



OpenSea Seaport 1.2 contest Findings & Analysis Report

2023-03-21

Table of contents

- [Overview](#)
 - [About C4](#)
 - [Wardens](#)
- [Summary](#)
- [Scope](#)
- [Severity Criteria](#)
- [Medium Risk Findings \(1\)](#)
 - [\[M-01\] Incorrect Encoding of Order Hashes](#)
- [Low Risk and Non-Critical Issues](#)
 - [N-01 Replace “ETH” with “Native token”](#)
 - [N-02 Extract or use named constants](#)
 - [N-03 Fragile check for contract order type](#)
 - [N-04 Inconsistent use of hex vs. decimal values](#)
 - [N-05 Custom comment typos](#)
 - [N-06 `AlmostOneWord` is confusing](#)
 - [N-07 Typos in comments](#)

- [N-08 Duplicated constants](#)
- [Gas Optimizations](#)
 - [Overview](#)
 - [Codebase Impressions](#)
 - [Table of Contents](#)
 - [G-01 Using XOR \(^ \) and OR \(.l \) bitwise equivalents](#)
 - [G-02 Shift left by 5 instead of multiplying by 32](#)
 - [G-03 Using a positive conditional flow to save a NOT opcode](#)
 - [G-04 Swap conditions for a better happy path](#)
 - [G-05 Optimized operations](#)
 - [G-06 Pre-decrements cost less than post-decrements](#)
- [Disclosures](#)



Overview



About C4

Code4rena (C4) is an open organization consisting of security researchers, auditors, developers, and individuals with domain expertise in smart contracts.

A C4 audit contest is an event in which community participants, referred to as Wardens, review, audit, or analyze smart contract logic in exchange for a bounty provided by sponsoring projects.

During the audit contest outlined in this document, C4 conducted an analysis of the OpenSea Seaport 1.2 smart contract system written in Solidity. The audit contest took place between January 13—January 23 2023.



Wardens

23 Wardens contributed reports to the OpenSea Seaport 1.2 contest:

1. [Oxsomeone](#)
2. [OxSmartContract](#)

3. ABA
4. [Chom](#)
5. [Dravee](#)
6. lllllll
7. Josiah
8. RaymondFam
9. [Rickard](#)
10. Rolezn
11. atharvasama
12. brgltd
13. btk
14. [c3phas](#)
15. chaduke
16. charlesjhongc
17. [csanuragjain](#)
18. delfin454000
19. horsefacts
20. karancf
21. [nadin](#)
22. [oyc_109](#)
23. [saneryee](#)

This contest was judged by [hickuphh3](#).

Final report assembled by [liveactionllama](#).



Summary

The C4 analysis yielded an aggregated total of 1 unique vulnerabilities. Of these vulnerabilities, 0 received a risk rating in the category of HIGH severity and 1 received a risk rating in the category of MEDIUM severity.

Additionally, C4 analysis included 17 reports detailing issues with a risk rating of LOW severity or non-critical. There were also 9 reports recommending gas optimizations.

All of the issues presented here are linked back to their original finding.



Scope

The code under review can be found within the [C4 OpenSea Seaport 1.2 contest repository](#), and is composed of 54 smart contracts written in the Solidity programming language and includes 10,087 lines of Solidity code.



Severity Criteria

C4 assesses the severity of disclosed vulnerabilities based on three primary risk categories: high, medium, and low/non-critical.

High-level considerations for vulnerabilities span the following key areas when conducting assessments:

- Malicious Input Handling
- Escalation of privileges
- Arithmetic
- Gas use

For more information regarding the severity criteria referenced throughout the submission review process, please refer to the documentation provided on [the C4 website](#), specifically our section on [Severity Categorization](#).



Medium Risk Findings (1)



[M-01] Incorrect Encoding of Order Hashes

Submitted by [Oxsomeone](#)

[contracts/lib/ConsiderationEncoder.sol#L569-L574](#)

The order hashes are incorrectly encoded during the `_encodeOrderHashes` mechanism, causing functions such as `_encodeRatifyOrder` and `_encodeValidateOrder` to misbehave.



Proof of Concept

The order hashes encoding mechanism appears to be incorrect as the instructions `srcLength.next().offset(headAndTailSize)` will cause the pointer to move to the end of the array (i.e. `next()` skips the array's `length` bitwise entry and `offset(headAndTailSize)` causes the pointer to point right after the last element). In turn, this will cause the `0x04` precompile within `MemoryPointerLib::copy` to handle the data incorrectly and attempt to copy data from the `srcLength.next().offset(headAndTailSize)` pointer onwards which will be unallocated space and thus lead to incorrect bytes being copied.



