### Learn more →





## Timeswap contest Findings & Analysis Report

2023-03-10

### Table of contents

- Overview
  - About C4
  - Wardens
- Summary
- Scope
- Severity Criteria
- High Risk Findings (3)
  - [H-01] Rebalance logic is wrong and this distorts the pool's important states
  - [H-O2] <u>TimeswapV2LiquidityToken should not use totalSupply()+1</u> as tokenId
  - [H-03] The collect() function will always TRANSFER ZERO fees, losing \_feesPositions without receiving fees!
- Medium Risk Findings (7)
  - [M-01] \_currentIndex is incorrectly updated; breaking the ERC1155 enumerable implementation

- [M-02] Burning a ERC1155Enumerable token doesn't remove it from the enumeration
- [M-03] Fee on transfer tokens will not behave as expected
- [M-04] sqrtDiscriminant can be calculated wrong
- [M-05] unexpected overflow for FullMath.add512() which can result in irregular behavior
- [M-06] \_ownedTokensIndex is SHARED by different owners, as a result, \_removeTokenFromAllTokensEnumeration might remove the wrong tokenId.
- [M-07] Mint function does not update LiquidityPosition state of caller before minting LP tokens. This
- Low Risk and Non-Critical Issues
  - Ol User can possibly transfer no token0 or token1 to

    TimeswapV2Option contract if corresponding token0 OR token1 is a rebasing token
  - 02 Error.checkEnough function does not prevent user from sending too many tokens to relevant contract
  - O3 Pool considers option not matured when its maturity and the block timestamp are equal
  - O4 Pool's ParamLibrary.check function for

    TimeswapV2PoolCollectParam checks param.strike == 0 less

    restrictively than pool's other ParamLibrary.check functions
  - O5 Redundant named returns
  - <u>06 Word/typing typos</u>
  - <u>O7 Confusing NatSpec @param usage</u>
  - <u>08 Incomplete NatSpec Comments</u>
  - <u>09 Missing NatSpec comments</u>
- Gas Optimizations
  - Gas Optimizations Summary
  - G-01 Gas saving is achieved by removing the delete keyword (~60k)

- G-02 Remove checkDoesNotExist function
- G-03 Avoid using state variable in emit (130 gas)
- G-04 Change public state variable visibility to private
- G-05 Save gas with the use of the import statement
- G-06 Gas savings can be achieved by changing the model for assigning value to the structure (260 gas)
- G-07 Using delete instead of setting struct 0 saves gas
- G-08 In div 512 function, quotient 0 aggregate operation is used with unchecked to save gas
- G-09 Avoid using external call
- G-10 Gas overflow during iteration (DoS)
- G-11 Move owner checks to a modifier for gas efficant
- G-12 Use a more recent version of solidity
- G-13 Use nested if and, avoid multiple check combinations
- G-14 Sort Solidity operations using short-circuit mode
- G-15 >= costs less gas than >
- G-16 Using UniswapV3 mulDiv function is gas-optimized
- G-17 Using Openzeppelin Ownable2Step.sol is gas efficient
- G-18 OpenZeppelin's ReentrancyGuard contract is gas-optimized
- G-19 Save gas with the use of the import statement
- G-20 Remove import forge-std/console.sol
- G-21 Usage of uints/ints smaller than 32 bytes (256 bits) incurs overhead
- G-22 Use assembly to write address storage values
- G-23 Setting the constructor to payable
- G-24 Avoid contract existence checks by using solidity version 0.8.10 or later
- G-25 Optimize names to save gas
- G-26 Upgrade Solidity's optimizer
- G-27 Open the optimizer

Disclosures

ക

### Overview

**⊕** 

### About C4

Code4rena (C4) is an open organization consisting of security researchers, auditors, developers, and individuals with domain expertise in smart contracts.

A C4 audit contest is an event in which community participants, referred to as Wardens, review, audit, or analyze smart contract logic in exchange for a bounty provided by sponsoring projects.

During the audit contest outlined in this document, C4 conducted an analysis of the Timeswap smart contract system written in Solidity. The audit contest took place between January 20—January 27 2023.

ശ

### Wardens

59 Wardens contributed reports to the Timeswap contest:

- 1. <u>OKage</u>
- 2. 0x1f8b
- 3. OxAgro
- 4. OxGusMcCrae
- 5. OxSmartContract
- 6. Oxackermann
- 7. Oxcm
- 8. Awesome
- 9. Aymen 0909
- 10. Beepidibop
- 11. Breeje
- 12. DadeKuma
- 13. Diana
- 14. Ellar

15. |||||| 16. Iurii3 17. Josiah 18. Moksha 19. Rageur 20. RaymondFam 21. ReyAdmirado 22. Rolezn 23. Ruhum 24. SaeedAlipoorO1988 25. Udsen 26. Viktor\_Cortess 27. WORR10 28. W\_Max 29. adriro 30. atharvasama 31. brgltd 32. btk 33. <u>c3phas</u> 34. chaduke 35. codeislight 36. cryptonue 37. ddimitrov22 38. delfin454000 39. descharre 40. eierina

41. fatherOfBlocks

42. georgits

43. <u>gerdusx</u>

- 44. hansfriese
- 45. kaden
- 46. lukris02
- 47. luxartvinsec
- 48. martin
- 49. matrix\_Owl
- 50. mert\_eren
- 51. mookimgo
- 52. nadin
- 53. oberon
- 54. pavankv
- 55. popular00
- 56. rbserver
- 57. shark
- 58. <u>sorrynotsorry</u>
- 59. tnevler

This contest was judged by **Picodes**.

Final report assembled by itsmetechjay.

### ര

### Summary

The C4 analysis yielded an aggregated total of 10 unique vulnerabilities. Of these vulnerabilities, 3 received a risk rating in the category of HIGH severity and 7 received a risk rating in the category of MEDIUM severity.

Additionally, C4 analysis included 40 reports detailing issues with a risk rating of LOW severity or non-critical. There were also 24 reports recommending gas optimizations.

All of the issues presented here are linked back to their original finding.

### ശ

# Scope

The code under review can be found within the <u>C4 Timeswap contest repository</u>, and is composed of 70 smart contracts written in the Solidity programming language and includes 3,605 lines of Solidity code.

ر ر

# **Severity Criteria**

C4 assesses the severity of disclosed vulnerabilities based on three primary risk categories: high, medium, and low/non-critical.

High-level considerations for vulnerabilities span the following key areas when conducting assessments:

- Malicious Input Handling
- Escalation of privileges
- Arithmetic
- Gas use

For more information regarding the severity criteria referenced throughout the submission review process, please refer to the documentation provided on <a href="mailto:the-c4">the C4</a> website, specifically our section on <a href="mailto:Severity Categorization">Severity Categorization</a>.

ന-

# High Risk Findings (3)

ക

# [H-O1] Rebalance logic is wrong and this distorts the pool's important states

Submitted by hansfriese

The important states including <code>longOBalance</code>, <code>long1Balance</code>, <code>long1FeeGrowth</code>, <code>long1ProtocolFees</code> are wrongly calculated and it breaks the pool's invariant.

 $^{\circ}$ 

### **Proof of Concept**

The protocol provides a rebalancing functionality and the main logic is implemented in the library Pool.sol. If param.isLongOToLong1 is true and the transaction is

TimeswapV2PoolRebalance.GivenLong1, the protocol calculates the

long1AmountAdjustFees first and the actual long0Amount, longFees and the final long1Balance is decided accordingly.

The problem is it is using the wrong parameter pool.longOBalance while it is supposed to use pool.long1Balance in the line L679.

This leads to wrong state calculation in the following logic. (especially L685 is setting the long1Balance to zero).

Furthermore, the protocol is designed as a permission-less one and anyone can call <code>TimeswapV2Pool.rebalance()</code>.

An attacker can abuse this to break the pool's invariant and take profit leveraging that.

```
packages\v2-pool\src\structs\Pool.sol
        function rebalance (Pool storage pool, TimeswapV2PoolRebalance)
665:
666:
            if (pool.liquidity == 0) Error.requireLiquidity();
667:
668:
            // No need to update short fee growth.
669:
670:
            uint256 longFees;
671:
            if (param.isLong0ToLong1) {
672:
                if (param.transaction == TimeswapV2PoolRebalance)
673:
                    (long1Amount, longFees) = ConstantSum.calcul
674:
675:
                    if (long1Amount == 0) Error.zeroOutput();
676:
                    pool.long1Balance -= (long1Amount + longFee)
677:
                } else if (param.transaction == TimeswapV2PoolRe
678:
   //*************
679:
                    uint256 long1AmountAdjustFees = FeeCalculat:
   //*************
680:
681:
                    if ((long1Amount = param.delta) == long1Amount
682:
                        longOAmount = ConstantSum.calculateGive
683:
684:
                        longFees = pool.long1Balance.unsafeSub()
685:
                       pool.long1Balance = 0;
686:
                    } else {
                        (longOAmount, longFees) = ConstantSum.ca
687:
688:
689:
                        pool.long1Balance -= (long1Amount + long
```

```
690:
                      }
691:
692:
                      if (long0Amount == 0) Error.zeroOutput();
693:
                  }
694:
695:
                 pool.longOBalance += longOAmount;
696:
697:
                  (pool.long1FeeGrowth, pool.long1ProtocolFees) =
698:
             } else {
699:
                  if (param.transaction == TimeswapV2PoolRebalance)
700:
                      uint256 long0AmountAdjustFees = FeeCalculat:
701:
702:
                      if ((long0Amount = param.delta) == long0Amount
703:
                          long1Amount = ConstantSum.calculateGiver
704:
705:
                          longFees = pool.longOBalance.unsafeSub()
706:
                          pool.longOBalance = 0;
707:
                      } else {
708:
                          (long1Amount, longFees) = ConstantSum.ca
709:
710:
                          pool.longOBalance -= (longOAmount + long
711:
                      }
712:
713:
                      if (long1Amount == 0) Error.zeroOutput();
714:
                  } else if (param.transaction == TimeswapV2PoolRe
715:
                      (longOAmount, longFees) = ConstantSum.calcul
716:
717:
                      if (long0Amount == 0) Error.zeroOutput();
718:
719:
                      pool.long0Balance -= (long0Amount + longFee;
720:
                  }
721:
722:
                 pool.long1Balance += long1Amount;
723:
724:
                  (pool.longOFeeGrowth, pool.longOProtocolFees) =
725:
              }
726:
         }
```

### ഗ

### **Recommended Mitigation Steps**

Fix the L679 as below.

vhawk19 (Timeswap) confirmed and resolved:

Fixed here at this commit.

**⊘** 

[H-O2] TimeswapV2LiquidityToken should not use totalSupply()+1 as tokenId

Submitted by mookimgo, also found by hansfriese

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-token/src/TimeswapV2LiquidityToken.sol#L114

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-token/src/TimeswapV2Token.sol#L103

 $\Theta$ 

**Impact** 

Assuming ERC1155Enumerable is acting normally, there is an **Accounting Issue** about TimeswapV2LiquidityToken and TimeswapV2Token's tokenId.

Different liquidities can have the same tokenId, leading to serious balance manipulation.

I'm submitting this issue as medium because current implementation ERC1155Enumerable is wrong, which exactly mitigates this issue making it not exploitable. But this issue will become dangerous once we fixed ERC1155Enumerable.

ര

### **Proof of Concept**

In this PoC, the attacker will do these steps:

- 1. Add liquidity of tokenO and tokenI, thus receiving TimeswapV2LiquidityToken tokenId 1.
- 2. Add liquidity of token2 and token3, thus receiving TimeswapV2LiquidityToken tokenId 2.
- 3. Burn his liquidity from step1, which will make totalSupply decrease (if ERC1155Enumerable has been patched).

4. Add liquidity of token4 and token5, and receive TimeswapV2LiquidityToken tokenId 2. This is wrong tokenId, which should be 3.

### **Explanation:**

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-token/src/TimeswapV2LiquidityToken.sol#L112

As the comment said, if the position does not exist, create it, but the new tokenId is set as totalSupply() + 1.

Function totalSupply is defined in packages/v2-

token/src/base/ERC1155Enumerable.sol, which is simply  $\all$ \_allTokens.length:

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-token/src/base/ERC1155Enumerable.sol#L37-L38

```
\_allTokens.length can be decreased in
_removeTokenFromAllTokensEnumeration function, which is called by
_removeTokenEnumeration, and by _afterTokenTransfer. In simple words, when
all token amounts for a specific tokenId are burned (_idTotalSupply[id] == 0),
totalSupply should be decreased.
```

Current implementation of ERC1155Enumerable has a bug, which will never trigger \_removeTokenFromAllTokensEnumeration: Calling \\_removeTokenEnumeration needs amount>0, but only \_idTotalSupply[id] == 0 can trigger \\_removeTokenFromAllTokensEnumeration.

```
function _removeTokenEnumeration(address from, address to, us
   if (to == address(0)) {
      if (_idTotalSupply[id] == 0 && _additionalConditionRed
        _idTotalSupply[id] -= amount;
   }
```

Once the above code gets fixed (swapping the if line and \_idTotalSupply[id] -= amount; line, patch given below), this issue becomes exploitable, making the accounting of LP wrong.

