



QuillAudits



Audit Report
February, 2021


TronBTC

Contents

Scope of Audit	01
Techniques and Methods	01
Issue Categories	02
Introduction	04
Issues Found – Code Review / Manual Testing	05
Summary	13
Disclaimer	14

Scope of Audit

The scope of this audit was to analyze and document TronBTC smart contract codebase for quality, security, and correctness.

Check Vulnerabilities

- Re-entrancy
- Timestamp Dependence
- Gas Limit and Loops
- DoS with Block Gas Limit
- Transaction-Ordering Dependence
- Use of tx.origin
- Exception disorder
- Gasless send
- Balance equality
- Byte array
- Transfer forwards all gas
- ERC20 API violation
- Malicious libraries
- Compiler version not fixed
- Redundant fallback function
- Send instead of transfer
- Style guide violation
- Unchecked external call
- Unchecked math
- Unsafe type inference
- Implicit visibility level

Techniques and Methods

Throughout the audit of smart contracts care was taken to ensure:

- The overall quality of code.
- Use of best practices.
- Code documentation and comments match logic and expected behaviour.
- Token distribution and calculations are as per the intended behaviour mentioned in the whitepaper.
- Implementation of ERC-20 token standards.
- Efficient use of gas.
- Code is safe from re-entrancy and other vulnerabilities.

The following techniques, methods and tools were used to review all the smart contracts.

Structural Analysis

In this step we have analyzed the design patterns and structure of smart contracts. A thorough check was done to ensure the smart contract is structured in a way that will not result in future problems.

SmartCheck.

Static Analysis

Static Analysis of Smart Contracts was done to identify contract vulnerabilities. In this step a series of automated tools are used to test security of smart contracts.

Code Review / Manual Analysis

Manual Analysis or review of code was done to identify new vulnerability or verify the vulnerabilities found during the static analysis. Contracts were completely manually analyzed, their logic was checked and compared with the one described in the whitepaper. Besides, the results of automated analysis were manually verified.

Gas Consumption

In this step we have checked the behaviour of smart contracts in production. Checks were done to know how much gas gets consumed and possibilities of optimization of code to reduce gas consumption.

Tools and Platforms used for Audit

Remix IDE, Truffle, Truffle Team, Ganache, Solhint, Mythril, Slither, SmartCheck.

Issue Categories

Every issue in this report has been assigned with a severity level. There are four levels of severity and each of them has been explained below.

High severity issues

A high severity issue or vulnerability means that your smart contract can be exploited. Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance or functionality and we recommend these issues to be fixed before moving to a live environment.

Medium level severity issues

The issues marked as medium severity usually arise because of errors and deficiencies in the smart contract code. Issues on this level could potentially bring problems and they should still be fixed.

Low level severity issues

Low level severity issues can cause minor impact and or are just warnings that can remain unfixed for now. It would be better to fix these issues at some point in the future.

Informational

These are severity four issues which indicate an improvement request, a general question, a cosmetic or documentation error, or a request for information. There is low-to-no impact.

Number of issues per severity

	High	Medium	Low	Informational
Open	0	0	1	2
Closed	4	4	1	2

Introduction

During the period of **February 9th, 2021 to February 10th, 2021** - Quillhash Team performed a final security audit for Tron BTC smart contracts.

The updated code after the fixes, based on the initial audit report, can be found in the following link:

[https://tronscan.org/#/contract/
TGyaaG6nfLmoANQJo6SG395CQuhPKVKJMK/code](https://tronscan.org/#/contract/TGyaaG6nfLmoANQJo6SG395CQuhPKVKJMK/code)

About the Contract:

TronBTC primarily aims to create a value for TBXC utility coin by utilizing the **staking** as well as referral model.

However, it should be noted that the BTC part is not yet included and the BTC interaction shall be introduced at later stages.

Moreover, **TBXC** is a zero pre-mine coin and is owned by this contract. Therefore, no single authority has any special privileges of minting or burning the coin.

Issues Found – Code Review / Manual Testing

High severity issues

1. State variables updated after External Call in “deposit” Function

ADDRESS

Line no - 242,243

Status: **CLOSED**

Description

The deposit function in the contract modifies its state variables after the external calls(at Line 240 & 241). Although the function checks whether or not a caller is a **contract**(at Line 205), it must not violate the Check Effects Interaction Pattern.

