set

$$[\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2]_{\text{set}}(\mathbf{x}) := \overline{\text{co}} \left\{ \mathbf{v} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} [\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2](\mathbf{x}_j), \right\}$$

where

1. $x_j \in \mathcal{D}iff(X_1) \cap \mathcal{D}iff(X_2)$ for all j ,
2. $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_j = x$

Properties: $x \mapsto [X_1, X_2]_{\text{set}}(x)$ u. s.c., comp. convex valued; robust

Applications commutativity, simultaneous rectification, asymptotic formulas,
Chow-Rashevski type theorem. (H. Sussmann, F. Rampazzo, 2001, 2007).
Frobenius type thm (F. Rampazzo 2007).

A set-valued-bracket (Franco and Hector)

If X_1, X_2 are $C^{0,1}$, we set

$$[\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2]_{\text{set}}(\mathbf{x}) := \overline{\text{co}} \left\{ \mathbf{v} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} [\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2](\mathbf{x}_j), \right\}$$

where

1. $x_j \in \mathcal{D}iff(X_1) \cap \mathcal{D}iff(X_2)$ for all j ,
2. $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} x_j = x$

Properties: $x \mapsto [X_1, X_2]_{\text{set}}(x)$ u. s.c., comp. convex valued; robust

Applications commutativity, simultaneous rectification, asymptotic formulas,
Chow-Rashevski type theorem. (H. Sussmann, F. Rampazzo, 2001, 2007).
Frobenius type thm (F. Rampazzo 2007).

Asymptotic formula: As $|t| + |x - x_*| \rightarrow 0$,

$$e^{-tX_2} \circ e^{-tX_1} \circ e^{tX_2} \circ e^{tX_1}(x) - x \in t^2[X_1, X_2](x_*) + t^2 o(1)$$

Higher-order set-valued brackets

If X_1, X_2 are $C^{1,1}$ and X_3 is $C^{0,1}$, we set

$$[[X_1, X_2], X_3]_{\text{set}}(x) := \overline{\text{co}} \left\{ v = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} D X_3(y_j) \cdot [X_1, X_2](x_j) - D[X_1, X_2](x_j) \cdot X_3(y_j), \right\}$$

where

1. $x_j \in \text{Diff}(DX_1) \cap \text{Diff}(DX_2) \forall j, y_j \in \text{Diff}(X_3) \forall j,$
2. $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (x_j, y_j) = (x, x).$

Properties: Chart-invariant, robust, u.s.c. with comp, conv values

Asymptotic formula: As $|t| + |x - x_*| \rightarrow 0$

$$e^{-tX_3} \circ \underbrace{e^{-tX_1} \circ e^{-tX_2} \circ e^{tX_1} \circ e^{tX_2}}_{\Psi^{-1}} \circ e^{tX_3} \circ \underbrace{e^{-tX_2} \circ e^{-tX_1} \circ e^{tX_2} \circ e^{tX_1}}_{\Psi}(x) - x \\ \in t^3 [X_1, [X_2, X_3]](x_*) + t^3 o(1).$$

Theorem (A generalization of Chow-Rashevski's theorem)

Assume \exists iterated brackets B_1, \dots, B_r , possibly set-valued, of the vector fields X_1, \dots, X_p s.t. at x_*

$$\text{span} \{v_1, \dots, v_r\} = \mathbb{R}^n \quad \forall v_1 \in B_1, \dots, v_r \in B_r. \quad (\text{GHC})$$

Theorem (A generalization of Chow-Rashevski's theorem)

Assume \exists iterated brackets B_1, \dots, B_r , possibly set-valued, of the vector fields X_1, \dots, X_p s.t. at x_*

$$\text{span} \{v_1, \dots, v_r\} = \mathbb{R}^n \quad \forall v_1 \in B_1, \dots, v_r \in B_r. \quad (\text{GHC})$$

Then every point x in a neighborhood of x_* is reached by a X -trajectory in minimum time

$$T(x, x_*) \leq C|x - x_*|^{1/k},$$

where $k = \max \{ \deg B_j : j = 1, \dots, r \}$, C ind. of x .

