

# NFTfi -Bundles/Airdrop

Smart Contract Security Audit

Prepared by: Halborn

Date of Engagement: November 15th, 2022 - December 1st, 2022

Visit: Halborn.com

DOCU	MENT REVISION HISTORY	6
CONT	ACTS	7
1	EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW	8
1.1	INTRODUCTION	9
1.2	AUDIT SUMMARY	9
1.3	TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY	9
	RISK METHODOLOGY	10
1.4	SCOPE	12
2	ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW	13
3	FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS	14
3.1	(HAL-01) BUNDLES INSIDE IMMUTABLEBUNDLES CONTRACT CAN BE I	EX− 16
	Description	16
	Code Location	16
	Proof of Concept	18
	Risk Level	18
	Recommendation	18
	Remediation Plan	19
3.2	(HAL-02) MISTAKENLY SENT BUNDLE TOKENS CAN NOT BE RESCUED	20
	Description	20
	Code Location	20
	Proof of Concept	21
	Risk Level	22
		22
	CONT 1 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 2 3 3.1	1.1 INTRODUCTION  1.2 AUDIT SUMMARY  1.3 TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY  RISK METHODOLOGY  1.4 SCOPE  2 ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW  3 FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS  3.1 (HAL-01) BUNDLES INSIDE IMMUTABLEBUNDLES CONTRACT CAN BE EXTRACTED - MEDIUM  Description  Code Location  Proof of Concept  Risk Level  Recommendation  Remediation Plan  3.2 (HAL-02) MISTAKENLY SENT BUNDLE TOKENS CAN NOT BE RESCUED MEDIUM  Description  Code Location

	Remediation Plan	22
3.3	(HAL-03) POSSIBLE LOSS OF OWNERSHIP - MEDIUM	23
	Description	23
	Code Location	23
	Risk Level	23
	Recommendation	23
	Remediation Plan	24
3.4	(HAL-04) SENDELEMENTSTOPERSONALBUNDLER() FUNCTION CAN RUN II AN INFINITE LOOP - LOW	NTO 25
	Description	25
	Code Location	25
	Proof of Concept	27
	Risk Level	28
	Recommendation	28
	Remediation Plan	29
3.5	(HAL-05) ADD OR REMOVE BUNDLE ELEMENTS FUNCTIONS MAY RUN OUT GAS - LOW	OF 30
	Description	30
	Risk Level	30
	Recommendation	31
	Remediation Plan	31
3.6	(HAL-06) MISSING PARAMETER VALIDATION - LOW	32
	Description	32
	Risk Level	33
	Recommendation	33
	Remediation Plan	33
3.7	(HAL-07) USE OF INLINE ASSEMBLY - INFORMATIONAL	34

	Description	34
	Code Location	34
	Risk Level	35
	Recommendation	35
	Remediation Plan	35
3.8	(HAL-08) LOOP GAS USAGE OPTIMIZATION - INFORMATIONAL	36
	Description	36
	Code Location	36
	Risk Level	36
	Recommendation	37
	Remediation Plan	37
3.9	(HAL-09) SOLC 0.8.4 COMPILER VERSION CONTAINS MULTIPLE BUGS	38
	Description	38
	Risk Level	38
	Recommendation	38
	Remediation Plan	38
3.10	(HAL-10) SPLITTING REQUIRE() STATEMENTS THAT USES AND OPERAT SAVES GAS - INFORMATIONAL	OR 39
	Description	39
	Code Location	39
	Proof of Concept	40
	Risk Level	40
	Recommendation	41
	Remediation Plan	41
3.11	(HAL-11) UNNECESSARY IMPORTS - INFORMATIONAL	42

	Description	42
	Code Location	42
	Risk Level	43
	Recommendation	43
	Remediation Plan	43
3.12	(HAL-12) ANYONE CAN ADD TOKENS TO ANY BUNDLE OR PERSONALBUNDLE INFORMATIONAL	Ē − 44
	Description	44
	Risk Level	46
	Recommendation	46
	Remediation Plan	46
3.13	(HAL-13) OPEN TODOS - INFORMATIONAL	47
	Description	47
	Code Location	47
	TO-D0	47
	Risk Level	47
	Recommendation	47
	Remediation Plan	47
3.14	(HAL-14) INCOMPLETE NATSPEC DOCUMENTATION - INFORMATIONAL	48
	Description	48
	Risk Level	48
	Recommendation	48
	Remediation Plan	48
4	RETESTING	49
4.1	NFTFI01 - BUNDLES CAN BE DRAINED BY ANYONE	50
	Description	50

	Code Location	51
	Proof of Concept	52
	Recommendation	52
	Remediation Plan	52
5	AUTOMATED TESTING	53
5.1	STATIC ANALYSIS REPORT	54
	Description	54
	Slither results	54
5.2	AUTOMATED SECURITY SCAN	57
	Description	57
	MythX results	57

#### DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

VERSION	MODIFICATION	DATE	AUTHOR
0.1	Document Creation	11/29/2022	Francisco González
0.2	Document Updates	12/01/2022	Francisco González
0.3	Docuement Updates	12/01/2022	Francisco González
0.4	Draft Review	12/01/2022	Roberto Reigada
0.5	Draft Review	12/01/2022	Piotr Cielas
0.6	Draft Review	12/01/2022	Gabi Urrutia
1.0	Remediation Plan	12/09/2022	Francisco González
1.1	Remediation Plan Review	12/12/2022	Roberto Reigada
1.2	Remediation Plan Review	12/12/2022	Piotr Cielas
1.3	Remediation Plan Review	12/12/2022	Gabi Urrutia
2.0	Document Updates	02/03/2023	Francisco González
2.1	Document Updates Review	02/06/2023	Roberto Reigada
2.2	Document Updates Review	02/06/2023	Piotr Cielas
2.3	Document Updates Review	02/06/2023	Gabi Urrutia

#### CONTACTS

CONTACT	COMPANY	EMAIL	
Rob Behnke	Halborn	Rob.Behnke@halborn.com	
Steven Walbroehl	Halborn	Steven.Walbroehl@halborn.com	
Gabi Urrutia	Halborn	Gabi.Urrutia@halborn.com	
Piotr Cielas Halborn		Piotr.Cielas@halborn.com	
Roberto Reigada Halborn		Roberto.Reigada@halborn.com	
Francisco González Halborn		Francisco.Villarejo@halborn.com	

### EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

#### 1.1 INTRODUCTION

NFTfi engaged Halborn to conduct a security audit on their smart contracts beginning on November 15th, 2022 and ending on December 1st, 2022. The security assessment was scoped to the smart contracts provided to the Halborn team.

