

Kin Token Audit

OPENZEPPELIN SECURITY | SEPTEMBER 11, 2017

Security Audits



Kin Token Audit

Z OpenZeppelin | security

The <u>Kik</u> team asked us to review and audit their Kin Token (KIN) contract. We looked at the code and now publish our results.

The audited contracts are in the <u>kik-interactive/kin-token</u> repository. The version used for this report is commit <code>3ed3a383b9304274ec22f41769716cadb854727f</code>.

The code is good, very straightforward, and excellently tested.

issues that weren't fixed do not affect public sale participants. The new commit is 376114bf92e4a1a245bc7bb2c8c84c02885db125.

Critical Severity

Revoking a vesting grant takes vested tokens away

The revoke function in VestingTrustee allows the owner to revoke an address's vesting grant, in the case that it is revocable. This consists of erasing the grant and transferring the remaining tokens to the owner. The function considers "remaining tokens" to be all those that haven't been unlocked and transferred yet. However, it is likely that remaining tokens should be considered to be only those which have not yet vested, regardless of whether they've been transferred or not. Consider changing revoke to first transfer vested tokens to the grantee, and only the remaining as a refund to the owner.

Update: The team assured us that, although they have not implemented our suggested change, the risk is mitigated by the fact that all vesting grants will be made irrevocable as soon as possible after the sale and that revocation will be only possible by getting access to the multisig owner of the contract. There is no risk for an irrevocable grant, so there would be no problem for investors after made irrevocable.

High Severity

Unexpected value for MAX_TOKENS_SOLD

The state variable MAX_TOKENS_SOLD in KinTokenSale represents the maximum number of tokens to be sold during the crowdsale, which is defined to be 1 trillion according to the whitepaper (chapter 6, Kin token issuance). However, the value in the contract is 512192121951 (slightly above half a trillion). Update this constant to reflect the value indicated in the whitepaper.

Update: The team pointed out that this number represents the amount that will be offered during the sale, while the remaining half of the 1 trillion offered to the public has been sold in a presale.

Token ownership can be transferred while minting

harms the trustlessness of the contract, as it would allow the owner to mint themselves an arbitrary number of tokens, against what was established in paper.

A <u>comment</u> next to the function correctly states that transferring token ownership would prevent the token sale to continue operating. If such a thing happened, the function

acceptKinTokenOwnership would allow the sale to become the token owner again, and continue operating. However, in the meantime, an owner could end the minting phase of the token manually, violating the explicit requirement that grants be distributed before minting is ended, and that this be done after the sale period.

We recommend to remove the functions $\underbrace{requestKinTokenOwnershipTransfer}_{acceptKinTokenOwnership}$, as they are not needed and compromise the correctness and security of the sale.

Update: The team replied that the owner will be a multisig wallet, which mitigates the risk. Due to time constraints, the functions will be kept as the only method to pause the sale in an emergency.

All vesting grants are revocable

It should be noted that all vesting grants are <u>revocable</u> (indicated by the last parameter, <u>true</u>), including the large grant given to Kik. If a grant is revoked, all of its vesting tokens are immediately transferred to the owner of <u>VestingTrustee</u>. Make sure that this is in fact desired.

Update: The team has clarified that this is a temporary measure so that possible errors can be fixed afterwards, and that all grants will be manually made irrevocable as soon as possible.

Medium Severity

Participation caps can be altered mid-crowdsale

The functions <u>setTier1Participants</u>, <u>setTier2Participants</u>, and <u>setHardParticipationCap</u> allow the owner of the token sale contract to modify the cap for any participant at any point in time, even after the crowdsale has started. To improve the public trust on the sale mechanics, it is recommended that once the sale starts, all caps are frozen and cannot be modified. Consider adding an <u>onlyBeforeSale</u> modifier to these functions.



Possible to transfer more balance than necessary to VestingTrustee

It should be noted that it is possible to transfer more token balance than necessary to <code>VestingTrustee</code>. In that case, the only way to recover the surplus is to create a new grant that allows to immediately unlock its full amount. Although this is not a problem in the context of the trustee managed by the token sale contract, it could be inconvenient if <code>VestingTrustee</code> were to be used by itself.

