

Primitive Security Review

Auditors

Kurt Barry, Lead Security Researcher Christoph Michel, Lead Security Researcher

Report prepared by: Pablo Misirov

Contents

1	About Spearbit				
2	Introduction Risk classification 3.1 Impact				
3					
4	Executive Summary	3			
5	Findings 5.1 Critical	4 5 ity 5 6 g . 6 13 14			
	5.4.1 approximatePriceGivenX does not need to compute y-bounds 5.4.2 Unnecessary computations in NormalStrategy.beforeSwap 5.5 Informational 5.5.1 Pools can use malicious strategies 5.5.2 findRootForSwappingIn functions should use MINIMUM_INVARIANT_DELTA 5.5.3 Unused Errors 5.5.4 getSwapInvariants order output can be 1 instead of 2 5.5.5 AfterCreate event uses wrong durationSeconds value if pool is perpetual 5.5.6 Unnecessary fee reserves check	15 16 16 16 17 17			

1 About Spearbit

Spearbit is a decentralized network of expert security engineers offering reviews and other security related services to Web3 projects with the goal of creating a stronger ecosystem. Our network has experience on every part of the blockchain technology stack, including but not limited to protocol design, smart contracts and the Solidity compiler. Spearbit brings in untapped security talent by enabling expert freelance auditors seeking flexibility to work on interesting projects together.

Learn more about us at spearbit.com

2 Introduction

Portfolio is an on-chain protocol for low cost portfolio management using automated market making strategies.

Disclaimer: This security review does not guarantee against a hack. It is a snapshot in time of portfolio according to the specific commit. Any modifications to the code will require a new security review.

3 Risk classification

Severity level	Impact: High	Impact: Medium	Impact: Low
Likelihood: high	Critical	High	Medium
Likelihood: medium	High	Medium	Low
Likelihood: low	Medium	Low	Low

3.1 Impact

- High leads to a loss of a significant portion (>10%) of assets in the protocol, or significant harm to a majority
 of users.
- Medium global losses <10% or losses to only a subset of users, but still unacceptable.
- Low losses will be annoying but bearable--applies to things like griefing attacks that can be easily repaired or even gas inefficiencies.

3.2 Likelihood

- · High almost certain to happen, easy to perform, or not easy but highly incentivized
- · Medium only conditionally possible or incentivized, but still relatively likely
- · Low requires stars to align, or little-to-no incentive

3.3 Action required for severity levels

- Critical Must fix as soon as possible (if already deployed)
- High Must fix (before deployment if not already deployed)
- · Medium Should fix
- · Low Could fix

4 Executive Summary

Over the course of 5 days in total, Primitive engaged with Spearbit to review the portfolio protocol. In this period of time a total of **13** issues were found.

Summary

Project Name	Primitive		
Repository	portfolio		
Commit	f8302e21de		
Type of Project	Portfolio Management, DeFi		
Audit Timeline	July 24 - July 28		
Two week fix period	July 28 - Aug 7		

Issues Found

Severity	Count	Fixed	Acknowledged
Critical Risk	1	1	0
High Risk	0	0	0
Medium Risk	1	1	0
Low Risk	3	2	1
Gas Optimizations	2	2	0
Informational	6	5	1
Total	13	11	2

5 Findings

5.1 Critical

5.1.1 tradingFunction returns wrong invariant at bounds, allowing to steal all pool reserves

Severity: Critical Risk

Context: NormalStrategyLib.sol#L157-L165

Description: The tradingFunction computing the invariant value of $k = \Phi^1(y/K) - \Phi^1(1-x) + \sigma\tau$ returns the wrong value at the bounds of x and y. The bounds of x are 0 and 1e18, the bounds of y are 0 and K, the strike price. If x or y is at these bounds, the corresponding term's computation is skipped and therefore implicitly set to 0, its initialization value.

```
int256 invariantTermX; // $\Phi^1(1-x)
// @audit if x is at the bounds, the term remains 0
if (self.reserveXPerWad.isBetween(lowerBoundX + 1, upperBoundX - 1)) {
   invariantTermX = Gaussian.ppf(int256(WAD - self.reserveXPerWad));
}
int256 invariantTermY; // $\Phi^1(y/K)
// @audit if y is at the bounds, the term remains 0
if (self.reserveYPerWad.isBetween(lowerBoundY + 1, upperBoundY - 1)) {
   invariantTermY = Gaussian.ppf(
        int256(self.reserveYPerWad.divWadUp(self.strikePriceWad))
   );
}
```

Note that Φ^1 = Gaussian.ppf is the probit function which is undefined at 0 and 1.0, but tends towards -infinity at 0 and +infinity at 1.0 = 1e18. (The closest values used in the Solidity approximation are Gaussian.ppf(1) = -8710427241990476442 ~ -8.71 and Gaussian.ppf(1e18-1) = 8710427241990476442 ~ 8.71.)