Theorem (A generalization of Chow-Rashevski's theorem)

Assume \exists iterated brackets B_1, \dots, B_r , possibly set-valued, of the vector fields X_1, \dots, X_p s.t. at x_*

$$\text{span} \{v_1, \dots, v_r\} = \mathbb{R}^n \quad \forall v_1 \in B_1, \dots, v_r \in B_r. \quad (\text{GHC})$$

Then every point x in a neighborhood of x_* is reached by a X -trajectory in minimum time

$$T(x, x_*) \leq C|x - x_*|^{1/k},$$

where $k = \max \{ \deg B_j : j = 1, \dots, r \}$, C ind. of x .

If (GHC) holds at every $x_* \in \Omega$, and Ω is connected, **then** every two points of Ω can be connected by a X -trajectory and

$$T(x, y) \leq C|x - y|^{1/k} \quad \text{locally.}$$

Theorem (A generalization of Chow-Rashevski's theorem)

Assume \exists iterated brackets B_1, \dots, B_r , possibly set-valued, of the vector fields X_1, \dots, X_p s.t. at x_*

$$\text{span} \{v_1, \dots, v_r\} = \mathbb{R}^n \quad \forall v_1 \in B_1, \dots, v_r \in B_r. \quad (\text{GHC})$$

Then every point x in a neighborhood of x_* is reached by a X -trajectory in minimum time

$$T(x, x_*) \leq C|x - x_*|^{1/k},$$

where $k = \max \{ \deg B_j : j = 1, \dots, r \}$, C ind. of x .

If (GHC) holds at every $x_* \in \Omega$, and Ω is connected, **then** every two points of Ω can be connected by a X -trajectory and

$$T(x, y) \leq C|x - y|^{1/k} \quad \text{locally.}$$

GHC is acronym for **Generalized Hörmander's Condition**.

k is **step** of HGC at x_* .

EXAMPLES

Heisenberg group Lie algebra generators

Nonholonomic integrator (Brockett)

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -y \end{pmatrix} \equiv \partial_x - y\partial_z, \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ x \end{pmatrix} \equiv \partial_y + x\partial_z,$$

Heisenberg group Lie algebra generators

Nonholonomic integrator (Brockett)

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -y \end{pmatrix} \equiv \partial_x - y\partial_z, \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ x \end{pmatrix} \equiv \partial_y + x\partial_z,$$

We see that

$$[X_1, X_2] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Heisenberg group Lie algebra generators

Nonholonomic integrator (Brockett)

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -y \end{pmatrix} \equiv \partial_x - y\partial_z, \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ x \end{pmatrix} \equiv \partial_y + x\partial_z,$$

We see that

$$[X_1, X_2] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus LARC holds at every point of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Heisenberg group Lie algebra generators

Nonholonomic integrator (Brockett)

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -y \end{pmatrix} \equiv \partial_x - y\partial_z, \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ x \end{pmatrix} \equiv \partial_y + x\partial_z,$$

We see that

$$[X_1, X_2] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus LARC holds at every point of \mathbb{R}^3 .

The system is controllable in \mathbb{R}^3 and has locally $(1/2)$ -Hölder continuous minimum time function.

A modified nonholonomic integrator in $\dim = 4$

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

with α a nonvanishing **continuous** function.

A modified nonholonomic integrator in $\dim = 4$

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

with α a nonvanishing **continuous** function.

- Since

$$[X_1, X_2] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

A modified nonholonomic integrator in $\dim = 4$

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

with α a nonvanishing **continuous** function.

- Since

$$[X_1, X_2] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(LARC) is verified:

A modified nonholonomic integrator in $\dim = 4$

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

with α a nonvanishing **continuous** function.

- Since

$$[X_1, X_2] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(LARC) is verified:

$$\text{span}\{X_1, X_2, [X_1, X_2], X_3\} = \mathbb{R}^4$$

A modified nonholonomic integrator in $\dim = 4$

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -y \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ x \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

with α a nonvanishing **continuous** function.

- Since

$$[X_1, X_2] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(LARC) is verified:

$$\text{span}\{X_1, X_2, [X_1, X_2], X_3\} = \mathbb{R}^4$$

\implies 1/2-Hölder minimum time.

