

# Audit Report April, 2022

For



**Dapp**Factory

# Contents

Scope of Audit	01
Checked Vulnerabilities	01
Techniques and Methods	02
Issue Categories	03
Introduction	04
Issues Found	04
High Severity Issues	05
Medium Severity Issues	05
Low Severity Issues	06
Informational Issues	07
goerli TestnetTestContract	08
Functional Testing Results	09
Automated Testing	13
Closing Summary	14

## Scope of Audit

The scope of this audit was to analyse and document the DappFactory smart contracts codebase for quality, security, and correctness.

## Checked Vulnerabilities

We have scanned the smart contract for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities. Here are some of the commonly known vulnerabilities that we considered:

- Re-entrancy
- Timestamp Dependence
- Gas Limit and Loops
- DoS with Block Gas Limit
- Transaction-Ordering Dependence
- Use of tx.origin
- Exception disorder
- Gasless send
- Balance equality
- Byte array
- Transfer forwards all gas
- ERC20 API violation
- Malicious libraries
- Compiler version not fixed
- Redundant fallback function
- Send instead of transfer
- Style guide violation
- Unchecked external call
- Unchecked math
- Unsafe type inference
- Implicit visibility level

## Techniques and Methods

Throughout the audit of smart contract, care was taken to ensure:

- The overall quality of code.
- Use of best practices.
- Code documentation and comments match logic and expected behaviour.
- Token distribution and calculations are as per the intended behaviour mentioned in the whitepaper.
- Implementation of ERC-20 token standards.
- Efficient use of gas.
- Code is safe from re-entrancy and other vulnerabilities.

The following techniques, methods and tools were used to review all the smart contracts.

### Structural Analysis

In this step, we have analysed the design patterns and structure of smart contracts. A thorough check was done to ensure the smart contract is structured in a way that will not result in future problems.

### Static Analysis

Static analysis of smart contracts was done to identify contract vulnerabilities. In this step, a series of automated tools are used to test the security of smart contracts.

### Code Review / Manual Analysis

Manual analysis or review of code was done to identify new vulnerabilities or verify the vulnerabilities found during the static analysis. Contracts were completely manually analysed, their logic was checked and compared with the one described in the whitepaper. Besides, the results of the automated analysis were manually verified.

### Gas Consumption

In this step, we have checked the behaviour of smart contracts in production. Checks were done to know how much gas gets consumed and the possibilities of optimization of code to reduce gas consumption.

### Tools and Platforms used for Audit

Remix IDE, Truffle, Truffle Team, Solhint, Mythril, Slither, Solidity statistic analysis.



## Issue Categories

Every issue in this report has been assigned to a severity level. There are four levels of severity, and each of them has been explained below.

Risk-level	Description
High	A high severity issue or vulnerability means that your smart contract can be exploited. Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance or functionality, and we recommend these issues be fixed before moving to a live environment.
Medium	The issues marked as medium severity usually arise because of errors and deficiencies in the smart contract code. Issues on this level could potentially bring problems, and they should still be fixed.
Low	Low-level severity issues can cause minor impact and or are just warnings that can remain unfixed for now. It would be better to fix these issues at some point in the future.
Informational	These are severity issues that indicate an improvement request, a general question, a cosmetic or documentation error, or a request for information. There is low-to-no impact.

## Number of issues per severity

Type	High	Medium	Low	Informational
Open	0	0	0	0
Acknowledged	0	2	0	0
Closed	1	2	4	0

## Introduction

During the period of **Feb 11, 2022 to March 28, 2022** - QuillAudits Team performed a security audit for Crypton smart contracts.

The code for the audit was taken from following the official link:

Codebase: Freeze commit - [5a1c15d21f97f2c9dac1188e07d15450616e3fcf](#)

Fixed In: [0251313254365cf042d38895afe460b3c48145b0](#)

## Issues Found – Code Review / Manual Testing

### High severity issues

#### 1. **updatePoolOwnerFeePercentage doesn't follow ownable access rights**

The OwnerFeePercentage update should be controlled only by the owner, not any other address. If any other address is able to set the fee then the whole staking mechanism will behave wrongly and a malicious address can exploit the FeeApplicable calculation.

We always recommend making all the state updating functions to be called by onlyOwner.