This fact can be abused by an attacker to steal the pool reserves. For example, the y-term $\Phi^1(y/K)$ will be a **negative value** for y/K < 0.5. Trading out all y reserve, will compute the new invariant with y set to 0 and the y-term $\Phi^1(y/K) = \Phi^1(0) = -\inf inity$ is set to 0 instead, increasing the overall invariant, accepting the swap.

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: GPL-3.0-only
pragma solidity ^0.8.4;
import "solmate/utils/SafeCastLib.sol";
import "./Setup.sol";
contract TestSpearbit is Setup {
 using SafeCastLib for uint256;
 using AssemblyLib for uint256;
 using AssemblyLib for uint128;
 using FixedPointMathLib for uint256;
 using FixedPointMathLib for uint128;
 function test_swap_all_out()
   public
   defaultConfig
   useActor
   usePairTokens(10 ether)
    allocateSome(1 ether)
  {
    (uint256 reserveAsset, uint256 reserveQuote) =
      subject().getPoolReserves(ghost().poolId);
      bool sellAsset = true;
      uint128 amtIn = 2; // pass reserve-not-stale check after taking fee
      uint128 amtOut = uint128(reserveQuote);
```

```
uint256 prev = ghost().quote().to_token().balanceOf(actor());
Order memory order = Order({
    useMax: false,
    poolId: ghost().poolId,
    input: amtIn,
    output: amtOut,
    sellAsset: sellAsset
});
subject().swap(order);
uint256 post = ghost().quote().to_token().balanceOf(actor());
assertTrue(post > prev, "swap-failed");
}
```

Recommendation: The terms for values at the bounds $\Phi^1(y/K)$ and $\Phi^1(1-x)$ may not be set to zero as they would mathematically correspond to +/- infinity, resulting in a wrong invariant value. Swapping out all reserves should not be possible. As there are several other problems with one reserve value being zero, we recommend disallowing swapping out all reserves.

Note that deallocating should already not allow zeroing a reserve as some initial LP tokens are locked and the deallocated amounts are rounded down.

Primitive: Fixed commit 743829.

- Checks if either reserve is gte a respective bound. If it is, set it as close to the bound as possible, but not at the bound so the Gaussian.ppf function does not revert.
- If one of the reserves is set very close to its bound, the other reserve will need to be very close to its opposite bound, else the invariant will be very negative.
- Adds a check in adjustReserves which gets triggered during a swap to revert if either virtualX or virtualY are zero.
- Removes the overwritten deltaLiquidity value that would allow 0 allocates to happen in getPoolMaxLiquidity.
- Also note that I updated the 'min delta' value in the invariant tests. It looks like if either of the reserves are changed by >= 3 wei then the trading function is strictly monotonic. If the delta is 2 or less, there's some cases where the invariant does not change.

Spearbit: Fixed.

5.2 Medium Risk

5.2.1 getSpotPrice, approximateReservesGivenPrice, getStrategyData ignore time to maturity

Severity: *Medium Risk*

Context: NormalStrategyLib.sol#L375

Description: When calling getSpotPrice, getStrategyData or approximateReservesGivenPrice, the pool config is transformed into a NormalCurve struct. This transformation always sets the time to maturity field to the entire duration

