Lambda Calculus with Lifetimes and Higher Kinded Types

Final Year Project Report

Felix Bowman Candidate Number: 122587

Supervisor: Dr. Martin Berger

University of Sussex Department of Informatics

Contents

1	Intr	roduction	3
	1.1	Project Aims	3
	1.2	Objective: Combining Type Systems	3
		1.2.1 Base Objectives	3
		1.2.2 Extensions	3
	1.3	Project Overview	4
2	Prof	fessional and Ethical Considerations	5
3	Req	quirements	(
	3.1	Functional Requirements	(
		3.1.1 Parser	(
		3.1.2 Simple Type Checker	6
		3.1.3 Ownership Checker	7
		3.1.4 Borrow and Lifetime Checker	7
		3.1.5 Kind Checker	7
		3.1.6 Evaluator	7
		3.1.7 Interactive Interpreter	8
		3.1.8 Load a file	8
	3.2	Acceptance Criteria and Testing	8
		3.2.1 Parsing	8
		3.2.2 Type checking	}
		3.2.3 Evaluating	8
4	Bac	kground	9
5	Mot	tivation	10
	5.1	Higher Kinded Types	10
		5.1.1 Parametric Polymorphism	10
	5.2	Lifetimes	10
6	Imp	olementation of lambda-calc	11
7	Test	ting	12
8	Eval	luation	13
9	Con	nclusion	14

Listings

Introduction

1.1 Project Aims

This project aims to design and implement a language with a novel type system, with two main aspects. The first is the ability to abstract over type constructors as well as types, in effect allowing for a language at the level of types as well as expressions. The second is a memory management technique that guarantees memory safety at compile time. This technique is incorporated into the language as part of the type system. These two concepts will be implemented in the Lambda Calculus. A type checker and interpreter will be developed with Higher Kinded Types and a region-based memory management model similar to that of the Rust programming language.

The end purpose of this project is to investigate how Higher Kinded Types management with the existing type system of the Rust programming language, which already has a system of guaranteeing memory safety.

1.2 Objective: Combining Type Systems

The concepts described in Sections ?? and ?? are combined in the Lambda Calculus to study how they interact and uncover problems that may arise. The syntax of this language is outlined in Appendix ??.

1.2.1 Base Objectives

The base objectives for the project are outlined below. These are objectives that should be reasonably achievable in the time given.

- Design a language which incorporates region based memory management techniques and Higher Kinded Types into the type system. Describe the language using a formal grammar.
- Implement the Lambda Calculus extended with references as a Haskell program, modelling dynamic memory allocation inside the interpreter for the language.
- Implement a system for ensuring all resources in the language have exactly one owner (are assigned to one variable), based on the model in Rust.
- Implement a type system that incorporates higher order polymorphism into the language (higher kinded types).
- Formalize the rules of the type checker and construct the appropriate typing derivations.

1.2.2 Extensions

Some extensions to the project are outlined below, which should be completed depending on time and complexity constraints.

- Extend the base lambda calculus with constructs that more closely model the Rust programming language, including traits (or in the case of the language outlined in the project, type constructor classes), enums (discriminated union types), and local type inference.
- Investigate how concepts learned in this project can be incorporated into rusto, specifically adding Higher Kinded Types to the language.

1.3 Project Overview

The rest of this report is divided in several chapters. Chapter 5 describes concepts neccessary in order to understand the rest of the report. Chapter 2 gives an analysis of the ethical considerations of this project. Chapter 3 list the requirements of this project. This chapter is divided into the functional requirements, or what the project will do, and non-functional requirements which list how the project will be carried out. Finally the acceptance criteria are listed, which the final tests of the project will be based on. Chapter ?? breaks down the main phases of work to be completed in this project and gives an estimated time for each phase. Work done so far is also listed. Chapter ?? details what is discussed during meetings. Both meetings which have already happened and meetings which have yet to happen are listed. Appendix ?? gives the original project proposal which was already submitted. Appendix ?? describes the grammar of the extended Lambda Calculus that this project is based on.

Professional and Ethical Considerations

No part of this project requires human participation and as such there are no ethical considerations.

This needs more detail, perhaps licensing issues?