Proof of Concept steps:

First, we need to patch two contracts:

- making TimeswapV2LiquidityToken's
   \\_timeswapV2LiquidityTokenPositionIds as public for testing, this can be removed when depolying
- ERC1155Enumerable's \\_removeTokenEnumeration has been patched to behave correctly, which will decrease totalSupply when all token amount of a specific tokenId has been burned.

```
diff --git a/packages/v2-token/src/TimeswapV2LiquidityToken.sol ]
index 2f71a25..f3910d9 100644
--- a/packages/v2-token/src/TimeswapV2LiquidityToken.sol
+++ b/packages/v2-token/src/TimeswapV2LiquidityToken.sol
@@ -42,7 +42,7 @@ contract TimeswapV2LiquidityToken is ITimeswap'
     mapping(uint256 => TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenPosition) private
    mapping(bytes32 => uint256) private timeswapV2LiquidityToke
    mapping(bytes32 => uint256) public timeswapV2LiquidityTokel
    mapping(uint256 => mapping(address => FeesPosition)) private
diff --git a/packages/v2-token/src/base/ERC1155Enumerable.sol b/l
index 4ec23ff..4f51fb4 100644
--- a/packages/v2-token/src/base/ERC1155Enumerable.sol
+++ b/packages/v2-token/src/base/ERC1155Enumerable.sol
@@ -91,8 +91,8 @@ abstract contract ERC1155Enumerable is IERC115!
     /// @dev Remove token enumeration list if necessary.
     function removeTokenEnumeration(address from, address to,
         if (to == address(0)) {
             if ( idTotalSupply[id] == 0 && additionalCondition)
             idTotalSupply[id] -= amount;
             if ( idTotalSupply[id] == 0 && additionalCondition)
         }
         if (from != address(0) && from != to) {
```

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: UNLICENSED
pragma solidity =0.8.8;
import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "forge-std/console.sol";
import "../src/TimeswapV2LiquidityToken.sol";
import "@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/ERC20.sol";
import "@timeswap-labs/v2-option/src/TimeswapV2OptionFactory.sol"
import "@timeswap-labs/v2-option/src/interfaces/ITimeswapV2Option
import {TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenCollectParam} from "../src/struc"
import "@timeswap-labs/v2-pool/src/TimeswapV2PoolFactory.sol";
import "@timeswap-labs/v2-pool/src/interfaces/ITimeswapV2Pool.so"
import {TimeswapV2PoolMintParam} from "@timeswap-labs/v2-pool/sr
import {TimeswapV2PoolMintChoiceCallbackParam, TimeswapV2PoolMin
import {TimeswapV2OptionMintCallbackParam, TimeswapV2OptionSwapCallbackParam, TimeswapV2OptionSwapV2OptionSwapV2OptionSwapV2OptionSwapV2OptionSwapV2OptionSwapV2OptionSwapV2OptionSwapV2OptionSwapV2OptionSwapV2OptionSwapV2Option
// import "@timeswap-labs/v2-option/src/TimeswapV2OptionFactory.
// // import "@timeswap-labs/v2-option/src/interfaces/ITimeswapV."
import "@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC1155/utils/ERC1155Holde:
import {TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenPosition, PositionLibrary} from
import {TimeswapV2PoolMint} from "@timeswap-labs/v2-pool/src/enu
import {TimeswapV2OptionMint} from "@timeswap-labs/v2-option/src
import {StrikeConversion} from "@timeswap-labs/v2-library/src/St:
import {DurationCalculation} from "@timeswap-labs/v2-pool/src/lil
import {FullMath} from "@timeswap-labs/v2-library/src/FullMath.s
contract HelperERC20 is ERC20 {
        constructor(string memory _name, string memory _symbol) ERC2
                  mint(msg.sender, type(uint256).max);
struct Timestamps {
        uint256 maturity;
        uint256 timeNow;
struct MintOutput {
        uint160 liquidityAmount;
        uint256 long0Amount;
        uint256 long1Amount;
        uint256 shortAmount;
        bytes data;
}
```

```
contract TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenTest is Test, ERC1155Holder {
    ITimeswapV2Option opPair;
    ITimeswapV2Option opPair2;
    ITimeswapV2Option opPair3;
    ITimeswapV2Option opPairCurrent;
    TimeswapV2OptionFactory optionFactory;
    TimeswapV2PoolFactory poolFactory;
    ITimeswapV2Pool pool;
    ITimeswapV2Pool pool2;
    ITimeswapV2Pool pool3;
    ITimeswapV2Pool poolCurrent;
    using PositionLibrary for TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenPosition;
    uint256 chosenTransactionFee = 5;
    uint256 chosenProtocolFee = 4;
    HelperERC20 token0;
    HelperERC20 token1;
    HelperERC20 token2;
    HelperERC20 token3;
    HelperERC20 token4;
    HelperERC20 token5;
    HelperERC20 token0Current;
    HelperERC20 token1Current;
    TimeswapV2LiquidityToken mockLiquidityToken;
    function timeswapV2PoolMintChoiceCallback(TimeswapV2PoolMint(
        vm.assume(param.longAmount < (1 << 127));</pre>
        long0Amount = StrikeConversion.turn(param.longAmount / 2
        long1Amount = StrikeConversion.turn(param.longAmount / 2
        vm.assume(
            param.longAmount < StrikeConversion.combine(longOAmount)</pre>
        );
    function timeswapV2PoolMintCallback(TimeswapV2PoolMintCallback)
        // have to transfer param.longOAmount, param.long1Amount
        console.log(param.long0Amount, param.long1Amount);
        TimeswapV2OptionMintParam memory mparam = TimeswapV2Optic
            strike: param.strike,
            maturity: param.maturity,
            longOTo: msg.sender,
            long1To: msg.sender,
            shortTo: msg.sender,
            transaction: TimeswapV2OptionMint.GivenTokensAndLong:
```

```
amount0: param.long0Amount,
                    amount1: param.long1Amount,
                    data: ""
          });
          opPairCurrent.mint(mparam);
          console.log("opPair mint ok");
}
function timeswapV2OptionMintCallback(TimeswapV2OptionMintCallback)
          data = param.data;
         //console.log("token0 bal:", token0.balanceOf(address(the
          //console.log("token1 bal:", token1.balanceOf(address(the
          token0Current.transfer(msg.sender, param.token0AndLong0A)
         token1Current.transfer(msg.sender, param.token1AndLong1A)
}
function timeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(Ti
          TimeswapV2PoolMintParam memory param1 = TimeswapV2PoolMin
                    strike: param.strike,
                   maturity: param.maturity,
                    to: address(this),
                    transaction: TimeswapV2PoolMint.GivenLiquidity,
                    delta: param.liquidityAmount,
                   data: ""}
         );
         poolCurrent.mint(param1);
         poolCurrent.transferLiquidity(param.strike, param.maturi
         data = bytes("");
function setUp() public {
          optionFactory = new TimeswapV2OptionFactory();
          token0 = new HelperERC20("Token A", "A");
          token1 = new HelperERC20("Token B", "B");
          token2 = new HelperERC20("Token C", "C");
          token3 = new HelperERC20("Token D", "D");
          token4 = new HelperERC20("Token E", "E");
         token5 = new HelperERC20("Token F", "F");
          if (address(token1) < address(token0)) {</pre>
                    (token0, token1) = (token1, token0);
          if (address(token3) < address(token2)) {</pre>
                    (token2, token3) = (token3, token2);
          if (address(token5) < address(token4)) {</pre>
```

```
(token4, token5) = (token5, token4);
    address opAddress = optionFactory.create(address(token0)
    opPair = ITimeswapV2Option(opAddress);
    address opAddress2 = optionFactory.create(address(token2))
    opPair2 = ITimeswapV2Option(opAddress2);
    address opAddress3 = optionFactory.create(address(token4
    opPair3 = ITimeswapV2Option(opAddress3);
    poolFactory = new TimeswapV2PoolFactory(address(this), cl
    pool = ITimeswapV2Pool(poolFactory.create(opAddress));
    pool2 = ITimeswapV2Pool(poolFactory.create(opAddress2));
    pool3 = ITimeswapV2Pool(poolFactory.create(opAddress3));
    mockLiquidityToken = new TimeswapV2LiquidityToken(addres:
function testMint(uint256 strike, uint160 amt, uint256 matur
    setUp();
    // vm.assume(strike != 0 && (maturity < type(uint96).max</pre>
    vm.assume(to != address(0));
    vm.assume(
        maturity < type(uint96).max &&
            amt < type(uint160).max &&
            amt != 0 \& \&
            to != address(0) &&
            strike != 0 &&
            maturity > block.timestamp &&
            maturity > 10000 && rate>0
    ) ;
    console.log("init");
    pool.initialize(strike, maturity, rate);
    pool2.initialize(strike, maturity, rate);
    pool3.initialize(strike, maturity, rate);
    //TimeswapV2PoolMintParam memory param = TimeswapV2PoolM.
    //MintOutput memory response;
    //(response.liquidityAmount, response.longOAmount, response.
    uint256 id1;
    uint256 id2;
        token0Current = token0;
        token1Current = token1;
        poolCurrent = pool;
        opPairCurrent = opPair;
```

```
TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintParam memory liqTokenMin
    token0: address(token0Current),
    token1: address(token1Current),
    strike: strike,
    maturity: maturity,
    to: address(this),
    liquidityAmount: amt,
    data: ""
});
mockLiquidityToken.mint(liqTokenMintParam);
//console.log(mockLiquidityToken.balanceOf(address(t)
TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenPosition memory timeswapV2Lic
    token0: address(token0Current),
    token1: address(token1Current),
    strike: strike,
    maturity: maturity
});
bytes32 key1 = timeswapV2LiquidityTokenPosition.toKe
id1 = mockLiquidityToken. timeswapV2LiquidityTokenPor
console.log("key1:");
console.logBytes32(key1);
console.log("id1:", id1);
assertEq(mockLiquidityToken.balanceOf(address(this),
assertEq(mockLiquidityToken.totalSupply(), 1);
//console.log(" idTotalSupply id1:", mockLiquidityTo
console.log("======");
token0Current = token2;
token1Current = token3;
poolCurrent = pool2;
opPairCurrent = opPair2;
TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintParam memory liqTokenMin
    token0: address(token0Current),
    token1: address(token1Current),
    strike: strike,
    maturity: maturity,
    to: address(this),
    liquidityAmount: amt,
    data: ""
});
```

}

```
mockLiquidityToken.mint(liqTokenMintParam2);
    //console.log(mockLiquidityToken.balanceOf(address(t)
    TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenPosition memory timeswapV2Lic
        token0: address(token0Current),
        token1: address(token1Current),
        strike: strike,
        maturity: maturity
    });
    bytes32 key2 = timeswapV2LiquidityTokenPosition2.toKe
    id2 = mockLiquidityToken. timeswapV2LiquidityTokenPor
    console.log("key2:");
    console.logBytes32(key2);
    console.log("id2:", id2);
    assertEq(mockLiquidityToken.balanceOf(address(this),
    assertEq(mockLiquidityToken.totalSupply(), 2);
    console.log("======");
TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenBurnParam memory burnParam = Time
    token0: address(token0),
    token1: address(token1),
    strike: strike,
    maturity: maturity,
    to: address(this),
    liquidityAmount: amt,
    data: ""
});
mockLiquidityToken.burn(burnParam);
console.log("balanceOf id1:", mockLiquidityToken.balanceOf
//console.log(" idTotalSupply id1:", mockLiquidityToken.
console.log("current totalSupply():", mockLiquidityToken
    token0Current = token4;
    token1Current = token5;
    poolCurrent = pool3;
    opPairCurrent = opPair3;
    TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintParam memory liqTokenMin
        token0: address(token0Current),
        token1: address(token1Current),
        strike: strike,
        maturity: maturity,
        to: address(this),
        liquidityAmount: amt,
        data: ""
```

```
});
            mockLiquidityToken.mint(liqTokenMintParam3);
            //console.log(mockLiquidityToken.balanceOf(address(t)
            TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenPosition memory timeswapV2Lic
                token0: address(token0Current),
                token1: address(token1Current),
                strike: strike,
                maturity: maturity
            });
            bytes32 key3 = timeswapV2LiquidityTokenPosition3.toKe
            uint256 id3 = mockLiquidityToken. timeswapV2Liquidity
            console.log("key3:");
            console.logBytes32(key3);
            console.log("id3:", id3);
            //assertEq(mockLiquidityToken.balanceOf(address(this
            if (id2 == id3) {revert("id3 should not equal to id2
            console.log("======");
        console.log("yo");
}
```

Here is the log for the above test: forge test --match-path test/TimeswapV2LiquidityToken\_MultiMint.t.sol -vv

```
Running 1 test for test/TimeswapV2LiquidityToken.t.sol:TimeswapV2
[FAIL. Reason: id3 should not equal to id2 Counterexample: callda
Logs:
  init
 27098832009566517192208877280621000759779887252385238988097103
 opPair mint ok
 key1:
 0x3ad1cfe6142808456d576d32877db082ef58ce80e40fb5019d9e5f73aebf
  id1: 1
  ======
  27098832009566517192208877280621000759779887252385238988097103
 opPair mint ok
 key2:
  0x4b911bdfb2c97775c28fae58288d53335ea7b59d3675acf0460ff4083897
  id2: 2
  =======
```

```
balanceOf id1: 0
  current totalSupply(): 1
  27098832009566517192208877280621000759779887252385238988097103
  opPair mint ok
  key3:
    0x6b43d3a16273d9e9f13739b825952b03e59127b9d41c4e0d9d58d635e8d2
  id3: 2

Test result: FAILED. 0 passed; 1 failed; finished in 91.76ms

Failing tests:
Encountered 1 failing test in test/TimeswapV2LiquidityToken.t.so.
[FAIL. Reason: id3 should not equal to id2 Counterexample: calldate.]