Tools Used

Manual inspection of the codebase, documentation of the ETH precompiles, and the Solidity compiler documentation.



Recommended Mitigation Steps

We advise the `offset` instruction to be omitted as the current implementation will copy from unsafe memory space, causing data corruption in the worst-case scenario and incorrect order hashes being specified in the encoded payload. As an additional point, the `_encodeOrderHashes` will fail execution if the array of order hashes is empty as a `headAndTailSize` of `0` will cause the `MemoryPointerLib::copy` function to fail as the precompile would yield a `returndatasize()` of `0`.

[Oage \(OpenSea\) confirmed, but disagreed with severity and commented:](#)

This is a confirmed issue (though categorizing it as high-risk seems unfair. At worst, it just means that zones and contract offerers wouldn't be able to rely on the orderHashes array) and has been fixed here:

<https://github.com/ProjectOpenSea/seaport/pull/918>

[hickuphh3 \(judge\) decreased severity to Medium and commented:](#)

Agree that high severity is overstated. Given that it would affect upstream functions (`_encodeRatifyOrder` and `_encodeValidateOrder` is called by a few other functions like `_assertRestrictedAdvancedOrderValidity()`), medium severity would be more appropriate.

2 — Med: Assets not at direct risk, but the function of the protocol or its availability could be impacted, or leak value with a hypothetical attack path with stated assumptions, but external requirements.



Low Risk and Non-Critical Issues

For this contest, 17 reports were submitted by wardens detailing low risk and non-critical issues. The [report highlighted below](#) by horsefacts received the top score from the judge.

The following wardens also submitted reports: [delfin454000](#), [Josiah](#), [Chom](#), [charlesjhongc](#), [nadin](#), [lllllll](#), [OxSmartContract](#), [csanuragjain](#), [brgltd](#), [chaduke](#), [RaymondFam](#), [Rolezn](#), [Rickard](#), [ABA](#), [btk](#), and [oyc_109](#).



[N-01] Replace “ETH” with “Native token”

Seaport 1.2. has mostly replaced references to “ETH” in comments and function names with “native token,” but there are a few exceptions. Consider replacing the following usages of “ETH” with “native token” or similar.

[Seaport.sol](#) :

```
* @notice Seaport is a generalized ETH/ERC20/ERC721/ERC1155 marketplace
*         lightweight methods for common routes as well as more
*         methods for composing advanced orders or groups of orders
*         contains an arbitrary number of items that may be specified
*         along with an arbitrary number of items that must be
*         the indicated recipients (the "consideration").
*/
```

[SeaportInterface.sol](#) :

```

* @notice Seaport is a generalized ETH/ERC20/ERC721/ERC1155 marketplace
* that minimizes external calls to the greatest extent possible by using
* lightweight methods for common routes as well as more complex
* methods for composing advanced orders.
*

```

Consideration.sol:

```

* @notice Consideration is a generalized ETH/ERC20/ERC721/ERC1155 marketplace
* that provides lightweight methods for common routes as well as more complex
* flexible methods for composing advanced orders or grouped orders.
* Each order contains an arbitrary number of items that are offered (the "offer")
* along with an arbitrary number of items to be received back by the indicated recipients (the "consideration").
*
*/

```

ConsiderationInterface.sol:

```

* @notice Consideration is a generalized ETH/ERC20/ERC721/ERC1155 marketplace
* that minimizes external calls to the greatest extent possible by using
* lightweight methods for common routes as well as more complex
* flexible methods for composing advanced orders.
*

```

ConsiderationEventsAndErrors.sol:

```

/**
 * @dev Revert with an error when attempting to fulfill an offer for ETH outside of matching orders.
 */
error InvalidNativeOfferItem();
}

```

BasicOrderFulfiller#_validateAndFulfillBasicOrder:

```

// If route > 1 additionalRecipient items are ERC20

```

```
additionalRecipientsItemType := gt(route, 1)
```

BasicOrderFulfiller#_validateAndFulfillBasicOrder:

```
// If route > 2, receivedItemType is route - 2.  
// the receivedItemType is ERC20 (1). Otherwise,  
receivedItemType := byte(route, BasicOrder_recei
```

Executor#_transferNativeTokens:

```
assembly {  
    // Transfer the ETH and store if it succeeded or not  
    success := call(gas(), to, amount, 0, 0, 0, 0)  
}
```

ConsiderationEventsAndErrors#InsufficientEtherSupplied:

```
/**  
 * @dev Revert with an error when insufficient ether is supplied  
 *      msg.value when fulfilling orders.  
 */  
error InsufficientEtherSupplied();
```