#### 1.2 AUDIT SUMMARY

The team at Halborn was provided two weeks for the engagement and assigned a full-time security engineer to audit the security of the smart contract. The security engineer is a blockchain and smart-contract security expert with advanced penetration testing, smart-contract hacking, and deep knowledge of multiple blockchain protocols.

The purpose of this audit is to:

- Ensure that smart contract functions operate as intended
- Identify potential security issues with the smart contracts

In summary, Halborn identified some security risks that were mostly addressed by the NFTfi team. The critical security finding was identified and fixed by the NFTfi team, and Halborn verified the <u>fix</u>.

#### 1.3 TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY

Halborn performed a combination of manual and automated security testing to balance efficiency, timeliness, practicality, and accuracy in regard to the scope of this audit. While manual testing is recommended to uncover flaws in logic, process, and implementation; automated testing techniques help enhance coverage of the contracts' solidity code and can quickly identify items that do not follow security best practices. The

following phases and associated tools were used throughout the term of the audit:

- Research into architecture and purpose.
- Smart contract manual code review and walkthrough.
- Manual assessment of use and safety for the critical Solidity variables and functions in scope to identify any arithmetic related vulnerability classes.
- Manual testing by custom scripts. (Brownie).
- Static Analysis of security for scoped contract, and imported functions manually.
- Testnet deployment (Ganache).

#### RISK METHODOLOGY:

Vulnerabilities or issues observed by Halborn are ranked based on the risk assessment methodology by measuring the **LIKELIHOOD** of a security incident and the **IMPACT** should an incident occur. This framework works for communicating the characteristics and impacts of technology vulnerabilities. The quantitative model ensures repeatable and accurate measurement while enabling users to see the underlying vulnerability characteristics that were used to generate the Risk scores. For every vulnerability, a risk level will be calculated on a scale of 5 to 1 with 5 being the highest likelihood or impact.

#### RISK SCALE - LIKELIHOOD

- 5 Almost certain an incident will occur.
- 4 High probability of an incident occurring.
- 3 Potential of a security incident in the long term.
- 2 Low probability of an incident occurring.
- 1 Very unlikely issue will cause an incident.

#### RISK SCALE - IMPACT

- 5 May cause devastating and unrecoverable impact or loss.
- 4 May cause a significant level of impact or loss.
- 3 May cause a partial impact or loss to many.

- 2 May cause temporary impact or loss.
- 1 May cause minimal or un-noticeable impact.

The risk level is then calculated using a sum of these two values, creating a value of 10 to 1 with 10 being the highest level of security risk.

CRITICAL	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	INFORMATIONAL
----------	------	--------	-----	---------------

10 - CRITICAL

9 - 8 - HIGH

**7 - 6** - MEDIUM

**5 - 4** - LOW

3 - 1 - VERY LOW AND INFORMATIONAL

#### 1.4 SCOPE

#### Repository: eth.immutable-bundles

The security assessment was scoped to every smart contract in the audit-09-11-2022 branch.

- 1. Initial Commit ID: 2c7ef51f7f820c65d93f57490c77bf67a4578773
- 2. Changes to Initial Commit ID:

#### compare/audit-09-11-2022. . . 3140329bec42b848dc008fd037aba1fec4a77ef5

- Added Pausing capabilities to ImmutableBundle.sol
- Fixed wrong event parameter in NftfiBundler.sol line 215
- Changed variable names on NftfiBundler.sol
- Added URI metadata to NftfiBundler.sol, PersonalBundler.sol, and ImmutableBundle.sol
- Added token name and symbol for PersonalBundler.sol
- Added \_setOwner() call in PersonalBundler.sol's initialize() function
  - 3. Remediations Commit ID: 29be173454e816c58d98dea2614750dbc27bc39a
  - 4. Remediations Commit ID 2: dc376d9ba96db9f9ac3718599f05b5bf3f8f7c61
  - 5. Retest Commit ID: b39030551b8492b78f3fa1827ad840fcd01daace

# 2. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW

CRITICAL	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	INFORMATIONAL
0	0	3	3	8

#### LIKELIHOOD

(HAL-03)			
	(HAL-01)		
		(HAL-02)	
(HAL-07) (HAL-09)	(HAL-04) (HAL-05) (HAL-06)		
(HAL-10) (HAL-11) (HAL-12) (HAL-13) (HAL-14)	(HAL-08)		

SECURITY ANALYSIS	RISK LEVEL	REMEDIATION DATE
HAL-01 - BUNDLES INSIDE IMMUTABLE CONTRACT CAN BE EXTRACTED	Medium	SOLVED - 12/09/2022
HAL-02 - MISTAKENLY SENT BUNDLE TOKENS CAN NOT BE RESCUED	Medium	SOLVED - 12/09/2022
HAL-03 - POSSIBLE LOSS OF OWNERSHIP	Medium	SOLVED - 12/09/2022
HAL-04 - SENDELEMENTSTOPERSONALBUNDLER() FUNCTION CAN RUN INTO AN INFINITE LOOP	Low	SOLVED - 12/09/2022
HAL-05 - ADD OR REMOVE BUNDLE ELEMENTS FUNCTIONS MAY RUN OUT OF GAS	Low	RISK ACCEPTED
HAL-06 - MISSING PARAMETER VALIDATION	Low	RISK ACCEPTED
HAL-07 - USE OF INLINE ASSEMBLY	Informational	ACKNOWLEDGED
HAL-08 - LOOP GAS USAGE OPTIMIZATION	Informational	SOLVED - 12/09/2022
HAL-09 - SOLC 0.8.4 COMPILER VERSION CONTAINS MULTIPLE BUGS	Informational	SOLVED - 12/09/2022
HAL-10 - SPLITTING REQUIRE() STATEMENTS THAT USES AND OPERATOR SAVES GAS	Informational	SOLVED - 12/09/2022
HAL-11 - UNNECESSARY IMPORTS	Informational	SOLVED - 12/09/2022
HAL-12 - ANYONE CAN ADD TOKENS TO ANY BUNDLE OR PERSONALBUNDLE	Informational	ACKNOWLEDGED
HAL-13 - OPEN TODOs	Informational	SOLVED - 12/09/2022
HAL-14 - INCOMPLETE NATSPEC DOCUMENTATION	Informational	SOLVED - 12/09/2022

# FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS

# 3.1 (HAL-01) BUNDLES INSIDE IMMUTABLEBUNDLES CONTRACT CAN BE EXTRACTED - MEDIUM

#### Description:

Users can lock their bundles (created with the NftfiBundler or PersonalBundler contracts) by transferring them to the ImmutableBundle contract with the safeTransferFrom() function. This prevents users from extracting NFTs from the bundle right before taking a loan on them.