Requirements

The functional requirements of this project specify what this project shall do.

3.1 Functional Requirements

The functional requirements of this project are laid out in this section. Because the entire system is a Haskell program all of these requirements will be implemented in Haskell.

3.1.1 Parser

Description A parser for the language specified in ?? shall be created using the

 $\verb|megaparsec[1]| parser combinator library.$

Input Source code from a file or interactive session.

Output A data type that represents the abstract syntax of the input provided, or

an error message pointing to the location of any syntax errors.

Error An error message with a line and column number and a message.

3.1.2 Simple Type Checker

Description A simple type checker that ensures that simple mistakes are not make,

e.g. using a function type where a numerical type is expected.

Input A syntactically valid (according to Figure ??) AST of a program as a

Haskell data type.

Output The same AST of the program that confirms the typing rules of the

language.

Error An error with a message that provides some indication of what went

wrong.

3.1.3 Ownership Checker

Description An ownership checker ensures there exactly one binding to a resource

all the time.

Input A syntactically valid (according to figure ??) AST of a program as a

Haskell data type.

Output The same AST of the program that confirms the ownership rules of the

language.

Error An error with a message that provides some indication of what went

wrong.

3.1.4 Borrow and Lifetime Checker

Description A checker that ensures that references that borrow ownership from an-

other type last longer than the resource they borrow, and that there are only mutable references OR exactly one mutable reference at any one

point.

Input A syntactically valid (according to figure ??) AST of a program as a

Haskell data type.

Output The same AST of the program that confirms the borrowing rules of the

language.

Error An error with a message that provides some indication of what went

wrong.

3.1.5 Kind Checker

Description Ensures that all type constructors using in a program have the correct

number and kind of arguments.

Input A syntactically valid (according to figure ??) AST of a program as a

Haskell data type.

Output The same AST of the program that confirms the kinding rules of the

language.

Error An error with a message that provides some indication of what went

wrong.

3.1.6 Evaluator

Description A call-by-value evaluator of the language that will reduce a syntactically

valid expression.

Input A syntactically valid and type-checked AST of a program represented

as a Haskell data type.

Output The final resulting value of evaluating the AST.

Error A description of any runtime errors that occur within the program.

3.1.7 Interactive Interpreter

Description An interactive interpreter that type checks and then evaluates entered

expressions.

Input Source code as entered by the user.

Output The resulting value of evaluating the entered expression, some error.

Error An parsing, type, or runtime error message.

3.1.8 Load a file

Description Provided with a path, the program loads a text file containing source

code.

Input A path provided by the user. **Output** The source code as a string.

Error An error reporting a file not found or any other errors.

3.2 Acceptance Criteria and Testing

The acceptance criteria of this program correspond to the functional requirements in Section 3.1. The finished project should pass the tests laid out in this section.

3.2.1 Parsing

Functional Requirement 3.1.1

Passing Criteria The program should be able to parse valid source code and correctly

report any errors that are encountered.

Tests Test numbers

3.2.2 Type checking

Functional Requirement 3.1.2, 3.1.3, 3.1.4, 3.1.5

Passing Criteria The type checker should detect any errors in the program.

Tests Test numbers

3.2.3 Evaluating

Functional Requirement 3.1.6, 3.1.7, 3.1.8

Passing Criteria Source code, provided by a file or through the interactive interpreter,

should be type checked and evaluated.

Tests Test numbers

Background

Motivation

5.1 Higher Kinded Types

5.1.1 Parametric Polymorphism

generics are found in languages like java reducing code duplication

list example

The notion of first-order generics in programming languages have been formalised System F [2].

5.2 Lifetimes

Implementation of lambda-calc

Testing

Evaluation

Conclusion

Bibliography

- [1] megaparsec: Monadic parser combinators. https://hackage.haskell.org/package/megaparsec. Accessed: 2016-10-18.
- [2] Adriaan Moors, Frank Piessens, and Martin Odersky. "Generics of a Higher Kind". In: *SIGPLAN Not.* 43.10 (Oct. 2008), pp. 423-438. ISSN: 0362-1340. DOI: 10.1145/1449955.1449798. URL: http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/1449955.1449798.