ConsiderationConstants.sol:

```
/*  
 * error InsufficientEtherSupplied()  
 * - Defined in ConsiderationEventsAndErrors.sol  
 * Memory layout:  
 * - 0x00: Left-padded selector (data begins at 0x1c)  
 * Revert buffer is memory[0x1c:0x20]  
 */  
uint256 constant InsufficientEtherSupplied_error_selector = 0x1c;  
uint256 constant InsufficientEtherSupplied_error_length = 0x04;
```

ConsiderationErrors.sol:


```

/**
 * @dev Reverts the current transaction with an "InsufficientEth
 *      error message.
 */
function _revertInsufficientEtherSupplied() pure {
    assembly {
        // Store left-padded selector with push4 (reduces byteco
        // mem[28:32] = selector
        mstore(0, InsufficientEtherSupplied_error_selector)

        // revert(abi.encodeWithSignature("InsufficientEtherSupp
        revert(Error_selector_offset, InsufficientEtherSupplied_
    }
}

```

ConsiderationEventsAndErrors.sol :

```

/**
 * @dev Revert with an error when an ether transfer reverts.
 */
error EtherTransferGenericFailure(address account, uint256 a

```

ConsiderationConstants.sol :

```

/*
 * error EtherTransferGenericFailure(address account, uint256 a
 *      - Defined in ConsiderationEventsAndErrors.sol
 * Memory layout:
 *      - 0x00: Left-padded selector (data begins at 0x1c)
 *      - 0x20: account
 *      - 0x40: amount
 * Revert buffer is memory[0x1c:0x60]
 */
uint256 constant EtherTransferGenericFailure_error_selector = 0x
uint256 constant EtherTransferGenericFailure_error_account_ptr =
uint256 constant EtherTransferGenericFailure_error_amount_ptr =
uint256 constant EtherTransferGenericFailure_error_length = 0x44

```

Executor.sol :

```

// Otherwise, revert with a generic error message.
assembly {
    // Store left-padded selector with push4, mem[28
    mstore(0, EtherTransferGenericFailure_error_selector)
    mstore(EtherTransferGenericFailure_error_account, account)
    mstore(EtherTransferGenericFailure_error_amount, amount)

    // revert(abi.encodeWithSignature(
    //     "EtherTransferGenericFailure(address,uint256)",
    //     address, amount)
    // )
    revert(
        Error_selector_offset,
        EtherTransferGenericFailure_error_length
    )
}

```



[N-02] Extract or use named constants

The Seaport codebase has done an impressive job avoiding “magic numbers” and using named constants, which makes inline assembly much easier to read, understand, and verify. However, there are a few remaining numbers that could be replaced with more readable named constants.

The `malloc` free function in `PointerLibraries.sol` can use

`FreeMemoryPointerSlot` in place of `0x40` :

[PointerLibraries#malloc](#) :

```

/// @dev Allocates `size` bytes in memory by increasing the free
///      and returns the memory pointer to the first byte of the a
// (Free functions cannot have visibility.)
// solhint-disable-next-line func-visibility
function malloc(uint256 size) pure returns (MemoryPointer mPtr)
    assembly {
        mPtr := mload(0x40)
        mstore(0x40, add(mPtr, size))
    }
}

```

Calldata readers in `PointerLibraries.sol` can use `OneWord` in place of `0x20` :

CallDataReaders#readBool

```
/// @dev Reads the bool at `rdPtr` in returndata.
function readBool(
    ReturndataPointer rdPtr
) internal pure returns (bool value) {
    assembly {
        returndatacopy(0, rdPtr, 0x20)
        value := mload(0)
    }
}
```

(Note that `returndatacopy(0, rdPtr, 0x20)` is repeated in every `CallDataReaders#readType` function.)

`CalldataPointerLib#next` can use `OneWord` in place of `32` :

CalldataPointerLib#next

```
/// @dev Returns the calldata pointer one word after `cdPtr`
function next(
    CalldataPointer cdPtr
) internal pure returns (CalldataPointer cdPtrNext) {
    assembly {
        cdPtrNext := add(cdPtr, 32)
    }
}
```

Similar usages:

- [MemoryPointerLib#next](#)
- [ReturnDataPointerLib#next](#)

`OrderCombiner` iterates in increments of `32`, which could be replaced with `OneWord` :

[OrderCombiner](#) :

```
// Determine the memory offset to terminate on durir
terminalMemoryOffset = (totalOrders + 1) * 32;
```

OrderCombiner:

```
// Iterate over each order.
for (uint256 i = 32; i < terminalMemoryOffset; i +=
    // Retrieve order using assembly to bypass out-c
    assembly {
        advancedOrder := mload(add(advancedOrders, i
    })
```



