

Contents

Scope of Audit	01
Techniques and Methods	02
Issue Categories	03
Issues Found - Code Review/Manual Testing	04
Automated Testing	11
Disclaimer	15
Summary	16

Scope of Audit

The scope of this audit was to analyze and document the KUCOIN LAUNCHPAD smart contract codebase for quality, security, and correctness.

Checked Vulnerabilities

We have scanned the smart contract for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities. Here are some of the commonly known vulnerabilities that we considered:

- Re-entrancy
- Timestamp Dependence
- Gas Limit and Loops
- DoS with Block Gas Limit
- Transaction-Ordering Dependence
- Use of tx.origin
- Exception disorder
- Gasless send
- Balance equality
- Byte array
- Transfer forwards all gas
- ERC20 API violation
- Malicious libraries
- Compiler version not fixed
- Redundant fallback function
- Send instead of transfer
- Style guide violation
- Unchecked external call
- Unchecked math
- Unsafe type inference
- Implicit visibility level

Techniques and Methods

Throughout the audit of smart contract, care was taken to ensure:

- The overall quality of code.
- Use of best practices.
- Code documentation and comments match logic and expected behaviour.
- Token distribution and calculations are as per the intended behaviour mentioned in the whitepaper.
- Implementation of ERC-20 token standards.
- Efficient use of gas.
- Code is safe from re-entrancy and other vulnerabilities.

The following techniques, methods and tools were used to review all the smart contracts.

Structural Analysis

In this step we have analyzed the design patterns and structure of smart contracts. A thorough check was done to ensure the smart contract is structured in a way that will not result in future problems.

SmartCheck.

Static Analysis

Static Analysis of Smart Contracts was done to identify contract vulnerabilities. In this step a series of automated tools are used to test security of smart contracts.

Code Review / Manual Analysis

Manual Analysis or review of code was done to identify new vulnerability or verify the vulnerabilities found during the static analysis. Contracts were completely manually analyzed, their logic was checked and compared with the one described in the whitepaper. Besides, the results of automated analysis were manually verified.

Gas Consumption

In this step we have checked the behaviour of smart contracts in production. Checks were done to know how much gas gets consumed and possibilities of optimization of code to reduce gas consumption.

Tools and Platforms used for Audit

Remix IDE, Truffle, Truffle Team, Ganache, Solhint, Mythril, Slither, SmartCheck.

Issue Categories

Every issue in this report has been assigned with a severity level. There are four levels of severity and each of them has been explained below.

High severity issues

A high severity issue or vulnerability means that your smart contract can be exploited. Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance or functionality and we recommend these issues to be fixed before moving to a live environment.

Medium level severity issues

The issues marked as medium severity usually arise because of errors and deficiencies in the smart contract code. Issues on this level could potentially bring problems and they should still be fixed.

Low level severity issues

Low level severity issues can cause minor impact and or are just warnings that can remain unfixed for now. It would be better to fix these issues at some point in the future.

Informational

These are severity four issues which indicate an improvement request, a general question, a cosmetic or documentation error, or a request for information. There is low-to-no impact.

Number of issues per severity

Type	High	Medium	Low	Informational
Open		0	0	0
Acknowledged				
Closed		1	3	6

Introduction

During the period of July 19, 2021 to July 20, 2021 - QuillAudits Team performed a security audit for KUCOIN LAUNCHPAD smart contracts.

The code for the audit was taken from following the official link:

Note	Date	Code
Version 1	July	https://bscscan.com/address/0xf74b56ce2e8240c4c91632b8337781304b02d1cc#code
Version 2	July	https://bscscan.com/address/0xda51e0c8adde37781e57f3fb180b8ad1b8ae3d17#code
Version 3	July	https://bscscan.com/token/0x47b8806c2891c4a92b5c590c32cfe1eb617648ef

Issues Found - Code Review / Manual Testing

High severity issues

No issues were found

Medium severity issues

1. Loops in the Contract are extremely costly

Description

The for loop in the release() function includes state variables .length of a non-memory array, in the condition of the for loops. As a result, these state variables consume a lot more extra gas for every iteration of the for loop.

Remediation

We recommend using a local variable instead of a state variable .length in a loop.

Status: Fixed

This issue was fixed in Version 2.

Low level severity issues

2. Missing error message for require functions.

Description

The following require functions are missing the message error: **L61:** require (balances[msg.sender]>=_amount && _amount>0 && balances[_to]+_amount>balances[_to]);

L70: require (balances[_from]>=_amount && allowed[_from] [msg.sender]>=_amount && _amount>0 && balances[_to]+_amount>balances[_to]);

Remediation

We recommend adding the message error for each require function listed above.

Status: Fixed

This issue was fixed in Version 2.

3. msg.sender is not checked

Description

The msg.sender should be validated if it exists in the lockedAccount before further processing.

