

# Audit Report September, 2021

For



# Contents

|                            |    |
|----------------------------|----|
| Overview                   | 01 |
| Techniques and Methods     | 03 |
| Issue Categories           | 04 |
| Functional Testing Results | 05 |
| Issues Found               | 06 |
| Automated Testing          | 07 |
| Closing Summary            | 15 |
| Disclaimer                 | 16 |

## Scope of the Audit

The scope of this audit was to analyze and document the DEXLToken smart contract codebase for quality, security, and correctness.

## Checked Vulnerabilities

We have scanned the smart contract for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities. Here are some of the commonly known vulnerabilities that we considered:

- Re-entrancy
- Timestamp Dependence
- Gas Limit and Loops
- DoS with Block Gas Limit
- Transaction-Ordering Dependence
- Use of tx.origin
- Exception disorder
- Gasless send
- Balance equality
- Byte array
- Transfer forwards all gas
- ERC20 API violation
- Malicious libraries
- Compiler version not fixed
- Redundant fallback function
- Send instead of transfer
- Style guide violation
- Unchecked external call
- Unchecked math
- Unsafe type inference
- Implicit visibility level

## Techniques and Methods

Throughout the audit of smart contract, care was taken to ensure:

- The overall quality of code.
- Use of best practices.
- Code documentation and comments match logic and expected behaviour.
- Token distribution and calculations are as per the intended behaviour mentioned in the whitepaper.
- Implementation of ERC-20 token standards.
- Efficient use of gas.
- Code is safe from re-entrancy and other vulnerabilities.

The following techniques, methods and tools were used to review all the smart contracts.

### Structural Analysis

In this step, we have analysed the design patterns and structure of smart contracts. A thorough check was done to ensure the smart contract is structured in a way that will not result in future problems.

### Static Analysis

Static analysis of smart contracts was done to identify contract vulnerabilities. In this step, a series of automated tools are used to test the security of smart contracts.

### Code Review / Manual Analysis

Manual analysis or review of code was done to identify new vulnerabilities or verify the vulnerabilities found during the static analysis. Contracts were completely manually analysed, their logic was checked and compared with the one described in the whitepaper. Besides, the results of the automated analysis were manually verified.

### Gas Consumption

In this step, we have checked the behaviour of smart contracts in production. Checks were done to know how much gas gets consumed and the possibilities of optimization of code to reduce gas consumption.

### Tools and Platforms used for Audit

Mythril, Slither, SmartCheck, Surya, Solhint.

## Issue Categories

Every issue in this report has been assigned to a severity level. There are four levels of severity, and each of them has been explained below.

| Risk-level           | Description   |
|----------------------|---|
| <b>High</b>          | A high severity issue or vulnerability means that your smart contract can be exploited. Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance or functionality, and we recommend these issues be fixed before moving to a live environment. |
| <b>Medium</b>        | The issues marked as medium severity usually arise because of errors and deficiencies in the smart contract code. Issues on this level could potentially bring problems, and they should still be fixed.  |
| <b>Low</b>           | Low-level severity issues can cause minor impact and or are just warnings that can remain unfixed for now. It would be better to fix these issues at some point in the future.  |
| <b>Informational</b> | These are four severity issues that indicate an improvement request, a general question, a cosmetic or documentation error, or a request for information. There is low-to-no impact.  |

## Number of issues per severity

| Type                | High | Medium | Low | Informational |
|---------------------|------|--------|-----|---------------|
| <b>Open</b>         | 0    | 0      | 0   | 1             |
| <b>Acknowledged</b> | 0    | 0      | 0   | 0             |
| <b>Closed</b>       | 0    | 0      | 0   | 0             |

## Introduction

During the period of **September 10, 2021 to September 12, 2021** - QuillAudits Team performed a security audit for the DEXLToken smart contract.

The code for the audit was taken from following the official link:  
[https://bscscan.com/  
address/0x18b57a558e9febbba7f30c79f71fb44d5348b207c#code](https://bscscan.com/address/0x18b57a558e9febbba7f30c79f71fb44d5348b207c#code)



## Issues Found

### High severity issues

No issues were found.

### Medium severity issues

No issues were found.

### Low level severity issues

No issues were found.

