

ERC20 Element Token Audit

OPENZEPPELIN SECURITY | MARCH 27, 2018

Security Audits

The <u>Element Group</u> team asked us to review and audit their <u>ERC20 Element Token</u> contract. We looked at the code and now publish our results.

The audited code is located in the <u>element-group/element-erc20-smart-contract</u> repository. The version used for this report is commit 6a7b48cec1aa8a823b7ec4a03adadc5ca9d22e29.

Here is our assessment and recommendations, in order of importance.

Critical severity

No critical severity issues were found.

High severity

No high severity issues were found.

Medium severity

No medium severity issues were found.

Low severity

Constructor could overflow in initialization of total supply

The ElementToken constructor does not perform any precondition checks on the tokens argument. Based on how totalSupply is being calculated, this could result in an overflow in the

precondition check to prevent an overflow.

Notes & Additional Information

- The last release of the OpenZeppelin framework includes a parameterized ERC20 token contract called <u>DetailedERC20</u>. Consider inheriting <u>ElementToken</u> from it to reuse that functionality.
- Consider reusing OpenZeppelin's <u>PausableToken</u>, which already makes all token fuctionality pausable.
- It is possible to create an instance of <u>ElementToken</u> with a zero-valued <u>totalSupply</u>. Consider adding a precondition in the <u>constructor function</u>.
- The <u>ElementToken</u> contract initializes sets initial values for the state variables <u>name</u>, <u>symbol</u>
 and <u>decimals</u> unnecessarily. Consider removing the initialization for each.
- The <u>increaseApproval</u> and <u>decreaseApproval</u> functions of the <u>ElementToken</u> contract define
 a name for the return value (<u>success</u>) which is unused. Consider removing said variable.
- It is important to specify function visibility modifiers explicitly to avoid confusion. Consider adding public to the ElementToken constructor function.
- Consider following the conventions proposed by the <u>Solidity style guide</u> for the <u>transfer</u> and <u>transferFrom</u> functions, according to which function visibility modifiers should come before any custom modifiers.
- Consider upgrading to the latest version of Solidity (0.4.19), which comes with the last release of Truffle.

Conclusion

No critical or high severity issues were found. Some changes were proposed to follow best practices and reduce potential attack surface.

Note that as of the date of publishing, the above review reflects the current understanding of known security patterns as they relate to the ERC20 ElementToken contract. We have not reviewed the related Element project. The above should not be construed as investment advice. For general information about smart contract security, check out our thoughts <a href="https://example.com/here-new/memory-new/memo



Related Posts



Zap Audit

OpenZeppelin

Beefy Zap Audit

BeefyZapRouter serves as a versatile intermediary designed to execute users' orders through routes...

Security Audits

B ERUSHFAM

OpenBrush Contracts Library Security Review

OpenZeppelin

OpenBrush Contracts Library Security Review

OpenBrush is an open-source smart contract library written in the Rust programming language and the...

Security Audits

Linea®
Bridge Audit

OpenZeppelin

Linea Bridge Audit

Linea is a ZK-rollup deployed on top of Ethereum. It is designed to be EVMcompatible and aims to...

Security Audits

OpenZeppelin

Defender Platform

Secure Code & Audit
Secure Deploy
Threat Monitoring
Incident Response
Operation and Automation

Services

Smart Contract Security Audit Incident Response Zero Knowledge Proof Practice

Learn

Docs Ethernaut CTF Blog

Company

Contracts Library

Docs



© Zeppelin Group Limited 2023

Privacy | Terms of Use