Encountered a total of 1 failing tests, 0 tests succeeded
```

#### ര

### **Recommended Mitigation Steps**

Do not use totalSupply() or other maybe-decreasing variables for new tokenId.

### Patch file can be like this:

```
diff --git a/packages/v2-token/src/TimeswapV2LiquidityToken.sol ]
index 2f71a25..94e4006 100644
--- a/packages/v2-token/src/TimeswapV2LiquidityToken.sol
+++ b/packages/v2-token/src/TimeswapV2LiquidityToken.sol
@@ -32,6 +32,7 @@ contract TimeswapV2LiquidityToken is ITimeswap'
                  address public immutable optionFactory;
                  address public immutable poolFactory;
                 uint256 public tokenIdCounter;
                  constructor (address chosenOptionFactory, address chosenPool:
                                optionFactory = chosenOptionFactory;
@@ -111,7 +112,7 @@ contract TimeswapV2LiquidityToken is ITimeswapV2LiquidityToken is ITimeswapV2Liquid
                                // if the position does not exist, create it
                                if (id == 0) {
                                             id = totalSupply() + 1;
+
                                               id = ++tokenIdCounter;
                                                timeswapV2LiquidityTokenPositions[id] = timeswapV2:
                                                timeswapV2LiquidityTokenPositionIds[key] = id;
                                 }
```

Picodes (judge) increased severity to High

vhawk19 (Timeswap) confirmed and resolved:

Fixed in PR.

ക

[H-O3] The collect() function will always TRANSFER ZERO fees, losing \_feesPositions without receiving fees!

Submitted by chaduke, also found by Beepidibop and Oxcm

Detailed description of the impact of this finding. The <code>collect()</code> function will always transfer ZERO fees. At the same time, non-zero <code>feesPosition</code> will be burned.

```
_feesPositions[id][msg.sender].burn(long0Fees, long1Fees, shortFe
```

As a result, the contracts will be left in an inconsistent state. The user will burn feesPositions without receiving the fees!

ര

### **Proof of Concept**

Provide direct links to all referenced code in GitHub. Add screenshots, logs, or any other relevant proof that illustrates the concept.

The collect() function will always transfer ZERO fees in the following line:

```
// transfer the fees amount to the recipient
ITimeswapV2Pool(poolPair).transferFees(param.strike, param.strike, param.strik
```

This is because, at this moment, the values of <code>long0Fees</code>, <code>long1Fees</code>, <code>shortFees</code> have not been calculated yet, actually, they will be equal to zero. Therefore, no fees will be transferred. The values of <code>long0Fees</code>, <code>long1Fees</code>, <code>shortFees</code> are calculated afterwards by the following line:

```
(long0Fees, long1Fees, shortFees) = feesPositions[id][msg.sende:
```

Therefore, ITimeswapV2Pool (poolPair).transferFees must be called after this line to be correct.

ര

**Tools Used** 

Remix

ക

### **Recommended Mitigation Steps**

We moved the line ITimeswapV2Pool(poolPair).transferFees after long0Fees, long1Fees, shortFees have been calculated first.

```
ParamLibrary.check(param);
      // start the reentrancy guard
      raiseGuard(key);
       (, address poolPair) = PoolFactoryLibrary.getWithCheck(o)
      uint256 id = timeswapV2LiquidityTokenPositionIds[key];
      updateFeesPositions(msg.sender, address(0), id);
       (long0Fees, long1Fees, shortFees) = feesPositions[id][mail
      if (param.data.length != 0)
          data = ITimeswapV2LiquidityTokenCollectCallback(msg.:
             TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenCollectCallbackParam({
                token0: param.token0,
                token1: param.token1,
                strike: param.strike,
                maturity: param.maturity,
                longOFees: longOFees,
                long1Fees: long1Fees,
                 shortFees: shortFees,
                data: param.data
```

```
// transfer the fees amount to the recipient
ITimeswapV2Pool(poolPair).transferFees(param.strike, para

// burn the desired fees from the fees position
_feesPositions[id][msg.sender].burn(long0Fees, long1Fees

if (long0Fees != 0 || long1Fees != 0 || shortFees != 0)

// stop the reentrancy guard
lowerGuard(key);
```

### vhawk19 (Timeswap) confirmed and resolved:

Fixed in **PR**.

∾ Medium Risk Findings (7)

[M-O1] \_currentIndex is incorrectly updated; breaking the ERC1155 enumerable implementation

Submitted by eierina, also found by adriro

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01timeswap/blob/3be51465583552cce76816a05170fda7da68596a/packages/v2token/src/base/ERC1155Enumerable.sol#L92-L101

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01timeswap/blob/3be51465583552cce76816a05170fda7da68596a/packages/v2token/src/base/ERC1155Enumerable.sol#L116-L121

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01timeswap/blob/3be51465583552cce76816a05170fda7da68596a/packages/v2token/src/base/ERC1155Enumerable.sol#L136-L149 യ Impact

When minting and burning tokens, the ERC1155Enumerable implementation does not correctly update the following states:

- uint256[] private \_allTokens;
- mapping(uint256 => uint256) private \_allTokensIndex;
- mapping(address => uint256) internal \_currentIndex;

In particular:

- the \_allTokens array length (and therefore the totalSupply()) always increases (never decreases)
- the \_allTokensIndex[id] always increases
- the \_curentIndex[from] always increases

### ত Proof of Concept

NOTE: the following test requires some private states of ERC1155Enumerable.sol to be set from private to internal.

```
contract HelperERC1155 is ERC1155Enumerable, ERC1155Holder {
   constructor() ERC1155("Test") {
   }

   function mint(uint256 id, uint256 amount) external {
      _mint(msg.sender, id, amount, bytes(""));
   }

   function burn(uint256 id, uint256 amount) external {
      _burn(msg.sender, id, amount);
   }

   function currentIndex(address owner) external view returns (note that the contract of the contr
```

```
function allTokens (uint256 idx) external view returns (uint25
        return allTokens[idx];
    function idTotalSupply(uint256 id) external view returns (uin
        return idTotalSupply[id];
    }
}
contract BugTest is Test, ERC1155Holder {
    function testImplError() public {
        HelperERC1155 token = new HelperERC1155();
        for(uint i=0; i<10; i++) {
            token.mint(i, 1+i);
        }
        for(uint i=0; i<10; i++) {
            token.burn(i, 1+i);
            assertEq(token.idTotalSupply(i), 0); // OK
            assertEq(token.allTokensIndex(i), i); // NOT OK (show
        assertEq(token.totalSupply(), 10); // NOT OK (should be
        assertEq(token.currentIndex(address(this)), 10); // NOT (
    function testImplFixed() public {
        HelperERC1155 token = new HelperERC1155();
        for(uint i=0; i<10; i++) {
            token.mint(i, 1+i);
        }
        for(uint i=0; i<10; i++) {
            token.burn(i, 1+i);
            assertEq(token.idTotalSupply(i), 0); // OK
            assertEq(token.allTokensIndex(i), 0); // OK
        assertEq(token.totalSupply(), 0); // OK
        assertEq(token.currentIndex(address(this)), 0); // OK
}
```

Before fix forge test --match-contract BugTest -vvv outputs:

```
Running 2 tests for test/Audit2.t.sol:BugTest
[PASS] testImplError() (gas: 2490610)
[FAIL. Reason: Assertion failed.] testImplFixed() (gas: 2560628)
Test result: FAILED. 1 passed; 1 failed; finished in 2.05ms
```

After fix forge test --match-contract BugTest -vvv outputs:

```
Running 2 tests for test/Audit2.t.sol:BugTest
[FAIL. Reason: Assertion failed.] testImplError() (gas: 2558695)
[PASS] testImplFixed() (gas: 2489080)
Test result: FAILED. 1 passed; 1 failed; finished in 2.22ms
```

### ত Recommended Mitigation Steps

Correct the implementation to update states correctly. Patch provided below for reference.

```
diff --git a/packages/v2-token/src/base/ERC1155Enumerable.sol b/l
index 4ec23ff..ef67bca 100644
--- a/packages/v2-token/src/base/ERC1155Enumerable.sol
+++ b/packages/v2-token/src/base/ERC1155Enumerable.sol
@@ -91,8 +91,8 @@ abstract contract ERC1155Enumerable is IERC115!
     /// @dev Remove token enumeration list if necessary.
     function removeTokenEnumeration(address from, address to,
         if (to == address(0)) {
             if ( idTotalSupply[id] == 0 && additionalCondition)
             idTotalSupply[id] -= amount;
             if ( idTotalSupply[id] == 0 && additionalCondition)
+
         }
         if (from != address(0) && from != to) {
@@ -114,8 +114,7 @@ abstract contract ERC1155Enumerable is IERC11
     /// @param to address representing the new owner of the give
     /// @param tokenId uint256 ID of the token to be added to tl
     function addTokenToOwnerEnumeration(address to, uint256 to
         currentIndex[to] += 1;
        uint256 length = currentIndex[to];
         uint256 length = currentIndex[to]++;
          ownedTokens[to][length] = tokenId;
```

```
__ownedTokensIndex[tokenId] = length;
}

@@ -134,7 +133,7 @@ abstract contract ERC1155Enumerable is IERC11

/// @param from address representing the previous owner of '

/// @param tokenId uint256 ID of the token to be removed from function _removeTokenFromOwnerEnumeration(address from, uint256 lastTokenIndex = _currentIndex[from] - 1;

uint256 lastTokenIndex = --_currentIndex[from];

uint256 tokenIndex = _ownedTokensIndex[tokenId];

if (tokenIndex != lastTokenIndex) {
```

### Picodes (judge) commented:

There are 2 bugs highlighted here:

- the check is incorrectly made before the state update in removeTokenEnumeration
- the order in which currentIndex is updated

So splitting this finding in 2. (Note: issue title has been updated accordingly. Also, see newly created issue #300.)

### vhawk19 (Timeswap) confirmed and commented:

Updated the **ERC1155Enumerable.sol** implementation, which should resolve these issues.

# [M-O2] Burning a ERC1155Enumerable token doesn't remove it from the enumeration

Submitted by adriro, also found by eierina, hansfriese, mookimgo, and chaduke

The ERC1155Enumerable base contract used in the TimeswapV2Token and TimeswapV2LiquidityToken tokens provides a functionality to enumerate all token ids that have been minted in the contract.

The logic to remove the token from the enumeration if the last token is burned is implemented in the afterTokenTransfer hook:

# https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-token/src/base/ERC1155Enumerable.sol#L81-L101

```
function afterTokenTransfer(address, address from, address to,
    for (uint256 i; i < ids.length; ) {</pre>
        if (amounts[i] != 0) removeTokenEnumeration(from, to, ic
        unchecked {
           ++i;
       }
   }
}
/// @dev Remove token enumeration list if necessary.
function removeTokenEnumeration(address from, address to, uint2)
    if (to == address(0)) {
        if ( idTotalSupply[id] == 0 && additionalConditionRemove
        idTotalSupply[id] -= amount;
    }
    if (from != address(0) && from != to) {
        if (balanceOf(from, id) == 0 && additionalConditionRemo
    }
}
```

The \_removeTokenEnumeration condition to check if the supply is O happens before the function decreases the burned amount. This will \_removeTokenFromAllTokensEnumeration from being called when the last token(s)

### യ Impact

is(are) burned.

The token isn't removed from the enumeration since

\_removeTokenFromAllTokensEnumeration will never be called. This will cause the enumeration to always contain a minted token even though it is burned afterwards. The function totalSupply and tokenByIndex will report wrong values.

This will also cause the enumeration to contain duplicate values or multiple copies of the same token. If the token is minted again after all tokens were previously burned, the token will be re-added to the enumeration.

### ত Proof of Concept

The following test demonstrates the issue. Alice is minted a token and that token is then burned, the token is still present in the enumeration. The token is minted again, causing the enumeration to contain the token by duplicate.