ImmutableBundle implements the rescueERC721() and rescueERC20() functions, which allow the owner account to retrieve ERC20 and ERC721 to-kens received in airdrops for the locked collateral NFTs. To prevent rescueERC721() function from extracting bundle tokens, a require statement checks the \_tokenAddress value not to match the NftfiBundler or PersonalBundler contract addresses.

However, it has been detected that, instead of \_tokenAddress, msg.sender is checked to be a PersonalBundler token, which it cannot be, since this function can only be called by the owner of ImmutableBundle contract. This makes all PersonalBundler tokens extractable from the contract, incurring a loss of the bundled NFTs to the user.

#### Code Location:

```
function rescueERC721(
284 address _tokenAddress,
285 uint256 _tokenId,
286 address _receiver
287 ) external onlyOwner {
288 IERC721 tokenContract = IERC721(_tokenAddress);
289 require(
290 _tokenAddress != address(bundler) &&
291 !PersonalBundlerFactory(personalBundlerFactory).

L. personalBundlerExists(msg.sender),
292 "token is a bundle"
293 );
294 require(tokenContract.ownerOf(_tokenId) == address(this),
L. "nft not owned");
295 tokenContract.safeTransferFrom(address(this), _receiver,
L. _tokenId);
296 }
```

#### Proof of Concept:

This PoC shows how User2 bundles NFTs 1, 2, and 3 with an instance of PersonalBundler, sends it to ImmutableBundle and then it gets successfully extracted with the rescueERC721() function:

#### Risk Level:

Likelihood - 2 Impact - 4

#### Recommendation:

The rescueERC721() function should validate the \_tokenAddress parameter instead of msg.sender to prevent personal bundles to be extracted.

#### Remediation Plan:

**SOLVED**: The NFTfi team solved the issue by validating \_tokenAddress instead of msg.sender. In addition, immutableOfBundle[\_tokenId] or immutableOfPersonalBundler[\_tokenAddress] are required to be 0, meaning that NftfiBundler or PersonalBundler tokens not associated to any immutable bundle can also be extracted, remediating HAL-02 issue.

Commit ID: 52f68e41a729e83f27c1cb747a464a2367132d5b

## 3.2 (HAL-02) MISTAKENLY SENT BUNDLE TOKENS CAN NOT BE RESCUED - MEDIUM

#### Description:

Users can lock their bundles (created with the NftfiBundler or PersonalBundler contracts) by transferring them to the ImmutableBundle contract with the safeTransferFrom() function. However, these contracts rely on users sending tokens to them with the appropriate functions (e.g., safeTransferFrom or getChild instead of transfer and transferFrom) to properly record those transactions.

The ImmutableBundle contract allows the admin to recover ERC721 tokens with the rescueERC721 function. However, this function does not allow rescuing NftfiBundler or PersonalBundler tokens; therefore, it is impossible to recover bundles that were accidentally transferred with the wrong transfer functions (e.g., transfer or transferFrom).

#### Code Location:

```
!PersonalBundlerFactory(personalBundlerFactory).
personalBundlerExists(msg.sender),

"token is a bundle"

);

require(tokenContract.ownerOf(_tokenId) == address(this),

"nft not owned");

tokenContract.safeTransferFrom(address(this), _receiver,

Ly _tokenId);

296 }
```

#### Proof of Concept:

As a proof of concept, user2 bundles NFTs 1, 2, and 3 with NftfiBundler and transfers them to the ImmutableBundle contract with the transferFrom() function, which locks the bundle (and the NFTs contained in it) forever:

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 3

Impact - 3

Recommendation:

It is recommended to modify the rescueERC721() function to also allow rescuing bundle tokens if they are not associated to any immutable bundle, meaning that they were transferred to the ImmutableBundle contract using the wrong methods.

Remediation Plan:

**SOLVED:** The NFTfi team solved the issue by requiring immutableOfBundle [\_tokenId] or immutableOfPersonalBundler[\_tokenAddress] to be 0, which means that NftfiBundler or PersonalBundler tokens not associated with no immutable bundle can be extracted.

Commit ID: 52f68e41a729e83f27c1cb747a464a2367132d5b

## 3.3 (HAL-03) POSSIBLE LOSS OF OWNERSHIP - MEDIUM

#### Description:

When transferring the ownership of the protocol, no checks are performed on whether the new address is valid and active. In case there is a mistake when transferring the ownership, the whole protocol may lose all of its ownership functionalities.

#### Code Location:

#### Risk Level:

#### Likelihood - 1 Impact - 5

#### Recommendation:

The transfer of ownership process should be split into two different transactions, the first one calling the requestTransferOwnership function which proposes a new owner for the protocol, and the second one, the new

owner accepts the proposal by calling  $acceptsTransferOwnership\ function$ .

#### Remediation Plan:

**SOLVED**: The NFTfi team solved the issue by implementing a two-step ownership transfer process.

Commit ID: 52f68e41a729e83f27c1cb747a464a2367132d5b

# 3.4 (HAL-04) SENDELEMENTSTOPERSONALBUNDLER() FUNCTION CAN RUN INTO AN INFINITE LOOP - LOW

#### Description:

Users can call sendElementsToPersonalBundler() function to move every token inside a bundle to a personal bundle. This function uses a while loop to iterate through every childToken of every childContract until childContracts[\_tokenId] and childTokens[\_tokenId][childContrac] lengths are 0, meaning that no more child tokens are held in the bundle.