```
function transform(PortfolioConfig memory config)
  pure
  returns (NormalCurve memory)
{
  return NormalCurve({
    reserveXPerWad: 0,
    reserveYPerWad: 0,
    strikePriceWad: config.strikePriceWad,
    standardDeviationWad: config.volatilityBasisPoints.bpsToPercentWad(),
    timeRemainingSeconds: config.durationSeconds,
    invariant: 0
  });
}
```

Neither is the curve.timeRemainingSeconds value overridden with the correct value for the mentioned functions. The reported spot price will be wrong after the pool has been initialized and integrators cannot rely on this value.

Recommendation: Initialize the timeRemainingSeconds value in transform to the current time remainings value or set it to the correct value afterwards for functions where it is needed. It should use a value similar to what computeTau(..., block.timestamp) returns. Consider adding additional tests for the affected functions for pools that have been active for a while.

Primitive: Fixed in commit 15ee0f.

Spearbit: Fixed. It was changed for getSpotPrice, comments have been added to the other functions.

5.3 Low Risk

5.3.1 Numerical error on larger trades favors the swapper relative to mathematically ideal pricing

Severity: Low Risk

Context: File.sol#L123

Description: To test the accuracy of the Solidity numerical methods used, a Python implementation of the swap logic was created using a library that supports arbitrary precision (https://mpmath.org/). Solidity swap executions generated in a custom fuzz test were compared against arbitrary precision results using Foundry's ffi feature (https://book.getfoundry.sh/forge/differential-ffi-testing). Cases where the "realized" swap price was better for the swapper than the "ideal" swap price were flagged. Deviations in the swapper's favor as large as 25% were observed (and larger ones likely exist). These seem to be a function of the size of the swap made--larger swaps favor the swapper more than smaller swaps (in fact, deviations were observed to trend towards zero as swap size relative to pool size decreased). It is unclear if there's any problem in practice from this behavior--large swaps will still incur large slippage and are only incentivized when the price has "jumped" drastically; fees also help make up for losses. Without going further, it can be stated that there is a risk for pools with frequent discontinuous price changes to track the theoretical payoff more poorly, but further numerical investigations are needed to determine whether there's a serious concern.

The test cases below require the simulation repo to be cloned into a Python virtual environment in a directory named primitive-math-venv with the needed dependencies at the same directory hierarchy level as the portfolio repository. That is, the portfolio/ directory and primitive-math-venv/ directories should be in the same folder, and the primitive-math-venv/ folder should contain the primitive-sim repository. The virtual environment needs to be activated and have the mpmath, scipy, numpy, and eth_abi dependencies installed via pip or another method. Alternatively, these can be installed globally in which case the primitive-math-venv directory does not need to be a virtual environment.

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: GPL-3.0-only
pragma solidity ^0.8.4;
import "solmate/utils/SafeCastLib.sol";
import "./Setup.sol";
```

```
contract TestNumericalDeviation is Setup {
 using SafeCastLib for uint256;
 using AssemblyLib for uint256;
 using AssemblyLib for uint128;
 using FixedPointMathLib for uint256;
 using FixedPointMathLib for uint128;
 bool printLogs = true;
  function _fuzz_random_args(
   bool sellAsset,
   uint256 amountIn,
   uint256 amountOut
  ) internal returns (bool swapExecuted) {
      Order memory maxOrder =
        subject().getMaxOrder(ghost().poolId, sellAsset, actor());
       bound(amountIn, maxOrder.input / 1000 + 1, maxOrder.input);
      amountOut =
        subject().getAmountOut(ghost().poolId, sellAsset, amountIn, actor());
      if (printLogs) console.log("amountOut: ", amountOut);
      Order memory order = Order({
       useMax: false,
       poolId: ghost().poolId,