```
// SPDX-License-Identifier: UNLICENSED
pragma solidity =0.8.8;
import "forge-std/Test.sol";
import "../src/base/ERC1155Enumerable.sol";
contract TestERC1155Enumerable is ERC1155Enumerable {
    constructor() ERC1155("") {
    function mint(address to, uint256 id, uint256 amount) externa
        mint(to, id, amount, "");
    function burn (address from, uint256 id, uint256 amount) exter
        burn(from, id, amount);
}
contract AuditTest is Test {
    function test ERC1155Enumerable BadRemoveFromEnumeration() pr
        TestERC1155Enumerable token = new TestERC1155Enumerable(
        address alice = makeAddr("alice");
        uint256 tokenId = 0;
        uint256 amount = 1;
        token.mint(alice, tokenId, amount);
        // tokenByIndex and totalSupply are ok
        assertEq(token.tokenByIndex(0), tokenId);
        assertEq(token.totalSupply(), 1);
        // now we burn the token
        token.burn(alice, tokenId, amount);
```

```
// tokenByIndex and totalSupply still report previous va.
// tokenByIndex should throw index out of bounds, and suj
assertEq(token.tokenByIndex(0), tokenId);
assertEq(token.totalSupply(), 1);

// Now we mint it again, this will re-add the token to tl
token.mint(alice, tokenId, amount);
assertEq(token.totalSupply(), 2);
assertEq(token.totalSupply(), 2);
assertEq(token.tokenByIndex(0), tokenId);
assertEq(token.tokenByIndex(1), tokenId);
}
```

ക

### Recommendation

Decrease the amount before checking if the supply is 0.

```
function _removeTokenEnumeration(address from, address to, uint2!
   if (to == address(0)) {
        _idTotalSupply[id] -= amount;
        if (_idTotalSupply[id] == 0 && _additionalConditionRemove
   }

if (from != address(0) && from != to) {
        if (balanceOf(from, id) == 0 && _additionalConditionRemove
   }
}
```

### vhawk19 (Timeswap) confirmed and resolved:

Resolved in PR.

ര

# [M-O3] Fee on transfer tokens will not behave as expected

Submitted by RaymondFam, also found by rbserver, nadin, kaden, pavankv, mert\_eren, SaeedAlipoorO1988, and Rolezn

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-option/src/TimeswapV2Option.sol#L145-L148

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-option/src/TimeswapV2Option.sol#L235

യ Impact

According to Whitepaper 1.1 Permissionless:

"In Timeswap, liquidity providers can create pools for any ERC20 pair, without permission. It is designed to be generalized and works for any pair of tokens, at any time frame, and at any market state ...

If fee on transfer token(s) is/are entailed, it will specifically make <code>mint()</code> and <code>swap()</code> revert in TimeswapV2Option.sol when checking if the tokenO or tokenI balance target is achieved.

ত Proof of Concept

File: TimeswapV2Option.sol#L144-L148

```
// check if the token0 balance target is achieved.
if (token0AndLong0Amount != 0) Error.checkEnough(IERC20('
// check if the token1 balance target is achieved.
if (token1AndLong1Amount != 0) Error.checkEnough(IERC20(')
```

### File: TimeswapV2Option.sol#L234-L235

```
// check if the token0 or token1 balance target is achie
Error.checkEnough(IERC20(param.isLong0ToLong1 ? token1 :
```

### File: Error.sol#L148-L153

```
/// @dev Reverts when token amount not received.
/// @param balance The balance amount being subtracted.
/// @param balanceTarget The amount target.
function checkEnough(uint256 balance, uint256 balanceTarget)
   if (balance < balanceTarget) revert NotEnoughReceived(balance)</pre>
```

As can be seen from the code blocks above, <code>checkEnough()</code> is meant to be reverting when token amount has not been received. But in the case of deflationary tokens, the error is going to be thrown even though the token amount has been received due to the fee factor making <code>balance < balanceTarget</code>, i.e the contract balance of tokenO and/or tokenI always less than <code>currentProcess.balanceOTarget</code> or <code>currentProcess.balanceITarget</code>.

രാ

### **Recommended Mitigation Steps**

### Consider:

- 1. Whitelisting token0 and token1 ensuring no fee-on-transfer token is allowed when deploying a new Timeswap V2 Option pair contract, or
- 2. Calculating the balance before and after the <u>transfer to the recipient</u> during the process, and use the difference between those two balances as the amount received rather than using the input amount (tokenOAndLongOAmount or tokenlAndLonglAmount) if deflationary token is going to be allowed in the protocol.

### vhawk19 (Timeswap) acknowledged and commented:

Not supported by design.

ഗ

### [M-O4] sqrtDiscriminant can be calculated wrong

### Submitted by sorrynotsorry

Due to the wrong calculation of short and long tokens during the leverage and deleverage process, the users can suffer financial loss while the protocol will lose fees.

ര

### **Proof of Concept**

The protocol uses leverage function to deposit short tokens and receive long tokens. On the opposite, deleverage function serves for depositing long tokens and receiving short tokens.

### Leverage Function of TimeswapV2Pool contract

### **Deleverage Function of TimeswapV2Pool contract**

Both functions call the PoolLibrary's leverage and deleverage functions after input sanitization.

### **Leverage Function of PoolLibrary contract**

### **Deleverage Function of PoolLibrary contract**

PoolLibrary's leverage and deleverage functions update the state of the pool first for the fee growth and compute the longOAmount, longlAmount, and shortAmount. It also checks the transaction type according to the passed parameter types as per the Transaction contract's enum types below and calls ConstantProduct 's appropriate function accordingly;

```
/// @dev The different kind of deleverage transactions.
enum TimeswapV2PoolDeleverage {
    GivenDeltaSqrtInterestRate,
    GivenShort,
    GivenSum
}

/// @dev The different kind of leverage transactions.
enum TimeswapV2PoolLeverage {
    GivenDeltaSqrtInterestRate,
    GivenLong,
    GivenShort,
    GivenSum
}
```

If the transaction type is GivenSum, both leverage and deleverage functions of PoolLibrary call ConstantProduct.updateGivenSumLong for the sum amount of the long position in the base denomination to be withdrawn, and the short position to be deposited.

```
} else if (param.transaction == TimeswapV2PoolDeleverage.GivenSum (pool.sqrtInterestRate, longAmount, shortAmount, shortFe
```

. . .

### Link

### Link

updateGivenSumLong updates the new square root interest rate given the sum of long positions in base denomination change and short position change;

```
function updateGivenSumLong(
    uint160 liquidity,
    uint160 rate,
    uint256 sumAmount,
    uint96 duration,
    uint256 transactionFee,
   bool isAdd
) internal pure returns (uint160 newRate, uint256 longAmount
    uint256 amount = getShortOrLongFromGivenSum(liquidity, re
    if (isAdd) (newRate, ) = getNewSqrtInterestRateGivenShor
    else newRate = getNewSqrtInterestRateGivenLong(liquidity
    fees = FeeCalculation.getFeesRemoval(amount, transaction)
    amount -= fees;
    if (isAdd) {
        shortAmount = amount;
        longAmount = sumAmount - shortAmount;
    } else {
        longAmount = amount;
        shortAmount = sumAmount - longAmount;
}
```

#### Link

And updateGivenSumLong calls getShortOrLongFromGivenSum in order to return the amount which represents the short amount or long amount calculated.

```
function getShortOrLongFromGivenSum(uint160 liquidity, uint1
    uint256 negativeB = calculateNegativeB(liquidity, rate,
    uint256 sqrtDiscriminant = calculateSqrtDiscriminant(liquidity)
    amount = (negativeB - sqrtDiscriminant).shr(1, false);
}
```

### Link

And the formula needs sqrtDiscriminant value to calculate the amount and it calls calculateSqrtDiscriminant accordingly

calculateSqrtDiscriminant function performs a bunch of checks and carries out mathematical functions to return the SqrtDiscriminant by utilizing FullMath and Math libraries.

```
sqrtDiscriminant = FullMath.sqrt512(b0, b1, true);
```

### **Link**

The sqrt formula in the Math contract uses the modified version of **Babylonian**Method when flags are included.

```
function sqrt(uint256 value, bool roundUp) internal pure retr
  if (value == type(uint256).max) return result = type(uintif (value == 0) return 0;
  unchecked {
     uint256 estimate = (value + 1) >> 1;
     result = value;
     while (estimate < result) {
        result = estimate;
        estimate = (value / estimate + estimate) >> 1;
     }
}

if (roundUp && value % result != 0) result++;
```

Link

However, when the parameter roundUp is passed as true, this results in inconsistent behavior for different values. And it's being passed as true as can be seen <a href="here">here</a>).

In order to show some examples let's pass the numbers as values and flag them true by using Math's sqrt function.

> a   u e	1	2	S	4	5	6	7	00	9	1 O	]	1 2	1 3	1 4	T 5	1 6	7	1 8	7 9	2 0	2	2 2	2 3	2 4	2 5	
R e s u It	1	1	1	2	3	2	3	2	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	•

As can be seen from the table, the results are not distributed logically. And many times the result is steeply lesser than its neighbor results. (E.g Sqrt(6) -> 2, Sqrt(15)-> 3 etc.)

The phenomenon occurs most if the values are small numbers.

So if the parameter value1 in FullMath.sqrt512 is passed/calculated as zero value, it has a high chance of providing a wrong calculation as a result with the line below:

```
function sqrt512(uint256 value0, uint256 value1, bool roundU]
  if (value1 == 0) result = value0.sqrt(roundUp);
```

This may lead to the wrong calculation of the sqrtDiscriminant, hence the wrong calculation of short or long amounts for the given transaction. The users might lose financial value due to this. Accordingly, the protocol might lose unspent fees as well.

While the fewer values are affected more on this one, the pools with fewer token decimals and fewer token amounts are more affected by this error. As an example, a <u>Gemini Dollar</u> pool (59th rank on CMC and having 2 decimals) would be subject to false returns.

 $\odot$ 

**Tools Used** 

Remix, Excel

ര

**Recommended Mitigation Steps** 

The team might consider not using true flag for Math.sqrt function.

vhawk19 (Timeswap) confirmed and resolved:

Fixed in PR.

<u>ര</u>

[M-O5] unexpected overflow for FullMath.add512() which can result in irregular behavior

Submitted by codeislight

The vulnerability originates from insufficient checking in add512 function, where the AddOverflow revert gets bypassed, essentially the function assumes that an overflow only happens if (addendA1 > sum1), where in the case that it's possible for it to overflow in the case that addendA1 == sum1, which can be resulted through assigning a value that makes (It(sum0, addendA0) == 1) <=> sum0 < addendA0, which can only be achieved normally by overflowing the least significant addition. Then we can simply break the overflow check by assigning overflowing values which results in add(addendA1, addendB1) > type(256).max && addendA1 <= sum1, then we will manage to bypass the revert check and overflow the most significant part of add512 values.

The previous attack vector can lead to a manipulation in leverage and deleverage functions, in a way that it would result in more tokens for the user.

ര

**Proof of Concept** 

Inputting the following values results in an overflow:

uint256 addendAO = 1 uint256 addendA1 = 100uint256 addendB0 = 11579208923731619542357098500868790785326998466564056403945758400 7913129639935 (uint256 max value) uint256 addendB1 = 11579208923731619542357098500868790785326998466564056403945758400 7913129639935 (uint256 max value) results in: sum0 = 0sum1 = 100The expected behavior is to revert since, A1 + B1 result in a value that overflows, but instead consider it as a valid behavior due to the insufficient checking. Abstraction: A1 - A0 +B1 - B0 =S1 - S0 SO = AO + BOS1 = A1 + B1 + (if SO overflows [+ 1])ensure A1 <= S1

revert only on A1 > S1

in the case of SO overflows:

```
S1 = A1 + B1 + 1
```

require(A1 <= S1) is not most suited check, due to the fact that in the case of A1 == S1 check, it can still overflow if S1 = A1 + B1 + 1 overflows. which would bypass A1 > S1 revert check.

The major impact affects the leverage() and deleverage() results in values which are not expected.

ര

#### **Recommended Mitigation Steps**

Add an equality check for if statement in add512 function.

#### Picodes (judge) decreased severity to Medium and commented:

No explanation related to how this could lead to errors in leverage or deleverage.

#### vhawk19 (Timeswap) confirmed and resolved:

Fixed in PR.

[M-O6] \_ownedTokensIndex is SHARED by different owners, as a result, \_removeTokenFromAllTokensEnumeration might remove the wrong tokenId.

Submitted by chaduke, also found by adriro

The data structure \_ownedTokensIndex is SHARED by different owners, as a result, removeTokenFromAllTokensEnumeration() might remove the wrong tokenId.

#### ত Proof of Concept

\_ownedTokensIndex is used to map from token ID to index of the owner tokens list, unfortunately, all owners share the same data structure at the same time (non-fungible tokens). So, the mapping for one owner might be overwritten by another owner when \_addTokenToOwnerEnumeration is called:

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01timeswap/blob/ef4c84fb8535aad8abd6b67cc45d994337ec4514/packages/v2token/src/base/ERC1155Enumerable.sol#L116-L121. As a result,
\_removeTokenFromOwnerEnumeration() might remove the wrong tokenID.

Removing the wrong tokenID can happen like the following:

- 1. Suppose Alice owns three tokens A, B, C with indices 1 -> A, 2->B, 3->C
- 2. Suppose Bob owns token D, 1->D, and will add A to his list via

  \_addTokenToOwnerEnumeration(). As a result, we have 1->D, and 2-A, since
  ownedTokensIndex is shared, we have A->2 in ownedTokensIndex.
- 3. Next, \_removeTokenFromOwnerEnumeration() is called to remove A from Alice.

  However, tokenIndex will be 2, which points to B, as a result, instead of deleting

  A, B is deleted from \_ownedTokens . Wrong token delete!

function \_removeTokenFromOwnerEnumeration(address from, uint256 tokenId) private { uint256 lastTokenIndex = \_currentIndex[from] - 1; uint256 tokenIndex = \_ownedTokensIndex[tokenId];