However, if tokens are already in a personal bundle, and they are transferred to the same bundle, or if they are in a NftfiBundler bundle with id = 1 and they're being transferred to the same NftfiBundler bundle (the second scenario is less likely than the first one), the function runs into an infinite loop, since the lengths mentioned above will never decrease, keeping the while loop running until it spends the max amount of gas allowed for the call, reverting the state and incurring unnecessary cost to the user.

#### Code Location:

# 

```
function sendElementsToPersonalBundler(uint256 _tokenId,
→ address _personalBundler) external {
         _validateReceiver(_personalBundler);
         _validateTransferSender(_tokenId);
          require(
              IERC165(_personalBundler).supportsInterface(type(
→ IERC998ERC721TopDown).interfaceId),
         );
         uint256 personalBundleId = 1;
          require(IERC721(_personalBundler).ownerOf(personalBundleId
while (childContracts[_tokenId].length() > 0) {
              address childContract = childContracts[_tokenId].at(0)
             while (childTokens[_tokenId][childContract].length() >
   0) {
                 uint256 childId = childTokens[_tokenId][

    childContract].at(0);
                 _removeChild(_tokenId, childContract, childId);
                 try
                     IERC721(childContract).safeTransferFrom(
                         address(this),
                         childId,
                         abi.encodePacked(personalBundleId)
                     )
                 {
                 } catch {
```

```
revert("only safe transfer");

revert("only safe transfer");

mixing transferChild(_tokenId, _personalBundler,

childContract, childId);

revert("only safe transfer");

personalBundler,

childContract, childId);

revert("only safe transfer");

line

personalBundler,

personalBundler,

line

revert("only safe transfer");

line

personalBundler,

line

l
```

#### Proof of Concept:

As a proof of concept, user2 bundles NFTs 1, 2, and 3 with the NftfiBundler contract. From there, the NFTs are being transferred to the user2's personal bundler with the sendElementsToPersonalBundler() function, and then they are transferred again to the same personalbundle. This makes the sendElementsToPersonalBundler() function to run into an infinite loop, which ends up with crashing the test environment.

```
Minting 5 GaspMasks to user2... --> for i in range(5): {contract_TestGaspMasks.mint(user2, {'from': owner})}
Transaction sent: 0xe/26a19f89c33683e94056dc88221b381634d1f8a18f4d21b85a6a54d1hef48
     Transaction sent: 0xcf71f7a6ef5b7d19a70dfd8e6a1d9a76f6e82879b0c1480d229df89458862dd1
Gas price: 0.0 gwet Gas limit: 600000000 Nonce: 21
TestGaspMasks.mint confirmed Block: 16082101 Gas used: 147486 (0.02%)
     Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 600000000 Non
TestGaspMasks.mint confirmed Block: 16082102
                                                                                                             Gas used: 147486 (0.02%)
Transaction sent: 0xf8d0415cfc247b2c01f22ad7a879d0e6b788f62c257a8e46b10f7c42ba9cb953
Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 600000000 Nonce: 23
TestGaspMasks.mint confirmed Block: 16082103 Gas used: 147486 (0.02%)
Defining bundle2 --> bundle2 = [contract_TestGaspMasks.address, [1, 2, 3], True]
 Setting approveForAll for contract_NftiBundler --> contract_TestGaspMasks.setApprovalForAll(contract_NftfiBundler, True, {'from': user2})
Transaction sent: 0xb4ca2fafce10e3c91cb2dc612dfa78a55d208220445b1fcb2ad94fc1dc7957b1
      Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas limit: 600000000 Nonce: 0
TestGaspMasks.setApprovalForAll confirmed Block: 16082105 Gas used: 44845 (0.01%)
 Creating GaspMasks bundle --> txBundle2 = contract_NftfiBundler.buildBundle([bundle2], {'from': user2})
     ansaction sent: 0x55a2932a6d68afdc3b86f7fd8806d9f052745662ebdb78c2cf82f1aea60a3a89
Gas price: 0.0 gwel Gas limit: 600000000 Nonce: 2
PersonalBundlerFactory.createPersonalBundler confirmed Block: 16082107 Gas used: 268469 (0.04%)
 Sending tokens to user2 PersonalBundler --> contract_NfffiBundler.sendElementsToPersonalBundler(1, contract_User2PersonalBundle, {'from': user2})
Transaction sent: 0xficf82ecb81676b7062688bb68404e4745dcc398fca6ff1f17bic5027e6009b1
     Gas price: 0.0 gwei Gas linit: 600000000 Nonce: 3
NfffiBundler.sendElementsToPersonalBundler confirmed Block: 16082108 Gas used: 402162 (0.07%)
 User2 PersonalBundler GaspMasks balance: 3
If any user calls sendElementsToPersonalBundler from the same PersonalBundler, the con Transaction sent: 0x5532d520e34d6397abd495ba6ee97e223d123faf9db7bbf8f787406d81c386 Gas price 0.0 gwel Gas limit: 600000000 Nonce: 4 Exception in thread Thread-72: Traceback (most recent call last): File "/usr/llb/python3.8/threading.py", line 932, in _bootstrap_inner self_run()
   File "yusr/lib/python3.8/threading.py", time 33., the 55., the self.run()
File "yusr/lib/python3.8/threading.py", line 870, in run
self.run()
File "yusr/lib/python3.8/threading.py", line 870, in run
self._target(*self._args, **self._kwargs)
File "/home/zilon/.local/pipx/venvs/eth-brownie/lib/python3.8/site-packages/brownie/network/transaction.py", line 536, in _await_confirmation
print(self._confirm_output())
File "/home/zilon/.local/pipx/venvs/eth-brownie/lib/python3.8/site-packages/brownie/network/transaction.py", line 599, in _confirm_output
revert_msg = self.revert_msg if web3.supports_traces else None
File "/home/zilon/.local/pipx/venvs/eth-brownie/lib/python3.8/site-packages/brownie/network/transaction.py", line 53, in wrapper
raise_pvc.
File "/home/ziton/.local/pipx/venvs/eth-brownte/lib/python3.8/site-packages/brownte/network/transaction.py", line 49, in wrapper return fn(self)
File "/home/ziton/.local/pipx/venvs/eth-brownte/lib/python3.8/site-packages/brownte/network/transaction.py", line 287, in revert_msg
self_, get_trace()
File "/home/ziton/.local/pipx/venvs/eth-brownte/lib/python3.8/site-packages/brownte/network/transaction.py", line 641, in _get_trace
raise RPCRequestError(msg) from None
brownte.exceptions.RPCRequestError: Encountered a ConnectionError while requesting `debug_traceTransaction`. The local RPC client has likely crashed.
```

#### Risk Level:

Likelihood - 2

Impact - 2

#### Recommendation:

It is recommended to check that tokens are not sent to the same contract (with a require statement that ensures that \_personalBundler != address (this)).