Moreover, modifying the state of any variable after external calls leads to a potential re-entrancy exploit scenario.

```
238
239
240     uint256 howMuchForDonate = donated;
241     donated = donated.sub(npmGift);
242     msg.sender.transfer(npmGift);
243     tokenBurn(howMuchForDonate, npmGift,
244     nmpLastWonCheckPoint=block.timestamp);
245     nmpIdDeposit=0;
246 }
247 //////////////NPM code///////////////
```

Recommendation

Follow the Check Effect Interaction Pattern.

2. State variables updated after External Call in “tokenBurn” Function

Line no - 269

Status: **CLOSED**

Description:

The **tokenBurn** function includes externals calls at Line 265 & 267

However, a state variable, i.e., “**donated**”, is modified after the external calls , thus leading to a potential re-entrancy exploit scenario.

```
function tokenBurn(uint256 howMuchForDonate, uint256 _npmGift, uint256
    // token burn caused by new deposits
    uint256 tokenToBurn;
    uint256 howMuchToBuyAtDex;
    howMuchToBuyAtDex = donated.mul(NMP_DONATED_PURCHASE_PERCENT).div(1
    tokenToBurn = justswap.trxToTokenTransferInput.value(howMuchToBuyAtD
    globalTokensBurned = globalTokensBurned + tokenToBurn;
    PlatformTokenApp.burn(address(this), tokenToBurn);
    emit EvtTokensSwapBurn(msg.sender,howMuchForDonate, howMuchToBuyAtD
    donated = 0;
}
```

Recommendation:

Check Effect Interaction Pattern must be followed and implemented.

3. Contract State updated after External Call in “reinvest” Function

Line no - 297

Status: **CLOSED**

Description:

The **reinvest** function in the contract includes externals calls at Line 293 & 295

However, the contract’s state is modified after the external calls which is not considered as a best practice and might lead to an exploit scenario.

```

282    function reinvest() external {
283        require(!isItContract(msg.sender) && msg.sender == tx.origin);
284        updateProfits(msg.sender);
285        Investor storage investor = investors[msg.sender];
286        uint256 depositAmount = investor.profitGained;
287        require(address(this).balance >= depositAmount);
288        investor.profitGained = 0;
289        investor trxDeposit = investor.trxDeposit.add(depositAmount/2);
290        investor.reinvested += depositAmount;
291        emit EvtReinvest(msg.sender, depositAmount);
292        payUplines(depositAmount, investor.upline);
293        platformAddress.transfer(depositAmount.div(10));
294        if(globalInvested > INITIAL_GROWTH_NUMBER*10**TOKENS_DECIMAL)
295            issueTokens(msg.sender, depositAmount.div(2).div(10));
296    }
297    investor.lastWithdrawTime = now;
298 }
```

Recommendation:

Reinvest should follow the [Check Effect Interaction Pattern](#)

4. “transferProfitGainedContract” function modifies Contract State

Line no - 330,331

Status: **CLOSED**

Description:

The **transferProfitGainedContract** function in the contract includes externals calls at Line 329

However, the function modifies the state of some State Variables(at Line **330,331**) after the external calls. This is not considered as a best practice and might lead to an exploit scenario.

```

325     investor.howmuchPaid = investor.howmuchPaid.add(payout);
326     investor.profitGained = investor.profitGained.sub(payout);
327     investor.trxDeposit = investor.trxDeposit.sub(payout/2);
328     investor.trxDeposit = investor.trxDeposit.add(payout.div(4));
329     msg.sender.transfer(payout.mul(3).div(4)); // 75% to user
330     investor.lastWithdrawTime = now;
331     donated += payout.div(4); // 25% percent
332     emit EvtWithdrawn(msg.sender, payout);
333 }
```

Recommendation:

Reinvest should follow the Check Effect Interaction Pattern.

Medium severity issues

5. **burnTokensAmount** function doesn't follow the Check Effects interaction pattern.

Line no - 196

Status: **CLOSED**

Description:

The **burnTokensAmount** function updates the contract state, at **Line 200**, after making an external call.

Although the external call is made to the **PlatformTokenApp** itself, it is always considered a better practice to update states before any external call.

```
196 ▾    function burnTokensAmount(uint256 _amount) public {  
197      Investor storage investor = investors[msg.sender];  
198      require(investor.userType==2 || investor.userType==3, " !muau  
199      PlatformTokenApp.burn(msg.sender, _amount);  
200      investor.tokensBurned = investor.tokensBurned.add(_amount);  
201      emit EvtTokensBurn(msg.sender,_amount, investor.userType);  
202    }
```

Recommendation:

Check Effect Interaction Pattern must be followed and implemented.

6. issueTokens function doesn't follow the Check Effects interaction pattern.

Line no - 169

Status: **CLOSED**

Description:

The **issueTokens** function updates the contract state (at Line 177,184,191,192) after making an external call.

