

Audit Report September, 2021

For



BitBook

Contents

Scope of Audit	01
Checked Vulnerabilities	01
Techniques and Methods	02
Issue Categories	03
Number of security issues per severity	03
Introduction	04
Issues Found – Code Review / Manual Testing	05
A. Contract – BitBookStaking.sol	05
High Severity Issues	05
1. massUpdatePools() might be reverted	05
Medium Severity Issues	06
2. Insufficient check in the canHarvest() function	06
3. Centralization Risks	07
4. Lack of event emissions	07
5. Loops in the Contract are extremely costly	08
Low Severity Issues	09
6. Comparison to boolean constants	09
7. Missing zero address validation	09
8. Lack of Input Validation	10
Informational Issues	11
9. State Variable Default Visibility	11
10. Not using delete to zero values	11
11. Use double quotes for string literals	12

Contents

12. Public function that could be declared external	12
13. Inconsistent coding style	12
14. block.timestamp may not be reliable	13
15. Missing docstrings	13
Functional Tests	14
Automated Tests	15
Closing Summary	20



Scope of the Audit

The scope of this audit was to analyze and document the BitBook Staking smart contract codebase for quality, security, and correctness.

Checked Vulnerabilities

We have scanned the smart contract for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities. Here are some of the commonly known vulnerabilities that we considered:

- Re-entrancy
- Timestamp Dependence
- Gas Limit and Loops
- DoS with Block Gas Limit
- Transaction-Ordering Dependence
- Use of tx.origin
- Exception disorder
- Gasless send
- Balance equality
- Byte array
- Transfer forwards all gas
- ERC20 API violation
- Malicious libraries
- Compiler version not fixed
- Redundant fallback function
- Send instead of transfer
- Style guide violation
- Unchecked external call
- Unchecked math
- Unsafe type inference
- Implicit visibility level

Techniques and Methods

Throughout the audit of smart contract, care was taken to ensure:

- The overall quality of code.
- Use of best practices.
- Code documentation and comments match logic and expected behaviour.
- Token distribution and calculations are as per the intended behaviour mentioned in the whitepaper.
- Implementation of ERC-20 token standards.
- Efficient use of gas.
- Code is safe from re-entrancy and other vulnerabilities.

The following techniques, methods and tools were used to review all the smart contracts.

Structural Analysis

In this step, we have analysed the design patterns and structure of smart contracts. A thorough check was done to ensure the smart contract is structured in a way that will not result in future problems.

Static Analysis

Static analysis of smart contracts was done to identify contract vulnerabilities. In this step, a series of automated tools are used to test the security of smart contracts.

Code Review / Manual Analysis

Manual analysis or review of code was done to identify new vulnerabilities or verify the vulnerabilities found during the static analysis. Contracts were completely manually analysed, their logic was checked and compared with the one described in the whitepaper. Besides, the results of the automated analysis were manually verified.

Gas Consumption

In this step, we have checked the behaviour of smart contracts in production. Checks were done to know how much gas gets consumed and the possibilities of optimization of code to reduce gas consumption.

Tools and Platforms used for Audit

Mythril, Slither, SmartCheck, Surya, Solhint.

Issue Categories

Every issue in this report has been assigned to a severity level. There are four levels of severity, and each of them has been explained below.

Risk-level	Description
High	A high severity issue or vulnerability means that your smart contract can be exploited. Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance or functionality, and we recommend these issues be fixed before moving to a live environment.
Medium	The issues marked as medium severity usually arise because of errors and deficiencies in the smart contract code. Issues on this level could potentially bring problems, and they should still be fixed.
Low	Low-level severity issues can cause minor impact and or are just warnings that can remain unfixed for now. It would be better to fix these issues at some point in the future.
Informational	These are four severity issues that indicate an improvement request, a general question, a cosmetic or documentation error, or a request for information. There is low-to-no impact.

Number of issues per severity

Type	High	Medium	Low	Informational
Open	0	0	0	0
Acknowledged	0	1	0	3
Closed	1	3	3	4

Introduction

During the period of **September 16, 2021, to September 22, 2021** - QuillAudits Team performed a security audit for **BitBookStaking** smart contracts.