#### Remediation Plan:

**SOLVED:** The NFTfi team solved the issue by preventing sendElementsToPersonalBundler () from being called with msg.sender as the \_personalBundler address.

Commit ID: 478ae0542a50367defd1f39047f418806205f7aa

# 3.5 (HAL-05) ADD OR REMOVE BUNDLE ELEMENTS FUNCTIONS MAY RUN OUT OF GAS - LOW

#### Description:

Users can use functions to add or remove multiple NFTs at the same time in the NftfiBundler or PersonalBundler contracts. These functions can have high gas costs based on the number of tokens transferred. Adding elements also calls an external validator contract to check whether the asset is permitted or not, further increasing the gas cost.

Many users use wallets with default gas limit configured. When the limit is reached, the users lose a significant amount of Ether in those failed transactions.

The affected functions:

#### NftfiBundler.sol

- buildBundle
- addBundleElements
- removeBundleElements
- addAndRemoveBundleElements
- decomposeBundle
- sendElementsToPersonalBundler

#### Risk Level:

Likelihood - 2 Impact - 2

#### Recommendation:

It is recommended to limit the number of tokens that can be transferred in a single transaction after careful testing or at least inform the users beforehand that if they use the affected functions with many tokens, they should change the default gas limit.

#### Remediation Plan:

RISK ACCEPTED: The NFTfi team accepted the risk of this finding. In addition, gas limit and maximum bundle size checks will be implemented in the front-end.

## 3.6 (HAL-06) MISSING PARAMETER VALIDATION - LOW

#### Description:

The childContractByIndex and childTokenByIndex functions of the ERC998TopDown contract did not validate their parameters. Setting invalid values may result in reverts without error messages.

#### contracts/NftfiBundler.sol:

- The constructor of the contract does not validate that the \_permittedNfts parameter is not a zero address.
- The constructor of the contract does not validate that the \_airdropFlashLoan parameter is not a zero address.

#### contracts/ImmutableBundle.sol:

- The constructor of the contract does not validate that the \_bundler parameter is not a zero address.
- The constructor of the contract does not validate that the \_personalBundlerFactory parameter is not a zero address.

#### contracts/PersonalBundlerFactory.sol:

- The constructor of the contract does not validate that the \_personalBundlerImplementation parameter is not a zero address.

#### contracts/ERC998TopDown.sol:

- The childContractByIndex function does not validate that the \_index parameter is a valid index.
- The childTokenByIndex function does not validate that the \_index parameter is a valid index.

#### contracts/utils/Ownable.sol:

- The constructor of the contract does not validate that the \_initialOwner parameter is not a zero address.

#### Risk Level:

Likelihood - 2

Impact - 2

#### Recommendation:

It is recommended to validate the listed parameters to prevent contract misconfiguration and reverts without error messages.

#### Remediation Plan:

RISK ACCEPTED: The NFTfi team accepted the risk of this finding.

## 3.7 (HAL-07) USE OF INLINE ASSEMBLY - INFORMATIONAL

#### Description:

Inline assembly is a way to access the Ethereum Virtual Machine at a low level. This discards several important safety features of Solidity and the static compiler. Because the EVM is a stack machine, it is often hard to address the correct stack slot and provide arguments to opcodes at the correct point on the stack. Solidity's inline assembly tries to facilitate that and other issues arising when writing manual assembly. Assembly is much more difficult to write because the compiler does not perform checks, so the contract developer should be aware of this warning.

#### Code Location:

```
Listing 6: ERC998TopDown.sol

184 assembly {
185    rootOwner := or(ERC998_MAGIC_VALUE, rootOwnerAddress)
186 }
```

```
Listing 7: ERC998TopDown.sol

475 assembly {
476    tokenId := mload(add(_data, 0x20))
477 }
```

#### Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1 Impact - 2

#### Recommendation:

When possible, do not use inline assembly because it is a manner to access to the EVM (Ethereum Virtual Machine) at a low level. An attacker could bypass many important safety features of Solidity.

#### Remediation Plan:

ACKNOWLEDGED: The NFTfi team acknowledged this issue.

# 3.8 (HAL-08) LOOP GAS USAGE OPTIMIZATION - INFORMATIONAL

#### Description:

Multiple gas cost optimization opportunities were identified in the loops of the NftfiBundler contract:

- Unnecessary reading of the array length on each iteration wastes gas.
- Using != consumes less gas than <.
- It is possible to further optimize loops by using unchecked loop index incrementing and decrementing.
- Loop counters do not need to be set to 0, since uint256 is already initialized to 0.

#### Code Location:

```
contracts/NftfiBundler.sol
- Line 180 for (uint256 i = 0; i < _bundleElements.length; ++i){
- Line 193 for (uint256 j = 0; j < _bundleElements[i].ids.length; ++j){
- Line 192 for (uint256 j = 0; j < _bundleElements[i].ids.length; ++j){
- Line 204 for (uint256 i = 0; i < _bundleElements.length; ++i){
- Line 206 for (uint256 j = 0; j < _bundleElements[i].ids.length; ++j){
contracts/PermittedNFTs.sol
- Line 120 for (uint256 i = 0; i < _nftContracts.length; ++i){
Risk Level:
Likelihood - 2
Impact - 1</pre>
```

#### Recommendation:

It is recommended to cache array lengths outside of loops, as long the size is not changed during the loop.

It is recommended to use the unchecked ++i operation to increment the values of the uint variable inside the loop. It is noted that using unchecked operations requires particular caution to avoid overflows, and their use may impair code readability.

It is possible to save gas by using != instead of < in the exit conditions.

The following code is an example of the above recommendations:

```
Listing 8

1 uint256 bundleLength = _bundleElements.length;
2 for (uint256 i; i != bundleLength; ++i) {
3
```

#### Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The NFTfi team implemented the recommended gas optimizations.