Another modification of the nonholonomic integrator

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -2y + |y| \end{pmatrix} \equiv \partial_x + (|y| - 2y)\partial_z, \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2x + |x| \end{pmatrix} \equiv \partial_y + (|x| + 2x)\partial_z,$$

Simple calculations yield

$$[X_1, X_2] = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ h \end{pmatrix} : h \in [2, 6] \right\} \quad \text{for } x = y = 0.$$

In any case **LARC** of step 2 at every point of \mathbb{R}^3 .

System $\dot{x} = u_1 X_1 + u_2 X_2 + u_3 X_3$, $|u_i| \leq 1$, **controllable**

Minimum time $1/2$ -Hölder continuous.

Grushin type vector fields

Higher order brackets

Let

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2x^k - x|x|^{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

One checks (for k even)

$$\underbrace{[X_i, [X_i, [\cdots [X_i, X_{n+i}]]]}_{k \text{ bracketings}} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ h \end{pmatrix} : h \in [k!, 3k!] \right\} \quad \text{at } x = 0.$$

Hörmander of step $k + 1$.

Hence $1/(k + 1)$ minimum time.

Proof: integral formulas

If $X_1, X_2 \in C^1$

$$xe^{t_1 X_1} e^{t_2 X_2} e^{-t_1 X_1} e^{-t_2 X_2} = x + \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{t_2} xe^{t_1 X_1} e^{s_2 X_2} e^{(s_1 - t_1) X_1} [X_1, X_2] e^{-s_1 X_1} e^{-s_2 X_2} ds_1 ds_2$$

Proof: integral formulas

If $X_1, X_2 \in C^1$

$$xe^{t_1 X_1} e^{t_2 X_2} e^{-t_1 X_1} e^{-t_2 X_2} = x + \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{t_2} xe^{t_1 X_1} e^{s_2 X_2} e^{(s_1 - t_1) X_1} [X_1, X_2] e^{-s_1 X_1} e^{-s_2 X_2} ds_1 ds_2$$

If $X_1, X_2 \in C^2, X_3 \in C^1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & xe^{t_1 X_1} e^{t_2 X_2} e^{-t_1 X_1} e^{-t_2 X_2} e^{t_3 X_3} e^{t_2 X_2} e^{t_1 X_1} e^{-t_2 X_2} e^{-t_1 X_1} e^{-t_3 X_3} - x = \\ & \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{t_2} \int_0^{t_3} xe^{t_1 X_1} e^{t_2 X_2} e^{-t_1 X_1} e^{-t_2 X_2} e^{s_3 X_3} e^{t_2 X_2} e^{t_1 X_1} e^{(s_2 - t_2) X_2} e^{-t_1 X_1} e^{-s_2 X_2} \\ & [e^{s_2 X_2} e^{s_1 X_1} [X_1, X_2] e^{-s_1 X_1} e^{-s_2 X_2}, X_3] e^{s_2 X_2} e^{t_1 X_1} e^{-s_2 X_2} e^{-t_1 X_1} e^{-s_3 X_3} ds_1 ds_2 ds_3. \end{aligned}$$

E. FELEQI & F. RAMPAZZO, *Integral representations for bracket-generating multi-flows*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. A., 2015.

Proof: asymptotic formulas

(i) If $f_1, f_2 \in C^1$, $x_* \in M$,

$$xe^{t_1 f_1} e^{t_2 f_2} e^{-t_1 f_1} e^{-t_2 f_2} = x + t_1 t_2 [f_1, f_2](x_*) + t_1 t_2 o(1)$$

as $|x - x_*| + |(t_1, t_2)| \rightarrow 0$.

Proof: asymptotic formulas

(i) If $f_1, f_2 \in C^1$, $x_* \in M$,

$$xe^{t_1 f_1} e^{t_2 f_2} e^{-t_1 f_1} e^{-t_2 f_2} = x + t_1 t_2 [f_1, f_2](x_*) + t_1 t_2 o(1)$$

as $|x - x_*| + |(t_1, t_2)| \rightarrow 0$.