[N-03] Fragile check for contract order type

The `OrderType` enum defines five order types. Only one of these represents contract orders:

ConsiderationEnums.sol:

```
enum OrderType {
    // 0: no partial fills, anyone can execute
    FULL_OPEN,

    // 1: partial fills supported, anyone can execute
    PARTIAL_OPEN,

    // 2: no partial fills, only offerer or zone can execute
    FULL_RESTRICTED,

    // 3: partial fills supported, only offerer or zone can exec
    PARTIAL_RESTRICTED,

    // 4: contract order type
    CONTRACT
}
```

OrderCombiner#_validateOrdersAndPrepareToFulfill defines non-contract orders as any order with a type less than 4:

```

{
    // Create a variable indicating if the order
    // contract order. Cache in scratch space to
    // depth errors.
    OrderType orderType = advancedOrder.parameters
    assembly {
        let isNonContract := lt(orderType, 4)
        mstore(0, isNonContract)
    }
}

```

This is fine for now, but could be fragile: if an additional type is added in the future, it may break this implicit assumption. Consider checking for an exact match against order type 4, which is more robust:

```

{
    // Create a variable indicating if the order
    // contract order. Cache in scratch space to
    // depth errors.
    OrderType orderType = advancedOrder.parameters
    assembly {
        let isNonContract := iszero(eq(orderType, 4))
        mstore(0, isNonContract)
    }
}

```

[OrderFulfiller#_applyFractionsAndTransferEach](#) performs a similar check

using `lt(orderType, 4)`:

```

// If non-contract order has native offer items, this
{
    OrderType orderType = orderParameters.orderType;
    uint256 invalidNativeOfferItem;
    assembly {
        invalidNativeOfferItem := and(
            lt(orderType, 4),
            anyNativeItems
        )
    }
    if (invalidNativeOfferItem != 0) {

```

```

        _revertInvalidNativeOfferItem();
    }
}

```



[N-04] Inconsistent use of hex vs. decimal values

Almost all values except for bit shifts are defined in hex, with the following few exceptions:

`CalldataPointerLib` uses 32 rather than 0x20 in a few places:

[`CalldataPointerLib#next`](#)

```

/// @dev Returns the calldata pointer one word after `cdPtr`
function next(
    CalldataPointer cdPtr
) internal pure returns (CalldataPointer cdPtrNext) {
    assembly {
        cdPtrNext := add(cdPtr, 32)
    }
}

```

Similar usages:

- [`MemoryPointerLib#next`](#)
- [`ReturnDataPointerLib#next`](#)

Two lengths in `ConsiderationConstants`:

[`NameLengthPtr`](#):

```
uint256 constant NameLengthPtr = 77;
```

[`Selector_length`](#):

```
uint256 constant Selector_length = 4;
```

Precompile addresses:

[PointerLibraries#IdentityPrecompileAddress](#):

```
uint256 constant IdentityPrecompileAddress = 4;
```

[ConsiderationConstants.sol](#):

```
address constant IdentityPrecompile = address(4);
```

[ConsiderationConstants.sol](#):

```
uint256 constant Ecrecover_precompile = 1;
```

Consider converting all of these to hex to enhance readability.



[N-05] Custom comment typos

There are two `@custom:name` comments on functions in `Consideration.sol` that are meant to annotate unnamed input arguments, but are incorrectly annotating the function's return type:

[Consideration#validate](#):

```
function validate(  
    Order[] calldata  
)  
    external  
    override  
    returns (  
        /**  
         * @custom:name orders
```

```

        */
        bool /* validated */
    )
{
    return
        _validate(_toOrdersReturnType(_decodeOrders) (Calldata
    }

```

Consideration#getOrderHash:

```

function getOrderHash(
    OrderComponents calldata
)
    external
    view
    override
    returns (
        /**
         * @custom:name order
         */
        bytes32 orderHash
    )
{
    CalldataPointer orderPointer = CalldataStart.pptr();

    // Derive order hash by supplying order parameters along
    orderHash = _deriveOrderHash(
        _toOrderParametersReturnType(
            _decodeOrderComponentsAsOrderParameters
        )(orderPointer),
        // Read order counter
        orderPointer.offset(OrderParameters_counter_offset).
    );
}

```



[N-06] AlmostOneWord is confusing

I find the `AlmostOneWord` constant, which is equal to 31 bytes, pretty confusing in context, since it's not clear from the name what it means to be equal to “almost one word.” Consider whether `ThirtyOneBytes` or similar might be a clearer name.