```
if (tokenIndex != lastTokenIndex) {
   uint256 lastTokenId = _ownedTokens[from][lastTokenIndex];
   ownedTokens[from][tokenIndex] = lastTokenId;
```

```
__ownedTokensIndex[lastTokenId] = tokenIndex;
}

delete __ownedTokensIndex[tokenId];
delete __ownedTokens[from][lastTokenIndex];
}
```

ക

**Tools Used** 

Remix

 $^{\circ}$ 

**Recommended Mitigation Steps** 

Redefine ownedTokensIndex so that is is not shared:

```
mapping(address => mapping(uint256 => uint256)) private ownedTol
```

#### vhawk19 (Timeswap) confirmed and commented:

Updated the **ERC1155Enumerable.sol** implementation, which should resolve these issues.

ശ

[M-07] Mint function does not update LiquidityPosition state of caller before minting LP tokens. This

Submitted by **OKage** 

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01timeswap/blob/ef4c84fb8535aad8abd6b67cc45d994337ec4514/packages/v2-pool/src/structs/Pool.sol#L302

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01timeswap/blob/ef4c84fb8535aad8abd6b67cc45d994337ec4514/packages/v2pool/src/structs/LiquidityPosition.sol#L60

യ Impact When a LP mints V2 Pool tokens, mint function in <u>PoolLibrary</u> gets called. Inside this function updateDurationWeightBeforeMaturity updates global short, long0 and long1 fee growth.

Change in global fee growth necessitates an update to LiquidityPosition state of caller (specifically updating fees & fee growth rates) when there are state changes made to that position (in this case, increasing liquidity). This principle is followed in functions such as burn, transferLiquidity, transferFees. However when calling mint, this update is missing. As a result, growth & fee levels in liquidity position of caller are inconsistent with global fee growth rates.

Inconsistent state leads to incorrect calculations of longO/long1 and short fees of LP holders which in turn can lead to loss of fees. Since this impacts actual rewards for users, I've marked it as MEDIUM risk.

ত Proof of Concept

Let's say, Bob has following sequence of events

- MINT at TO: Bob is a LP who mints N pool tokens at TO
- MINT at T1: Bob mints another M pool tokens at T1. At this point, had the protocol correctly updated fees before minting new pool tokens, Bob's fees & growth rate would be a function of current liquidity (N), global updated short fee growth rate at t1 (st1) and Bob's previous growth rate at t10 (b\_t0)
- BURN at T2: Bob burns N + M tokens at T2. At this point, Bob's fees should be a function of previous liquidity (N+M), global short fee growth rate (st2) and Bob's previous growth rate at t\1(bt1) -> since this update never happened, Bob's previous growth rate is wrongly referenced bt0 instead of b\_t1.

Bob could collect a lower fees because of this state inconsistency.

ত Recommended Mitigation Steps

Update the liquidity position state right before minting.

After line 302 of Pool.sol, update the LiquidityPosition by adding

ക

### Low Risk and Non-Critical Issues

For this contest, 36 reports were submitted by wardens detailing low risk and non-critical issues. The <u>report highlighted below</u> by <u>rbserver</u> received the top score from the judge.

The following wardens also submitted reports: ddimitrov22, Udsen, Breeje, matrix\_Owl, hansfriese, OxAgro, Josiah, luxartvinsec, Diana, tnevler, delfin454000, Awesome, mookimgo, cryptonue, shark, IllIll, Ox1f8b, fatherOfBlocks, OxSmartContract, brgltd, oberon, lukris02, Viktor\_Cortess, Moksha, DadeKuma, OxGusMcCrae, popular00, chaduke, georgits, descharre, martin, RaymondFam, btk, Rolezn, and SaeedAlipoor01988.

ര

[O1] User can possibly transfer no token0 or token1 to TimeswapV2Option contract if corresponding token0 OR token1 is a rebasing token

When calling the following <code>TimeswapV2Option.mint</code> function, <code>msg.sender</code> uses the <code>ITimeswapV2OptionMintCallback.timeswapV2OptionMintCallback</code> function to transfer the relevant <code>token0</code> and/or <code>token1</code> to the <code>TimeswapV2Option</code> contract. Similarly, when calling the <code>TimeswapV2Option.swap</code> function below, <code>msg.sender</code> uses the <code>ITimeswapV2OptionSwapCallback.timeswapV2OptionSwapCallback</code> function to transfer the relevant <code>token0</code> or <code>token1</code> to the <code>TimeswapV2Option</code> contract. When <code>token0</code> or <code>token1</code> is a rebasing token, it is possible that the user uses these callback functions to trigger such token's rebasing event that increases its balance owned by the <code>TimeswapV2Option</code> contract.

Then, when the TimeswapV2Option.mint and TimeswapV2Option.swap functions call Error.checkEnough, the rebasing token's balance owned by the TimeswapV2Option contract can possibly exceed the corresponding balance target. As a result, the user is able to mint or swap option positions without sending any of such rebasing token to the TimeswapV2Option contract.

As a mitigation, this protocol can behave like other protocols that do not support rebasing tokens and use a blocklist to block such tokens from being used as token0 or token1 for any options.

## https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-option/src/TimeswapV2Option.sol#L109-L154

```
function mint(
    TimeswapV2OptionMintParam calldata param
) external override noDelegateCall returns (uint256 tokenOAnd
    Option storage option = options[param.strike][param.matu:
    // does main mint logic calculation
    (token0AndLong0Amount, token1AndLong1Amount, shortAmount
    // update token0 and token1 balance target for any previous
    processing.updateProcess(token0AndLong0Amount, token1And)
    // add a new process
    // stores the token0 and token1 balance target required :
    Process storage currentProcess = (processing.push() = Processing.push() = Processing.push()
        param.strike,
        param.maturity,
        IERC20(token0).balanceOf(address(this)) + token0AndLo
        IERC20(token1).balanceOf(address(this)) + token1AndLog
    ) ) ;
    // ask the msg.sender to transfer token0 and/or token1 to
    data = ITimeswapV2OptionMintCallback(msg.sender).timeswap
        TimeswapV2OptionMintCallbackParam({
            strike: param.strike,
            maturity: param.maturity,
            tokenOAndLongOAmount: tokenOAndLongOAmount,
            token1AndLong1Amount: token1AndLong1Amount,
            shortAmount: shortAmount,
            data: param.data
        } )
    ) ;
    // check if the token0 balance target is achieved.
    if (token0AndLong0Amount != 0) Error.checkEnough(IERC20(
```

```
// check if the token1 balance target is achieved.
if (token1AndLong1Amount != 0) Error.checkEnough(IERC20(
...
}
```

## https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-option/src/TimeswapV2Option.sol#L198-L244

```
function swap(TimeswapV2OptionSwapParam calldata param) exter
    Option storage option = options[param.strike][param.matu:
    // does main swap logic calculation
    (token0AndLong0Amount, token1AndLong1Amount) = option.swa
    // update token0 and token1 balance target for any previous
    processing.updateProcess(tokenOAndLongOAmount, token1And)
    // add a new process
    // stores the token0 and token1 balance target required :
    Process storage currentProcess = (processing.push() = Processing.push() = Processing.push()
        param.strike,
        param.maturity,
        param.isLongOToLong1 ? IERC20(tokenO).balanceOf(addre
        param.isLongOToLong1 ? IERC20(token1).balanceOf(addre
    ) ) ;
    // transfer token to recipient.
    IERC20(param.isLong0ToLong1 ? token0 : token1).safeTrans
    // ask the msg.sender to transfer token0 or token1 to the
    data = ITimeswapV2OptionSwapCallback(msg.sender).timeswap
        TimeswapV2OptionSwapCallbackParam({
            strike: param.strike,
            maturity: param.maturity,
            isLongOToLong1: param.isLongOToLong1,
            tokenOAndLongOAmount: tokenOAndLongOAmount,
            token1AndLong1Amount: token1AndLong1Amount,
            data: param.data
        } )
    );
```

```
// check if the token0 or token1 balance target is achie
Error.checkEnough(IERC20(param.isLong0ToLong1 ? token1 :
...
}
```

# [O2] Error.checkEnough function does not prevent user from sending too many tokens to relevant contract

When calling functions like TimeswapV2Option.mint or TimeswapV2Option.swap, the user will use the callback function like

ITimeswapV2OptionMintCallback.timeswapV2OptionMintCallback or
ITimeswapV2OptionSwapCallback.timeswapV2OptionSwapCallback to send some
of the corresponding tokens to the relevant contract, such as TimeswapV2Option.
Calling functions like TimeswapV2Option.mint or TimeswapV2Option.swap will
revert if its call to the following Error.checkEnough function reverts when the token
amount transferred through the callback function is not enough. However, if user
sends too many tokens through the callback function, the Error.checkEnough
function does not revert; when this happens, the extra token amount after the
corresponding token balance target is met will be locked in the relevant receiving
contract like TimeswapV2Option so the user loses such extra amount.

As a mitigation, the balance < balanceTarget condition in the Error.checkEnough function can be updated to balance != balanceTarget. Alternatively, some logic can be added for returning the sent extra token amount back to the user.

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-library/src/Error.sol#L151-L153

```
function checkEnough(uint256 balance, uint256 balanceTarget)
  if (balance < balanceTarget) revert NotEnoughReceived(balance)
}</pre>
```

[03] Pool considers option not matured when its maturity and the block timestamp are equal

As shown by the following comparisons between the option's maturity and the block timestamp in the following <code>TimeswapV2Pool.initialize</code> function and the pool's various <code>ParamLibrary.check</code> functions, the pool considers the corresponding option not matured when its maturity and the block timestamp are equal. However, this is inconsistent with the option's definition, which considers the option matured when its maturity and the block timestamp are equal as the option's various

ParamLibrary.check functions below show. The TimeswapV2Pool contract's mint, burn, deleverage, leverage, and rebalance functions all have the can be only called before the maturity comment indicating that these functions are supposed to be callable only when the corresponding option is not matured. Users who checked these comments can believe that these functions are callable when the option's maturity and the block timestamp are equal; yet, calling these functions at such timing will revert due to the duration to the option's maturity is already O and the inconsistency between the pool and option's definitions of whether the option is matured. As a result, in this case, the user's calls of these TimeswapV2Pool contract's functions will revert unexpectedly with the used gas being wasted, and the user experience becoming degraded.

As a mitigation, the pool and option's definitions of whether the option is matured need to be updated to be consistent.

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/TimeswapV2Pool.sol#L175-L181

```
function initialize(uint256 strike, uint256 maturity, uint16)
   if (maturity < blockTimestamp(0)) Error.alreadyMatured(maturity)
...
}</pre>
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/structs/Param.sol#L142-L194

```
function check (Timeswap V2 Pool Burn Param memory param, uint 96 ]
    if (param.maturity < blockTimestamp) Error.alreadyMature</pre>
}
function check (TimeswapV2PoolDeleverageParam memory param, up
    if (param.maturity < blockTimestamp) Error.alreadyMature</pre>
    . . .
function check (TimeswapV2PoolLeverageParam memory param, uin
    if (param.maturity < blockTimestamp) Error.alreadyMature</pre>
}
function check(TimeswapV2PoolRebalanceParam memory param, ui)
    if (param.maturity < blockTimestamp) Error.alreadyMature</pre>
    . . .
```

## https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-option/src/structs/Param.sol#L103-L151

[O4] Pool's ParamLibrary.check function for

TimeswapV2PoolCollectParam checks param.strike == 0

less restrictively than pool's other ParamLibrary.check

functions

As shown below, calling the pool's ParamLibrary.check function for

TimeswapV2PoolCollectParam will not revert when param.strike is 0 while one or

more of param.long0Requested, param.long1Requested, or

param.shortRequested is not 0. However, calling the pool's other

ParamLibrary.check functions for other param will revert whenever param.strike

== 0 is true. To handle the param.strike == 0 check consistently, please consider

updating the && param.strike == 0 condition to || param.strike == 0 in the

pool's ParamLibrary.check function for TimeswapV2PoolCollectParam.