**Status:** Fixed

### Medium severity issues

#### 2. **withdrawAcquiredFees should be OnlyFeeBeneficiary**

withdrawAcquiredFees() is an onlyOwner function, although the feeBeneficiary is the one receiving the fees. This could result in the owner not calling the function, resulting in denial of fee transfer to the feeBeneficiary for a long time.

We recommend making the function to onlyFeeBeneficiary instead of onlyOwner.

**Status:** Acknowledged

#### 3. **Referral manager Not used in contracts .**

ReferralManager was used in the contract. But the implementation of referralManager.sol in the contracts was not found(only its interface was there.) The team acknowledged that they have just added a support for referral manager for future as they wanted an ability to start / stop referral functionality

**Status:** Acknowledged

#### 4. **No rescueFund method is implemented in all the contracts**

There should be a rescueFund method to rescue the tokens funds which are mistakenly sent and are not part of the contracts.

The behaviour of the rescueFund should only be operated by the owner and nobody else.

Recommendation for rescue funds method

```
function rescueFunds(IERC20 _token, address _recipient) external onlyOwner {};
```

**Status:** Fixed

#### 5. **No method to take out Ether from Factory contracts**

We recommend making a function to pull the deposited Ether from the contracts such that it doesn't get locked forever.

Acknowledged and made feeManager mode compulsory in functionality and added withdrawal of ERD20 tokens.

**Status:** Fixed

### Low severity issues

#### 6. **In updateEndBlock the updateEndBlockNumber should be checked**

In updateEndBlock the while updating the updateEndBlockNumber the current block.number should always be less than the new updateEndBlockNumber.

We recommend incorporating the same check while updating.

**Status:** Fixed

#### 7. **Use SafeTransfer instead of normal Transfer**

As the tokens used in purchase/vesting can be USDT and we should use safeTransfer instead of normal transfer while transferring those tokens

Reference

**Status:** Fixed



## 8. Used locked pragma version

The pragma versions used in the contract are not locked. Consider using the latest versions among 0.8.11 for deploying the contracts and libraries as it does not compile for any other version and can be confusing for a developer. Solidity source files indicate the versions of the compiler they can be compiled with.

```
pragma solidity ^0.8.0; // bad: compiles between 0.8.0 and 0.8.11
pragma solidity 0.8.0; // good : compiles w 0.8.0 only but not the latest version
pragma solidity 0.8.11; // best: compiles w 0.8.11
```

Status: **Fixed**

## 9. Gas optimizations:

1. As by default EVM assigns 0 to the uint256 data type. Explicitly reassigning the same value at line no 54 in stakingPool is a waste of gas. We recommend removing the assignment.
2. In the loop at line 439 of the Staking Pool, the variable rewardPool.length is recalculated every time in the loop. We recommend storing the variable in a uint256 data type and using the same variable in the loop.
3. In the crowdsale and Liquidity locker the initialized is reassigned to its default value. We recommend to remove the assigning of false to initialized.

Status: **Fixed**

## Informational issues

No issues found.