[N-07] Typos in comments

The default order numerator + denominator values are *always* 1 and 1, so this e.g. in [ConsiderationDecoder.sol](#) should be an i.e. :

```
// Write default Order numerator and denominator values
mPtr.offset(AdvancedOrder_numerator_offset).write(1);
mPtr.offset(AdvancedOrder_denominator_offset).write(1);
```



[N-08] Duplicated constants

[TypeHashDirectory](#) defines several constants, like `OneWord`, `OneWordShift`, `AlmostOneWord`, and `FreeMemoryPointerSlot` that are defined elsewhere in the codebase. Consider extracting these to a shared constants file:

```
uint256 internal constant OneWord = 0x20;
uint256 internal constant OneWordShift = 5;
uint256 internal constant AlmostOneWord = 0x1f;
uint256 internal constant FreeMemoryPointerSlot = 0x40;
```

[Oage \(OpenSea\) commented:](#)

This is a high-quality QA report 👍

[hickuphh3 \(judge\) commented:](#)

8 non-criticals, but I think they provide more value than the other QA reports I've come across thus far. Hence, it's worthy of an A grade (+bonus from sponsor for flagging it as high-quality).

[Oage \(OpenSea\) resolved:](#)

[N-01] Replace “ETH” with “Native token”:

<https://github.com/ProjectOpenSea/seaport/pull/921>

[N-02] Extract or use named constants:

<https://github.com/ProjectOpenSea/seaport/pull/922>

[N-03] Fragile check for contract order type:

<https://github.com/ProjectOpenSea/seaport/pull/922>

[N-04] Inconsistent use of hex vs. decimal values:

<https://github.com/ProjectOpenSea/seaport/pull/922>

[N-05] Custom comment typos:

<https://github.com/ProjectOpenSea/seaport/pull/924>

[N-06] AlmostOneWord is confusing:

<https://github.com/ProjectOpenSea/seaport/pull/923>

[N-07] Typos in comments:

<https://github.com/ProjectOpenSea/seaport/pull/924>

[N-08] Duplicated constants:

<https://github.com/ProjectOpenSea/seaport/pull/922>



Gas Optimizations

For this contest, 9 reports were submitted by wardens detailing gas optimizations. The [report highlighted below](#) by Dravee received the top score from the judge.

The following wardens also submitted reports: [atharvasama](#), [c3phas](#), [OxSmartContract](#), [lllllll](#), [karancf](#), [RaymondFam](#), [Rolezn](#), and [saneryee](#).



Overview

Risk Rating	Number of issues	Estimated savings	
Gas Issues	6	Around 650	



Codebase Impressions

The codebase is amazingly optimized, as expected from OpenSea. All storage operations are well done and even take into account the SLOT packings (like [here where it isn't obvious and the regular dev would've moved the SSTORE into the condition](#) or [here where memory would've cost more](#)).

The suggestions down below took some research: everything has been justified with POCs, code and even the opcodes on the stack when necessary.



Table of Contents

- [G-01] Using XOR (^) and OR (|) bitwise equivalents
- [G-02] Shift left by 5 instead of multiplying by 32
- [G-03] Using a positive conditional flow to save a NOT opcode
- [G-04] Swap conditions for a better happy path
- [G-05] Optimized operations
- [G-06] Pre-decrements cost less than post-decrements



[G-01] Using XOR (^) and OR (|) bitwise equivalents

Estimated savings: 73 gas

Max savings according to yarn profile : 282 gas

On Remix, given only `uint256` types, the following are logical equivalents, but don't cost the same amount of gas:

- `(a != b || c != d || e != f)` costs 571
- `((a ^ b) | (c ^ d) | (e ^ f)) != 0` costs 498 (saving 73 gas)

Consider rewriting as following to save gas:

```
File: FulfillmentApplier.sol
93:         if (
- 94:             execution.item.itemType != considerationItem.i
- 95:             execution.item.token != considerationItem.toke
- 96:             execution.item.identifier != considerationIten
+ 94:             ((uint8(execution.item.itemType) ^ uint8(consi
+ 95:             (uint160(execution.item.token) ^ uint160(consi
+ 96:             (execution.item.identifier ^ considerationIten
97:         ) {
```



Logic POC

Given 4 variables `a`, `b`, `c` and `d` represented as such:

```
0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 <- a
0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 <- b
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <- c
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <- d
```

To have `a == b` means that every `0` and `1` match on both variables. Meaning that a XOR (operator `^`) would evaluate to `0` (`(a ^ b) == 0`), as it excludes by definition any equalities.

Now, if `a != b`, this means that there's at least somewhere a `1` and a `0` not matching between `a` and `b`, making `(a ^ b) != 0`.