The code for the audit was taken from following the official link:
<https://github.com/AbdulRafaySiddiqui/bitbook-staking-contracts>

Code is deployed on the below address:
[https://bscscan.com/
address/Ox8a5b84c34faa86af794f93c961dfc3ae4b75d633#code](https://bscscan.com/address/Ox8a5b84c34faa86af794f93c961dfc3ae4b75d633#code)

Version Number	Date	Commit ID	Files
1	September 16	f2389be21b817ed16d668 354050630f6d75d88cb	BitBookStaking.sol
2	September 23	dc09ae52cde37942257 b05245ec8d705f1b116a2	BitBookStaking.sol

Issues Found

A. Contract – BitBookStaking.sol

High severity issues

1. massUpdatePools() might be reverted

Description

Loops that do not have a fixed number of iterations, for example, loops that depend on storage values, have to be used carefully: Due to the block gas limit, transactions can only consume a certain amount of gas. The number of iterations in a loop can grow beyond the block gas limit which can stall the complete contract at a certain point. Additionally, using unbounded loops can incur a lot of avoidable gas costs.

Therefore, the `poolInfo.length` in `massUpdatePools()` function might get to the maximum value which is set to 1000 in the future. During the course of the audit, the transaction costs around 30869 gas per transaction which means that if the `poolInfo.length` reaches 1000 (tested in Ropsten), hence, it would take approximately 30,869,000 gas in total.

However, the gas that can be sent to the `massUpdatePools()` function must be less than the block gas limit which is 30 Million gas, which could start failing the transaction because of insufficient gas, once it starts consuming more gas units than the block gas limit.

Remediation

Carefully test how many items at maximum you can pass to the function to make it successful, which is the `maxLength` variable in the below code.

One of solution we can provide is that to avoid the "out of gas" issue, we can pass in the number of iterations a loop can execute:


```
function massUpdatePools(uint256 from, uint256 to) public {
    uint256 length = poolInfo.length;
    require(from < length, "from should be less than the length");
    require(to <= length, "to should be less than the length");
    require(to.sub(from) < maxLength, "the interval has reached to the maximum length")
    for (uint256 pid = from; pid < to; ++pid) {
        updatePool(pid);
    }
}
```

The preceding code would help the caller of the contract create multiple batches to update the pool. This avoids the transaction failure issues related to insufficient gas.

Status: Fixed. The Auditee removed massUpdatePools() function in version 02.

Medium severity issues

2. Insufficient check in the canHarvest() function

```
function canHarvest(uint256 _pid, address _user) public view returns (bool) {
    UserInfo storage user = userInfo[_pid][_user];
    return block.timestamp >= user.nextHarvestUntil;
}
```

Description

The canHarvest() always returns true even if the _pid and _user do not exist, which means that the function does not validate the _pid and _user variables. Therefore, the block.timestamp is always greater than user.nextHarvestUntil (whereby the nextHarvestUntil = 0, if the _user is invalid)

Remediation

We recommend validating and checking the existence of _pid and _user.

Status: Fixed. The Auditee added a check for user.amount in version 02.

3. Centralization Risks

Description

The role owner has the authority to update the critical settings:

- set()
- setLockDeposit()

Remediation

We advise the client to handle the governance account carefully to avoid any potential hack. We also advise the client to consider the following solutions:

- with reasonable latency for community awareness on privileged operations;
- Multisig with community-voted 3rd-party independent co-signers;
- DAO or Governance module increasing transparency and community involvement;

Status: Acknowledged

4. Lack of event emissions

Description

The missing event makes it difficult to track off-chain liquidity fee changes. An event should be emitted for significant transactions calling the following functions:

- set()
- depositRewardToken()
- emergencyAdminWithdraw()
- updatePaused()
- setLockDeposit()

Remediation

We recommend emitting an event to log the update of the following variables:

- `_tokenPerBlock`, `_depositFeeBP`, `_minDeposit`, `_harvestInterval` in `set()` function
- `msg.sender`, `poolId`, `amount` in `depositRewardToken()` function.
- `_pid`, `balanceOf(address(this))`, `pool.accTokenPerShare`, `pool.tokenPerBlock`, `pool.lastRewardBlock` in `emergencyAdminWithdraw()` function.
- `paused` in `updatePaused()` function.
- `pid`, `poolInfo[pid].lockDeposit` in `setLockDeposit()` function.