       input: amountIn.safeCastTo128(),
       output: amountOut.safeCastTo128(),
       sellAsset: sellAsset
      });
      try subject().simulateSwap({
       order: order.
       timestamp: block.timestamp,
        swapper: actor()
      }) returns (bool swapSuccess, int256 prev, int256 post) {
          try subject().swap(order) {
              assertTrue(
                  swapSuccess, "simulateSwap-failed but swap succeeded"
              );
              assertTrue(post >= prev, "post-invariant-not-gte-prev");
              swapExecuted = true;
          } catch {
                  !swapSuccess, "simulateSwap-succeeded but swap failed"
          }
      } catch {
          // pass this case
 }
   struct TestVals {
     uint256 strike;
     uint256 volatility_bps;
     uint256 durationSeconds;
     uint256 ttm;
   }
    // fuzzing entrypoint used to find violating swaps
    function test_swap_deviation(uint256 amtIn, uint256 amtOut)
```

```
public
defaultConfig
useActor
usePairTokens(10 ether)
allocateSome(1 ether)
PortfolioPool memory pool = ghost().pool();
(uint256 preXPerL, uint256 preYPerL) = (pool.virtualX, pool.virtualY);
if (printLogs) {
    console.log("x_start: ", preXPerL);
    console.log("y_start: ", preYPerL);
}
TestVals memory tv;
uint256 creationTimestamp;
(tv.strike, tv.volatility_bps, tv.durationSeconds, creationTimestamp,)
    = NormalStrategy(pool.strategy).configs(ghost().poolId);
tv.ttm = creationTimestamp + tv.durationSeconds - block.timestamp;
if (printLogs) {
    console.log("strike: ", tv.strike);
    console.log("volatility_bps: ", tv.volatility_bps);
    console.log("durationSeconds: ", tv.durationSeconds);
    console.log("creationTimestamp: ", creationTimestamp);
    console.log("block.timestamp: ", block.timestamp);
    console.log("ttm: ", tv.ttm);
    console.log("protocol fee: ", subject().protocolFee());
    console.log("pool fee: ", pool.feeBasisPoints);
    console.log("pool priority fee: ", pool.priorityFeeBasisPoints);
}
}
bool sellAsset = true;
if (printLogs) console.log("sellAsset: ", sellAsset);
bool swapExecuted = _fuzz_random_args(sellAsset, amtIn, amtOut);
if (!swapExecuted) return; // not interesting to check swap if it didn't execute
pool = ghost().pool();
(uint256 postXPerL, uint256 postYPerL) = (pool.virtualX, pool.virtualY);
if (printLogs) {
    console.log("x_end: ", postXPerL);
    console.log("y_end: ", postYPerL);
}
string[] memory cmds = new string[](18);
cmds[0] = "python3";
cmds[1] = "../primitive-math-venv/primitive-sim/check_swap_result.py";
cmds[2] = "--x";
cmds[3] = vm.toString(preXPerL);
cmds[4] = "--y";
cmds[5] = vm.toString(preYPerL);
cmds[6] = "--strike";
cmds[7] = vm.toString(tv.strike);
cmds[8] = "--vol_bps";
cmds[9] = vm.toString(tv.volatility_bps);
cmds[10] = "--duration";
cmds[11] = vm.toString(tv.durationSeconds);
cmds[12] = "--ttm";
cmds[13] = vm.toString(tv.ttm);
```

```
cmds[14] = "--xprime";
    cmds[15] = vm.toString(postXPerL);
    cmds[16] = "--yprime";
    cmds[17] = vm.toString(postYPerL);
    bytes memory result = vm.ffi(cmds);
    (uint256 idealFinalDependentPerL) = abi.decode(result, (uint256));
    if (printLogs) console.log("idealFinalDependentPerL: ", idealFinalDependentPerL);
    uint256 postDependentPerL = sellAsset ? postYPerL : postXPerL;
    // Only worried if swap was _better_ than ideal
    if (idealFinalDependentPerL > postDependentPerL) {
        uint256 diff = idealFinalDependentPerL - postDependentPerL;
        uint256 percentErrWad = diff * 1e18 / idealFinalDependentPerL;
        if (printLogs) console.log("%% err wad: ", percentErrWad);
        // assert at worst 25% error
        assertLt(percentErrWad, 0.25 * 1e18);
    }
}
function test_swap_gt_2pct_dev_in_swapper_favor()
    public
    defaultConfig
    useActor
    usePairTokens(10 ether)
    allocateSome(1 ether)
{
    uint256 amtIn = 6552423086988641261559668799172253742131420409793952225706522955;
    uint256 amtOut = 0;
    PortfolioPool memory pool = ghost().pool();
    (uint256 preXPerL, uint256 preYPerL) = (pool.virtualX, pool.virtualY);
    if (printLogs) {
        console.log("x_start: ", preXPerL);
