

# Introduction to Causal Inference

Felipe José Bravo Márquez

October 6, 2022

# Motivation

- Our starting point is the difference between an observation and an intervention (or action).
- We can answer many questions from passive observation alone.
- For example: do 16 year-old drivers have a higher incidence rate of traffic accidents than 18 year-old drivers?
- The answer corresponds to a difference of conditional probabilities.
- Let random variables  $I$ ,  $A$  correspond to traffic incident rate and driver's age correspondingly:

$$P(I|A = 16) - P(I|A = 18) > 0?$$

- Both conditional probabilities can be estimated from a large enough sample drawn from the distribution.
- The answer to the question we asked is solidly in the realm of observational statistics.
- However, important questions often are not observational in nature.

<sup>1</sup>These slides are mainly based on Chapter 9 of [Hardt and Recht, 2021].

- Causal question: Would traffic fatalities decrease if we raised the legal driving age by two years?
- Here we are not asking for the frequency of an event in our manifested world.
- This question asks for the effect of a hypothetical **intervention**.
- As a result, the answer is not so simple.
- Even if older drivers have a lower incidence rate of traffic accidents, this might simply be a consequence of additional driving experience.
- There is no obvious reason why an 18 year old with two months on the road would be any less likely to be involved in an accident than a 16 year-old with the same experience.

- We can try to address this problem by holding the number of months of driving experience fixed, while comparing individuals of different ages.
- But we quickly run into subtleties.
- What if 18 year-olds with two months of driving experience predominantly live in regions where traffic conditions differ significantly from those in areas where people feel a greater need to drive at a younger age?
- Causal reasoning is a conceptual and technical framework for addressing questions about the effect of hypothetical actions or interventions.
- Once we understand what the effect of an intervention is, we can turn the question around and ask what action plausibly caused an event.
- This gives us a formal language to talk about cause and effect.

# The limitations of observation

- Before we develop any new formalism, it is important to understand why we need it in the first place.
- To see why we turn to the example of graduate admissions at the University of California, Berkeley [Bickel et al., 1975].
- The aggregate admission decisions of the six largest departments at the University was compared between male and female applicants.
- The aggregated acceptance rate for these six departments is 44% for men and 30% for women.
- The difference is statistically significant.
- This led to an investigation into whether the University was acting in a gender-discriminatory manner.
- Recognizing that departments have autonomy over who to admit, we can look at the gender bias of each department.

# The limitations of observation

UC Berkeley admissions data from 1973.

Department	Men		Women	
	Applied	Admitted (%)	Applied	Admitted (%)
A	825	62	108	82
B	520	60	25	68
C	325	37	593	34
D	417	33	375	35
E	191	28	393	24
F	373	6	341	7

- Four of the six largest departments show a higher acceptance ratio among women.
- The two other departments with higher acceptance rate for men cannot account for the large difference in acceptance rates that we observed in aggregate.
- It appears that the higher acceptance rate for men that we observed in aggregate seems to have reversed at the department level.

# The limitations of observation

- Such reversals are sometimes called Simpson's paradox.
- Even though mathematically they are no surprise.
- It's a fact of conditional probability that there can be events  $Y$  (acceptance),  $A$  (gender) and a random variable  $Z$  (department choice) such that:
  - 1  $\mathbb{P}(Y|A) < \mathbb{P}(Y|\neg A)$
  - 2  $\mathbb{P}(Y|A, Z = z) > \mathbb{P}(Y|\neg A, Z = z)$  for all values  $z$  that the random variable  $Z$  assumes.
- Simpson's paradox nonetheless causes discomfort to some.
- Intuition suggests that a trend which holds for all subpopulations should also hold at the population level.

# References I



Bickel, P. J., Hammel, E. A., and O'Connell, J. W. (1975).

Sex bias in graduate admissions: Data from berkeley: Measuring bias is harder than is usually assumed, and the evidence is sometimes contrary to expectation. *Science*, 187(4175):398–404.



Hardt, M. and Recht, B. (2021).

Patterns, predictions, and actions: A story about machine learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.05242*.