### Informational

#### 1. Constant Address

```
contract DEXLToken is ERC20 {  
    address public owner = 0xDC826833E230b206D061Fe31525e9ed8F8db6173;///  
|
```

As the owner address is known, this can be declared constant as  
address public constant owner

## Functional Tests

| Function Names    | Testing results |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| constructor       | PASS            |
| decimals          | PASS            |
| symbol            | PASS            |
| name              | PASS            |
| totalSupply       | PASS            |
| balanceOf         | PASS            |
| transfer          | PASS            |
| allowance         | PASS            |
| approve           | PASS            |
| transferFrom      | PASS            |
| increaseAllowance | PASS            |
| decreaseAllowance | PASS            |
| transferOwnership | PASS            |



# Automated Testing

## Slither

```

INFO:Detectors:
ERC20.constructor(string,string).name (contracts/Greeter.sol#316) shadows:
  - ERC20.name() (contracts/Greeter.sol#325-327) (function)
ERC20.constructor(string,string).symbol (contracts/Greeter.sol#316) shadows:
  - ERC20.symbol() (contracts/Greeter.sol#333-335) (function)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#local-variable-shadowing
INFO:Detectors:
Address.isContract(address) (contracts/Greeter.sol#593-602) uses assembly
  - INLINE ASM (contracts/Greeter.sol#600)
Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256,string) (contracts/Greeter.sol#686-707) uses assembly
  - INLINE ASM (contracts/Greeter.sol#699-702)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#assembly-usage
INFO:Detectors:
Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256,string) (contracts/Greeter.sol#686-707) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionCall(address,bytes) (contracts/Greeter.sol#646-648) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionCall(address,bytes,string) (contracts/Greeter.sol#656-658) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256) (contracts/Greeter.sol#671-673) is never used and should be removed
Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256,string) (contracts/Greeter.sol#681-684) is never used and should be removed
Address.isContract(address) (contracts/Greeter.sol#593-602) is never used and should be removed
Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (contracts/Greeter.sol#620-626) is never used and should be removed
Context.msgData() (contracts/Greeter.sol#183-186) is never used and should be removed
ERC20.burn(address,uint256) (contracts/Greeter.sol#508-516) is never used and should be removed
ERC20.setupDecimals(uint8) (contracts/Greeter.sol#546-548) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.div(uint256,uint256) (contracts/Greeter.sol#108-110) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.div(uint256,uint256,string) (contracts/Greeter.sol#124-130) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mod(uint256,uint256) (contracts/Greeter.sol#144-146) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mod(uint256,uint256,string) (contracts/Greeter.sol#160-163) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.mul(uint256,uint256) (contracts/Greeter.sol#82-94) is never used and should be removed
SafeMath.sub(uint256,uint256) (contracts/Greeter.sol#51-53) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code
INFO:Detectors:
Low level call in Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (contracts/Greeter.sol#620-626):
  - (success) = recipient.call{value: amount}() (contracts/Greeter.sol#624)
Low level call in Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256,string) (contracts/Greeter.sol#686-707):
  - (success,returndata) = target.call{value: weiValue}(data) (contracts/Greeter.sol#690)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls
INFO:Detectors:
Variable ERC20._balances (contracts/Greeter.sol#297) is not in mixedCase
Variable ERC20._allowances (contracts/Greeter.sol#299) is not in mixedCase
Variable ERC20._totalSupply (contracts/Greeter.sol#301) is not in mixedCase
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions
INFO:Detectors:
Redundant expression "this (contracts/Greeter.sol#184)" inContext (contracts/Greeter.sol#178-187)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#redundant-statements
INFO:Detectors:
DEXLToken.constructor() (contracts/Greeter.sol#714-716) uses literals with too many digits:
  - _mint(owner,100000000 * (10 ** uint256(decimals()))) (contracts/Greeter.sol#715)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#too-many-digits
INFO:Detectors:
DEXLToken.owner (contracts/Greeter.sol#712) should be constant
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#state-variables-that-could-be-declared-constant
INFO:Detectors:
name() should be declared external:
  - ERC20.name() (contracts/Greeter.sol#325-327)
symbol() should be declared external:
  - ERC20.symbol() (contracts/Greeter.sol#333-335)
totalSupply() should be declared external:
  - ERC20.totalSupply() (contracts/Greeter.sol#357-359)
balanceOf(address) should be declared external:
  - ERC20.balanceOf(address) (contracts/Greeter.sol#364-366)
transfer(address,uint256) should be declared external:
  - ERC20.transfer(address,uint256) (contracts/Greeter.sol#376-379)
allowance(address,address) should be declared external:
  - ERC20.allowance(address,address) (contracts/Greeter.sol#384-386)
approve(address,uint256) should be declared external:
  - ERC20.approve(address,uint256) (contracts/Greeter.sol#395-398)
transferFrom(address,address,uint256) should be declared external:
  - ERC20.transferFrom(address,address,uint256) (contracts/Greeter.sol#412-416)
increaseAllowance(address,uint256) should be declared external:
  - ERC20.increaseAllowance(address,uint256) (contracts/Greeter.sol#430-433)
decreaseAllowance(address,uint256) should be declared external:
  - ERC20.decreaseAllowance(address,uint256) (contracts/Greeter.sol#449-452)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#public-function-that-could-be-declared-external
INFO:Slither: analyzed (6 contracts with 75 detectors), 38 result(s) found
INFO:Slither: Use https://crytic.io/ to get access to additional detectors and Github integration

```

## SOLIDITY STATIC ANALYSIS

### Security

Check-effects-interaction: Potential violation of Checks-Effects-Interaction pattern in `Address._functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256,string)`: Could potentially lead to re-entrancy vulnerability.[more](#)Pos: 686:4:

Inline assembly: The Contract uses inline assembly, this is only advised in rare cases.