        console.log("y_start: ", preYPerL);
    }
    TestVals memory tv;
    uint256 creationTimestamp;
    (tv.strike, tv.volatility_bps, tv.durationSeconds, creationTimestamp,)
        = NormalStrategy(pool.strategy).configs(ghost().poolId);
    tv.ttm = creationTimestamp + tv.durationSeconds - block.timestamp;
    if (printLogs) {
        console.log("strike: ", tv.strike);
        console.log("volatility_bps: ", tv.volatility_bps);
        console.log("durationSeconds: ", tv.durationSeconds);
        console.log("creationTimestamp: ", creationTimestamp);
        console.log("block.timestamp: ", block.timestamp);
        console.log("ttm: ", tv.ttm);
        console.log("protocol fee: ", subject().protocolFee());
        console.log("pool fee: ", pool.feeBasisPoints);
        console.log("pool priority fee: ", pool.priorityFeeBasisPoints);
    }
    }
    bool sellAsset = true;
    if (printLogs) console.log("sellAsset: ", sellAsset);
    bool swapExecuted = _fuzz_random_args(sellAsset, amtIn, amtOut);
```

```
if (!swapExecuted) return; // not interesting to check swap if it didn't execute
    pool = ghost().pool();
    (uint256 postXPerL, uint256 postYPerL) = (pool.virtualX, pool.virtualY);
    if (printLogs) {
        console.log("x_end: ", postXPerL);
        console.log("y_end: ", postYPerL);
    }
    string[] memory cmds = new string[](18);
    cmds[0] = "python3";
    cmds[1] = "../primitive-math-venv/primitive-sim/check_swap_result.py";
    cmds[2] = "--x";
    cmds[3] = vm.toString(preXPerL);
    cmds[4] = "--y";
    cmds[5] = vm.toString(preYPerL);
    cmds[6] = "--strike";
    cmds[7] = vm.toString(tv.strike);
    cmds[8] = "--vol_bps";
    cmds[9] = vm.toString(tv.volatility_bps);
    cmds[10] = "--duration";
    cmds[11] = vm.toString(tv.durationSeconds);
    cmds[12] = "--ttm";
    cmds[13] = vm.toString(tv.ttm);
    cmds[14] = "--xprime";
    cmds[15] = vm.toString(postXPerL);
    cmds[16] = "--yprime";
    cmds[17] = vm.toString(postYPerL);
    bytes memory result = vm.ffi(cmds);
    (uint256 idealFinalYPerL) = abi.decode(result, (uint256));
    if (printLogs) console.log("idealFinalYPerL: ", idealFinalYPerL);
    // Only worried if swap was _better_ than ideal
    if (idealFinalYPerL > postYPerL) {
        uint256 diff = idealFinalYPerL - postYPerL;
        uint256 percentErrWad = diff * 1e18 / idealFinalYPerL;
        if (printLogs) console.log("% err wad: ", percentErrWad);
        // assert at worst 2% error
        assertLt(percentErrWad, 0.02 * 1e18);
    }
}
function test_swap_gt_5pct_dev_in_swapper_favor()
    defaultConfig
    useActor
    usePairTokens(10 ether)
    allocateSome(1 ether)
    uint256 amtIn = 524204019310836059902749478707356665714276202503631350973429403;
    uint256 amtOut = 0;
    PortfolioPool memory pool = ghost().pool();
    (uint256 preXPerL, uint256 preYPerL) = (pool.virtualX, pool.virtualY);
    if (printLogs) {
        console.log("x_start: ", preXPerL);
        console.log("y_start: ", preYPerL);
    TestVals memory tv;
```

```
uint256 creationTimestamp;
(tv.strike, tv.volatility_bps, tv.durationSeconds, creationTimestamp,)
    = NormalStrategy(pool.strategy).configs(ghost().poolId);
tv.ttm = creationTimestamp + tv.durationSeconds - block.timestamp;
if (printLogs) {
    console.log("strike: ", tv.strike);
    console.log("volatility_bps: ", tv.volatility_bps);
    console.log("durationSeconds: ", tv.durationSeconds);
    console.log("creationTimestamp: ", creationTimestamp);
    console.log("block.timestamp: ", block.timestamp);
    console.log("ttm: ", tv.ttm);
    console.log("protocol fee: ", subject().protocolFee());
    console.log("pool fee: ", pool.feeBasisPoints);
    console.log("pool priority fee: ", pool.priorityFeeBasisPoints);
}
}
bool sellAsset = true;
if (printLogs) console.log("sellAsset: ", sellAsset);
bool swapExecuted = _fuzz_random_args(sellAsset, amtIn, amtOut);
if (!swapExecuted) return; // not interesting to check swap if it didn't execute
pool = ghost().pool();
(uint256 postXPerL, uint256 postYPerL) = (pool.virtualX, pool.virtualY);
if (printLogs) {
    console.log("x_end: ", postXPerL);
    console.log("y_end: ", postYPerL);
}