Although the external call is made to the **PlatformTokenApp** itself, it is always considered a better practice to update states before any external call.

Recommendation:

Check Effect Interaction Pattern must be followed and implemented.

7. setContractOwner function lacks a Zero Address Check.

Line no - 93

Status: CLOSED

Description:

The setContractOwner function doesn't validate the _contractOwner address passed as a parameter.

```
93     function setContractOwner(address _contractOwner) external {  
94         require(msg.sender == _contractOwner, "!co");  
95         _contractOwner = _contractOwner;  
96     }
```

Recommendation:

The new owner's address must be checked with a require statement.
`require(_contractOwner != address(0),"Invalid address passed");`

8. Constant declaration optimizes gas usage

Line no - 166

Status: CLOSED

Description:

The **register function** includes a local variable called _upline which is never used within the function.

```
163     function register(address _addr, address _upline) private{  
164         Investor storage investor = investors[_addr];  
165         investor.upline = _upline;  
166         address _upline1 = _upline;  
167     }
```

Recommendation:

Since there is no specific use of this variable, it must be removed.

Low level severity issues

9. setNmpRandomDevider function doesn't emit any event

Line no - 103

Status: **CLOSED**

Description:

The **setNmpRandomDevider** function modifies the state of some very crucial arithmetic state variables but doesn't emit any event after the updation of those variables.

Since there is no event emitted on updating this variable, it might be difficult to track it off-chain.

Recommendation:

An event should be fired after changing the imperative arithmetic variables.

10. Return Value of External call is never used

Line no - 81

Status: **OPEN**

Description:

The return value of the externals made in the following line is never used:

```
79 |     PAIR_ADDRESS = exchangeAddress;
80 |     justswap = IJustswapExchange(PAIR_ADDRESS);
81 |     IERC20(myTokenAddress).approve(exchangeAddress, 1000000000000000000000000);
82 |     PlatformTokenApp = myTokenAddress;
```

Recommendation:

Effective use of all return values must be ensured within the contract.

Informational

11. External visibility should be preferred

Line no - 196

Status: **CLOSED**

Description:

Those functions that are never called throughout the contract should be marked as **external** visibility instead of **public** visibility.

This will effectively result in Gas Optimization as well.

Therefore, the following function must be marked as external within the contract:

```
function burnTokensAmount(uint256 _amount) public {
    Investor storage investor = investors[msg.sender];
    require(investor.userType==2 || investor.userType==3, "!muau");
    PlatformTokenApp.burn(msg.sender, _amount);
    investor.tokensBurned = investor.tokensBurned.add(_amount);
    emit EvtTokensBurn(msg.sender, _amount, investor.userType);
}
```

Recommendation:

Change the visibility specifier to external.

12. Constant declaration should be preferred

Line no - 11

Status: **CLOSED**

Description:

State variables that are not supposed to change throughout the contract should be declared as **constant**.

Recommendation:

The following state variables need to be declared as constant:

- **timeDevider**

13. Absence of Error messages in Require Statements

Status: **Partially CLOSED**

Description:

Some of the require statements in the contract don't include an error message. While this makes it troublesome to detect the reason behind a particular function revert, it also reduces the readability of the code.

The following lines still have require statements without error messages:

- Line 291
- Line 280

Recommendation:

Error messages should be included in every require statement

14. Explicit visibility declaration is missing

Line no - 63

Status: **OPEN**

Description:

The following element in the contract have not been assigned any visibility explicitly:

```
IJustswapExchange justswap;
```

Recommendation:

Visibility specifiers should be assigned explicitly in order to avoid ambiguity.

Closing Summary

After conducting a final audit review, it was found that the majority of issues documented in the initial audit reports have now been fixed. While all the High and Medium severity issues have been fixed, there are some low severity and informational issues that are still open or partially closed.

Although these issues may not affect the expected behaviour of the contract, it is recommended to fix all the remaining issues.

Disclaimer

Quillhash audit is not a security warranty, investment advice, or an endorsement of the Tron BTC platform. This audit does not provide a security or correctness guarantee of the audited smart contracts. The statements made in this document should not be interpreted as investment or legal advice, nor should its authors be held accountable for decisions made based on them. Securing smart contracts is a multistep process. One audit cannot be considered enough. We recommend that the TronBTC Team put in place a bug bounty program to encourage further analysis of the smart contract by other third parties.



TronBTC



QuillAudits

📍 Canada, India, Singapore and United Kingdom

💻 audits.quillhash.com

✉️ hello@quillhash.com