Linear Algebra Basis Take Home Exam

Felice Li

1. Suppose the set of vectors $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}$ is linearly independent. Is the set $\{\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_2 + \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_3\}$ independent or dependent? Justify your response.

To check whether $\{\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_2 + \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_3\}$ is linearly independent, we can check to see if there exists a non-trivial solution to

$$b_1(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2) + b_2(\mathbf{v}_2 + \mathbf{v}_3) + b_3(\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_3) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Distributing the constants and rearranging the equation, we get:

$$b_1\mathbf{v}_1 + b_1\mathbf{v}_2 + b_2\mathbf{v}_2 + b_2\mathbf{v}_3 + b_3\mathbf{v}_1 + b_3\mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{0}$$

 $(b_1 + b_3)\mathbf{v}_1 + (b_1 + b_2)\mathbf{v}_2 + (b_2 + b_3)\mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{0}$.

Note that since $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}$ is linearly independent, that means that only the trivial solution exists for:

$$a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + a_2\mathbf{v}_2 + a_3\mathbf{v}_3 = \mathbf{0}.$$

Thus, $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$. Therefore, we have:

$$b_1 + b_3 = a_1 = 0$$

 $b_1 + b_2 = a_2 = 0$
 $b_2 + b_3 = a_3 = 0$.

Solving this system of equation, we first linearly combine the first two equations to eliminate b_1 :

$$b_2 - b_3 = 0 b_2 + b_3 = 0.$$

Summing these two equations, we get:

$$2b_2 = 0$$
$$b_2 = 0.$$

From this, it follows that b_1 and b_3 must also be 0. Therefore, the only solution that exists is the trivial solution, $b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = 0$, and thus $\{\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_2 + \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_3\}$ is linearly independent.

- 2. Let V be a vector space and let $W_1 \subseteq V$ and $W_2 \subseteq V$ be vector subspaces of V. The intersection of W_1 and W_2 , written as $W_1 \cap W_2$, is defined to be the set of all vectors in W_1 and in W_2 . Prove that $W_1 \cap W_2$ is a vector subspace of V by proving that the set of vectors $W_1 \cap W_2$ is (1) closed under vector addition, and (2) closed under scalar multiplication.
 - (a) Show that $W_1 \cap W_2$ is closed under vector addition.

Let $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in W_1 \cap W_2$, and the basis of W_1 be $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$ and the basis of W_2 be $\{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\}$. Since \mathbf{u} is in both W_1 and W_2 , \mathbf{u} can be written as $A_1\mathbf{a} + B_1\mathbf{b}$ and $C_1\mathbf{c} + D_1\mathbf{d}$.

In other words, $\mathbf{u} = A_1 \mathbf{a} + B_1 \mathbf{b} = C_1 \mathbf{c} + D_1 \mathbf{d} \ \forall \{A_1, B_1, C_1, D_1\} \in \mathbb{R}$

The same thing applies to **v**. Therefore, $\mathbf{v} = A_2\mathbf{a} + B_2\mathbf{b} = C_2\mathbf{c} + D_2\mathbf{d} \ \forall \{A_2, B_2, C_2, D_2\} \in \mathbb{R}$.

Summing \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} , we have:

$$\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = A_1 \mathbf{a} + B_1 \mathbf{b} + A_2 \mathbf{a} + B_2 \mathbf{b} = C_1 \mathbf{c} + D_1 \mathbf{d} + C_2 \mathbf{c} + D_2 \mathbf{d}$$

 $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = (A_1 + A_2)\mathbf{a} + (B_1 + B_2)\mathbf{b} = (C_1 + C_2)\mathbf{c} + (D_1 + D_2)\mathbf{d}$

As we can see, $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$ can be written in two ways, first as a linear combination of the vectors in the basis of W_1 and again as a linear combination of the vectors in the basis of W_2 . Thus, $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$ is in both W_1 and W_2 , and so $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \in W_1 \cap W_2$.

Note that this is a specific case, where W_1 and W_2 are dimension 2. However, this argument expands to other dimensions. Essentially, the sum of vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} can be written as linear combinations of only the vectors in the basis of W_1 and of only the vectors in the basis of W_2 . Thus, $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$ is in both W_1 and W_2 , or $W_1 \cap W_2$, and we can finally conclude that $W_1 \cap W_2$ is closed under vector addition.

(b) Show that $W_1 \cap W_2$ is closed under scalar multiplication.

Let $\mathbf{u} \in W_1 \cap W_2$. Again, let the basis of W_1 be $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$ and the basis of W_2 be $\{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\}$. We can express \mathbf{u} as $A_1\mathbf{a} + B_1\mathbf{b}$ and $C_1\mathbf{c} + D_1\mathbf{d}$. Multiplying \mathbf{u} by a scalar constant, k, we have:

$$k\mathbf{u} = (kA_1)\mathbf{a} + (kB_1)\mathbf{b} = (kC_1)\mathbf{c} + (kD_1)\mathbf{d}.$$

Note that $k\mathbf{u}$ is still in W_1 and W_2 , as it can be expressed as a vector in both subspaces.