string[] memory cmds = new string[](18);
cmds[0] = "python3";
cmds[1] = "../primitive-math-venv/primitive-sim/check_swap_result.py";
cmds[2] = "--x";
cmds[3] = vm.toString(preXPerL);
cmds[4] = "--y";
cmds[5] = vm.toString(preYPerL);
cmds[6] = "--strike";
cmds[7] = vm.toString(tv.strike);
cmds[8] = "--vol_bps";
cmds[9] = vm.toString(tv.volatility_bps);
cmds[10] = "--duration";
cmds[11] = vm.toString(tv.durationSeconds);
cmds[12] = "--ttm";
cmds[13] = vm.toString(tv.ttm);
cmds[14] = "--xprime";
cmds[15] = vm.toString(postXPerL);
cmds[16] = "--yprime";
cmds[17] = vm.toString(postYPerL);
bytes memory result = vm.ffi(cmds);
(uint256 idealFinalYPerL) = abi.decode(result, (uint256));
if (printLogs) console.log("idealFinalYPerL: ", idealFinalYPerL);
// Only worried if swap was _better_ than ideal
if (idealFinalYPerL > postYPerL) {
    uint256 diff = idealFinalYPerL - postYPerL;
    uint256 percentErrWad = diff * 1e18 / idealFinalYPerL;
    if (printLogs) console.log("%% err wad: ", percentErrWad);
```

```
// assert at worst 2% error
        assertLt(percentErrWad, 0.05 * 1e18);
    }
}
function test_swap_gt_25pct_dev_in_swapper_favor()
    public
    defaultConfig
    useActor
    usePairTokens(10 ether)
    allocateSome(1 ether)
    uint256 amtIn = 110109023928019935126448015360767432374367360662791991077231763772041488708545;
    uint256 amtOut = 0:
    PortfolioPool memory pool = ghost().pool();
    (uint256 preXPerL, uint256 preYPerL) = (pool.virtualX, pool.virtualY);
    if (printLogs) {
        console.log("x_start: ", preXPerL);
        console.log("y_start: ", preYPerL);
    TestVals memory tv;
    uint256 creationTimestamp;
    (tv.strike, tv.volatility_bps, tv.durationSeconds, creationTimestamp,)
        = NormalStrategy(pool.strategy).configs(ghost().poolId);
    tv.ttm = creationTimestamp + tv.durationSeconds - block.timestamp;
    if (printLogs) {
        console.log("strike: ", tv.strike);
        console.log("volatility_bps: ", tv.volatility_bps);
        console.log("durationSeconds: ", tv.durationSeconds);
        console.log("creationTimestamp: ", creationTimestamp);
        console.log("block.timestamp: ", block.timestamp);
        console.log("ttm: ", tv.ttm);
        console.log("protocol fee: ", subject().protocolFee());
        console.log("pool fee: ", pool.feeBasisPoints);
        console.log("pool priority fee: ", pool.priorityFeeBasisPoints);
    }
    }
    bool sellAsset = true;
    if (printLogs) console.log("sellAsset: ", sellAsset);
    bool swapExecuted = _fuzz_random_args(sellAsset, amtIn, amtOut);
    if (!swapExecuted) return; // not interesting to check swap if it didn't execute
    pool = ghost().pool();
    (uint256 postXPerL, uint256 postYPerL) = (pool.virtualX, pool.virtualY);
    if (printLogs) {
        console.log("x_end: ", postXPerL);
        console.log("y_end: ", postYPerL);
    }
    string[] memory cmds = new string[](18);
    cmds[0] = "python3";
    cmds[1] = "../primitive-math-venv/primitive-sim/check_swap_result.py";
    cmds[2] = "--x";
    cmds[3] = vm.toString(preXPerL);
    cmds[4] = "--y";
```

```
cmds[5] = vm.toString(preYPerL);
        cmds[6] = "--strike";
        cmds[7] = vm.toString(tv.strike);
        cmds[8] = "--vol_bps";
        cmds[9] = vm.toString(tv.volatility_bps);
        cmds[10] = "--duration";
        cmds[11] = vm.toString(tv.durationSeconds);
        cmds[12] = "--ttm";
        cmds[13] = vm.toString(tv.ttm);
        cmds[14] = "--xprime";
        cmds[15] = vm.toString(postXPerL);
        cmds[16] = "--yprime";
        cmds[17] = vm.toString(postYPerL);
       bytes memory result = vm.ffi(cmds);
        (uint256 idealFinalYPerL) = abi.decode(result, (uint256));
        if (printLogs) console.log("idealFinalYPerL: ", idealFinalYPerL);
        // Only worried if swap was _better_ than ideal
        if (idealFinalYPerL > postYPerL) {
           uint256 diff = idealFinalYPerL - postYPerL;
            uint256 percentErrWad = diff * 1e18 / idealFinalYPerL;
            if (printLogs) console.log("%% err wad: ", percentErrWad);
            // assert at worst 25% error
            assertLt(percentErrWad, 0.25 * 1e18);
       }
   }
}
```