(ii) If $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in C^1$, $x_* \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} xe^{t_1 f_1} e^{t_2 f_2} e^{-t_1 f_1} e^{-t_2 f_2} e^{t_3 f_3} e^{t_2 f_2} e^{t_1 f_1} e^{-t_2 f_2} e^{-t_1 f_1} e^{-t_3 f_3} \\ = x + t_1 t_2 t_3 [[f_1, f_2], f_3](x_*) + (t_1 t_2 t_3) o(1) \end{aligned}$$

as $|x - x_*| + |(t_1, t_2, t_3)| \rightarrow 0$.

E. Feleqi & F. Rampazzo, *Iterated Lie brackets for nonsmooth vector fields*, NoDEA - Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 2017.

Proof: Generalized Differential Quotients

If $X \in C^{-1,1}$, $(I_n X(x_*)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(I_n v) : v \in X(x_*)\}$,
is a GDQ $(t, x) \mapsto xe^{tX}$,

If $X_1, X_2 \in C^{0,1}$, $(I_n [X_1, X_2]_{\text{set}}(x_*)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(I_n v) : v \in [X_1, X_2]_{\text{set}}(x_*)\}$

and if $X_1, X_2 \in C^{1,1}$, $X_3 \in C^{0,1}$

$(I_n [[X_1, X_2], X_3]_{\text{set}}(x_*)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(I_n v) : v \in [[X_1, X_2], X_3]_{\text{set}}(x_*)\}$ are GDQs
of, respectively, $\Sigma_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^{(X_1, X_2)}$, $\Sigma_{[[\cdot, \cdot], \cdot]}^{(X_1, X_2, X_3)}$ at $(x_*, 0)$ in the direction of $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$,
where

$$\Sigma_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^{(X_1, X_2)}(x, t) := \begin{cases} x \Psi_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^{(X_1, X_2)}(\sqrt{t}, \sqrt{t}) \Psi_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^{(X_1, X_2)}(-\sqrt{t}, -\sqrt{t}) & \text{if } t \geq 0 \\ x \Psi_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^{(X_1, -X_2)}(\sqrt{-t}, \sqrt{-t}) \Psi_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^{(X_1, -X_2)}(-\sqrt{-t}, -\sqrt{-t}) & \text{if } t < 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\Sigma_{[[\cdot, \cdot], \cdot]}^{(X_1, X_2, X_3)}(x, t) := x \Psi_{[[\cdot, \cdot], \cdot]}^{(X_1, X_2, X_3)}(\sqrt[3]{t}, \sqrt[3]{t}, \sqrt[3]{t}) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof: conclusion

We assume generalized LARC at x_* for $X_1, X_2 \in C^{1,1}$, $X_3 \in C^{0,1}$, $X_4 \in C^{-1,1}$, that is,

$$\text{span} \left\{ X_1(x_*), X_2(x_*), X_3(x_*), [X_1, X_2], [X_1, X_3]_{\text{set}}(x_*), [X_2, X_3]_{\text{set}}(x_*), \right. \\ \left. [[X_1, X_2], X_3]_{\text{set}}(x_*), X_4(x_*) \right\} = T_{x_*} \Omega \equiv \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Consider $\mathbb{R}^8 \ni (t_1, \dots, t_8) \mapsto$
 $x_* e^{t_1 X_1} e^{t_2 X_2} e^{t_3 X_3} \Sigma_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^{(X_1, X_2)}(t_4) \Sigma_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^{(X_1, X_3)}(t_5) \Sigma_{[\cdot, \cdot]}^{(X_2, X_3)}(t_6) \Sigma_{[[\cdot, \cdot], \cdot]}^{(X_1, X_2, X_3)}(t_7) e^{t_8 X_4} \in \Omega;$

By the **chain rule**, its GDQ at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^8$ is

$$\left(X_1(x_*) X_2(x_*) X_3(x_*) [X_1, X_2](x_*) [X_1, X_3]_{\text{set}}(x_*) [X_2, X_3]_{\text{set}}(x_*) \right. \\ \left. [[X_1, X_2], X_3]_{\text{set}}(x_*) X_4(x_*) \right).$$

The LARC implies that the open mapping for GDQs applies to this map and hence the conclusion.

E. Feleqi & F. Rampazzo, *An L^∞ -Chow-Rashevski's Theorem*, work in

Best wishes
Franco and Giovanni!!
Thank you!