## Goerli Testnet Test Contract

**FeeBeneficiary Address - 0xF164A4DE04D55f268AdB795434BcE932Ea8Db731**

**FeeManager - 0xdba93782b50284488c00Ea3773A13f1999792fCD**

**LinerVesting - 0x6d4F50a671F3004B3455F7883787e6876c19A5D3**

**Vesting Factory - 0x5c2958F4bA2e02c913cc4DB8F8d9aeb8196E8410**

**MOCKERC20 - 0xe7743A888F1a6ea7C53Aea5ffd5747Ae67fE4a0E**

**LP TOKEN - 0xA828c9A09F5fd8a32e5F9AfE3aF24DCA871D111A**

**REWARD TOKEN1 - 0x23791EABAA14f4bD30B25b2f2bc72dEB7C31aFf5**

**REWARD TOKEN2 - 0xf402eb169099143Ae80b7d19ad83880DFEe11261**

**Staking Pool - 0x38c87d2c2f86F18a1dd56Cbc85cD4314D4182961**

**Staking Factory - 0x1F1588A6b97067827520e1A07C112E0B7d5913b9**

**Liquidity Locker - 0xE4811C5Dc107945E73311584Cba23f406b05CD1F**

**Liquidity Locker Factory - 0x09ECd5D2e10D2CA4dD957b23dE55aFadEF74147b**

**Crowdsale - 0x8d9632D7D0DeBe8465F28417dC560658eC33B2b8**

**purchaseToken1 - 0x01e297525377fa68cbcb938a441cbbb84a3baf35**

**purchaseToken2 - 0x2c4806f5616F389211B030677c97a778732F78bC**

**tokenInCrowdsale - 0xa1d8b1e2d31a70bfb108be36a8e49d3b748b0f34**

**LaunchPadFactory - 0xE8160863e7d9e29234aebc47BD450DeBbec8aE77**

## Functional Tests

### PASSED

\*\*\*\*\*Vesting\*\*\*\*\*

addImplementation

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x3dc4aaac81d6d34ffb33ca8b7b6eedb05fff2a5fd13d2ebf66ae5e2bf82f4d08>

launchVesting with 10,0,\_encodedData

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x53fb6824fdb914baa469dadd6b2a5f5553618b10c19ef64f127f37fcc0c40cb>

Vesting contract launched via minimal proxy

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/address/0x941e1883f9714686a98c32fd1c68efffe5fe1526#code>

set Fee manager and enable FeeManager

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/>

[tx/0x9a333a2b7dd06c75e047475c5c34264abe84c169e8d69ee54825ce64c09c9083](https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x9a333a2b7dd06c75e047475c5c34264abe84c169e8d69ee54825ce64c09c9083)

Launch vesting with Incorrect ID 1

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x43ca1b2f89c6271e195f30815bfd33fbc553f112de5f481a28e00d3c5794394b>

Invalid fee sent

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/>

[tx/0x34e694a4794599993f333538d6bd0788e9dd3c4b27ffa5512284c559655326b6](https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x34e694a4794599993f333538d6bd0788e9dd3c4b27ffa5512284c559655326b6)

Update fee Info

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/>

[tx/0x01fd552a0b65c76550204f35e896d87b2805c963927f3fbd04dc8550ba5c381](https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x01fd552a0b65c76550204f35e896d87b2805c963927f3fbd04dc8550ba5c381)

No approval for vesting factory

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x7d6ca0f9b4d727108c50b21ffbe6e6165e53e01a7a68015454f05ca64f68c07f>

Approve 100 token amount for vesting factory from use

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/>

[tx/0x40ed04153cf2f3e93fa47d4436790e6451e43345a5cdef94f205c838a4b737e6](https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x40ed04153cf2f3e93fa47d4436790e6451e43345a5cdef94f205c838a4b737e6)

Launch new Vesting contract with 10, 0, \_encodedData

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/>

[tx/0x696b760c9733097f669e2b6c13e6b161784239abf788336d0e40b31477a42c41](https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x696b760c9733097f669e2b6c13e6b161784239abf788336d0e40b31477a42c41)

Vesting contract lanced with 0 index Liner vesting considering feeManger

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/address/0x60a1b0c6203be187275dc3fc816d40aa26655881>



approve 100000 mock tokens to vesting contract

[https://goerli.etherscan.io/](https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x4efb8a02781db8313224e5e64e8b9aaef7f4138882e58b76ddcbdea6e4786b67)

[tx/0x4efb8a02781db8313224e5e64e8b9aaef7f4138882e58b76ddcbdea6e4786b67](https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x4efb8a02781db8313224e5e64e8b9aaef7f4138882e58b76ddcbdea6e4786b67)

create vesting schedule

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x89c351675c477578ee5c1224cf3e9efc4afa0a2fb474cc9feda0f8d6538329d7>

Draw down before cliff duration

[https://goerli.etherscan.io/](https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x0c7696ee276cc36a4b4aee317dea0dbaa72fdc33754be41fe799e717b7ca9c37)

[tx/0x0c7696ee276cc36a4b4aee317dea0dbaa72fdc33754be41fe799e717b7ca9c37](https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x0c7696ee276cc36a4b4aee317dea0dbaa72fdc33754be41fe799e717b7ca9c37)

Launch new vesting contract with smaller cliff duration

[https://goerli.etherscan.io/](https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x032c84ff301a109592064ae68d75c9ab5e9226726932da5b0cbb2739f9736c85)