Status: **Fixed.** The event emissions for the aforementioned functions were added in version 02.

5. Loops in the Contract are extremely costly

Description

The for loops in the entire codebase includes state variables `.length` of a non-memory array, in the condition of the for loops. As a result, these state variables consume a lot more extra gas for every iteration of the for a loop.

Remediation

We recommend using a local variable instead of a state variable `.length` in a loop for the entire codebase. For example:

```
_withdrawFeeLength = _withdrawFee.length
for (uint i=0; i < _withdrawFeeLength; i++) {
    .....
}
```

Status: **Fixed** in version 02.

Low level severity issues

6. Comparison to boolean constants

Description

We have found that boolean variables in the contract are compared to true or false, for example:

- `require(paused == false, 'BITBOOK_STAKING: Paused!');`

While those boolean variables can be used directly and do not need to be compared to true or false.

Remediation

We recommend removing the equality to the boolean constant in the entire codebase.

Status: Fixed in version 02.

7. Missing zero address validation

Description

We've detected missing zero address validation for the following parameters:

- `_owner` and `token` in `constructor()`
- `_stakedToken` and `_rewardToken` in `add()` function

Remediation

Consider implementing `require` statements where appropriate to validate all user-controlled input, including constructor, to avoid the potential for erroneous values to result in unexpected behaviors or wasted gas.

Status: Fixed in version 02.

8. Lack of Input Validation

Description

The balance of the `msg.sender` is not checked before withdrawal in the `emergencyWithdraw()` function. This issue also occurs in the `emergencyAdminWithdraw()` function, the balance of the `address(this)` is not checked against the empty balance

Remediation

We recommend adding an empty balance check for the `emergencyAdminWithdraw()` and `emergencyAdminWithdraw()` function, which helps to avoid the potential for erroneous values to result in unexpected behaviors or wasted gas.

Status: Fixed in version 02.

Informational Issues

9. State Variable Default Visibility

L63: `mapping(address => mapping(address => bool)) poolExists;`

Description

The Visibility of the aforementioned variable is not defined. Labeling the visibility explicitly makes it easier to catch incorrect assumptions about who can access the variable.

The default is internal for state variables, but it should be made explicit.

Status: Fixed in version 02.

10. Not using delete to zero values

In the `emergencyWithdraw()` function within the contract, the following variables are manually replaced with Zero:

- `user.amount = 0;`
- `user.rewardDebt = 0;`
- `user.rewardLockedUp = 0;`
- `user.nextHarvestUntil = 0;`

And other places in `emergencyAdminWithdraw()` , such as:

- `pool.accTokenPerShare = 0;`
- `pool.tokenPerBlock = 0;`

To simplify the code and clarify intent, consider using `delete` instead.

Status: Fixed in version 02.

11. Use double quotes for string literals

Description

Single quote found in the above string variables. Whilst, the double quotes are being utilized for other string literals.

Remediation

We recommend using double quotes for string literals in the entire codebase

Status: Fixed in version 02.

12. Public function that could be declared external

Description

Using the external attribute for functions never called from the contract. Therefore, the following public functions that are never called by the contract should be declared external to save gas:

- initialize()
- set()
- massUpdatePools()
- deposit()
- withdraw()
- emergencyWithdraw()
- emergencyAdminWithdraw()
- updatePaused()
- setLockDeposit()

Status: Fixed in version 02.

13. Inconsistent coding style

Deviations from the Solidity Style Guide were identified throughout the entire codebase. Taking into consideration how much value a consistent coding style adds to the project's readability, enforcing a standard coding style with the help of linter tools such as Solhint is recommended.

Status: Acknowledged

14. **block.timestamp may not be reliable**

The Time contract uses the `block.timestamp` as part of the calculations and time checks.

Nevertheless, timestamps can be slightly altered by miners to favor them in contracts that have logics that depend strongly on them. Consider taking into account this issue and warning the users that such a scenario could happen.

Status: Acknowledged

15. **Missing docstrings**

It is extremely difficult to locate any contracts or functions, as they lack documentation. One consequence of this is that reviewers' understanding of the code's intention is impeded, which is significant because it is necessary to accurately determine both security and correctness.