Both formulas are logically equivalent and using the XOR bitwise operator costs actually the same amount of gas:

```
function xOrEquivalence(uint a, uint b) external returns (bool) {
    //return a != b; //370
    //return a ^ b != 0; //370
}
```

However, it is much cheaper to use the bitwise OR operator (`|`) than comparing the truthy or falsy values:

```
function xOrOrEquivalence(uint a, uint b, uint c, uint d) external returns (bool) {
    //return (a != b || c != d); // 495
    //return (a ^ b | c ^ d) != 0; // 442
}
```

These are logically equivalent too, as the OR bitwise operator (`|`) would result in a `1` somewhere if any value is not `0` between the XOR (`^`) statements, meaning if any XOR (`^`) statement verifies that its arguments are different.



Coded Proof of Concept

This little POC (use `forge test -m test_XorEq`) also proves that the formulas are equivalent:

```
function test_XorEq(uint8 a, uint8 b, address c, address d,
    assert((a != b || c != d || e != f) == (((a ^ b) | (uint
}
```

Please keep in mind that Foundry cannot currently fuzz `Enum` types, which is why we're using `uint8` types above, which is [treated the same according to the Solidity documentation](#). However, you can try the following test on Remix to make sure, as it will always pass the asserts:

```
function test_enum(ItemType a, ItemType b) public {
    assert((a != b) == (uint8(a) != uint8(b)));
    assert((a != b) == ((uint8(a) ^ uint8(b)) != 0));
}
```

🔗 yarn profile

This is the diff between the contest repo's `yarn profile` and the added suggestion's `yarn profile`, as `yarn profile` never changes the “Previous Report” it compares the “Current Report” to:

=====			
method		min	max
=====			
- matchAdvancedOrders		+12 (+0.01%)	-12 (0%)
+ matchAdvancedOrders		-40 (-0.02%)	-92 (-0.03%
- matchOrders		-12 (-0.01%)	-24 (-0.01%)
+ matchOrders		-20 (-0.01%)	-176 (-0.05%
- validate		53206	83915
+ validate		53206	-24 (-0.03%
=====			
- runtime size		23583	
+ runtime size		-13 (-0.06%)	
- init code size		+78 (+0.29%)	
+ init code size		+65 (+0.24%)	
=====			

Added together, the max gas saving counted here is 282.

Consider applying the suggested equivalence and **add a comment mentioning what this is equivalent to**, as this is less human-readable, but still understandable once it's been taught.



[G-02] Shift left by 5 instead of multiplying by 32

Estimated savings: 22 gas

Max savings according to yarn profile : 98 gas

The equivalent of multiplying by 32 is shifting left by 5. On Remix, a simple POC shows some by replacing one with the other (Optimizer at 10k runs):

```
function shiftLeft5(uint256 a) public pure returns (uint256)
    //unchecked { return a * 32; } //346
    //unchecked { return a << 5; } //344
}
```

This is due to the fact that the MUL opcode costs 5 gas and the SHL opcode costs 3 gas. Therefore, saving those 2 units of gas is expected.

Places where this optimization can be applied are as such:

- A simple multiplication by 32:

```
File: OrderCombiner.sol
- 220:          terminalMemoryOffset = (totalOrders + 1) * 32
+ 220:          terminalMemoryOffset = (totalOrders + 1) << 5
```

- Multiplying by the constant `OneWord == 0x20` , as `0x20` in hex is actually 32 in decimals:

```
seaport/contracts/lib/ConsiderationDecoder.sol:
- 386:          uint256 tailOffset = arrLength * OneWord;
+ 386:          uint256 tailOffset = arrLength << 5;
- 427:          uint256 arrSize = (arrLength + 1) * OneWord;
+ 427:          uint256 arrSize = (arrLength + 1) << 5;
- 485:          uint256 tailOffset = arrLength * OneWord;
```

```

+ 485:      uint256 tailOffset = arrLength << 5;
- 525:      uint256 tailOffset = arrLength * OneWord;
+ 525:      uint256 tailOffset = arrLength << 5;
- 617:      uint256 tailOffset = arrLength * OneWord;
+ 617:      uint256 tailOffset = arrLength << 5;
- 660:      uint256 tailOffset = arrLength * OneWord;
+ 660:      uint256 tailOffset = arrLength << 5;
- 731:      uint256 tailOffset = arrLength * OneWord;
+ 731:      uint256 tailOffset = arrLength << 5;

seaport/contracts/lib/ConsiderationEncoder.sol:
- 567:      uint256 headAndTailSize = length * OneWord;
+ 567:      uint256 headAndTailSize = length << 5;
- 678:      MemoryPointer srcHeadEnd = srcHead.offset(1e
+ 678:      MemoryPointer srcHeadEnd = srcHead.offset(1e

```



Proof of Concept

- Run `forge test -m test_shl5:`

```

function test_shl5(uint256 a) public {
    vm.assume(a <= type(uint256).max / 32); // This is to av
    assert((a * 32) == (a << 5)); // always true
}

```

Consider also adding a constant so that the code can be maintainable
(`OneWordShiftLength` ?)



yarn profile

```

=====
| method                                | min | max
=====
- | matchAdvancedOrders                 | +12 (+0.01%) | -12 (0%)
+ | matchAdvancedOrders                 | -84 (-0.05%) | -12 (0%)
- | matchOrders                         | -12 (-0.01%) | -24 (-0.01%)
+ | matchOrders                         | -12 (-0.01%) | -24 (-0.01%)

```

Added together, the max gas saving counted here is 98.