[tx/0x032c84ff301a109592064ae68d75c9ab5e9226726932da5b0cbb2739f9736c85](https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x032c84ff301a109592064ae68d75c9ab5e9226726932da5b0cbb2739f9736c85)

approve 100000 mock tokens to vesting contract

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0xcb343225b0df1d2a5b4d79c34212fe4edfc020139d4c116df47f843bffe02d26>

create vesting schedules

[https://goerli.etherscan.io/](https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0xddc90ff0eab81dfe624dd0eeab5835873632d737edf1d117f7455d7a06abb54f)

[tx/0xddc90ff0eab81dfe624dd0eeab5835873632d737edf1d117f7455d7a06abb54f](https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0xddc90ff0eab81dfe624dd0eeab5835873632d737edf1d117f7455d7a06abb54f)

PASSED

\*\*\*\*\*Staking\*\*\*\*\*

addImplementation

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x2a3ac959c56e7d2b99f767f1ce1a7243d8d50347a32a780cb23f5cf0941f77cb>

approve 10<sup>21</sup> rewardToken to staking factory

[https://goerli.etherscan.io/](https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x5e4303c6b81960f8a7433f2edfdceaf3e3d34fd844f0085f7e5808f6c8c7d09a)

[tx/0x5e4303c6b81960f8a7433f2edfdceaf3e3d34fd844f0085f7e5808f6c8c7d09a](https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x5e4303c6b81960f8a7433f2edfdceaf3e3d34fd844f0085f7e5808f6c8c7d09a)

Launch Staking with no feeManager

[https://goerli.etherscan.io/](https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x3c90abced3f9b13db1f950ad9b124d9883733d7295a21b53057368ead2189645)

[tx/0x3c90abced3f9b13db1f950ad9b124d9883733d7295a21b53057368ead2189645](https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x3c90abced3f9b13db1f950ad9b124d9883733d7295a21b53057368ead2189645)

staking contract launched via minimal proxy

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/address/0x9065F8100D81254Ce61ac6e2196DC0C254b1B7F9#code>

add feeManager

[https://goerli.etherscan.io/](https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x79421ee77da1909683e61ea4e78c2014a77095fe2ea86a8574a810dd7f0c17d0)

[tx/0x79421ee77da1909683e61ea4e78c2014a77095fe2ea86a8574a810dd7f0c17d0](https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x79421ee77da1909683e61ea4e78c2014a77095fe2ea86a8574a810dd7f0c17d0)



approve  $10^{22}$  from user to staking factory

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x6056554bf81746e186c0b4f451efb58a06876eba7bb0e8eb47f167b9daec3152>

update fee info

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x3ec8750163c8c03c35e0ed05111f0cbd0890ce1cf32e9904f8657dbbd498fb04>

Launch staking contract with feeManger enable and 0 Staking pool

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x247f1685cb79e61aeb16506180b74379413c8fc6e28ada1f9cecba493856cd08>

Staking contract with minimal proxy

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/address/0xeb901ddd8cc2bd73eafed7e9d053cc2070b5f143#code>

updateOwnerFunctionality

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x70c87d02ed526ed1751e188cfd24639ed889cbafed683a4e96433ed3fdf2904e>

add RewardToken2 with not a pool owner

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x20d7abc81c6b9b15be19b429791cfc46b501e2e3e90f40ab812e786b07c4a108>

approval to pool1 for 100000 LP tokens from user1

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x781d0b10c809d137e066b31ea735468c7bc893613e0bf8f3541878aef811b2e9>

deposit 100 in pool1

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x760a20428a921a7257133bab3d416a60bdde37a1e43a60b977128623b76a73a0>

withdraw 50 in pool1

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x413f64529ea1dc57f331bc256c08d90f34d825322b1e034cf0366b8b78ac0a9e>

deposit 10000 in pool1

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x42a635971ae739cc9481ef8ed47c024d2fc325723d428488551d562264cea1fe>

withdraw 10000 in pool1

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x017230c81282d6d3e73da25583ddcefaba89dce8203a3c25489c3600bcf02b02>

transfer reward token to poolOwner

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0xecc7ba1dc2414d897a19f6d086dd0eb3b12bb8d5d0ce92b682120b327f26e317>

updateBlockReward to 100

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x0ad5a04ea77a2a0887f0accaa4d76bd9d22531d046def2425a7fa9c60326b0f6>