Again, this expands to W_1 and W_2 with higher dimensions – a scalar multiple of any linear combinations of basis vectors are still linear combinations of basis vectors. Therefore, $W_1 \cap W_2$ is closed under scalar multiplication.

3. Let
$$S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$
.

(a) Explain why span $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$.

Span S can be written as $\left\{ a \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$. Simplifying this, we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -b \\ b \\ b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a-b \\ a+b \\ b \end{bmatrix}.$$

A vector of the form $\begin{bmatrix} a-b\\a+b\\b \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3$. However, it does not account for all vectors

in \mathbb{R}^3 . Therefore, span $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$.

Another interpretation of this is that span S is the linear combination of two 3-vectors, and that creates a plane. A plane is a subset of \mathbb{R}^3 .

(b) Show that $\exists \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\mathbf{u} \notin \text{span } S$.

The vector $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ would not be in span S. In this case, b=1, which would

result in two different values for a. For the first entry, we have

$$a - b = 1$$
$$a = 2.$$

For the second entry, we have

$$a+b=1$$
$$a=0.$$

Therefore, $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ but \notin span S, as it cannot be written in the form of

$$\begin{bmatrix} a - b \\ a + b \\ b \end{bmatrix}$$

(c) Give an example of a vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ where $S \cup \{\mathbf{v}\}$ does not span \mathbb{R}^3 . Justify your response.

Taking $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $S \cup \{\mathbf{v}\}$ does not span \mathbb{R}^3 . $S \cup \{\mathbf{v}\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$.

Since \mathbf{v} is a scalar multiple of a vector that already exists in S, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, no new information is being added and thus $S \cup \{v\}$ does not span \mathbb{R}^3 .

In another view, the minimum number of vectors required to span \mathbb{R}^3 is the dimension of the basis, which is 3 in this case. For a set of 3 vectors to span \mathbb{R}^3 , they need to be independent. However, since $S \cup \{v\}$ has only 3 vectors and they are linearly dependent, we can deduce that $S \cup \{v\}$ does not span \mathbb{R}^3 .

(d) Find a vector \mathbf{w} so that the set of vectors $T = S \cup \{\mathbf{w}\}$ is pairwise orthogonal. Explain why T must be a basis for \mathbb{R}^3 .

To find w such that $S \cup \{\mathbf{w}\}$ is pairwise orthogonal, we can set up the following equations:

$$\mathbf{w} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{w} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

Let
$$\mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$$
. Thus, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

This gives us the following system:

$$x + y = 0$$
$$-x + y + z = 0.$$

Summing the two equations together, we get

$$2y + z = 0$$

Let
$$z = -2$$
, which gives us $y = 1$. Using that to find x , we find that $x = -1$. Thus, $w = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$ results in $T = S \cup \{\mathbf{w}\} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ being pair-

T must be a basis for \mathbb{R}^3 because it has 3 elements, which is suitable for a

3-dimensional space. It also spans \mathbb{R}^3 and is linearly independent. Using a geometric approach, since span S spans a plane, adding the normal of that plane to the set would allow the set to span \mathbb{R}^3 .

(e) Let M be the matrix whose columns are the vectors of S. Compute MM^T . Given a vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, every vector $(MM^T)\mathbf{v}$ lies in a plane. Find the equation of that plane.

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ To find } MM^T:$$

$$MM^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To find the plane of $(MM^T)\mathbf{v}$, first let $v = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$. We see that

$$(MM^T)\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}.$$

Seeing this as a linear combination of the column vectors of MM^T , we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = x \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + y \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + z \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

However, note that this is also equal to span $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2\\0\\-1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\2\\1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$. In other

words,
$$(MM^T)\mathbf{v} = \operatorname{span}\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2\\0\\-1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0\\2\\1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

To find the plane represented by span $\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 2\\0\\-1\end{bmatrix},\begin{bmatrix} 0\\2\\1\end{bmatrix},\begin{bmatrix} -1\\1\\1\end{bmatrix}\right\}$, we can find the

normal to those vectors. Let the normal $\mathbf{n} = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix}$. Thus,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = 0$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \begin{bmatrix} -1\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a\\b\\c \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Simplifying this, we have

$$2a - c = 0$$
$$2b + c = 0$$
$$-a + b + c = 0.$$

Summing the first two systems of equations and simplifying, we get a + b = 0. Thus, we now have

$$a+b=0$$
$$-a+b+c=0.$$

Note that this is the same system as the system we solved in 3d (which is kinda interesting...), with the exception that the variables (x, y, z) = (a, b, c).

Therefore, our solution for 3d stands, and $\mathbf{n} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$. In conclusion, since our normal to the plane is $\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$, the equation of our plane is -x + y - 2z = 0.

- 4. Let W be the set of vectors $v = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$ in \mathbb{R}^3 that satisfy the equation x + y + z = 0.
 - (a) Show that W is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 by showing W is closed under vector addition and scalar multiplication.