[G-03] Using a positive conditional flow to save a NOT opcode

Estimated savings: 3 gas

Max savings according to yarn profile : 150 gas

The following function either revert or returns some value. To save some gas (NOT opcode costing 3 gas), switch to a positive statement:

```
File: OrderValidator.sol
863:     function _revertOrReturnEmpty(
864:         bool revertOnInvalid,
865:         bytes32 contractOrderHash
866:     )
867:         internal
868:         pure
869:         returns (bytes32 orderHash, uint256 numerator, uint
870:     {
- 871:         if (!revertOnInvalid) { //@audit-issue save the 1
+ 871:         if (revertOnInvalid) {
- 872:             return (contractOrderHash, 0, 0);
+ 872:             _revertInvalidContractOrder(contractOrderHash
873:         }
874:
- 875:         _revertInvalidContractOrder(contractOrderHash);
+ 875:         return (contractOrderHash, 0, 0);
876:     }
```



yarn profile

=====			
method		min	max
=====			
- cancel		41219	58403
+ cancel		-12 (-0.03%)	58403
- fulfillAdvancedOrder		+12 (+0.01%)	225187
+ fulfillAdvancedOrder		+12 (+0.01%)	225187
- fulfillAvailableAdvancedOrders		149965	217284
+ fulfillAvailableAdvancedOrders		149965	217284
- fulfillOrder		-12 (-0.01%)	225067
+ fulfillOrder		-24 (-0.02%)	225067

-	matchOrders	-12 (-0.01%)	-24 (-0.01%)
+	matchOrders	158290	-24 (-0.01%)
-	validate	53206	83915
+	validate	-72 (-0.14%)	-48 (-0.06%)
=====			
-	runtime size	23583	
+	runtime size	-15 (-0.06%)	
-	init code size	+78 (+0.29%)	
+	init code size	+63 (+0.24%)	
=====			

Added together, the max gas saving counted here is 150.



[G-04] Swap conditions for a better happy path

Estimated savings: 6 gas

Max savings according to yarn profile : 38 gas

When a staticcall ends in failure, there will rarely, if ever, be a case of `returndatasize()` being non-zero. However, most often with a staticcall, `success` will be true, while the `returndatasize()` has a higher probability of being 0. The consequence is that, in the current order of conditions, both conditions are more likely to be evaluated. Furthermore, the RETURNDATASIZE opcode costs 2 gas while a MLOAD costs 3 gas. Consider swapping both conditions here for a better happy path:

```
File: PointerLibraries.sol
215:         assembly {
216:             let success := staticcall(
217:                 gas(),
218:                 IdentityPrecompileAddress,
219:                 src,
220:                 size,
221:                 dst,
222:                 size
223:             )
- 224:             if or(iszero(success), iszero(returndatasize()))
+ 224:             if or(iszero(returndatasize()), iszero(success))
225:                 revert(0, 0)
226:         }
```

```
227:                                }
```



yarn profile

=====						
	method		min		max	
=====						
-	fulfillAdvancedOrder		+12 (+0.01%)		225187	
+	fulfillAdvancedOrder		+12 (+0.01%)		225187	
-	fulfillAvailableAdvancedOrders		149965		217284	
+	fulfillAvailableAdvancedOrders		149965		217284	
-	matchOrders		-12 (-0.01%)		-24 (-0.01%)	
+	matchOrders		-12 (-0.01%)		-24 (-0.01%)	
-	validate		53206		83915	
+	validate		53206		-12 (-0.01%)	

Added together, the max gas saving counted here is 38.