They are additionally more readable and easier to maintain when wrapped in docstrings. The functions should be documented so that users can understand the purpose or intention of each function, as well as the situations in which it may fail, who is allowed to call it, what values it returns, and what events it emits.

Status: Acknowledged

Functional test

Function Names	Testing results
add()	Passed
deposit()	Passed
depositRewardToken()	Passed
emergencyAdminWithdrawn()	Passed
emergencyWithdraw()	Passed
initialize()	Passed
massUpdatepools()	Passed
renounceOwnership()	Passed
set()	Passed
setLockDeposit()	Passed
transferOwnership()	Passed
updatePaused()	Passed
updatePool()	Passed
withdrawn()	Passed
canHarvest()	Passed

Automated Tests

Slither

```
INFO:Detectors:
Reserve.safeTransfer(1BEF28,address,uint256) (BitBookStaking.sol#14-25) ignores return value by rewardToken.transfer(_to,tokensBal) (BitBookStaking.sol#21)
Reserve.safeTransfer(1BEF28,address,uint256) (BitBookStaking.sol#14-25) ignores return value by rewardToken.transfer(_to,_amount) (BitBookStaking.sol#23)
BitBookStaking.emergencyAdminWithdraw(uint256) (BitBookStaking.sol#320-327) ignores return value by pool.rewardToken.transfer(owner(),pool.rewardToken.balanceOf(address(this))) (BitBookStaking.sol#322)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#unchecked-transfer
INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in BitBookStaking.deposit(uint256,uint256) (BitBookStaking.sol#227-253):
  External calls:
    - payOrLockupPendingToken(_pid) (BitBookStaking.sol#233)
      - rewardReserve.safeTransfer(pool.rewardToken,msg.sender,totalRewards) (BitBookStaking.sol#291)
  State variables written after the call(s):
    - user.depositTimestamp = block.timestamp (BitBookStaking.sol#236)
Reentrancy in BitBookStaking.deposit(uint256,uint256) (BitBookStaking.sol#227-253):
  External calls:
    - payOrLockupPendingToken(_pid) (BitBookStaking.sol#233)
      - rewardReserve.safeTransfer(pool.rewardToken,msg.sender,totalRewards) (BitBookStaking.sol#291)
    - pool.stakedToken.safeTransferFrom(address(msg.sender),address(this),_amount) (BitBookStaking.sol#238)
    - pool.stakedToken.safeTransfer(owner(),depositFee) (BitBookStaking.sol#243)
  State variables written after the call(s):
    - pool.stakedAmount = pool.stakedAmount.add(delta).sub(depositFee) (BitBookStaking.sol#245)
    - user.amount = user.amount.add(delta).sub(depositFee) (BitBookStaking.sol#244)
    - user.rewardDebt = user.amount.mul(pool.accTokenPerShare).div(1e12) (BitBookStaking.sol#251)
Reentrancy in BitBookStaking.deposit(uint256,uint256) (BitBookStaking.sol#227-253):
  External calls:
    - payOrLockupPendingToken(_pid) (BitBookStaking.sol#233)
      - rewardReserve.safeTransfer(pool.rewardToken,msg.sender,totalRewards) (BitBookStaking.sol#291)
    - pool.stakedToken.safeTransferFrom(address(msg.sender),address(this),_amount) (BitBookStaking.sol#238)
  State variables written after the call(s):
    - pool.stakedAmount = pool.stakedAmount.add(delta) (BitBookStaking.sol#248)
    - user.amount = user.amount.add(delta) (BitBookStaking.sol#247)
Reentrancy in BitBookStaking.depositRewardToken(uint256,uint256) (BitBookStaking.sol#219-225):
  External calls:
    - _poolInfo.rewardToken.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender,address(rewardReserve),amount) (BitBookStaking.sol#222)
  State variables written after the call(s):
    - _poolInfo.rewardSupply += finalBalance.sub(initialBalance) (BitBookStaking.sol#224)
Reentrancy in BitBookStaking.withdraw(uint256,uint256) (BitBookStaking.sol#255-273):
  External calls:
    - payOrLockupPendingToken(_pid) (BitBookStaking.sol#260)
      - rewardReserve.safeTransfer(pool.rewardToken,msg.sender,totalRewards) (BitBookStaking.sol#291)