Any vector in
$$\mathbb{R}^3$$
 that satisfy the equation $x + y + z = 0$ can be written in the form $v = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ -x - y \end{bmatrix}$. For $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in W$, let $\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} x_u \\ y_u \\ -x_u - y_u \end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} x_v \\ y_v \\ -x_v - y_v \end{bmatrix}$.

Summing $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$, we have:

$$\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} x_u \\ y_u \\ -x_u - y_u \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} x_v \\ y_v \\ -x_v - y_v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_u + x_v \\ y_u + y_v \\ -x_u - y_u - x_v - y_v \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that this is still in the form $v = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ -x - y \end{bmatrix}$, and thus $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \in W$. Therefore,

W is closed under vector addition.

For scalar multiplication, let $\mathbf{u} \in W$ and let $\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} x_u \\ y_u \\ -x_{\cdots} - u_{\cdots} \end{bmatrix}$. Multiplying by a constant k gives us

$$k\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} kx_u \\ ky_u \\ k(-x_u - y_u) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} kx_u \\ ky_u \\ -kx_u - ky_u \end{bmatrix}.$$

This is again still in the form $v = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ -x - y \end{bmatrix}$, and thus, $k\mathbf{u} \in W$ and W is closed under scalar multiplication.

(b) Find a basis for W. Call this S.

 $S = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$. Both vectors in the set span x + y + z = 0 and are linearly independent, and thus the set is a basis.

(c) Give an example of a vector \mathbf{u} such that $S \cup \{\mathbf{u}\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{R}^3 . Justify your response in 1-2 sentences.

The vector $\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ results in $S \cup \{\mathbf{u}\}$ being a basis for \mathbb{R}^3 . Since \mathbb{R}^3 has a dimension of 3, and there must be three independent vectors that span \mathbb{R}^3 in its basis, and $S \cup \{\mathbf{u}\}$ satisfies that.

(d) The subspace U of vectors of \mathbb{R}^3 that satisfy the equation x+y-z=0 has a basis $T=\left\{\begin{bmatrix}1\\0\\1\end{bmatrix},\begin{bmatrix}0\\1\\1\end{bmatrix}\right\}$. Could $T\cup S$ be a basis for \mathbb{R}^3 ? Explain.

No. $T \cup S$ would have 4 vectors. There is no overlap between the vectors in T and any potential vectors in S, as none of the vectors in T satisfy x+y+z=0. Furthermore, since there are 4 vectors in the set and we are working with a 3-dimensional vector space, $T \cup S$ must be linearly dependent. As it is linearly dependent, it cannot be a basis.

5. Find an orthonormal basis $B = \{\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2, \mathbf{b}_3\}$ for \mathbb{R}^3 such that $\{\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2\}$ is a basis for W.

Using the Gram-Schmidt process, we can turn our basis from part (b), $\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 1\\-1\\0\end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1\\0\\1\end{bmatrix}\right\}$, into an orthogonal basis. For ease of reference, let $\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-1\\0\end{bmatrix}$ and $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} -1\\0\\1\end{bmatrix}$. First, we project \mathbf{u} onto \mathbf{v} :

$$\operatorname{proj}_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{u} = \frac{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|^2}\mathbf{v} = \frac{-1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -1\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\\0\\-\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

To find the orthogonal component, we can subtract $\operatorname{proj}_{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{u}$ from \mathbf{u} :

$$\mathbf{u} - \operatorname{proj}_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is an orthogonal basis for W.

To find \mathbf{b}_3 such that $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{b}_3 \right\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{R}^3 , we can let $\mathbf{b}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}$.

We know that \mathbf{b}_3 needs to me orthogonal to \mathbf{b}_1 and \mathbf{b}_2 , and thus we can set up the following equations:

$$\mathbf{b}_{3} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\mathbf{b}_{3} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = 0.$$

From this, we get

$$\frac{x}{2} - y + \frac{z}{2} = 0$$

-x + z = 0.

As there are 3 variables and 2 equations, we have an extra degree of freedom. Thus, we can let x = 1, and based on the second equation, z = 1. Substituting those values

into the first equation, we get that y = 1 as well. Therefore, the vector $\mathbf{b}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is orthogonal to the subspace W.

Currently, we have an orthogonal basis for \mathbb{R}^3 wherein the first two vectors also form the basis for W, $\left\{\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right\}$. To make it an orthonormal basis, we can scale each vector such that the magnitude is 1.

$$\left| \left| a \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \right| \right| = 1.$$

$$\left\| \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a}{2} \\ -a \\ \frac{a}{2} \end{bmatrix} \right\| = 1.$$

$$\sqrt{\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^2 + \left(-a\right)^2 + \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^2} = 1.$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{3a^2}{2}} = 1.$$

$$a = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

Therefore, the vector $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$ is scaled by $\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}$, resulting in $\begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} \end{bmatrix}$.

Performing the same thing for $\begin{bmatrix} -1\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix}$, we get that it must be scaled by $\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$, resulting

in $\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{bmatrix}$. Lastly, $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ needs to be scaled by a factor of $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$, resulting in $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \end{bmatrix}$.

In conclusion, the orthonormal basis $B = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3} \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ exists for \mathbb{R}^3

such that $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} \\ -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is a basis for W.