



Ripio Token Audit

OPENZEPPELIN | OCTOBER 23, 2017

Security Audits

The Ripio team asked us to review and audit their Ripio Credit Network Token (RCN) and crowdsale contracts. We looked at the code and now publish our results.

The audited contracts are located in the ripio/rcn-token repository. The version used for this report is the commit `4bf441ae919f2580dcfeca59917b81bb30d2b856`.

Here's our assessment and recommendations, in order of importance.

Update: The Ripio team has followed most of our recommendations and updated the contracts.

The updated version is at commit `c0fcee719ed9564992fd10423d2edba9e2e95f3`.

Critical Severity

No critical severity issues were found.

High Severity

No high severity issues were found.

Medium Severity

Reuse open source contracts

The contracts `StandardToken`, `MintableToken` and parts of `RCNCrowdsale` are very similar to code found in OpenZeppelin's `StandardToken`, `MintableToken` and `Crowdsale` contracts. Reimplementing functionality instead of reusing public and already



Use safe math

There are some unchecked math operations in the code (see [this](#) and [this](#), for example). It's always better to be safe and perform checked operations. Consider [using a safe math library](#), or performing pre-condition checks on any math operation.

Update: Fixed in `1dc13ab` and `0daf25a`.

Low Severity

Token metadata should be in token contract

The public variables `name`, `symbol`, and `decimals` are defined in the `RCNCrowdsale` contract. They should be defined in the token contract, as suggested by [ERC20](#). Define a new contract `RCNToken` inheriting from `MintableToken` and add the public variables there. Change [the crowdsale contract](#) to create an instance of `RCNToken` instead of `MintableToken`.

Update: Fixed in `be5255a`.

Using block numbers to specify start and end

The crowdsale contract uses block numbers to specify when it starts and when it ends. The current recommendation is to use timestamps instead. The risk of miner manipulation of timestamps is very low for this use case, and due to the [Difficulty Bomb](#) it is now very difficult to correctly estimate future block times. Consider switching to timestamps.

Update: Fixed in `50a51b7`.

ERC20 compliance

ERC20 specifies `decimals` to be a value of type `uint8`. It is declared as a `uint256` variable in `RCNCrowdsale`. Consider changing it to `uint8`. If so, it will be necessary to cast to `uint256` when using the variable for arithmetic such as when expressing token amounts as `400 * 10**decimals`. Consider defining a state variable `TOKEN_UNIT = 10 ** uint256(decimals)` to write `400 * TOKEN_UNIT` in these cases.



Update: Fixed in `0daf25a`.

Constructor parameter validation

Consider performing sanity checks to validate `RCNCCrowdsale`'s constructor parameters. Check that `_fundingStartBlock < _fundingEndBlock` and that the addresses `_ethFundDeposit` and `_rcnFundDeposit` are not `0x0`.

Update: Fixed in `70a42f2`.

Notes & Additional Information

- Consider using `require` instead of `if (...) throw`. `throw` has been deprecated since Solidity 0.4.13.
- The comment in line 72 of `RCNCCrowdsale` seems to be unrelated to the content of the line.
- Keep in mind that there is a possible attack vector on the `approve` / `transferFrom` functionality of ERC20 tokens, described here. Consider implementing one of the proposed mitigations, or using the ERC20 implementation from OpenZeppelin which already has one in place.
- The state variable name `raised` is somewhat misleading: it sounds like it stores the amount of ether raised, but it stores the amount of tokens minted. Furthermore, it is redundant because that value can already be found in the variable `totalSupply` which is updated in every `mint` operation. Consider removing `raised` altogether.
- The event `LogRefund` is not emitted anywhere (there is in fact no refund functionality). Consider removing it.
- The comment in line 75 of `RCNCCrowdsale` is describing a previous version of the contract in which investors by default had a fixed cap, and whitelisted investors had no cap. To be accurate it should now read "if sender is not whitelisted or exceeds their cap".
- `mint` and `finishMinting` have a boolean return value meant to indicate success or failure. The return value is later ignored in `RCNCCrowdsale`, which doesn't cause any problems because in this implementation it always return `true`. Nonetheless, ignored return values can cause problems in future changes to the code. Consider removing them.

Update: Most of the suggestions were implemented in the updated version.



follow best practices and reduce potential attack surface.

Note that as of the date of publishing, the above review reflects the current understanding of known security patterns as they relate to the Ripio Credit Network Token contracts. We have not reviewed the related Ripio Credit Network project. The above should not be construed as investment advice. For general information about smart contract security, check out our thoughts [here](#).

Related Posts



Beefy

Zap Audit

 OpenZeppelin

Beefy Zap Audit

BeefyZapRouter serves as a versatile intermediary designed to execute users' orders through routes...

Security Audits

 **ERUSHFAM**

**OpenBrush Contracts
Library Security Review**

 OpenZeppelin

OpenBrush Contracts Library Security Review

OpenBrush is an open-source smart contract library written in the Rust programming language and the...

Security Audits

Linea

Bridge Audit

 OpenZeppelin

Linea Bridge Audit

Linea is a ZK-rollup deployed on top of Ethereum. It is designed to be EVM-compatible and aims to...

Security Audits



Defender Platform

- Secure Code & Audit
- Secure Deploy
- Threat Monitoring
- Incident Response
- Operation and Automation

Company

- About us
- Jobs
- Blog

Services

- Smart Contract Security Audit
- Incident Response
- Zero Knowledge Proof Practice

Contracts Library

Learn

- Docs
- Ethernaut CTF
- Blog

Docs