Recommendation: Do more thorough numerical testing to determine under what conditions swap pricing deviates from "ideal" and whether this is a practical concern.

Spearbit: No comment. Marking as acknowledged.

5.3.2 getMaxOrder overestimates output values

Severity: Low Risk

Context: NormalStrategy.sol#L230-L237

Description: The getMaxOrder function adds + 1 to the output value, overestimating the output value. This can lead to failed swaps if this value is used.

```
tempOutput = pool.virtualY - lowerY.mulWadDown(pool.liquidity) + 1;
```

It's also easy to see that with lowerY = 0 we have tempOutput = pool.virtualY - low-erY.mulWadDown(pool.liquidity) + 1 = pool.virtualY + 1, i.e., the max out amount would be more than the pool reserves.

Recommendation: Consider subtracting 1 instead of adding 1.

Primitive: Fixed in commit f0b6d4.

5.3.3 Improve reentrancy guards

Severity: Low Risk

Context: Portfolio.sol#L124

Description: Previously, only settlement performed calls to arbitrary addresses through ERC20 transfers. With recent additions, like the ERC1155._mint and user-provided strategies, single actions like allocate and swap also perform calls to potentially malicious contracts. This increases the attack surface for reentrancy attacks.

The current way of protecting against reentrancy works by setting multicall flags (_currentMulticall) and locks (preLock() and postLock()) on multicalls and single-action calls. However, the single calls essentially skip reentrancy guards if the outer context is a multicall. This still allows for reentrancy through control flows like the following:

```
// reenter during multicall's action execution
multicall
 preLock()
   singleCall()
     reenter during current execution
        singeCall()
          preLock(): passes because we're in multicall
          skips settlement
          postLock(): passes because we're in multicall
  _currentMulticall = false;
  settlement()
 postLock()
// reenter during multicall's settlement
multicall
 preLock()
   singleCall
     preLock(): ...
     postLock(): `_locked = 1`
  _currentMulticall = false;
 settlement()
   reenter
      singeCall()
       passes preLock because not locked
      mutliCall()
       passes multicall reentrancy guard because not in multicall
       passes preLock because not locked
  ... settlement finishes
 postLock()
```

Recommendation: While it's not obvious how to exploit the reentrancy issues, we propose improving the reentrancy guards. The main issue is that the current reentrancy lock has no "depth information", it does not know if an action that is run during a multicall execution is part of the original scheduled multicall actions or an injected one. By adjusting the <code>_locked</code> field to count the reentrancy call depth (instead of resetting it on <code>any _preLock/_postLock</code>) we can ensure that only the originally defined multicall actions are run.

```
function _preLock() private {
   if (_locked != 1 && !_currentMulticall) {
       revert Portfolio_InvalidReentrancy();
  // if it has been locked before: `_locked != 1`
  // revert if not in a multicall. `!_currentMulticall` (singleCall -> singleCall reentrancy)
   // or revert if in a multicall and this was called from another singleCall. `_locked > 2`

→ (multiCall -> singleCall -> singleCall reentrancy)
    if (_locked != 1 && (!_currentMulticall || _locked > 2)) {
        revert Portfolio_InvalidReentrancy();
    }
   locked = 2;
   _locked++;
function _postLock() private {
   _locked = 1;
   _locked--;
   // Reverts if the account system was not settled after a normal call.
   if (!__account__.settled && !_currentMulticall) {
       revert Portfolio_InvalidSettlement();
   }
}
```

Note that after a successful transaction, the _locked field is reset to its original value as each _preLock has a single corresponding _postLock, and vice versa.

Primitive: Fixed in commit 4bf82d.

Spearbit: Fixed. Recommendation was implemented.

5.4 Gas Optimization

5.4.1 approximatePriceGivenX does not need to compute y-bounds

Severity: Gas Optimization

Context: NormalStrategyLib.sol#L308

 $\textbf{Description:} \quad \text{The approximatePriceGivenX function does not need to compute the y-bounds by calling}$

self.getReserveYBounds().

Recommendation: Consider removing this call.