  State variables written after the call(s):
    - pool.stakedAmount = pool.stakedAmount.sub(_amount) (BitBookStaking.sol#267)
    - user.amount = user.amount.sub(_amount) (BitBookStaking.sol#263)
Reentrancy in BitBookStaking.withdraw(uint256,uint256) (BitBookStaking.sol#255-273):
  External calls:
    - payOrLockupPendingToken(_pid) (BitBookStaking.sol#260)
      - rewardReserve.safeTransfer(pool.rewardToken,msg.sender,totalRewards) (BitBookStaking.sol#291)
    - pool.stakedToken.safeTransfer(owner(),feeAmount) (BitBookStaking.sol#268)
    - pool.stakedToken.safeTransfer(address(msg.sender),amountToTransfer) (BitBookStaking.sol#269)
  State variables written after the call(s):
    - user.rewardDebt = user.amount.mul(pool.accTokenPerShare).div(1e12) (BitBookStaking.sol#271)
```

```

INFO:Detectors:
BitBookStaking.constructor(address,IBEP20,BitBookStaking.WithdrawFeeInterval[])._owner (BitBookStaking.sol#79) shadows:
  - Ownable._owner (@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#19) (state variable)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#local-variable-shadowing
INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in BitBookStaking.deposit(uint256,uint256) (BitBookStaking.sol#227-253):
  External calls:
  - payOrLockupPendingToken(_pid) (BitBookStaking.sol#233)
    - rewardReserve.safeTransfer(pool.rewardToken,msg.sender,totalRewards) (BitBookStaking.sol#291)
  - pool.stakedToken.safeTransferFrom(address(msg.sender),address(this),_amount) (BitBookStaking.sol#238)
  - pool.stakedToken.safeTransfer(owner(),depositFee) (BitBookStaking.sol#243)
  Event emitted after the call(s):
  - Deposit(msg.sender,_pid,_amount) (BitBookStaking.sol#252)
Reentrancy in BitBookStaking.emergencyWithdraw(uint256) (BitBookStaking.sol#308-318):
  External calls:
  - pool.stakedToken.safeTransfer(address(msg.sender),amount) (BitBookStaking.sol#316)
  Event emitted after the call(s):
  - EmergencyWithdraw(msg.sender,_pid,amount) (BitBookStaking.sol#317)
Reentrancy in BitBookStaking.withdraw(uint256,uint256) (BitBookStaking.sol#255-273):
  External calls:
  - payOrLockupPendingToken(_pid) (BitBookStaking.sol#260)
    - rewardReserve.safeTransfer(pool.rewardToken,msg.sender,totalRewards) (BitBookStaking.sol#291)
  - pool.stakedToken.safeTransfer(owner(),feeAmount) (BitBookStaking.sol#268)
  - pool.stakedToken.safeTransfer(address(msg.sender),amountToTransfer) (BitBookStaking.sol#269)
  Event emitted after the call(s):
  - Withdraw(msg.sender,_pid,amountToTransfer) (BitBookStaking.sol#272)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-3
INFO:Detectors:
BitBookStaking.canHarvest(uint256,address) (BitBookStaking.sol#185-188) uses timestamp for comparisons
  Dangerous comparisons:
  - block.timestamp >= user.nextHarvestUntil (BitBookStaking.sol#187)
BitBookStaking.getWithdrawFee(uint256,uint256) (BitBookStaking.sol#299-306) uses timestamp for comparisons
  Dangerous comparisons:
  - depositTime <= _withdrawFee[i].day (BitBookStaking.sol#303)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#block-timestamp
INFO:Detectors:
Address.isContract(address) (@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#26-35) uses assembly
  - INLINE ASM (@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#33)
Address._verifyCallResult(bool,bytes,string) (@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#171-188) uses assembly
  - INLINE ASM (@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#180-183)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#assembly-usage
INFO:Detectors:
BitBookStaking.deposit(uint256,uint256) (BitBookStaking.sol#227-253) compares to a boolean constant:
  -require(bool,string)(paused == false,BITBOOK_STAKING: Paused!) (BitBookStaking.sol#228)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#boolean-equality