Low Severity

Pending state variables should be replaced by constructor parameters

The state variables WEI_PER_USD, KIN_FOUNDATION_ADDRESS, and KIK_ADDRESS are meant to be replaced before deployment by the updated values (they are marked "TODO"). This is error prone, as one could forget to update them. Consider adding these variables as constructor parameters to enforce that they be set at construction.

Update: The suggestion was accepted and it will be implemented soon.

Magic constants

Consider rewriting the operations involving the magic constant 10000000000 (0.1 trillion) in initTokenGrants of KinTokenSale. Instead of calculating 60% of 10 trillions as 60 * 1000000000, make use of the constant MAX_TOKENS (10 trillions) in the operation, in order to improve clarity and maintainability. The same applies to the calculation of 30% <a href="mailto:initto:in

Update: Fixed in this commit._

Unbounded loops

Functions addTokenGrant and deleteTokenGrant in KinTokenSale both iterate over the entire tokenGrantees storage array. If a large number of tokenGrantees is added, then a call to either function may end up requiring more gas than the block gas limit, making it impossible to add or remove any grantees. (See Gas Limit and Loops in the Solidity documentation.)

less than MAX_TOKEN_GRANTEES .

Additionally, the loop in <u>addTokenGrant</u> is not needed, since there will never be a grantee address for which <u>the previous check</u> succeeds.

Update: Fixed in this commit._

Notes & Additional Information

- Congratulations on writing such a thorough <u>test suite!</u> There are 620 tests in total, with most
 of them covering the integration of all contracts into the token sale contract.
- The documentation of grant in VestingTrustee does not state that its user should first do a transfer of tokens to the contract. Consider making this explicit in the documentation. (*Update: Fixed here*.)
- There is one use of var in the codebase, which infers the variable type from the right hand of the assignment. We recommend avoiding this feature because in some cases it might infer a smaller integer type than the developer might think. It is best to be explicit regarding types; in this case consider replacing it for uint256. (Update: Fixed here.)
- The name of the constant <u>TOKEN_DECIMALS</u> is misleading. While the <u>decimals</u> constant in the <u>KinToken</u> contract is set to the number of decimal positions (18), the constant <u>TOKEN_DECIMALS</u> is set to <u>10**18</u>, i.e. one unit of the token. Consider either renaming the constant, or changing its value to 18 and updating its usage across the contract. (*Update: Fixed here.*)
- The comment in <u>line 47</u> of <code>KinTokenSale</code>, regarding state variables <code>startTime</code> and <code>endTime</code>, mentions "blocks" but it should say "timestamps." (*Update: Fixed here*.)
- The documentation of <u>unlockVestedTokens</u> indicates it has a return value, but it doesn't. Remove the comment to avoid potential confusion. (*Update:* Fixed <u>here</u>.)
- Consider defining a helper function saleEnded to avoid the duplication in onlyDuringSale and onlyAfterSale. (Update: Fixed here.)
- The variable <u>tokensVested</u> refers to tokens that have not yet vested. Consider renaming the variable to tokensVesting. (*Update: Fixed here.*)
- endMinting <u>fails silently</u> when minting has already ended. Consider reverting the transaction instead.

revert, and the same in onlyAfterMinting, for more concise code. (Update: Fixed here.)

Conclusion

One critical and three high severity issues were found and explained, along with recommendations on how to fix them. Some changes were proposed to follow best practices and reduce potential attack surface.

Note that as of the date of publishing, the above review reflects the current understanding of known security patterns as they relate to the Kin Token contract. We have not reviewed the related Kin project. The above should not be construed as investment advice. For general information about smart contract security, check out our thoughts here.

Related Posts



Zap Audit

OpenZeppelin

Beefy Zap Audit



OpenBrush Contracts
Library Security Review

OpenZeppelin

OpenBrush Contracts Library Security Review

OpenBrush is an open-source smart contract library written in the Rust programming language and the...



OpenZeppelin

Linea Bridge Audit

Security Audits Security Audits Security Audits

OpenZeppelin

Defender Platform	Services	Learn
Secure Code & Audit Secure Deploy Threat Monitoring Incident Response Operation and Automation	Smart Contract Security Audit Incident Response Zero Knowledge Proof Practice	Docs Ethernaut CTF Blog
Company	Contracts Library	Docs
About us Jobs Blog		

© Zeppelin Group Limited 2023

Privacy | Terms of Use