

# Audit Report April, 2023

For

**NuWa** Coin

# Table of Content

Executive Summary .....	01
Checked Vulnerabilities .....	03
Techniques and Methods .....	04
Manual Testing .....	05
<b>High Severity Issues</b>	05
<b>Medium Severity Issues</b>	05
<b>Low Severity Issues</b>	05
<b>Informational Issues</b>	05
1. Unlocked pragma	05
2. Missing Test Cases	06
3. Insufficient Code Comments	06
Functional Test .....	07
Closing Summary .....	08
About QuillAudits .....	09



# Executive Summary

Project Name	Nuwa
Overview	Nuwa contract allows for the creation of BEP20 tokens. It inherits the Openzeppelin ERC20 contract and Deployed on Binance Chain.
Timeline	17th April, 2023 to 19th April, 2023.
Method	Manual Review, Functional Testing, Automated Testing etc.
Scope of Audit	The scope of this audit was to analyze the changes in Xterio tech smart contract's codebase for quality, security, and correctness.
Contracts in Scope	<a href="https://bscscan.com/address/0xaf9e65c0e138f7c7418ab4d2d3949f9563eb0442#code">https://bscscan.com/address/0xaf9e65c0e138f7c7418ab4d2d3949f9563eb0442#code</a>

## Fixed In



	High	Medium	Low	Informational
Open Issues	0	0	0	0
Acknowledged Issues	0	0	0	3
Partially Resolved Issues	0	0	0	0
Resolved Issues	0	0	0	0



## Types of Severities

### High

A high severity issue or vulnerability means that your smart contract can be exploited. Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance or functionality, and we recommend these issues be fixed before moving to a live environment.

### Medium

The issues marked as medium severity usually arise because of errors and deficiencies in the smart contract code. Issues on this level could potentially bring problems, and they should still be fixed.

### Low

Low-level severity issues can cause minor impact and or are just warnings that can remain unfixed for now. It would be better to fix these issues at some point in the future.

### Informational

These are severity issues that indicate an improvement request, a general question, a cosmetic or documentation error, or a request for information. There is low-to-no impact.

## Types of Issues

### Open

Security vulnerabilities identified that must be resolved and are currently unresolved.

### Resolved

These are the issues identified in the initial audit and have been successfully fixed.

### Acknowledged

Vulnerabilities which have been acknowledged but are yet to be resolved.

### Partially Resolved

Considerable efforts have been invested to reduce the risk/impact of the security issue, but are not completely resolved.



# Checked Vulnerabilities

- ✓ Re-entrancy
- ✓ Timestamp Dependence
- ✓ Gas Limit and Loops
- ✓ DoS with Block Gas Limit
- ✓ Transaction-Ordering Dependence
- ✓ Use of tx.origin
- ✓ Exception disorder
- ✓ Gasless send
- ✓ Balance equality
- ✓ Byte array
- ✓ Transfer forwards all gas
- ✓ BEP20 API violation
- ✓ Malicious libraries
- ✓ Compiler version not fixed
- ✓ Redundant fallback function
- ✓ Send instead of transfer
- ✓ Style guide violation
- ✓ Unchecked external call
- ✓ Unchecked math
- ✓ Unsafe type inference
- ✓ Implicit visibility level



# Techniques and Methods

Throughout the audit of smart contract, care was taken to ensure:

- The overall quality of code.
- Use of best practices.
- Code documentation and comments match logic and expected behaviour.
- Token distribution and calculations are as per the intended behaviour mentioned in the whitepaper.
- Implementation of ERC-20 token standards.
- Efficient use of gas.
- Code is safe from re-entrancy and other vulnerabilities.

The following techniques, methods and tools were used to review all the smart contracts.

## Structural Analysis

In this step, we have analysed the design patterns and structure of smart contracts. A thorough check was done to ensure the smart contract is structured in a way that will not result in future problems.

## Static Analysis

Static analysis of smart contracts was done to identify contract vulnerabilities. In this step, a series of automated tools are used to test the security of smart contracts.

## Code Review / Manual Analysis

Manual analysis or review of code was done to identify new vulnerabilities or verify the vulnerabilities found during the static analysis. Contracts were completely manually analysed, their logic was checked and compared with the one described in the whitepaper. Besides, the results of the automated analysis were manually verified.

## Gas Consumption

In this step, we have checked the behaviour of smart contracts in production. Checks were done to know how much gas gets consumed and the possibilities of optimization of code to reduce gas consumption.

## Tools and Platforms used for Audit

Remix IDE, Truffle, Truffle Team, Solhint, Mythril, Slither, Solidity statistic analysis.



# Manual Testing

## High Severity Issues

No issues were found

## Medium Severity Issues

No issues were found

## Low Severity Issues

No issues were found

## Informational Issues

### 1. Unlocked pragma

#### Description

The solidity pragma version in this codebase is unlocked.

#### Recommendation

It is advised to use a specific Solidity version when deploying to production to reduce the surface of attacks with future releases which were not accounted for when the contracts originally went live.

#### Status

Acknowledged



## 2. Missing Test Cases

### Description

There were no provided test cases for the codebases carried out by the developers.

### Recommendation

Ensure writing over 95% test coverage for the token contract.

### Status

Acknowledged

## 3. Insufficient Code Comments

### Description

The codebase does not have sufficient code comments that detail the primary motive of the encode/decode methods at the constructor level.

### Recommendation

Code comments are relevant in the codebase to aid in understanding the motives behind variables and functions. It is recommended that a good comment tailored in the NATSPEC design is written for the codebase.

### Status

Acknowledged



# Functional Testing

**Some of the tests performed are mentioned below:**

- ✓ Should get the name of the token
- ✓ Should get the symbol of the token
- ✓ Should get the total supply of tokens minted to the deployer
- ✓ Should get the decimal of the token
- ✓ Should transfer tokens from owner to recipient.
- ✓ Should allow spender transfer tokens from original owners who approve spenders.
- ✓ Should reduce and increase the allowance of spenders with `increaseAllowance` and `decreaseAllowance` functions.



# Closing Summary

In this report, we have considered the security of the Nuwa codebase. We performed our audit according to the procedure described above.

Some issues of Informational severity were found. Some suggestions and best practices are also provided in order to improve the code quality and security posture.

## Disclaimer

QuillAudits smart contract audit is not a security warranty, investment advice, or an endorsement of the Nuwa Platform. This audit does not provide a security or correctness guarantee of the audited smart contracts.

The statements made in this document should not be interpreted as investment or legal advice, nor should its authors be held accountable for decisions made based on them. Securing smart contracts is a multistep process. One audit cannot be considered enough. We recommend that the Nuwa Team put in place a bug bounty program to encourage further analysis of the smart contract by other third parties.



# About QuillAudits

QuillAudits is a secure smart contracts audit platform designed by QuillHash Technologies.

We are a team of dedicated blockchain security experts and smart contract auditors determined to ensure that Smart Contract-based Web3 projects can avail the latest and best security solutions to operate in a trustworthy and risk-free ecosystem.



**700+**

Audits Completed



**\$16B**

Secured



**700K**

Lines of Code Audited



## Follow Our Journey





# Audit Report April, 2023

For  
**NuWa** Coin



QuillAudits

📍 Canada, India, Singapore, United Kingdom

🌐 [audits.quillhash.com](https://audits.quillhash.com)

✉️ [audits@quillhash.com](mailto:audits@quillhash.com)