```


INFO:Detectors:

Different versions of Solidity is used:

- Version used: ['>=0.6.0<0.8.0', '>=0.6.2<0.8.0', '^0.6.0']
- >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#3)
- >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#3)
- >=0.6.2<0.8.0 (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#3)
- >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Context.sol#3)
- >=0.6.0<0.8.0 (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/ReentrancyGuard.sol#3)
- ^0.6.0 (BitBookStaking.sol#3)
- ^0.6.0 (IBEP20.sol#3)
- ^0.6.0 (IBEP20Mintable.sol#3)
- ^0.6.0 (SafeBEP20.sol#3)

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#different-pragma-directives-are-used>

INFO:Detectors:

Address.functionCall(address,bytes) (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#79-81) is never used and should be removed
 Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256) (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#104-106) is never used and should be removed
 Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes) (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#153-155) is never used and should be removed
 Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string) (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#163-169) is never used and should be removed
 Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes) (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#129-131) is never used and should be removed
 Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes,string) (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#139-145) is never used and should be removed
 Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#53-59) is never used and should be removed
 Context._msgData() (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Context.sol#20-23) is never used and should be removed
 SafeBEP20.safeApprove(IBEP20,address,uint256) (SafeBEP20.sol#46-60) is never used and should be removed
 SafeBEP20.safeDecreaseAllowance(IBEP20,address,uint256) (SafeBEP20.sol#71-81) is never used and should be removed
 SafeBEP20.safeIncreaseAllowance(IBEP20,address,uint256) (SafeBEP20.sol#62-69) is never used and should be removed
 SafeMath.div(uint256,uint256,string) (@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#190-193) is never used and should be removed
 SafeMath.mod(uint256,uint256) (@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#152-155) is never used and should be removed
 SafeMath.mod(uint256,uint256,string) (@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#210-213) is never used and should be removed
 SafeMath.sub(uint256,uint256,string) (@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#170-173) is never used and should be removed
 SafeMath.tryAdd(uint256,uint256) (@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#24-28) is never used and should be removed
 SafeMath.tryDiv(uint256,uint256) (@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#60-63) is never used and should be removed
 SafeMath.tryMod(uint256,uint256) (@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#70-73) is never used and should be removed
 SafeMath.tryMul(uint256,uint256) (@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#45-53) is never used and should be removed
 SafeMath.trySub(uint256,uint256) (@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#35-38) is never used and should be removed

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code>

INFO:Detectors:

Pragma version>=0.6.0<0.8.0 (@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#3) is too complex
 Pragma version>=0.6.0<0.8.0 (@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#3) is too complex
 Pragma version>=0.6.2<0.8.0 (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#3) is too complex
 Pragma version>=0.6.0<0.8.0 (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Context.sol#3) is too complex
 Pragma version>=0.6.0<0.8.0 (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/ReentrancyGuard.sol#3) is too complex
 Pragma version^0.6.0 (BitBookStaking.sol#3) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.6.0 (IBEP20.sol#3) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.6.0 (IBEP20Mintable.sol#3) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.6.0 (SafeBEP20.sol#3) allows old versions

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity>

INFO:Detectors:

Pragma version>=0.6.0<0.8.0 (@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#3) is too complex
 Pragma version>=0.6.0<0.8.0 (@openzeppelin/contracts/math/SafeMath.sol#3) is too complex
 Pragma version>=0.6.2<0.8.0 (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#3) is too complex
 Pragma version>=0.6.0<0.8.0 (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Context.sol#3) is too complex
 Pragma version>=0.6.0<0.8.0 (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/ReentrancyGuard.sol#3) is too complex
 Pragma version^0.6.0 (BitBookStaking.sol#3) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.6.0 (IBEP20.sol#3) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.6.0 (IBEP20Mintable.sol#3) allows old versions
 Pragma version^0.6.0 (SafeBEP20.sol#3) allows old versions

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity>

INFO:Detectors:

Low level call in Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#53-59):
 - (success) = recipient.call{value: amount}() (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#57)
 Low level call in Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256,string) (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#114-121):
 - (success,returndata) = target.call{value: value}(data) (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#119)
 Low level call in Address.functionStaticCall(address,bytes,string) (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#139-145):
 - (success,returndata) = target.staticcall(data) (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#143)
 Low level call in Address.functionDelegateCall(address,bytes,string) (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#163-169):
 - (success,returndata) = target.delegatecall(data) (@openzeppelin/contracts/Utils/Address.sol#167)

Reference: <https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls>

INFO:Detectors:

```
Parameter: Reserve.safeTransfer(IBE20,address,uint256)._to (BitBookStaking.sol#16) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: Reserve.safeTransfer(IBE20,address,uint256)._amount (BitBookStaking.sol#17) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.add(uint256,IBE20,TBEP20,uint16,uint256,uint256,BitBookStaking.WithdrawFeeInterval[])._tokenPerBlock (BitBookStaking.sol#114) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.add(uint256,IBE20,TBEP20,uint16,uint256,uint256,BitBookStaking.WithdrawFeeInterval[])._stakedToken (BitBookStaking.sol#115) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.add(uint256,IBE20,TBEP20,uint16,uint256,uint256,BitBookStaking.WithdrawFeeInterval[])._rewardToken (BitBookStaking.sol#116) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.add(uint256,IBE20,TBEP20,uint16,uint256,uint256,BitBookStaking.WithdrawFeeInterval[])._depositFeeBP (BitBookStaking.sol#117) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.add(uint256,IBE20,TBEP20,uint16,uint256,uint256,BitBookStaking.WithdrawFeeInterval[])._minDeposit (BitBookStaking.sol#118) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.add(uint256,IBE20,TBEP20,uint16,uint256,uint256,BitBookStaking.WithdrawFeeInterval[])._harvestInterval (BitBookStaking.sol#119) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.set(uint256,uint256,uint16,uint256,uint256)._pid (BitBookStaking.sol#150) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.set(uint256,uint256,uint16,uint256,uint256)._tokenPerBlock (BitBookStaking.sol#151) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.set(uint256,uint256,uint16,uint256,uint256)._depositFeeBP (BitBookStaking.sol#152) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.set(uint256,uint256,uint16,uint256,uint256)._minDeposit (BitBookStaking.sol#153) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.set(uint256,uint256,uint16,uint256,uint256)._harvestInterval (BitBookStaking.sol#154) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.getMultiplier(uint256,uint256)._from (BitBookStaking.sol#165) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.getMultiplier(uint256,uint256)._to (BitBookStaking.sol#165) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.pendingToken(uint256,address)._pid (BitBookStaking.sol#169) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.canHarvest(uint256,address)._pid (BitBookStaking.sol#169) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.canHarvest(uint256,address)._user (BitBookStaking.sol#185) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.updatePool(uint256)._pid (BitBookStaking.sol#197) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.deposit(uint256,uint256)._pid (BitBookStaking.sol#227) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.deposit(uint256,uint256)._amount (BitBookStaking.sol#227) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.withdraw(uint256,uint256)._pid (BitBookStaking.sol#255) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.withdraw(uint256,uint256)._amount (BitBookStaking.sol#255) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.payOrLookupPendingToken(uint256)._pid (BitBookStaking.sol#275) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.emergencyWithdraw(uint256)._pid (BitBookStaking.sol#308) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.emergencyAdminWithdraw(uint256)._pid (BitBookStaking.sol#320) is not in mixedCase
Parameter: BitBookStaking.updatePaused(bool)._value (BitBookStaking.sol#329) is not in mixedCase
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions
INFO:Detectors:
Redundant expression "this (@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol#21)" in Context (@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Context.sol#15-24)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#redundant-statements
```