Remediation

We recommend adding the require function to check if the msg.sender has been added to lockedAccount. This helps to avoid the potential for erroneous values to result in unexpected behaviors or wasted gas.

Status: Fixed

This issue was fixed in Version 3.

4. Missing Check for Reentrancy Attack

Description

The following functions do not perform zero address validation:

- transfer()
- transferFrom()
- approve()
- timelock()
- mint()
- burn()

Remediation

Consider implementing require statements where appropriate to validate all user-controlled input, including constructor, to avoid the potential for erroneous values to result in unexpected behaviors or wasted gas.

Status: Fixed

Informational

5. State Variable Default Visibility

Line	Code
52-53	mapping (address=>uint256) balances; mapping (address=>mapping (address=>uint256)) allowed;
129	mapping(address => LockedAccounts) lock;

Description

The Visibility of the above-mentioned variable is not defined. Labeling the visibility explicitly makes it easier to catch incorrect assumptions about who can access the variable.

The default is internal for state variables, but it should be made explicit.

Remediation

We recommend adding the visibility for these variables. Variables can be specified as being public, internal or private. Explicitly define visibility for all state variables.

Status: Fixed

This issue was fixed in Version 3.

6. Public function that could be declared external

Description

The following public functions that are never called by the contract should be declared external to save gas:

- timelock()
- release()
- lockedAccountDetails()

Remediation

Use the external attribute for functions never called from the contract.

Status: Fixed

7. Not using delete to zero values

Description

In the release() function, the following variables are manually replaced with Zero:

- loc.amount = 0;
- loc.time = 0;
- loc.lockedAt = 0;

Remediation

To simplify the code and clarify intent, consider using delete instead.

Status: Fixed

This issue was fixed in Version 3.

8. Missing docstrings

Description

It is extremely difficult to locate any contracts or functions, as they lack documentation. One consequence of this is that reviewers' understanding of the code's intention is impeded, which is significant because it is necessary to accurately determine both security and correctness.

They are additionally more readable and easier to maintain when wrapped in docstrings. The functions should be documented so that users can understand the purpose or intention of each function, as well as the situations in which it may fail, who is allowed to call it, what values it returns, and what events it emits.

Remediation

Consider thoroughly documenting all functions (and their parameters) that are part of the contracts' public API. Functions implementing sensitive functionality, even if those are not public, should be clearly documented as well. When writing docstrings, consider following the Ethereum Natural Specification Format (NatSpec).

Status: Fixed

9. Use double quotes for string literals

Line	Code
191	require(msg.sender == owner, 'only admin');
196	require(msg.sender == owner, 'only admin');

Description

Single quote found in the above string variables. Whilst, the double quotes are being utilized for other string literals.

Remediation

We recommend using double quotes for string literals.

Status: Fixed

This issue was fixed in Version 3.

10. Conformance to Solidity naming conventions

Line	Code
131	timelock()

Description

Functions other than constructors should use mixedCase. Examples: getBalance, transfer, verifyOwner, addMember, changeOwner.

Remediation

Follow the <u>Solidity naming convention</u>. We recommend changing the function name timelock to timeLock

Status: Fixed

Functional test

Function Names	Testing results
approve	Passed
burn	Passed
changeFreezeStatus	Passed
changeOwnerShip	Passed
excludeFromReward	Passed
mint	Passed
release	Passed
timelock	Passed
transfer	Passed
transferFrom	Passed

Automated Testing

Slither

INFO:Detectors:

TimeLock.release() (kucoin.sol#141-155) ignores return value by

BEP(address(this)).transfer(msg.sender,amount) (kucoin.sol#149)

Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#unchecked-transfer

INFO:Detectors:

Owned.changeOwnership(address) (kucoin.sol#15-17) should emit an event for:

- owner = _newOwner (kucoin.sol#16)

Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#missing-events-access-control

INFO:Detectors:

Owned.changeOwnership(address). newOwner (kucoin.sol#15) lacks a zero-check on:

- owner = _newOwner (kucoin.sol#16)

Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#missing-zero-address-validation

INFO:Detectors:

TimeLock.release() (kucoin.sol#141-155) uses timestamp for comparisons

Dangerous comparisons:

- require(bool,string)(block.timestamp >= (loc.time + loc.lockedAt),TimeLock: Release time not reached) (kucoin.sol#147)

Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#block-timestamp INFO:Detectors:

Freeze.freezeCheck() (kucoin.sol#22-25) compares to a boolean constant:

-require(bool,string)(isFreeze == false,Contract have frozen) (kucoin.sol#23)

Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#boolean-equality INFO:Detectors:

Pragma version 0.8.4 (kucoin.sol#6) necessitates a version too recent to be trusted. Consider deploying with 0.6.12/0.7.6

solc-0.8.4 is not recommended for deployment

Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#incorrect-versions-of-solidity

INFO:Detectors:

Parameter Owned.changeOwnership(address)._newOwner (kucoin.sol#15) is not in mixedCase