Commit ID: d93033e7d122168797981dfbd439374fbe5d4dd2

# 3.9 (HAL-09) SOLC 0.8.4 COMPILER VERSION CONTAINS MULTIPLE BUGS - INFORMATIONAL

#### Description:

The scoped contracts have configured the fixed pragma set to 0.8.4. The latest solidity compiler version, 0.8.17, fixed important bugs in the compiler along with new native protections. The current version is missing the following fixes: 0.8.5, 0.8.6, 0.8.7, 0.8.8, 0.8.9, 0.8.12, 0.8.13, 0.8.14, 0.8.15, 0.8.16, 0.8.17.

The official Solidity's recommendations are that you should use the latest released version of Solidity when deploying contracts. Apart from exceptional cases, only the newest version receives security fixes.

#### Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1 Impact - 2

#### Recommendation:

It is recommended to use the latest Solidity compiler version as possible.

#### Remediation Plan:

**SOLVED:** The NFTfi team bumped the Solidity compiler version to 0.8.17.

Commit ID: faa56c0d56293a7a43008a4c2f4f2500ba131cbf

# 3.10 (HAL-10) SPLITTING REQUIRE() STATEMENTS THAT USES AND OPERATOR SAVES GAS - INFORMATIONAL

#### Description:

Instead of using the '&&'' operator in a single require statement to check multiple conditions, using multiple require statements with one condition per require statement saves 8 GAS per operation.

The gas difference can only be realized if the revert condition is satisfied.

#### Code Location:

#### Proof of Concept:

The following tests were carried out in Remix with optimization turned both on and off

```
Listing 10

1 require ( a > 1 && a < 5, "Initialized");
2 return a + 2;
```

#### Execution cost

21617 with optimization and using && 21976 without optimization and using &&

After splitting the require statement

```
Listing 11

1    require (a > 1 ,"Initialized");
2    require (a < 5 , "Initialized");
3    return a + 2;</pre>
```

#### Execution cost

21609 with optimization and split require 21968 without optimization and using split require

#### Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1 Impact - 1

#### Recommendation:

It is recommended to use multiple require statements with 1 condition per require statement in order to save gas.

#### Remediation Plan:

**SOLVED:** The NFTfi team solved the issue by refactoring the mentioned require statement.

Commit ID: 52f68e41a729e83f27c1cb747a464a2367132d5b

# 3.11 (HAL-11) UNNECESSARY IMPORTS - INFORMATIONAL

#### Description:

The following library imports can be removed because they are redundant or not used in the contracts:

- IERC20.sol is also included in SafeERC20.sol.
- IERC1155.sol is not used in some contracts.
- ERC721Holder.sol is not used in some contracts.

#### Code Location:

```
Listing 12: contracts/NftfiBundler.sol

12 import "@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/IERC20.sol";
13 import "@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC1155/IERC1155.sol";
```

```
Listing 13: contracts/ImmutableBundle.sol

10 import "@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/IERC20.sol";
11 import "@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC1155/IERC1155.sol";
```

```
Listing 15: contracts/airdrop/AirdropFlashLoan.sol

8 import "@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/IERC20.sol";
```

#### Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1

Impact - 1

#### Recommendation:

It is recommended to remove the unnecessary library imports from the code of the contracts.

#### Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: The NFTfi team solved the issue by removing unnecessary imports.

Commit ID: fef3ac4bb4eb87a78e43082a560365a767178aae

# 3.12 (HAL-12) ANYONE CAN ADD TOKENS TO ANY BUNDLE OR PERSONALBUNDLE - INFORMATIONAL

#### Description:

Users can add any whitelisted NFTs to their bundles or personal bundles with the safeTransferFrom() or getChild() functions.

However, it has been detected that no checks are in place to ensure that users can only add tokens to bundles they already own. Those kinds of checks are already implemented in functions such as sendElementsToPersonalBundler, in which the owner of any bundle can only send the tokens to a personal bundle they own:

```
uint256 personalBundleId = 1;
        require(IERC721(_personalBundler).ownerOf(personalBundleId
while (childContracts[_tokenId].length() > 0) {
            address childContract = childContracts[_tokenId].at(0)
            while (childTokens[_tokenId][childContract].length() >
  0) {
               uint256 childId = childTokens[_tokenId][
_removeChild(_tokenId, childContract, childId);
               try
                   IERC721(childContract).safeTransferFrom(
                      address(this),
                      abi.encodePacked(personalBundleId)
               {
               } catch {
                   revert("only safe transfer");
               emit TransferChild(_tokenId, _personalBundler,
}
        }
```

This behavior allows the owner of the bundle to extract any token included in it, no matter who was the original owner. This can be used to use NFTfi reputation to perform phishing campaigns or any similar malicious activity that might have a reputational impact on the project.

#### Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1 <u>Imp</u>act - 1

#### Recommendation:

It is recommended to check if this is an acceptable behavior and revise and unify criteria for bundle ownership requirements.

#### Remediation Plan:

ACKNOWLEDGED: The NFTfi team acknowledged this issue.

# 3.13 (HAL-13) OPEN TODOs - INFORMATIONAL

#### Description:

Open To-dos can point to architecture or programming issues that still need to be resolved. Often these kinds of comments indicate areas of complexity or confusion for developers. This provides value and insight to an attacker who aims to cause damage to the protocol.

#### Code Location:

#### TO-D0:

#### Listing 17: NftfiBundler.sol

42 //fix this actual pers

#### Risk Level:

#### Likelihood - 1

Impact - 1

#### Recommendation:

Consider resolving the To-dos before deploying code to a production context. Use an independent issue tracker or other project management software to track development tasks.

#### Remediation Plan:

**SOLVED**: The NFTfi team solved the issue by removing every TODO present in the code.

Commit ID: d93033e7d122168797981dfbd439374fbe5d4dd2

# 3.14 (HAL-14) INCOMPLETE NATSPEC DOCUMENTATION - INFORMATIONAL

#### Description:

**Natspec** documentation are useful for internal developers that need to work on the project, external developers that need to integrate with the project, auditors that have to review it but also for end users given that many chain explorers have officially integrated the support for it directly on their site.

It has been detected that, while many contracts have a complete **natspec** documentation, other contracts or functions are little to no documented.

#### Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1 Impact - 1

#### Recommendation:

Consider adding the missing natspec documentation.