INFO:Detectors:

```
renounceOwnership() should be declared external:
- Ownable.renounceOwnership() (@openzeppelin/contracts/access/Ownable.sol#54-57)
initialize() should be declared external:
- BitBookStaking.initialize() (BitBookStaking.sol#94-103)
set(uint256,uint256,uint16,uint256,uint256) should be declared external:
- BitBookStaking.set(uint256,uint256,uint16,uint256,uint256) (BitBookStaking.sol#149-163)
massUpdatePools() should be declared external:
- BitBookStaking.massUpdatePools() (BitBookStaking.sol#190-195)
deposit(uint256,uint256) should be declared external:
- BitBookStaking.deposit(uint256,uint256) (BitBookStaking.sol#227-253)
withdraw(uint256,uint256) should be declared external:
- BitBookStaking.withdraw(uint256,uint256) (BitBookStaking.sol#255-273)
emergencyWithdraw(uint256) should be declared external:
- BitBookStaking.emergencyWithdraw(uint256) (BitBookStaking.sol#308-318)
emergencyAdminWithdraw(uint256) should be declared external:
- BitBookStaking.emergencyAdminWithdraw(uint256) (BitBookStaking.sol#320-327)
updatePaused(bool) should be declared external:
- BitBookStaking.updatePaused(bool) (BitBookStaking.sol#329-331)
setLockDeposit(uint256,bool) should be declared external:
- BitBookStaking.setLockDeposit(uint256,bool) (BitBookStaking.sol#333-335)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#public-function-that-could-be-declared-external
```

Mythril

```
enderphan@enderphan contracts_slither % myth a BitBookStaking.sol
The analysis was completed successfully. No issues were detected.
```

SOLHINT LINTER

BitBookStaking.sol			
3:1	error	Compiler version ^0.6.0 does not satisfy the ^0.5.8 semver requirement	compiler-version
6:8	error	Use double quotes for string literals	quotes
7:8	error	Use double quotes for string literals	quotes
8:8	error	Use double quotes for string literals	quotes
9:8	error	Use double quotes for string literals	quotes
10:8	error	Use double quotes for string literals	quotes
11:8	error	Use double quotes for string literals	quotes
63:5	warning	Explicitly mark visibility of state	state-visibility
95:9	warning	Error message for require is too long	reason-string
95:31	error	Use double quotes for string literals	quotes
122:9	warning	Error message for require is too long	reason-string
122:42	error	Use double quotes for string literals	quotes
123:9	warning	Error message for require is too long	reason-string
123:76	error	Use double quotes for string literals	quotes
124:9	warning	Error message for require is too long	reason-string
124:41	error	Use double quotes for string literals	quotes
125:9	warning	Error message for require is too long	reason-string
125:63	error	Use double quotes for string literals	quotes
156:9	warning	Error message for require is too long	reason-string
156:41	error	Use double quotes for string literals	quotes
157:9	warning	Error message for require is too long	reason-string
157:63	error	Use double quotes for string literals	quotes
187:16	warning	Avoid to make time-based decisions in your business logic	not-rely-on-time
228:34	error	Use double quotes for string literals	quotes
230:36	error	Use double quotes for string literals	quotes
235:13	warning	Error message for require is too long	reason-string
235:59	error	Use double quotes for string literals	quotes
236:37	warning	Avoid to make time-based decisions in your business logic	not-rely-on-time
258:9	warning	Error message for require is too long	reason-string
258:41	error	Use double quotes for string literals	quotes
280:37	warning	Avoid to make time-based decisions in your business logic	not-rely-on-time
289:41	warning	Avoid to make time-based decisions in your business logic	not-rely-on-time
300:31	warning	Avoid to make time-based decisions in your business logic	not-rely-on-time

Results

No major issues were found. Some false positive errors were reported by the tools. All the other issues have been categorized above according to their level of severity

Closing Summary

In this report, we have considered the security of the BitBookStaking platform. We performed our audit according to the procedure described above.

The audit showed several high, medium, low, and informational severity issues. In the end, the majority of the issues were fixed and acknowledged by the Auditee.



Disclaimer

Quillhash audit is not a security warranty, investment advice, or endorsement of the BitBookStaking platform. This audit does not provide a security or correctness guarantee of the audited smart contracts. The statements made in this document should not be interpreted as investment or legal advice, nor should its authors be held accountable for decisions made based on them. Securing smart contracts is a multistep process. One audit cannot be considered enough. We recommend that the Bit book Team put in place a bug bounty program to encourage further analysis of the smart contract by other third parties.



Audit Report September, 2021

For



QuillAudits

📍 Canada, India, Singapore, United Kingdom

🌐 audits.quillhash.com

✉️ audits@quillhash.com