## PASSED

anybody can update poolOwnerFeePercentage by calling updatePoolOwnerFeePercentage  
<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0xd297a893065c0cc29e00658acd11c246e419323d8ff44b3f841fa869993a5a50>

updateEndBlock is less than current block

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0xd5e629e2ea98c98e87e4c0f4a62e5d91bfb2719b9f034ec2fd19f935db9c9595>

## PASSED

\*\*\*\*\*LaunchPad\*\*\*\*\*

addImplementation

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x937721adf4a12f5ddbc67906f9fc67b121062291ffa95ad5cb172d36ed690640>

approveFactory with 1000 tokens

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0xb94af1e04723a7386eb76c2533aa4e169603bb75d4d3213c56ed312468bb4485>

launch CrowdSale with two purchaseToken

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x2319891abe9afd494b52e7e450434a35d63966518f85e7af6f2a261d609b064a>

Crowdsale Contract -0x73858AcC6324d72D3D53A54ba61363d6C4534484

Purchase token before the crowdSale starts

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x77ffce9affc88d6584c478410e22616eb5d70d9606ff1543e0fae9c212917ebf>

updated rate for purchase token1 and token2

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0xdd05011c4f471c5b81c299d359db1eb8ef212c5ad0d89b4989edb3890b3eeb77>

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x2f040188a0af4d7e17b55b870693e8e7b76d1371ae471a4f7b3c64a43ff59d42>

approve 20 tokens to CrowdSale for both purchaseToken from user

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x78ffc413c9085c165a1acc4c0c1eca522129685eb8ab36f46ba9694415bed391>

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/>

[tx/0xc53dd32998a5660d79ec147008f3a5d4c606e24da8a44ad26d3dd3ff76370a40](https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0xc53dd32998a5660d79ec147008f3a5d4c606e24da8a44ad26d3dd3ff76370a40)

Purchase 20 tokens each from CrowdSale

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x68b2348d319fdd57a74e4e663120e9db132f59b7af2ee248dfa8a0f44272af75>

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x6b70476db666ec7284a557fc431d8fdad0f023b4c65ec3fe6602d0d84453810e>

DrawDown not allowed

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0xbcf522fe69161cd6d5bd9aa582ae571490e9378f74c8c8e07a555de7d7198ab1>

End CrowdSale

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0x545140b425288e3cede77cc9a24c52a73b760715c8cefb0a956b0d831abbf77d>

CrowdSale Can't be reinitialized

<https://goerli.etherscan.io/tx/0xdb4d37fcf63a522f5fc0136e4957ef7d4ff2076ee30c59f0aac429fc7a31c97d>

## Automated Tests

### Slither

[https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1-mqZAhpTEtTQNc7DbQcDZvU\\_K4KHw-G3?usp=sharing](https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1-mqZAhpTEtTQNc7DbQcDZvU_K4KHw-G3?usp=sharing)

### Results

One major issue and several medium and low severity Issues are found. All the issues have been categorised above according to their level of severity. All the open issues are fixed/acknowledged by the team.

Apart from fixing the issue, DappFactory team should also focus on testing the contract as current test scenarios are very bad and coverage is not good. Incorporating more test cases scenarios always makes the contract robust.



## Closing Summary

Several issues of High, Medium and Low severity have been reported and all the issues have been acknowledged or fixed by the team.

The contracts are good to deploy on the public mainnet.



## Disclaimer

QuillAudits smart contract audit is not a security warranty, investment advice, or an endorsement of the DappFactory platform. This audit does not provide a security or correctness guarantee of the audited smart contracts.

The statements made in this document should not be interpreted as investment or legal advice, nor should its authors be held accountable for decisions made based on them. Securing smart contracts is a multistep process. One audit cannot be considered enough. We recommend that the DappFactory Team put in place a bug bounty program to encourage further analysis of the smart contract by other third parties.

# Audit Report April, 2022

For



**DappFactory**



QuillAudits

📍 Canada, India, Singapore, United Kingdom

🌐 [audits.quillhash.com](https://audits.quillhash.com)

✉️ [audits@quillhash.com](mailto:audits@quillhash.com)