#### Remediation Plan:

**SOLVED**: The NFTfi team added the missing **natspec** documentation.

#### Commit IDs:

- 31f25502aeba5c2f623c70386619c28a3de5266e
- ae470d0473f24271e6b9471f9111b14a607f6270

## RETESTING

The issue described in this section was brought to Halborn's attention by the Nftfi team post the original final report from Halborn done on commit 2c7ef51f7f820c65d93f57490c77bf67a4578773.

The fixes were implemented in commit b39030551b8492b78f3fa1827ad840fcd01daace and then reviewed and retested by Halborn.

# 4.1 NFTFI01 - BUNDLES CAN BE DRAINED BY ANYONE

#### Description:

Please note this finding was brought to Halborn's attention by the NFTfi team.

With the NftfiBundler contract, users can pack NFTs into bundles which can be used as collateral for loans. When a user calls the buildBundle() function to deposit NFTs into a bundle, the ownership of the deposited NFTs is transferred to the NFTfiBundler contract, and a new token representing the bundle is minted to the user.

Deposited NFTs can be removed from a bundle with the decomposeBundle() function, which sends every NFT deposited in it to the designated receiver (must be called by the owner of the bundle token), or pick the NFTs to remove from the bundle by calling the removeBundleElements() function.

The NFTfi team pointed out that although the contract ensures the user calling removeBundleElements() is the owner of the bundle (\_tokenId), the checks for ensuring that the requested NFTs (\_bundleElements) actually belong to this bundle are missing, allowing arbitrary bundle owners to remove arbitrary NFTs from arbitrary bundles.

#### Code Location:

```
Listing 18: NftfiBundler.sol (Line 237)
       function _removeBundleElements(uint256 _tokenId,
→ BundleElementERC721[] memory _bundleElements) internal {
           _validateTransferSender(_tokenId);
           require(_bundleElements.length > 0, "bundle is empty");
           uint256 elementNumber = _bundleElements.length;
           for (uint256 i; i != elementNumber; ++i) {
               address erc721Contract = _bundleElements[i].
               uint256 nuberOfIds = _bundleElements[i].ids.length;
               for (uint256 j; j != nuberOfIds; ++j) {
                   uint256 childId = _bundleElements[i].ids[j];
                   _removeChild(_tokenId, erc721Contract, childId);
                   if (_bundleElements[i].safeTransferable) {
                       IERC721(erc721Contract).safeTransferFrom(

    address(this), msg.sender, childId);
                   } else {
                       _oldNFTsTransfer(msg.sender, erc721Contract,

    childId);
                   emit TransferChild(_tokenId, msg.sender,
emit RemoveBundleElements(_tokenId, _bundleElements);
```

#### Proof of Concept:

This PoC shows how user2 bundles NFTs 1, 2, and 3 with the NftfiBundler contract. Following that, user3 mints themselves a NftfiBundler token, which is used to call the removeBundleElements() function with \_tokenId = 2 (which is the token that user3 just minted, passing the ownership check), and uses the \_childContract and \_childTokenId values used by user2, stealing their NFTs.

#### Recommendation:

It is recommended to ensure that the bundle (\_tokenId) owner can remove the NFTs (\_childContract and \_childTokenId) only from that specific bundle when calling the removeBundleElements() function.

#### Remediation Plan:

**SOLVED:** The NFTfi team solved the issue by validating \_tokenId ownership and that each \_childTokenId and \_childContract that is removed is actually deposited into the \_tokenId introduced.

Commit ID: b39030551b8492b78f3fa1827ad840fcd01daace

### AUTOMATED TESTING

### 5.1 STATIC ANALYSIS REPORT

#### Description:

Halborn used automated testing techniques to enhance the coverage of certain areas of the scoped contracts. Among the tools used was Slither, a Solidity static analysis framework. After Halborn verified all the contracts in the repository and was able to compile them correctly into their ABI and binary formats, Slither was run on the all-scoped contracts. This tool can statically verify mathematical relationships between Solidity variables to detect invalid or inconsistent usage of the contracts' APIs across the entire code-base.