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/structs/Param.sol#L133-L194

```
function check(TimeswapV2PoolCollectParam memory param) inter
    ...
    if (param.long0Requested == 0 && param.long1Requested ==
}
...
function check(TimeswapV2PoolMintParam memory param, uint96 ]
```

```
if (param.delta == 0 || param.strike == 0) Error.zeroInp
}
function check (TimeswapV2PoolBurnParam memory param, uint96 ]
    if (param.delta == 0 || param.strike == 0) Error.zeroInp
}
function check(TimeswapV2PoolDeleverageParam memory param, unit
    if (param.delta == 0 || param.strike == 0) Error.zeroInp
function check (TimeswapV2PoolLeverageParam memory param, uin
    if (param.delta == 0 || param.strike == 0) Error.zeroInp
function check (TimeswapV2PoolRebalanceParam memory param, ui)
    if (param.delta == 0 || param.strike == 0) Error.zeroInp
```

ල

### [05] Redundant named returns

When a function has unused named returns and used return statements, these named returns become redundant. To improve readability and maintainability, these variables for the named returns can be removed while keeping the return statements for the functions associated with the following lines.

```
v2-pool\src\TimeswapV2Pool.sol
240: function mint(TimeswapV2PoolMintParam calldata param) extender 248: ) external override returns (uint160 liquidityAmount, uin 305: function burn(TimeswapV2PoolBurnParam calldata param) extender 248: ) external override returns (uint160 liquidityAmount, uin 313: ) external override returns (uint160 liquidityAmount, uin 313: )
```

### [06] Word/typing typos

ot can be changed to to in the following comment.

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-library/src/StrikeConversion.sol#L22

/// @param amount The amount ot be converted. Token0 amount

overidden can be changed to overridden in the following comment.

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-option/src/TimeswapV2Option.sol#L69

// Can be overidden for testing purposes.

positions can be changed to positions in the following comment.

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/interfaces/callbacks/ITimeswapV2PoolMintCallback.sol#L10

/// @dev The liquidity positionss will already be minted to

ര

## [07] Confusing NatSpec @param usage

Because data is the returned variable of the following functions, @return can be used instead of @param in the corresponding NatSpec comment to avoid confusion.

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/interfaces/callbacks/ITimeswapV2PoolMintCallback.sol#L13-L14

/// @param data The bytes of data to be sent to msg.sender.
function timeswapV2PoolMintChoiceCallback(TimeswapV2PoolMintChoiceCallback)

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/interfaces/callbacks/ITimeswapV2PoolMintCallback.sol#L17-L18

/// @param data The bytes of data to be sent to msg.sender.
function timeswapV2PoolMintCallback(TimeswapV2PoolMintCallback)

 $\mathcal{O}_{2}$ 

## [08] Incomplete NatSpec Comments

NatSpec comments provide rich code documentation. The following functions are some examples that miss the <code>@param</code> and/or <code>@return</code> comments.

Please consider completing the NatSpec comments for functions like these.

```
v2-library\src\Error.sol
  125: function inactiveOptionChoice(uint256 strike, uint256 matu
v2-library\src\StrikeConversion.sol
  16: function convert (uint256 amount, uint256 strike, bool zero'
  26: function turn(uint256 amount, uint256 strike, bool toOne, ]
  35: function combine (uint256 amount0, uint256 amount1, uint256
v2-option\src\interfaces\ITimeswapV2Option.sol
  169: function burn (Timeswap V2 Option Burn Param calldata param) e:
  190: function collect (Timeswap V2 Option Collect Param calldata par
v2-option\src\interfaces\ITimeswapV2OptionFactory.sol
  28: function getByIndex(uint256 id) external view returns (add:
v2-pool\src\interfaces\callbacks\ITimeswapV2PoolBurnCallback.sol
  13: function timeswapV2PoolBurnChoiceCallback(TimeswapV2PoolBu
v2-pool\src\interfaces\callbacks\ITimeswapV2PoolMintCallback.sol
  14: function timeswapV2PoolMintChoiceCallback(TimeswapV2PoolMin
  18: function timeswapV2PoolMintCallback(TimeswapV2PoolMintCall)
```

#### ₽

## [09] Missing NatSpec comments

NatSpec comments provide rich code documentation. The following functions are some examples that miss NatSpec comments. Please consider adding NatSpec comments for functions like these.

```
v2-option\src\TimeswapV2Option.sol
56: function addOptionEnumerationIfNecessary(uint256 strike, u.
70: function blockTimestamp() internal view virtual returns (u.
v2-pool\src\TimeswapV2Pool.sol
57: function addPoolEnumerationIfNecessary(uint256 strike, uint256 function raiseGuard(uint256 strike, uint256 maturity) private function lowerGuard(uint256 strike, uint256 maturity) private function blockTimestamp(uint96 durationForward) internal v.
252: function mint(
v2-pool\src\TimeswapV2PoolDeployer.sol
25: function deploy(address poolFactory, address optionPair, u.
v2-pool\src\structs\LiquidityPosition.sol
85: function collectTransactionFees(
```

ശ

## Gas Optimizations

For this contest, 24 reports were submitted by wardens detailing gas optimizations. The <u>report highlighted below</u> by OxSmartContract received the top score from the judge.

The following wardens also submitted reports: Rageur, atharvasama, Udsen, c3phas, Aymen0909, matrix\_Owl, W\_Max, shark, IIIIIII, Ox1f8b, Oxackermann, fatherOfBlocks, kaden, ReyAdmirado, Beepidibop, Viktor\_Cortess, Iurii3, descharre, chaduke, RaymondFam, WORR1O, Rolezn, and SaeedAlipoor01988.

ശ

### **Gas Optimizations Summary**

Numb er	Optimization Details	Context
[G-01]	Gas saving is achieved by removing the delete keyword (~60k)	1
[G- 02]	Remove checkDoesNotExist function	1
[G- 03]	Avoid using state variable in emit (130 gas)	1
[G- 04]	Change public state variable visibility to private	2

Numb er	Optimization Details	Context
[G- 05]	Save gas with the use of the import statement	1
[G- 06]	Gas savings can be achieved by changing the model for assigning value to the structure (260 gas)	2
[G- 07]	Using delete instead of setting struct 0 saves gas	10
[G- 08]	In div 512 function, quotient 0 aggregate operation is used with unchecked to save gas	1
[G- 09]	Avoid using external call	1
[G-10]	Gas overflow during iteration (DoS)	1
[G-11]	Move owner checks to a modifier for gas efficant	2
[G-12]	Use a more recent version of solidity	All contracts
[G-13]	Use nested if and, avoid multiple check combinations	19
[G-14]	] Sort Solidity operations using short-circuit mode	3
[G-15]	>= costs less gas than >	4
[G-16]	Using UniswapV3 mulDiv function is gas-optimized	1
[G-17]	Using Openzeppelin Ownable2Step.sol is gas efficient	1
[G-18]	OpenZeppelin's ReentrancyGuard contract is gas-optimized	
[G-19]	Save gas with the use of the import statement	
[G- 20]	Remove import forge-std/console.sol	1
[G-21]	Usage of uints/ints smaller than 32 bytes (256 bits) incurs overhead	23
[G-22]	Use assembly to write address storage values	2
[G-23]	Setting the constructor to payable	8
[G-24]	Avoid contract existence checks by using solidity version 0.8.10 or later	57
[G-25]	Optimize names to save gas	All contracts
[G-26]	Upgrade Solidity's optimizer	3
[G-27]	Open the optimizer	1

Total: 27 issues

#### ര

# [G-01] Gas saving is achieved by removing the delete keyword (~60k)

30k gas savings were made by removing the delete keyword. The reason for using the delete keyword here is to reset the struct values (set to default value) in every operation. However, the struct values do not need to be zero each time the function is run. Therefore, the delete" key word is unnecessary. If it is removed, around 30k gas savings will be achieved.

There are two instances of the subject:

```
packages\v2-option\src\TimeswapV2OptionDeployer.sol:
          /// @return optionPair The address of the newly deploye
  32:
          function deploy(address optionFactory, address token0,
  33:
              parameter = Parameter({optionFactory: optionFactory
  34:
              optionPair = address(new TimeswapV2Option{salt: ke
  35:
  36:
  37:
              // save gas.
              delete parameter;
- 38:
  39:
  40 }
packages\v2-pool\src\TimeswapV2PoolDeployer.sol:
  24
  25:
          function deploy(address poolFactory, address optionPai:
  26:
              parameter = Parameter({poolFactory: poolFactory, or
  27:
  28:
              poolPair = address(new TimeswapV2Pool{salt: keccak;
  29:
- 30:
              delete parameter;
  31:
  32
```

Using separate internal functions for non-repeating if blocks in more than one function wastes gas.

The checkDoesNotExist internal function in the OptionPair.sol contract is only used in the create function of the TimeswapV2OptionFactory.sol contract.

```
packages\v2-option\src\TimeswapV2OptionFactory.sol:
  43:
          function create (address token0, address token1) externa
              if (token0 == address(0)) Error.zeroAddress();
  44:
  45:
              if (token1 == address(0)) Error.zeroAddress();
  46:
              OptionPairLibrary.checkCorrectFormat(token0, token)
  47:
  48:
              optionPair = optionPairs[token0][token1];
              OptionPairLibrary.checkDoesNotExist(token0, token1
  49:
  50:
  51:
              optionPair = deploy(address(this), token0, token1)
  52:
  53:
              optionPairs[token0][token1] = optionPair;
  54:
  55:
              emit Create (msg.sender, token0, token1, optionPair
  56:
  57 }
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-option/src/TimeswapV2OptionFactory.sol#L43-L57

#### Recommendation:

I suggest that the check on line 50 be done by adding the if block as follows.

#### packages\v2-option\src\TimeswapV2OptionFactory.sol

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-option/src/TimeswapV2OptionFactory.sol#L43-L57

ര

### [G-03] Avoid using state variable in emit (130 gas)

Using a state variable in SetOwner emits wastes gas.

1 result - 1 file:

```
packages\v2-pool\src\base\OwnableTwoSteps.sol:
  23
         function setPendingOwner(address chosenPendingOwner) ex
  24
             Ownership.checkIfOwner(owner);
  25
  26:
             if (chosenPendingOwner == address(0)) Error.zeroAdd:
  27
             chosenPendingOwner.checkIfAlreadyOwner(owner);
  28
  29
             pendingOwner = chosenPendingOwner;
  30
  31:
              emit SetOwner(pendingOwner);
  32:
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/base/OwnableTwoSteps.sol#L31

If the following recommendation is taken into account, 130 gas is saved.

```
packages\v2-pool\src\base\OwnableTwoSteps.sol:
23    function setPendingOwner(address chosenPendingOwner) exter
24        Ownership.checkIfOwner(owner);
25
26        if (chosenPendingOwner == address(0)) Error.zeroAdd:
27        chosenPendingOwner.checkIfAlreadyOwner(owner);
```

ക

## [G-04] Change public state variable visibility to private

If it is preferred to change the visibility of the owner and pendingOwnerstate state variables to private, this will save significant gas.

2 result - 1 file:

```
packages\v2-pool\src\base\OwnableTwoSteps.sol:
   14:    address public override owner;

16:    address public override pendingOwner;
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/base/OwnableTwoSteps.sol#L14-L16

ശ

### [G-05] Save gas with the use of the import statement

While the following two critical fee values are assigned in the constructor, there is no zero value control. This means that if both state variables are started with a possible value of 0, the contract must be deployed again. This possibility means gas consumption.

Zero value control is the most error-prone value control since zero value is assigned in case of no value entry due to EVM design.

In addition, since the immutable value will be changed once, adding a zero value control does not cause high gas consumption.

```
if (chosenProtocolFee > type(uint16).max) revert I

10:
    transactionFee = chosenTransactionFee;
    protocolFee = chosenProtocolFee;

43: }
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/TimeswapV2PoolFactory.sol#L37-L43

#### Recommendation:

It is recommended to perform a zero value check for critical value assignments.

Add zero check for immutable values when assigning values in critical constructor.

ര

# [G-06] Gas savings can be achieved by changing the model for assigning value to the structure (260 gas)

By changing the pattern of assigning value to the structure, gas savings of ~130 per instance are achieved. In addition, this use will provide significant savings in distribution costs.

There are two examples of this issue:

The following model, which is more gas efficient, can be preferred to assign value to the building elements.