[G-05] Optimized operations

Estimated savings: 3 gas

Max savings according to yarn profile : 58 gas

Tested on Remix: The optimized equivalent of `or(eq(a, 2), eq(a, 3))` is `and(lt(a, 4), gt(a, 1))` (saving 3 gas)



Proof of Concept

The following opcodes happen for `and(lt(a, 4), gt(a, 1))` :

```
PUSH 4    4
DUP2      lt(a, 4)
LT        lt(a, 4)
PUSH 1    1
SWAP1     gt(a, 1)
SWAP2     gt(a, 1)
GT        gt(a, 1)
AND       and(lt(a, 4), gt(a, 1))
```

```
SWAP1      and(lt(a, 4), gt(a, 1))
```

The following opcodes happen for `or(eq(a, 2), eq(a, 3))`:

```
PUSH 2      2
DUP2        eq(a, 2)
EQ          eq(a, 2)
PUSH 3      3
SWAP2       eq(a, 3)
SWAP1       eq(a, 3)
SWAP2       eq(a, 3)
EQ          eq(a, 3)
OR          or(eq(a, 2), eq(a, 3))
SWAP1       or(eq(a, 2), eq(a, 3))
```

As we can see here, an extra SWAP is costing an extra 3 gas compared to the optimized version.

Consider replacing with the following:

```
File: ZoneInteraction.sol
140:      function _isRestrictedAndCallerNotZone(
141:          OrderType orderType,
142:          address zone
143:      ) internal view returns (bool mustValidate) {
144:          assembly {
145:              mustValidate := and(
- 146:                  or(eq(orderType, 2), eq(orderType, 3)),
+ 146:                  and(lt(orderType, 4), gt(orderType, 1)),
147:                  iszero(eq(caller(), zone))
148:              )
149:          }
150:      }
```

 yarn profile

```
=====
| method                                | min | max |
=====
```

-		cancel		41219		58403
+		cancel		+12 (+0.03%)		-12 (-0.02%)
-		fulfillAdvancedOrder		+12 (+0.01%)		225187
+		fulfillAdvancedOrder		96287		225187
-		fulfillBasicOrder		91377		-12 (0%)
+		fulfillBasicOrder		-24 (-0.03%)		1621539
-		matchOrders		-12 (-0.01%)		-24 (-0.01%)
+		matchOrders		-12 (-0.01%)		-24 (-0.01%)
-		validate		53206		83915
+		validate		53206		83915

Added together, the max gas saving counted here is 58.



[G-06] Pre-decrements cost less than post-decrements

Estimated savings: 5 gas per iteration

Max savings according to yarn profile : 61 gas

For a `uint256 maximumFulfilled` variable, the following is true with the Optimizer enabled at 10k:

- `--maximumFulfilled` costs 5 gas less than `maximumFulfilled--`

Affected code:

```
File: OrderCombiner.sol
- 272:             maximumFulfilled--;
+ 272:             --maximumFulfilled;
```



yarn profile

=====						
	method		min			max
=====						
-	matchAdvancedOrders		+12	(+0.01%)		-12 (0%)
+	matchAdvancedOrders		-36	(-0.02%)		-12 (0%)
-	matchOrders		-12	(-0.01%)		-24 (-0.01%)
+	matchOrders		-12	(-0.01%)		-24 (-0.01%)
-	validate		53206			83915

Added together, the max gas saving counted here is 61.

[Oage \(OpenSea\) commented:](#)

Lovely optimizations 🏆

[hickuphh3 \(judge\) commented:](#)

NGL the detail and analysis for number 5 is pretty sick!

[Oage \(OpenSea\) resolved:](#)

[G-01] Using XOR (^) and OR (|) bitwise equivalents:
<https://github.com/ProjectOpenSea/seaport/pull/908>

[G-02] Shift left by 5 instead of multiplying by 32:
<https://github.com/ProjectOpenSea/seaport/pull/909>

[G-03] Using a positive conditional flow to save a NOT opcode:
<https://github.com/ProjectOpenSea/seaport/pull/910>

[G-04] Swap conditions for a better happy path:
<https://github.com/ProjectOpenSea/seaport/pull/912>

[G-05] Optimized operations:
<https://github.com/ProjectOpenSea/seaport/pull/911>

[G-06] Pre-decrements cost less than post-decrements:
<https://github.com/ProjectOpenSea/seaport/pull/913>



Disclosures

C4 is an open organization governed by participants in the community.

C4 Contests incentivize the discovery of exploits, vulnerabilities, and bugs in smart contracts. Security researchers are rewarded at an increasing rate for finding higher-risk issues. Contest submissions are judged by a knowledgeable security researcher and solidity developer and disclosed to sponsoring developers. C4 does not conduct formal verification regarding the provided code but instead provides final verification.

C4 does not provide any guarantee or warranty regarding the security of this project. All smart contract software should be used at the sole risk and responsibility of users.

Top

An open organization | [Twitter](#) | [Discord](#) | [GitHub](#) | [Medium](#) | [Newsletter](#) | [Media kit](#) | [Careers](#) | [code4rena.eth](#)