#### Slither results:

#### AirdropFlashLoan.sol

```
Accordance with the design of the country of the co
```

### PersonalBundlerFactory.sol, PersonalBundler.sol, NftfiBundler.sol, and ERC998TopDown.sol

```
Reentrancy in ERC998TopDown.transferChild(uint256.address.address.uint256) (contracts/ERC998TopDown.sol#265-274):
                                                     Event emitted after the call(s):

- TransferChild(_fromTokenId,_to,_childContract,_childTokenId) (contracts/ERC998TopDown.sol#273)

Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-3
Clones.clone(address) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/proxy/Clones.sol#24-33) uses assembly
- INLINE ASM (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/proxy/Clones.sol#23-31)
Clones.cloneDeterministic(address,bytes32) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/proxy/Clones.sol#42-51) uses assembly
- INLINE ASM (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/proxy/Clones.sol#43-49)
Clones.predictDeterministicAddress(address, bytes32, address) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/proxy/Clones.sol#43-49)
- INLINE ASM (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/proxy/Clones.sol#61-70)
ERC721.checkOnERC721Received(address, address, unto256, bytes) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC721/ERC721.sol#382-384)
Address.isContract(address) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC721/ERC721.sol#382-384)
Address.isContract(address) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#32-34)
Address._verifyCallResult(bool,bytes,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#32-34)
Address._verifyCallResult(bool,bytes,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#389-209) uses assembly
- INLINE ASM (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#389-209) uses assembly

ERC998TopDown.ownerOffchild(address,uint256) (contracts/ERC998TopDown.sol#16-130) uses assembly
- INLINE ASM (contracts/ERC998TopDown.sol#127-129)
ERC998TopDown.parseTokenId(bytes) (contracts/ERC998TopDown.sol#37-478) uses assembly
- INLINE ASM (contracts/ERC998TopDown.sol#37-477)
Reference: https://github.com/crcy98TopDown.sol#37-477)
    Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#assembly-usage
                                                             versions of Solidity are used:

Version used: ['0.8.4', ''0.8.0']

-08.80 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/proxy/clones.sol#3)

-08.80 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/proxy/utils/Initializable.sol#3)

-08.80 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/sycken/ERC1155/IERC1155.sol#3)

-08.80 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC1155/IERC1155.sol#3)

-08.80 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC1155/IERC1155.sol#3)

-08.80 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC1155/IERC1155Receiver.sol#3)

-08.80 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC1155/utils/ERC1155Receiver.sol#3)

-08.80 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/IERC20.sol#3)

-08.80 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/IERC20.sol#3)

-08.80 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC721/IERC721.sol#3)

-08.80 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC721/IERC721Enumerable.sol#3)

-08.80 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC721/IERC721Enumerable.sol#3)

-08.80 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC721/IERC721Enumerable.sol#3)

-08.80 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC721/IERC721Holder.sol#3)

-08.80 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC721/IERC721
- ^0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/introspection/IERC165.sol#3)
- ^0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/structs/EnumerableSet.sol#3)
- 0.8.4 (contracts/ERC998TopDown.sol#3)
- 0.8.4 (contracts/IBundleButlder.sol#3)
- 0.8.4 (contracts/IERC998ERC721TopDownEnumerable.sol#3)
- 0.8.4 (contracts/IERC998ERC721TopDownEnumerable.sol#3)
- 0.8.4 (contracts/INftfiBundler.sol#3)
- 0.8.4 (contracts/INftfiBundler.sol#3)
- 0.8.4 (contracts/INftfiBundler.sol#3)
- 0.8.4 (contracts/PersonalBundler.sol#3)
- 0.8.4 (contracts/PersonalBundlerFactory.sol#3)
- 0.8.4 (contracts/PersonalBundlerFactory.sol#3)
- 0.8.4 (contracts/INftfiBundler.sol#3)
- 0.8.4 (contracts/Utils/Ownable.sol#3)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#different-pragma-directives-are-used
```

```
Address.functionCallWithValue(address, bytes, uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#188-114) is never used and should be removed Address.functionDelegateCall(address, bytes) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#188-178) is never used and should be removed Address.functionDelegateCall(address, bytes) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#18-187) is never used and should be removed Address.functionState(Call(address, bytes) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#181-188) is never used and should be removed Address.functionState(Call(address, bytes) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#181-188) is never used and should be removed Address.functionState(Call(address, bytes) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#181-188) is never used and should be removed Clones.cloneDeterministic(address, bytes) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#181-188) is never used and should be removed Clones.predictDeterministicAddress.gol#322 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#367-82) is never used and should be removed Clones.predictDeterministicAddress(address.bytes32) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/structs/Enumerablesdates/dopenzeppelin/contracts/utils/structs/Enumerablesdates/dopenzeppelin/contracts/utils/structs/Enumerablesdates/dopenzeppelin/contracts/utils/structs/Enumerablesdates/dopenzeppelin/contracts/utils/structs/Enumerablesdates/dopenzeppelin/contracts/utils/structs/Enumerablesdates/dopenzeppelin/contracts/utils/structs/Enumerablesdates/dopenzeppelin/contracts/utils/structs/Enumerablesdates/dopenzeppelin/contracts/utils/structs/Enumerablesdates/dopenzeppelin/contracts/utils/structs/Enumerablesdates/dopenzeppelin/contracts/utils/structs/Enumerablesdates/dopenzeppelin/contracts/utils/structs/Enumerablesdates/dopenzeppelin/contracts/utils/structs/Enumerablesdates/dopenzeppelin/contracts/utils/structs/Enumerablesdates/dopenzeppelin/contracts/utils/structs/Enumera
  Pragma version*0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/trible/intit/synthub.com/cryttc/sltther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code

Pragma version*0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/proxy/clones.sol#3) allows old versions

Pragma version*0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/proxy/clones.sol#3) allows old versions

Pragma version*0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/coventiles/mitializable.sol#3) allows old versions

Pragma version*0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/cloken/ERC1155/ERC1155s.sol#3) allows old versions

Pragma version*0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/cloken/ERC1155/ERC1155s.sol#3) allows old versions

Pragma version*0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/cloken/ERC1155/ERC1155Sectever.sol#3) allows old versions

Pragma version*0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/cloken/ERC155/ERC1155Sectever.sol#3) allows old versions

Pragma version*0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/cloken/ERC25/ERC26.sol#3) allows old versions

Pragma version*0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/cloken/ERC25/ERC26.sol#3) allows old versions

Pragma version*0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/cloken/ERC25/ERC21.sol#3) allows old versions

Pragma version*0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/cloken/ERC25/ERC21.sol#3) allows old versions

Pragma version*0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/cloken/ERC25/ERC21.sol#3) allows old versions

Pragma version*0.8.0 (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/cloken/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC25/ERC2
    Reference: https://qlthub.com/cryttc/slther/wiki/Detector-Documentation#uncorrect-versions-of-solidaty

Low level call in Address.sendValue(address,uint256) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#54-59):

— (success) = recipient.call(value: amount)() (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#57)

Low level call in Address.functionCallWithValue(address,bytes,uint256,string) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#313):

— (success,returndata) = target.call(value: value)(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#3151-160):

— (success,returndata) = target.staticcall(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#318))

Low level call in Address.functionDelegatecall(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#318-31):

— (success,returndata) = target.delegatecall(data) (node_modules/@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#318-31):

Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#low-level-calls
```

- All the reentrancies flagged are false positives.
- No major issues were found by Slither.

### 5.2 AUTOMATED SECURITY SCAN

#### Description:

Halborn used automated security scanners to assist with detection of well-known security issues, and to identify low-hanging fruits on the targets for this engagement. Among the tools used was MythX, a security analysis service for Ethereum smart contracts. MythX performed a scan on all the contracts and sent the compiled results to the analyzers to locate any vulnerabilities.

#### MythX results:

#### AirdropFlashLoan.sol

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
20	(SWC-123) Requirement Violation	Low	Requirement violation.

### NftfiBundler.sol

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
180	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "++" discovered
181	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
182	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
183	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
183	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "++" discovered
184	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
187	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
192	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "++" discovered
192	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
193	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
204	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "++" discovered
205	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
206	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "++" discovered
206	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
207	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access
210	(SWC-110) Assert Violation	Unknown	Out of bounds array access

### PersonalBundler.sol

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
55	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "-=" discovered

### ImmutableBundler.sol

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
239	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "++" discovered
254	(SWC-101) Integer Overflow and Underflow	Unknown	Arithmetic operation "++" discovered

• No major issues were found by MythX.

THANK YOU FOR CHOOSING