ക

## [G-07] Using delete instead of setting struct 0 saves gas

10 results - 2 files:

```
packages\v2-pool\src\structs\LiquidityPosition.sol:
    93: liquidityPosition.long0Fees = 0;

101: liquidityPosition.long1Fees = 0;

109: liquidityPosition.shortFees = 0;
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/structs/LiquidityPosition.sol#L93

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/structs/Pool.sol#L228

Recommendation code:

```
packages\v2-pool\src\structs\Pool.sol#L228
          pool.longOProtocolFees = 0;
+ 228:
          delete pool.longOProtocolFees;
```

## രാ

## [G-08] In div 512 function, quotient 0 aggregate operation is used with unchecked to save gas

```
packages/v2-library/src/FullMath.sol:
           function div512 (uint256 dividend0, uint256 dividend1,
  158:
  159:
                (quotient0, quotient1) = div512(dividend0, dividen
  160:
  161:
               if (roundUp) {
  162:
                    (uint256 productA0, uint256 productA1) = mul5
  163:
                   productA1 += (quotient1 * divisor);
  164:
                    if (dividend1 > productA1 || dividend0 > prod
  165:
                        if (quotient0 == type(uint256).max) {
  166:
                            quotient0 = 0;
  167:
                            quotient1++;
                        } else quotient0++;
- 168:
+ 168:
                        } else
                           unchecked {
                            quotient0++;
+
 169:
                    }
  170:
  171:
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2library/src/FullMath.sol#L165-L168

#### ര

## [G-09] Avoid using external call

An if block check can be added as follows. With this control, gas saving is achieved by avoiding the use of external calls.

```
packages/v2-pool/src/base/OwnableTwoSteps.sol:
          /// @inheritdoc IOwnableTwoSteps
  22
  23:
          function setPendingOwner (address chosenPendingOwner) e:
- 24:
              Ownership.checkIfOwner(owner);
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/base/OwnableTwoSteps.sol#L24

ര

### [G-10] Gas overflow during iteration (DoS)

Each iteration of the cycle requires a gas flow. A moment may come when more gas is required than it is allocated to record one block. In this case, all iterations of the loop will fail.

```
packages/v2-option/src/structs/Process.sol:
            32
                                                        /// @param isAddToken1 IsAddToken1 if true. IsSubToken
            33:
                                                        function updateProcess(Process[] storage processing, updateProcess(Process[] storage process(Process[] storage process(P
                                                                                    require(processing.length.length() < maxProcessing</pre>
            34:
                                                                               for (uint256 i; i < processing.length; ) {</pre>
           35:
                                                                                                      Process storage process = processing[i];
           36:
            37:
                                                                                                     if (token0Amount != 0) process.balance0Target :
            38:
            39:
                                                                                                     if (token1Amount != 0) process.balance1Target :
            40:
            41:
                                                                                                    unchecked {
            42:
                                                                                                                            i++;
            43:
            44:
            45:
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-option/src/structs/Process.sol#L33-L45

ഗ

It's better to use a modifier for simple owner checks for an easier inspection of functions. This is also more gas efficient as it does not control with external call.

#### The part where owner is defined:

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-library/src/Ownership.sol#L22-L23

2 results 2 files:

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/TimeswapV2Pool.sol#L189

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/base/OwnableTwoSteps.sol#L23-L24

ര

## [G-12] Use a more recent version of solidity

Solidity 0.8.10 has a useful change that reduced gas costs of external calls which expect a return value.

In 0.8.15 the conditions necessary for inlining are relaxed. Benchmarks show that the change significantly decreases the bytecode size (which impacts the deployment cost) while the effect on the runtime gas usage is smaller.

In 0.8.17 prevent the incorrect removal of storage writes before calls to Yul functions that conditionally terminate the external EVM call; Simplify the starting offset of zero-length operations to zero. More efficient overflow checks for multiplication. The version of 70 contracts included in the scope is 0.8.8. I recommend that you upgrade the versions of all contracts in scope to the latest version of robustness, '0.8.17'.

ക

### [G-13] Use nested if and, avoid multiple check combinations

Using nested is cheaper than using && multiple check combinations. There are more advantages, such as easier to read code and better coverage reports.

19 results - 9 files:

```
packages\v2-library\src\CatchError.sol:
    if ((length - 4) % 32 == 0 && bytes4(reason) == selector
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-library/src/CatchError.sol#L15

```
packages\v2-library\src\FullMath.sol:
     257: if (roundUp && mulmod(multiplicand, multiplier, divisor)
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-library/src/FullMath.sol#L257

```
packages\v2-library\src\Math.sol:
51:    if (roundUp && dividend % divisor != 0) quotient++;
62:    if (roundUp && dividend % (1 << divisorBit) != 0) quotient
81:    if (roundUp && value % result != 0) result++;</pre>
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-library/src/Math.sol#L51

```
packages\v2-option\src\structs\Param.sol:
    111: if (param.amount0 == 0 && param.amount1 == 0) Error.zero
    124: if (param.amount0 == 0 && param.amount1 == 0) Error.zero
```

## https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-option/src/structs/Param.sol#L111

```
packages\v2-pool\src\TimeswapV2Pool.sol:
    167: if (long0Fees == 0 && long1Fees == 0 && shortFees == 0)
```

## https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/TimeswapV2Pool.sol#L167

```
packages\v2-pool\src\libraries\ConstantProduct.sol:
   411: if (a11 == 0 && a01.unsafeAdd(a10) >= a01) {
```

## https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/libraries/ConstantProduct.sol#L411

```
packages\v2-pool\src\structs\Param.sol:
    136: if (param.long0Requested == 0 && param.long1Requested ==
```

## https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/structs/Param.sol#L136

```
packages\v2-token\src\base\ERC1155Enumerable.sol:
60:    if (_idTotalSupply[id] == 0 && _additionalConditionAddTo
64:    if (to != address(0) && to != from) {
65:        if (balanceOf(to, id) == 0 && _additionalConditionAddTo)
94:        if (_idTotalSupply[id] == 0 && _additionalConditionRemoved)
98:        if (from != address(0) && from != to) {
```

## https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-token/src/base/ERC1155Enumerable.sol#L60

```
packages\v2-token\src\structs\Param.sol:
    if (param.long0Amount == 0 && param.long1Amount == 0 &&
        if (param.long0Amount == 0 && param.long1Amount == 0 &&
        if (param.long0FeesDesired == 0 && param.long1FeesDesired == 0 && para
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-token/src/structs/Param.sol#L121

#### **Recomendation Code:**

()·

## [G-14] Sort Solidity operations using short-circuit mode

Short-circuiting is a solidity contract development model that uses OR/AND logic to sequence different cost operations. It puts low gas cost operations in the front and high gas cost operations in the back, so that if the front is low, if the cost operation is feasible, you can skip (short-circuit) the subsequent high-cost Ethereum virtual machine operation.

```
//f(x) is a low gas cost operation //g(y) is a high gas cost operation
```

```
//Sort operations with different gas costs as follows f\left(x\right) \text{ }|\text{ }|\text{ }g\left(y\right) f\left(x\right) \text{ && }g\left(y\right)
```

3 results - 3 files:

```
packages\v2-pool\src\libraries\ConstantProduct.sol:
    298:    if (product.div(longAmount, false) != rate || product ?
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/libraries/ConstantProduct.sol#L298

```
packages\v2-library\src\CatchError.sol:
    if ((length - 4) % 32 == 0 && bytes4(reason) == selector.sol
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-library/src/CatchError.sol#L15

```
packages\v2-library\src\FullMath.sol:
    if (subtrahend1 > minuend1 || (subtrahend1 == minuend1
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-library/src/FullMath.sol#L68

```
© [G-15] >= costs less gas than >
```

The compiler uses opcodes GT and ISZERO for solidity code that uses >, but only requires LT for >=, which saves 3 gas

4 results - 2 files:

## https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-library/src/Math.sol#L89

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-library/src/StrikeConversion.sol#L27

ര

### [G-16] Using UniswapV3 mulDiv function is gas-optimized

Reference: <a href="https://github.com/Uniswap/v3-core/blob/412d9b236a1e75a98568d49b1aeb21e3a1430544/contracts/libraries/Full-Math.sol#L14">https://github.com/Uniswap/v3-core/blob/412d9b236a1e75a98568d49b1aeb21e3a1430544/contracts/libraries/Full-Math.sol#L14</a>

Reference: <a href="https://xn-2-umb.com/21/muldiv/">https://xn-2-umb.com/21/muldiv/</a>

ക

## [G-17] Using Openzeppelin Ownable2Step.sol is gas efficient

The project makes secure Owner changes with OwnableTwoStep.

The project's acceptOwner() function:

```
34
        /// @inheritdoc IOwnableTwoSteps
        function acceptOwner() external override {
35:
36:
            msg.sender.checkIfPendingOwner(pendingOwner);
37:
38:
            owner = msg.sender;
39:
            delete pendingOwner;
40:
            emit AcceptOwner(msg.sender);
41:
42:
43 }
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/base/OwnableTwoSteps.sol#L35-L43

However, I recommend using the more gas-optimized Openzeppelin in Ownable2Step.sol.

Openzeppelin acceptOwner() function:

```
function acceptOwnership() public virtual {
    address sender = _msgSender();
    require(pendingOwner() == sender, "Ownable2Step: caller:
    _transferOwnership(sender);
}
```

https://github.com/OpenZeppelin/openzeppelincontracts/blob/master/contracts/access/Ownable2Step.sol

#### ල [

# [G-18] OpenZeppelin's ReentrancyGuard contract is gasoptimized

18: uint96 internal constant ENTERED = 2;

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/libraries/ReentrancyGuard.sol#L12-L18

I recommend using the gas-optimized OpenZeppelin ReentrancyGuard.sol contract.

https://github.com/OpenZeppelin/openzeppelincontracts/blob/master/contracts/security/ReentrancyGuard.sol

രാ

### [G-19] Save gas with the use of the import statement

With the import statement, it saves gas to specifically import only the parts of the contracts, not the complete ones.

```
packages/v2-token/src/interfaces/IERC1155Enumerable.sol:
6: import "@openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC1155/IERC1155.sol";
```

#### **Description:**

Solidity code is also cleaner in another way that might not be noticeable: the struct Point. We were importing it previously with global import but not using it. The Point struct polluted the source code with an unnecessary object we were not using because we did not need it.

This was breaking the rule of modularity and modular programming: only import what you need Specific imports with curly braces allow us to apply this rule better.

#### Recommendation:

```
import {contract1 , contract2} from "filename.sol";
```

A good example from the ArtGobblers project;

import {Owned} from "solmate/auth/Owned.sol";

```
import {ERC721} from "solmate/tokens/ERC721.sol";
import {LibString} from "solmate/utils/LibString.sol";
import {MerkleProofLib} from "solmate/utils/MerkleProofLib.sol";
import {FixedPointMathLib} from "solmate/utils/FixedPointMathLib.sol";
import {ERC1155, ERC1155TokenReceiver} from "solmate/tokens/ERC1155.sol";
import {toWadUnsafe, toDaysWadUnsafe} from "solmate/utils/SignedWadMath.sol";
```

രാ

### [G-20] Remove import forge-std/console.sol

It's used to print the values of variables while running tests to help debug and see what's happening inside your contracts But since it's a development tool, it serves no purpose on mainnet.

1 result - 1 file:

```
packages\v2-token\src\TimeswapV2Token.sol:
5: import "forge-std/console.sol";
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-token/src/TimeswapV2Token.sol#L5

Also, the following code block should be removed along with the removal of forgestd/console.sol.

#### Recommendation:

Use only for tests

# [G-21] Usage of uints/ints smaller than 32 bytes (256 bits) incurs overhead

When using elements that are smaller than 32 bytes, your contracts gas usage may be higher. This is because the EVM operates on 32 bytes at a time. Therefore, if the element is smaller than that, the EVM must use more operations in order to reduce the size of the element from 32 bytes to the desired size.

#### https://docs.soliditylang.org/en/v0.8.11/internals/layout\_in\_storage.html

Use a larger size then downcast where needed.

23 results - 4 files:

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-library/src/SafeCast.sol#L20

```
packages\v2-pool\src\TimeswapV2Pool.sol:
   104:    return pools[strike][maturity].liquidity;

109:    return pools[strike][maturity].sqrtInterestRate;

114:    return pools[strike][maturity].liquidityPositions[owner]
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/TimeswapV2Pool.sol#L104

```
packages\v2-pool\src\libraries\ConstantProduct.sol:
67: liquidityAmount = getLiquidityGivenLong(rate, longAmoun)
82: liquidityAmount = getLiquidityGivenShort(rate, shortAmon)
104: liquidityAmount = getLiquidityGivenLong(rate, amount, !
```

```
110:
       liquidityAmount = getLiquidityGivenShort(rate, amount, 
      newRate = isAdd ? rate + deltaRate : rate - deltaRate;
142:
174:
      newRate = getNewSqrtInterestRateGivenLong(liquidity, ra
206:
       (newRate, deltaRate) = getNewSqrtInterestRateGivenShort
       return FullMath.mulDiv(uint256(rate), longAmount, uint2
260:
269:
      return FullMath.mulDiv(shortAmount, uint256(1) << 192,
296:
      return numerator.div(denominator2, true).toUint160();
316:
      deltaRate = FullMath.mulDiv(shortAmount, uint256(1) << 1</pre>
```

