

System Verification and Validation Plan Checklist

Spencer Smith

November 3, 2025

- Follows writing checklist (full checklist provided in a separate document)
 - ☐ L^AT_EX points
 - ☐ Structure
 - ☐ Spelling, grammar, attention to detail
 - ☐ Avoid low information content phrases
 - ☐ Writing style
- Follows the template, all parts present
 - ☐ Table of contents
 - ☐ Pages are numbered
 - ☐ Revision history included for major revisions
 - ☐ Sections from template are all present
 - ☐ Values of auxiliary constants are given (constants are used to improve maintainability and to increase understandability)
- Grammar, spelling, presentation
 - ☐ No spelling mistakes (use a spell checker!)
 - ☐ No grammar mistakes (review, ask someone else to review (at least a few sections))
 - ☐ Paragraphs are structured well (clear topic sentence, cohesive)

- ☐ Paragraphs are concise (not wordy)
- ☐ No Low Information Content (LIC) phrases (List of LIC phrases)
- ☐ All hyperlinks work
- ☐ Every figure has a caption
- ☐ Every table has a heading
- ☐ Symbolic names are used for quantities, rather than literal values
- LaTeX
 - ☐ Template comments do not show in the pdf version, either by removing them, or by turning them off.
 - ☐ References and labels are used so that maintenance is feasible
- Overall qualities of documentation
 - ☐ Test cases include SPECIFIC input
 - ☐ Test cases include EXPLICIT output
 - ☐ Description over specification, when appropriate
 - ☐ Plans for what to do with description data (performance, usability, etc). This may involve saying what plots will be generated.
 - ☐ Plans to quantify error for scalar values using relative error
 - ☐ Plans to quantify error for vector and matrix values using a norm of an error vector (matrix)
 - ☐ Plans are feasible (can be accomplished with resources available)
 - ☐ Plans are ambitious enough for an A+ effort
 - ☐ Survey questions for usability survey are in an Appendix (if appropriate)
 - ☐ Plans for task based inspection, if appropriate
 - ☐ Very careful use of random testing
 - ☐ Specific programming language is listed
 - ☐ Specific linter tool is listed (if appropriate)
 - ☐ Specific coding standard is given
 - ☐ Specific unit testing framework is given

- ☐ Investigation of code coverage measuring tools
- ☐ Specific plans for Continuous Integration (CI), or an explanation that CI is not being done
- ☐ Specific performance measuring tools listed (like Valgrind), if appropriate
- ☐ Traceability between test cases and requirements is summarized (likely in a table)