Additionally static analysis modules do not parse inline Assembly, this can lead to wrong analysis results.[more](#)Pos: 600:8:

Inline assembly: The Contract uses inline assembly, this is only advised in rare cases.

Additionally static analysis modules do not parse inline Assembly, this can lead to wrong analysis results.[more](#)Pos: 699:16:

Low level calls: Use of "call": should be avoided whenever possible.  
It can lead to unexpected behavior if return value is not handled properly.

Please use Direct Calls via specifying the called contract's interface.[more](#)Pos: 624:27:

Low level calls: Use of "call": should be avoided whenever possible.  
It can lead to unexpected behavior if return value is not handled properly.

Please use Direct Calls via specifying the called contract's interface.[more](#)Pos: 690:50:

### Gas & Economy

Gas costs: Gas requirement of function `DEXLToken.name` is infinite:  
If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed.  
Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage  
(this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)Pos: 325:4:

Gas costs: Gas requirement of function `ERC20.name` is infinite:  
If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed.  
Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage  
(this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)Pos: 325:4:

Gas costs: Gas requirement of function `DEXLToken.symbol` is infinite:

If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed.  
Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage

(this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)Pos: 333:4:

Gas costs:Gas requirement of function ERC20.symbol is infinite:

If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed.  
Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage

(this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)Pos: 333:4:

Gas costs:Gas requirement of function DEXLToken.transfer is infinite:

If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed.  
Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage

(this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)Pos: 376:4:

Gas costs:Gas requirement of function ERC20.transfer is infinite:

If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed.  
Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage

(this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)Pos: 376:4:

Gas costs:Gas requirement of function DEXLToken.approve is infinite:

If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed.  
Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage

(this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)Pos: 395:4:

Gas costs:Gas requirement of function ERC20.approve is infinite:

If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed.  
Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage

(this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)Pos: 395:4:

Gas costs:Gas requirement of function DEXLToken.transferFrom is infinite:

If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed.  
Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage

(this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)Pos: 412:4:

Gas costs:Gas requirement of function ERC20.transferFrom is infinite:

If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed.  
Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage

(this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)Pos: 412:4:

Gas costs:Gas requirement of function DEXLToken.increaseAllowance is infinite:

If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed.  
Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage

(this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)Pos: 430:4:

Gas costs:Gas requirement of function ERC20.increaseAllowance is infinite:

If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed.  
Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage

(this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)Pos: 430:4:

Gas costs:Gas requirement of function DEXLToken.decreaseAllowance is infinite:

If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed.  
Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage

(this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)Pos: 449:4:

Gas costs:Gas requirement of function ERC20.decreaseAllowance is infinite:

If the gas requirement of a function is higher than the block gas limit, it cannot be executed.  
Please avoid loops in your functions or actions that modify large areas of storage

(this includes clearing or copying arrays in storage)Pos: 449:4:

#### Miscellaneous

Constant/View/Pure functions:SafeMath.sub(uint256,uint256) : Is constant but potentially should not be.[more](#)Pos: 51:4:

Constant/View/Pure functions:SafeMath.div(uint256,uint256) : Is constant but potentially should not be.[more](#)Pos: 108:4:

Constant/View/Pure functions:SafeMath.mod(uint256,uint256) : Is constant but potentially should not be.[more](#)Pos: 144:4:

Constant/View/Pure functions:ERC20.\_beforeTokenTransfer(address,address,uint256) : Potentially should be constant/view/pure but is not.[more](#)Pos: 564:4:

Constant/View/Pure functions:Address.isContract(address) : Is constant but potentially should not be.[more](#)Pos: 593:4:

Similar variable names:ERC20.\_mint(address,uint256) : Variables have very similar names "account" and "amount".Pos: 489:16:

Similar variable names:ERC20.\_mint(address,uint256) : Variables have very similar names "account" and "amount".Pos: 490:41:

Similar variable names:ERC20.\_mint(address,uint256) : Variables have very similar names "account" and "amount".Pos: 490:50:

Similar variable names:ERC20.\_mint(address,uint256) : Variables have very similar names "account" and "amount".Pos: 492:40:

Similar variable names:ERC20.\_mint(address,uint256) : Variables have very similar names "account" and "amount".Pos: 493:18:

Similar variable names:ERC20.\_mint(address,uint256) : Variables have very similar names "account" and "amount".Pos: 493:39:

Similar variable names:ERC20.\_mint(address,uint256) : Variables have very similar names "account" and "amount".Pos: 493:52:

Similar variable names:ERC20.\_mint(address,uint256) : Variables have very similar names "account" and "amount".Pos: 494:34:

Similar variable names:ERC20.\_mint(address,uint256) : Variables have very similar names "account" and "amount".Pos: 494:43:

Similar variable names:ERC20.\_burn(address,uint256) : Variables have very similar names "account" and "amount".Pos: 509:16:

Similar variable names:ERC20.\_burn(address,uint256) : Variables have very similar names "account" and "amount".Pos: 511:29:

Similar variable names:ERC20.\_burn(address,uint256) : Variables have very similar names "account" and "amount".Pos: 511:50:

Similar variable names:ERC20.\_burn(address,uint256) : Variables have very similar names "account" and "amount".Pos: 513:18:

Similar variable names:ERC20.\_burn(address,uint256) : Variables have very similar names "account" and "amount".Pos: 513:39:

Similar variable names:ERC20.\_burn(address,uint256) : Variables have very similar names "account" and "amount".Pos: 513:52:

Similar variable names:ERC20.\_burn(address,uint256) : Variables have very similar names "account" and "amount".Pos: 514:40:

Similar variable names:ERC20.\_burn(address,uint256) : Variables have very similar names "account" and "amount".Pos: 515:22:

Similar variable names:ERC20.\_burn(address,uint256) : Variables have very similar names "account" and "amount".Pos: 515:43:

Similar variable names:ERC20.\_setupDecimals(uint8) : Variables have very similar names "\_decimals" and "decimals\_".Pos: 547:8:

Similar variable names:ERC20.\_setupDecimals(uint8) : Variables have very similar names "\_decimals" and "decimals\_".Pos: 547:20:

Guard conditions:Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.[more](#)Pos: 36:8:

Guard conditions:Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.[more](#)Pos: 66:8:

Guard conditions:Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.[more](#)Pos: 91:8:

Guard conditions:Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.[more](#)Pos: 125:8:

Guard conditions:Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.[more](#)Pos: 161:8:

Guard conditions:Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.[more](#)Pos: 469:8:

Guard conditions:Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.[more](#)Pos: 470:8:

Guard conditions:Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.[more](#)Pos: 489:8:

Guard conditions:Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.[more](#)Pos: 509:8:

Guard conditions:Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.[more](#)Pos: 532:8:

Guard conditions:Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.[more](#)Pos: 533:8:

Guard conditions:Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.[more](#)Pos: 621:8:

Guard conditions:Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.[more](#)Pos: 625:8:

Guard conditions:Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.[more](#)Pos: 682:8:

Guard conditions: Use "assert(x)" if you never ever want x to be false, not in any circumstance (apart from a bug in your code). Use "require(x)" if x can be false, due to e.g. invalid input or a failing external component.[more](#)Pos: 687:8:

Data truncated: Division of integer values yields an integer value again. That means e.g.  $10 / 100 = 0$  instead of 0.1 since the result is an integer again. This does not hold for division of (only) literal values since those yield rational constants.Pos: 91:16:

Data truncated: Division of integer values yields an integer value again. That means e.g.  $10 / 100 = 0$  instead of 0.1 since the result is an integer again. This does not hold for division of (only) literal values since those yield rational constants.Pos: 126:20:

## Result

No major issue was found. Some false positive errors were reported by the tool. All the other issues have been categorized above, according to their level of severity.



## Closing Summary

Overall, smart contracts are very well written. No instances of Integer Overflow and Underflow vulnerabilities or Back-Door Entry were found in the contract.



## Disclaimer

Quillhash audit is not a security warranty, investment advice, or an endorsement of the DEXLToken platform. This audit does not provide a security or correctness guarantee of the audited smart contracts. The statements made in this document should not be interpreted as investment or legal advice, nor should its authors be held accountable for decisions made based on them. Securing smart contracts is a multistep process. One audit cannot be considered enough. We recommend that the DEXLToken Team put in place a bug bounty program to encourage further analysis of the smart contract by other third parties.



# Audit Report September, 2021

For



QuillAudits

📍 Canada, India, Singapore, United Kingdom

🌐 [audits.quillhash.com](https://audits.quillhash.com)

✉️ [audits@quillhash.com](mailto:audits@quillhash.com)