## https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/libraries/ConstantProduct.sol#L67

```
packages\v2-pool\src\structs\LiquidityPosition.sol:
    66: liquidityPosition.liquidity += liquidityAmount;

76: liquidityPosition.liquidity -= liquidityAmount;

207: pool.sqrtInterestRate = rate;

308: liquidityAmount = param.delta.toUint160(),

398: liquidityAmount = param.delta.toUint160(),

486: param.delta.toUint160(),

572: param.delta.toUint160(),
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/structs/LiquidityPosition.sol#L66

[G-22] Use assembly to write address storage values 2 results - 2 files:

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-token/src/TimeswapV2Token.sol#L42

#### Recommendation Code:

ര

### [G-23] Setting the constructor to payable

You can cut out 10 opcodes in the creation-time EVM bytecode if you declare a constructor payable. Making the constructor payable eliminates the need for an initial check of msg.value == 0 and saves 13 gas on deployment with no security risks.

8 results - 8 files:

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-option/src/NoDelegateCall.sol#L19

```
packages\v2-option\src\TimeswapV2Option.sol:
65: constructor() NoDelegateCall() {
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-option/src/TimeswapV2Option.sol#L65

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/NoDelegateCall.sol#L19

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/TimeswapV2Pool.sol#L77

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/TimeswapV2PoolFactory.sol#L37

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/base/OwnableTwoSteps.sol#L18

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-token/src/TimeswapV2LiquidityToken.sol#L36

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-token/src/TimeswapV2Token.sol#L41

#### Recommendation:

Set the constructor to payable

ക

# [G-24] Avoid contract existence checks by using solidity version 0.8.10 or later

Prior to 0.8.10 the compiler inserted extra code, including EXTCODESIZE (100 gas), to check for contract existence for external calls. In more recent solidity versions, the compiler will not insert these checks if the external call has a return value

#### 57 results - 6 files:

```
packages\v2-token\src\TimeswapV2LiquidityToken.sol:
          amount = balanceOf(owner, timeswapV2LiquidityTokenPos
   66:
   71:
          safeTransferFrom(from, to, timeswapV2LiquidityTokenPor
          uint256 id = timeswapV2LiquidityTokenPositionIds[posi
   81:
          bytes32 key = timeswapV2LiquidityTokenPosition.toKey()
  109:
  153:
          bytes32 key = TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenPosition({token0
          bytes32 key = TimeswapV2LiquidityTokenPosition({token0
  185:
  125:
          uint160 liquidityBalanceTarget = ITimeswapV2Pool(poolPa)
  143:
          Error.checkEnough (ITimeswapV2Pool (poolPair).liquidityO:
  125:
          uint160 liquidityBalanceTarget = ITimeswapV2Pool(poolPa)
```

```
Error.checkEnough(ITimeswapV2Pool(poolPair).liquidityOndata = ITimeswapV2LiquidityTokenMintCallback(msg.sendendata = ITimeswapV2LiquidityTokenBurnCallback(msg.sendendata = ITimeswapV2LiquidityTokenBurnCallback(msg.sendendata = ITimeswapV2Pool(poolPair).transferLiquidity(param.strike, param.strike, param.strik
```

## https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-token/src/TimeswapV2LiquidityToken.sol#L66

```
packages\v2-token\src\TimeswapV2Token.sol:
          amount = ERC1155.balanceOf(owner, timeswapV2TokenPosi
   67:
  72:
          safeTransferFrom(from, to, timeswapV2TokenPositionIds
   97:
         bytes32 key = timeswapV2TokenPosition.toKey();
  127:
         bytes32 key = timeswapV2TokenPosition.toKey();
  156:
         bytes32 key = timeswapV2TokenPosition.toKey();
          burn(msg.sender, timeswapV2TokenPositionIds[timeswap'
  240:
  254:
          burn(msg.sender, timeswapV2TokenPositionIds[timeswap'
          burn(msg.sender, timeswapV2TokenPositionIds[timeswap'
  268:
          long0BalanceTarget = ITimeswapV2Option(optionPair).pos
  87:
          long1BalanceTarget = ITimeswapV2Option(optionPair).pos
  117:
  146:
          shortBalanceTarget = ITimeswapV2Option(optionPair).pos
          if (param.long0Amount != 0) Error.checkEnough(ITimeswal
  186:
  189:
          if (param.long1Amount != 0) Error.checkEnough(ITimeswa)
  192:
          if (param.shortAmount != 0) Error.checkEnough(ITimeswal
```

## https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-token/src/TimeswapV2Token.sol#L67

```
packages\v2-pool\src\TimeswapV2Pool.sol:
  189:
         ITimeswapV2PoolFactory(poolFactory).owner().checkIfOwn
         if (long0Amount != 0) long0BalanceTarget = ITimeswapV20
  267:
  271:
         if (long1Amount != 0) long1BalanceTarget = ITimeswapV2(
  274:
         if (long0Amount != 0) Error.checkEnough(ITimeswapV2Opt:
  293:
 295:
         if (long1Amount != 0) Error.checkEnough(ITimeswapV2Opt:
  297:
         Error.checkEnough(ITimeswapV2Option(optionPair).positic
         if (long0Amount != 0) long0BalanceTarget = ITimeswapV20
  393:
  397:
         if (long1Amount != 0) long1BalanceTarget = ITimeswapV2(
  411:
         if (long0Amount != 0) Error.checkEnough(ITimeswapV2Opt:
  413:
         if (long1Amount != 0) Error.checkEnough(ITimeswapV2Opt:
  444:
         uint256 balanceTarget = ITimeswapV2Option(optionPair).
  461:
         Error.checkEnough(ITimeswapV2Option(optionPair).positic
         uint256 balanceTarget = ITimeswapV2Option(optionPair).
  479:
  511:
         ITimeswapV2Option(optionPair).positionOf(param.strike,
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/TimeswapV2Pool.sol#L189

## https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-option/src/libraries/OptionFactory.sol#L28

## https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-pool/src/libraries/PoolFactory.sol#L32

```
packages\v2-option\src\TimeswapV2Option.sol:
  128:
          IERC20(token0).balanceOf(address(this)) + token0AndLong
  129:
          IERC20(token1).balanceOf(address(this)) + token1AndLong
          if (token0AndLong0Amount != 0) Error.checkEnough(IERC2)
  145:
  148:
          if (token1AndLong1Amount != 0) Error.checkEnough(IERC2
  215:
          param.isLong0ToLong1 ? IERC20(token0).balanceOf(address)
  216:
          param.isLongOToLong1 ? IERC20(token1).balanceOf(address)
  172:
          if (token0AndLong0Amount != 0) IERC20(token0).safeTran;
          if (token1AndLong1Amount != 0) IERC20(token1).safeTran;
  175:
          IERC20(param.isLong0ToLong1 ? token0 : token1).safeTral
  220:
  259:
          if (token0Amount != 0) IERC20(token0).safeTransfer(para
  262:
          if (token1Amount != 0) IERC20(token1).safeTransfer(para
```

https://github.com/code-423n4/2023-01-timeswap/blob/main/packages/v2-option/src/TimeswapV2Option.sol#L128

ക

### [G-25] Optimize names to save gas

Contracts most called functions could simply save gas by function ordering via Method ID. Calling a function at runtime will be cheaper if the function is positioned earlier in the order (has a relatively lower Method ID) because 22 gas are added to the cost of a function for every position that came before it. The caller can save on gas if you prioritize most called functions.

#### Context:

**All Contracts** 

#### Recommendation:

Find a lower method ID name for the most called functions for example Call() vs. Call1() is cheaper by 22 gas.

For example, the function IDs in the TimeswapV2Pool.sol contract will be the most used; A lower method ID may be given.

#### **Proof of Concept:**

https://medium.com/joyso/solidity-how-does-function-name-affect-gas-consumption-in-smart-contract-47d270d8ac92

TimeswapV2Pool.sol function names can be named and sorted according to METHOD ID

```
Sighash
              Function Signature
_____
fbddf051 =>
             addPoolEnumerationIfNecessary(uint256, uint256)
             raiseGuard(uint256, uint256)
1ea3a4eb =>
             lowerGuard (uint256, uint256)
3a9e8dd9
             blockTimestamp(uint96)
f4f89897
          =>
2d883a73
              getByIndex(uint256)
          =>
              numberOfPools()
6f682a53
          =>
              hasLiquidity(uint256, uint256)
5c81c9b8
          =>
              totalLiquidity(uint256, uint256)
b15044ac
              sqrtInterestRate(uint256,uint256)
a8f403b7
          =>
              liquidityOf(uint256, uint256, address)
f78333a3
          =>
              feeGrowth (uint256, uint256)
647284f5
          =>
              feesEarnedOf(uint256, uint256, address)
6c867790
          =>
```

```
72f8f85c
              protocolFeesEarned(uint256, uint256)
              totalLongBalance (uint256, uint256)
7ffd3a70
          =>
              totalLongBalanceAdjustFees (uint256, uint256)
3a9d71e7
          =>
              totalPositions (uint256, uint256)
8fdc5c99
          =>
              transferLiquidity(uint256, uint256, address, uint160)
c0e0c4c6
          =>
d7e2c24a
              transferFees (uint256, uint256, address, uint256, uint2
          =>
ad118b02
              initialize(uint256, uint256, uint160)
          =>
              collectProtocolFees (TimeswapV2PoolCollectParam)
47b46959
          =>
              collectTransactionFees(TimeswapV2PoolCollectParam)
53fa956e
          =>
              collect (uint256, uint256, address, address, uin
55e305f3
          =>
0150ca41
              mint(TimeswapV2PoolMintParam)
          =>
              mint(TimeswapV2PoolMintParam,uint96)
6da9a2a4
          =>
              mint(TimeswapV2PoolMintParam, bool, uint96)
df52795d
          =>
              burn(TimeswapV2PoolBurnParam)
13576d77
          =>
              burn (TimeswapV2PoolBurnParam, uint96)
731d4f67
          =>
bd4952bd
              burn(TimeswapV2PoolBurnParam, bool, uint96)
          =>
              deleverage(TimeswapV2PoolDeleverageParam)
5d0ea1f5
          =>
              deleverage(TimeswapV2PoolDeleverageParam, uint96)
2e1b22ce
          =>
              deleverage(TimeswapV2PoolDeleverageParam, bool, uint
cde7bf11
          =>
a97a4f78
              leverage(TimeswapV2PoolLeverageParam)
          =>
37aaeff8
              leverage(TimeswapV2PoolLeverageParam, uint96)
          =>
              leverage(TimeswapV2PoolLeverageParam, bool, uint96)
b8be2a15
          =>
              rebalance(TimeswapV2PoolRebalanceParam)
c993c3fa
          =>
```

#### ര

### [G-26] Upgrade Solidity's optimizer

Make sure Solidity's optimizer is enabled. It reduces gas costs. If you want to gas optimize for contract deployment (costs less to deploy a contract) then set the Solidity optimizer at a low number. If you want to optimize for run-time gas costs (when functions are called on a contract) then set the optimizer to a high number.

Set the optimization value higher than 800 in your hardhat.config.ts file.

#### 3 results - 3 files:

```
packages\v2-option\hardhat.config.ts:
   27: const config: HardhatUserConfig = {
   28:   paths: {
    29:       sources: "./src",
    30:   },
   31:   solidity: {
       version: "0.8.8",
       settings: {
```

```
34:
            optimizer: {
  35:
              enabled: true,
  36:
              runs: 200,
  37:
           } ,
  38:
        } ,
  39:
        } ,
packages\v2-pool\hardhat.config.ts:
  26: const config: HardhatUserConfig = {
  27:
        paths: {
  28:
          sources: "./src",
  29:
       } ,
  30:
       solidity: {
  31:
         version: "0.8.8",
  32:
         settings: {
  33:
           optimizer: {
  34:
              enabled: true,
  35:
              runs: 200,
  36:
         } ,
  37:
         } ,
  38:
packages\v2-token\hardhat.config.ts:
  26: const config: HardhatUserConfig = {
  27:
        paths: {
          sources: "./src",
  28:
  29:
  30:
       solidity: {
  31:
        version: "0.8.8",
  32:
         settings: {
  33:
           optimizer: {
  34:
              enabled: true,
  35:
             runs: 200,
  36:
           } ,
  37:
         } ,
  38:
       },
```

#### ഗ

## [G-27] Open the optimizer

Always use the Solidity optimizer to optimize gas costs. It's good practice to set the optimizer as high as possible until it no longer helps reduce gas costs in function calls.

This is advisable since function calls are intended to be executed many more times than contract deployment, which only happens once.

In the light of this information, I suggest you to open the optimizer for v2-library.

ശ

### **Disclosures**

C4 is an open organization governed by participants in the community.

C4 Contests incentivize the discovery of exploits, vulnerabilities, and bugs in smart contracts. Security researchers are rewarded at an increasing rate for finding higherrisk issues. Contest submissions are judged by a knowledgeable security researcher and solidity developer and disclosed to sponsoring developers. C4 does not conduct formal verification regarding the provided code but instead provides final verification.

C4 does not provide any guarantee or warranty regarding the security of this project. All smart contract software should be used at the sole risk and responsibility of users.

Тор

An open organization | Twitter | Discord | GitHub | Medium | Newsletter | Media kit | Careers | code4rena.eth