## **Code Review Rubric**

Code Quality Elements	Needs Improvement	Approaching Mastery	Mastery
Readability and Formatting Variable naming and casing Line length and complexity Formatting and indentation Explanations in comments  Organization and Modularity Modularity and coupling Use of abstraction Side effects of functions	<ul> <li>□ Unclear/arbitrary variable names</li> <li>□ Style is sometimes inconsistent</li> <li>□ Lines are always too complex</li> <li>□ Inconsistent formatting/indentation</li> <li>□ Few or no comments to explain complex or confusing code</li> <li>□ Code contains large monolithic or tightly coupled functions and/or classes that could be separated</li> <li>□ Limited or no use of abstraction</li> <li>□ Functions use global variables</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Descriptive variable names</li> <li>□ Style is always consistent</li> <li>□ Lines are often too complex</li> <li>□ Readable formatting/indentation</li> <li>□ Several comments to explain complex or confusing code</li> <li>□ Code is separated into functions and/or classes but may be tightly coupled causing ripple of changes</li> <li>□ Some use of abstraction</li> <li>□ Few functions cause side effects</li> </ul>	□ Clear, semantic variable names □ Style always follows conventions □ Lines only contain a single idea □ Consistent formatting/indentation □ Complex code is always explained with comments when appropriate □ Code is separated into functions and/or classes with different, clear responsibilities and loose coupling □ Abstraction used whenever helpful □ All functions avoid side effects
Built-ins & Standard Library / Language Conventions Uses existing functions/classes Follows language conventions	<ul> <li>Several built-ins and standard library functionality are reinvented without any customization or justification</li> <li>Violates language conventions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Occasional use of built-ins and standard library shows need for more exposure and/or research</li> <li>Few cases of reinvention could be simplified using built-ins and standard library standard</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Built-ins and standard library are used whenever possible</li> <li>Follows language conventions and idioms</li> </ul>
Effectiveness of Solution Does it solve the problem?  Testing and Error Handling Testing solution robustness Handling errors/exceptions	<ul> <li>□ Solves some typical input cases</li> <li>□ Does not solve any edge cases</li> <li>□ Minimal or no automated testing</li> <li>□ Test inputs are simplistic or naive</li> <li>□ Minimal or no exception handling</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Solves most typical input cases</li> <li>□ Solves some obvious edge cases</li> <li>□ Tests cover typical input cases</li> <li>□ Test inputs are varied and creative</li> <li>□ Handles some errors/exceptions</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Solves all typical input cases</li> <li>□ Solves all known edge cases</li> <li>□ Tests cover all typical input cases</li> <li>□ Tests cover all known edge cases</li> <li>□ Handles several errors/exceptions</li> </ul>
Algorithmic Complexity Efficient use of resources Scalability with large inputs An explicit statement of time and space complexity	<ul> <li>□ Code often repeats redundant operations or uses brute force</li> <li>□ High algorithmic complexity that does not scale with large inputs</li> <li>□ Does not state time and space complexity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Some code repeats redundant work, but with minimal impact</li> <li>□ Low algorithmic complexity that avoids brute force approaches</li> <li>□ Incorrectly / incompletely state time and space complexity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>□ Repeated work is often avoided to save time and memory resources</li> <li>□ Optimal algorithmic complexity that scales well with large inputs</li> <li>□ Correctly states time and space complexity</li> </ul>