1. How satisfied/dissatisfied are you with each of the following features?

Interface features	Very satisfied	Moderately satisfied	Neutral	Moderately dissatisfied	Very dissatisfied	Please explain why
Registration protocol			х			
Presentation of the scores				x		when menus are open, they block the buttons that permit to zoom in/out
Organization of the interface					x	not intuitive. far too many steps. Once I make a selection, I would like to have all the analytical tools I require visible and not have to go through multiple steps to obtain them
Annotation tools (generally):					х	see comment 2 above
a. Select a verticality				х		difficult to click on the note (hotspot too small)
b. Assign a root				х		see comment 2 above
c. Create a selection				x		see comment 2 above
d. Create a nested selection				x		see comment 2 above
e. Delete a selection		x				fairly simple
f. Select a theoretical model		х				fairly simple
g. Find a class/ property				х		see comment 2 above
h. Apply a class/ property				x		see comment 2 above
i. Add an annotation				х		see comment 2 above

j. Delete an annotation	х			fairly simple
Visualisation of annotations			х	rather confusing. Lots of information. It seems some metadata shown is of no interest to the analyst, only the coder. This causes clutter
Adding commentaries			х	not sure how
Comparing annotations			x	
Logging out		х		

General questions

1. What is your most favourite feature of the interface? A: choosing a particular theory. Great idea.

- 2. What is your less favourite feature of the interface? A generally, what displeased me regarding most features is the excessive amount of steps. For example, ff I choose a score, why do I need to validate my selection? Just open the score!
- 3. Please name any important features that are missing in the interface. R: Hard to assess, since most theories are not yet available. Different analytical perspectives necessitate different annotation features.
- 4. In what ways [is] the interface is better than the traditional process? A: Saves work automatically. Instantly shareable with colleagues
- 5. Is it faster/slower than the traditional process? A: much slower
- 6. Do you have any suggestion(s) for improving the interface?
 A: see my response to question 2 above
 - 7. What about:
 - a. ergonomics?

A: see my response to question 2 above

b. available features?

A: see my response to question 3 above

c. intuitiveness/clarity?

A: not very intuitive. See my comment above concerning "Organization of the interface"

8. How likely are you to recommend the interface to a friend or colleague?

Very likely	Likely	Neutral	Unlikely	Very unlikely
			х	

9. Which analytical annotation tasks/scenarios would you like to be able to carry out with this annotation tool?
A: choosing cadence types using 17th century French theoretical models

10. Do you have any other suggestions?
A: See below