Primitive: Fixed in commit f994c2.

5.4.2 Unnecessary computations in NormalStrategy.beforeSwap

Severity: Gas Optimization

Context: NormalStrategy.sol#L87

Description: The NormalStrategy.sol.beforeSwap function calls getSwapInvariants to simulate an entire swap with current and post-swap invariants. However, only the current invariant value is used.

Recommendation: Consider calculating the current invariant only instead of calling getSwapInvariants. This also eliminates having to create a fake order with an input and output of 2 to make the swap simulation pass. The invariant computation should use the same rounding as done in getSwapInvariants.

Primitive: Fixed in commit a39126.

Spearbit: Fixed.

5.5 Informational

5.5.1 Pools can use malicious strategies

Severity: Informational
Context: Portfolio.sol#L671

Description: Anyone can create pools and configure the pool to use a custom strategy. A malicious strategy can disable swapping and (de-)allocating at any time, as well as enable privileged parties to trade out all pool reserves by implementing custom logic in the validateSwap function.

Recommendation: When users trade or provide liquidity in unofficial strategies they risk losing their funds. Users should thoroughly check the strategy of the pool before engaging with it.

Primitive: Fixed here commit acb0cf.

They were in the right place in createPool, but the function arguments for encode were in the wrong place so I switched them around. Also added tests for all the combinations.

Spearbit: Acknowledged.

5.5.2 findRootForSwappingIn functions should use MINIMUM_INVARIANT_DELTA

Severity: Informational

Context: NormalStrategyLib.sol#L654, NormalStrategy.sol#L11

Description: The findRootForSwappingInX and findRootForSwappingInY functions add + 1 to the previous curve invariant

```
tradingFunction(curve) - (curve.invariant + 1)
```

Recommendation: Instead of adding + 1, consider using adding + MINIMUM_INVARIANT_DELTA instead. Finding a root for this function then yields the desired output of finding curve values such that

```
newInvar - (prevInvar + delta) >= 0
newInvar - prevInvar - delta >= 0
newInvar - prevInvar >= delta
```

This matches the swap invariant check in _validateSwap.

Primitive: Fixed in commit 973230.

5.5.3 Unused Errors

Severity: Informational

Context: NormalStrategyLib.sol#L48-L49

Description: The NormalStrategyLib_UpperPriceLimitReached and NormalStrategyLib_LowerPriceLim-

itReached errors are not used.

Recommendation: Consider using these errors or removing them.

Primitive: Fixed in commit 3bd709.

Spearbit: Fixed.

5.5.4 getSwapInvariants order output can be 1 instead of 2

Severity: Informational

Context: NormalStrategy.sol#L91, NormalStrategy.sol#L180, SwapLib.sol#L133

Description: The getSwapInvariants function is used to simulate swaps for the getAmountOut and beforeSwap functions. These functions use an artificial output value of 2 such that the function does not revert.

Recommendation: The output value can be reduced to 1 instead as there is no fee on the output value and the only check on the output reserves is that they changed.

Primitive: Commit fix commit 797457.

This was then adjusted to remove the unnecessary computations in getSwapInvariant: commit a39126.

Spearbit: Fixed in beforeSwap because the calls changed. fixed in getAmountOut.

5.5.5 AfterCreate event uses wrong durationSeconds value if pool is perpetual

Severity: Informational

Context: NormalStrategy.sol#L74, NormalStrategyLib.sol#L408

Description: The AfterCreate uses the cached config.durationSeconds value but the real value the config storage struct is initialized with will be SECONDS_PER_YEAR in the case of perpetual pools.

Recommendation: Consider reading the durationSeconds value from the config storage var configs[poolId] instead.

Primitive: Fixed in commit 8e645a.

Spearbit: Fixed.

5.5.6 Unnecessary fee reserves check

Severity: Informational

Context: SwapLib.sol#L119

Description: The fee amount is always taken on the input and the fee percentage is always less than 100%. Therefore, the fee is always less than the input. The following check should never fail

```
adjustedInputReserveWad += self.input;
// feeAmountUnit <= self.input <= adjustedInputReserveWad
if (feeAmountUnit > adjustedInputReserveWad) revert SwapLib_FeeTooHigh();
```

Recommendation: Consider removing the check and adding a comment instead explaining why the fee is always included in the adjusted reserve and the subtraction doesn't underflow.

Primitive: Fixed in commit 728b04.