



QuillAudits



Audit Report June, 2021



Contents

Scope of Audit	01
Techniques and Methods	02
Issue Categories	03
Issues Found – Code Review/Manual Testing	04
Automated Testing	07
Disclaimer	08
Summary	09

Scope of Audit

The scope of this audit was to analyse and document the Goal Token smart contract codebase for quality, security, and correctness.

Checked Vulnerabilities

We have scanned the smart contract for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities. Here are some of the commonly known vulnerabilities that we considered:

- Re-entrancy
- Timestamp Dependence
- Gas Limit and Loops
- DoS with Block Gas Limit
- Transaction-Ordering Dependence
- Use of tx.origin
- Exception disorder
- Gasless send
- Balance equality
- Byte array
- Transfer forwards all gas
- ERC20 API violation
- Malicious libraries
- Compiler version not fixed
- Redundant fallback function
- Send instead of transfer
- Style guide violation
- Unchecked external call
- Unchecked math
- Unsafe type inference
- Implicit visibility level

Techniques and Methods

Throughout the audit of smart contract, care was taken to ensure:

- The overall quality of code.
- Use of best practices.
- Code documentation and comments match logic and expected behaviour.
- Token distribution and calculations are as per the intended behaviour mentioned in the whitepaper.
- Implementation of ERC-20 token standards.
- Efficient use of gas.
- Code is safe from re-entrancy and other vulnerabilities.

The following techniques, methods and tools were used to review all the smart contracts.

Structural Analysis

In this step we have analyzed the design patterns and structure of smart contracts. A thorough check was done to ensure the smart contract is structured in a way that will not result in future problems.

SmartCheck.

Static Analysis

Static Analysis of Smart Contracts was done to identify contract vulnerabilities. In this step a series of automated tools are used to test security of smart contracts.

Code Review / Manual Analysis

Manual Analysis or review of code was done to identify new vulnerability or verify the vulnerabilities found during the static analysis. Contracts were completely manually analyzed, their logic was checked and compared with the one described in the whitepaper. Besides, the results of automated analysis were manually verified.

Gas Consumption

In this step we have checked the behaviour of smart contracts in production. Checks were done to know how much gas gets consumed and possibilities of optimization of code to reduce gas consumption.

Tools and Platforms used for Audit

Remix IDE, Truffle, Truffle Team, Ganache, Solhint, Mythril, Slither, SmartCheck.

Issue Categories

Every issue in this report has been assigned with a severity level. There are four levels of severity and each of them has been explained below.

High severity issues

A high severity issue or vulnerability means that your smart contract can be exploited. Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance or functionality and we recommend these issues to be fixed before moving to a live environment.

Medium level severity issues

The issues marked as medium severity usually arise because of errors and deficiencies in the smart contract code. Issues on this level could potentially bring problems and they should still be fixed.

Low level severity issues

Low level severity issues can cause minor impact and or are just warnings that can remain unfixed for now. It would be better to fix these issues at some point in the future.

Informational

These are severity four issues which indicate an improvement request, a general question, a cosmetic or documentation error, or a request for information. There is low-to-no impact.

Number of issues per severity

Type	High	Medium	Low	Informational
Open	0	0	0	1
Closed	0	0	0	0

Introduction

During the period of **June 22, 2021 to June 23, 2021** - QuillAudits Team performed a security audit for **Goal Token** smart contract.

The code for the audit was taken from following the official link:
<https://bscscan.com/address/0x438fc473ba340d0734e2d05acdf5bee775d1b0a4#code>

Issues Found – Code Review / Manual Testing

High severity issues

No issues were found.

Medium severity issues

No issues were found.

Low level severity issues

No issues were found.

Informational

1. Please consider making changes for standards like moving to ERC20 or some other standard depending on the chain that is used.

Here BEP20 is used to BSC

Use ERC20 tokens for use on Chains like ethereum/Matic

Status: Open

Functional test

Function Names	Testing results
constructor	Passed
mint	Passed
transferOwnership	Passed
transfer	Passed
approve	Passed

Automated Testing

Slither

```
INFO:Detectors:
Context. msgData() (Goaltoken.sol#41-44) is never used and should be removed
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#dead-code
INFO:Detectors:
Pragma version^0.8.0 (Goaltoken.sol#17) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.6.12/0.7.
solc-0.8.0 is not recommended for deployment
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity
INFO:Detectors:
Redundant expression "this (Goaltoken.sol#42)" inContext (Goaltoken.sol#36-45)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#redundant-statements
INFO:Detectors:
GoalToken.constructor() (Goaltoken.sol#189-191) uses literals with too many digits:
- mint(msg.sender,100000000 * 10 ** decimals()) (Goaltoken.sol#190)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#too-many-digits
INFO:Detectors:
name() should be declared external:
- ERC20.name() (Goaltoken.sol#57-59)
symbol() should be declared external:
- ERC20.symbol() (Goaltoken.sol#60-62)
totalSupply() should be declared external:
- ERC20.totalSupply() (Goaltoken.sol#66-68)
balanceOf(address) should be declared external:
- ERC20.balanceOf(address) (Goaltoken.sol#69-71)
transfer(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.transfer(address,uint256) (Goaltoken.sol#72-75)
approve(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.approve(address,uint256) (Goaltoken.sol#79-82)
transferFrom(address,address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.transferFrom(address,address,uint256) (Goaltoken.sol#83-91)
increaseAllowance(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.increaseAllowance(address,uint256) (Goaltoken.sol#92-95)
decreaseAllowance(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.decreaseAllowance(address,uint256) (Goaltoken.sol#96-102)
renounceOwnership() should be declared external:
- Ownable.renounceOwnership() (Goaltoken.sol#164-167)
transferOwnership(address) should be declared external:
- Ownable.transferOwnership(address) (Goaltoken.sol#168-172)
burn(uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20Burnable.burn(uint256) (Goaltoken.sol#176-178)
burnFrom(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20Burnable.burnFrom(address,uint256) (Goaltoken.sol#179-184)
mint(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- GoalToken.mint(address,uint256) (Goaltoken.sol#193-195)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#public-function-that-could-be-declared-external
INFO:Slither:. analyzed (7 contracts with 75 detectors), 19 result(s) found
INFO:Slither:Use https://crytic.io/ to get access to additional detectors and Github integration
```

Slither

No issues were found.

Disclaimer

Quillhash audit is not a security warranty, investment advice, or an endorsement of the Goal Token. This audit does not provide a security or correctness guarantee of the audited smart contracts. The statements made in this document should not be interpreted as investment or legal advice, nor should its authors be held accountable for decisions made based on them. Securing smart contracts is a multistep process. One audit cannot be considered enough. We recommend that the Goal Token Team put in place a bug bounty program to encourage further analysis of the smart contract by other third parties.

Closing Summary

Overall, smart contracts are very well written and adhere to guidelines.
No instances of Re-entrancy or Back-Door Entry were found in the contract.