Parameter BEP20.balanceOf(address)._owner (kucoin.sol#57) is not in mixedCase

Parameter BEP20.transfer(address,uint256)._to (kucoin.sol#59) is not in mixedCase

Parameter BEP20.transfer(address, uint 256)._amount (kucoin.sol #59) is not in mixed Case

Parameter BEP20.transferFrom(address,address,uint256)._from (kucoin.sol#68) is not in mixedCase

Parameter BEP20.transferFrom(address,address,uint256)._to (kucoin.sol#68) is not in mixedCase

Parameter BEP20.transferFrom(address,address,uint256)._amount (kucoin.sol#68) is not in mixedCase

Parameter BEP20.approve(address,uint256)._spender (kucoin.sol#78) is not in mixedCase Parameter BEP20.approve(address,uint256)._amount (kucoin.sol#78) is not in mixedCase Parameter BEP20.allowance(address,address)._owner (kucoin.sol#84) is not in mixedCase Parameter BEP20.allowance(address,address)._spender (kucoin.sol#84) is not in mixedCase Parameter TimeLock.timelock(address,uint256,uint256)._lockAccount (kucoin.sol#131) is not in mixedCase

Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#conformance-to-solidity-naming-conventions

INFO:Detectors:

KuCoinLaunchPad.constructor() (kucoin.sol#180-188) uses literals with too many digits:

- totalSupply = 3500000000 * 10 ** 18 (kucoin.sol#184)

Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#too-many-digits INFO:Detectors:

changeOwnership(address) should be declared external:

- Owned.changeOwnership(address) (kucoin.sol#15-17)

changeFreezeStatus(bool) should be declared external:

- Freeze.changeFreezeStatus(bool) (kucoin.sol#28-30)

balanceOf(address) should be declared external:

- BEP20.balanceOf(address) (kucoin.sol#57)

transferFrom(address,address,uint256) should be declared external:

- BEP20.transferFrom(address,address,uint256) (kucoin.sol#68-76)

approve(address, uint 256) should be declared external:

- BEP20.approve(address,uint256) (kucoin.sol#78-82)

allowance(address, address) should be declared external:

- BEP20.allowance(address,address) (kucoin.sol#84-86)

timelock(address,uint256,uint256) should be declared external:

- TimeLock.timelock(address,uint256,uint256) (kucoin.sol#131-139)

release() should be declared external:

- TimeLock.release() (kucoin.sol#141-155)

lockedAccountDetails(address) should be declared external:

- TimeLock.lockedAccountDetails(address) (kucoin.sol#157-173)

Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#public-function-that-could-be-declared-external

Mythril

myth a --rpc 127.0.0.1:8545 -a 0x27a1b3FDA67b48d09986306B397d390f011E0156 The analysis was completed successfully. No issues were detected.

THEO

theo --rpc-http http://127.0.0.1:8545

The account's private key (input hidden)

Contract to interact with

> 0x27a1b3FDA67b48d09986306B397d390f011E0156

Scanning for exploits in contract: 0x27a1b3FDA67b48d09986306B397d390f011E0156

Connecting to HTTP: http://127.0.0.1:8545.

No exploits found. You're going to need to load some exploits.

Tools available in the console:

- `exploits` is an array of loaded exploits found by Mythril or read from a file
- `w3` an initialized instance of web3py for the provided HTTP RPC endpoint
- `dump()` writing a json representation of an object to a local file

Check the readme for more info:

https://github.com/cleanunicorn/theo

Theo version v0.8.2.

SOLHINT LINTER

kucoin.sol

6:1 error Compiler version 0.8.4 does not satisfy the ^0.5.8 semver requirement compiler-version

10:9 warning Provide an error message for require

reason-string

52:5 warning Explicitly mark visibility of state

state-visibility

53:5 warning Explicitly mark visibility of state

state-visibility

57:45 warning Visibility modifier must be first in list of modifiers

visibility-modifier-order

61:9 warning Provide an error message for require

reason-string

```
70:9 warning Provide an error message for require
reason-string
 84:63 warning Visibility modifier must be first in list of modifiers
visibility-modifier-order
 100:9 warning Error message for require is too long
reason-string
 105:9 warning Error message for require is too long
reason-string
 111:94 warning Code contains empty blocks
no-empty-blocks
 129:5 warning Explicitly mark visibility of state
state-visibility
 137:61 warning Avoid to make time-based decisions in your business logic
not-rely-on-time
 147:13 warning Error message for require is too long
reason-string
 147:21 warning Avoid to make time-based decisions in your business logic
not-rely-on-time
 152:13 warning Possible reentrancy vulnerabilities. Avoid state changes after transfer
reentrancy
 163:28 warning Avoid to make time-based decisions in your business logic
not-rely-on-time
 180:5 warning Explicitly mark visibility in function (Set ignoreConstructors to true if
using solidity >=0.7.0) func-visibility
 191:38 error Use double quotes for string literals
quotes
 196:38 error Use double quotes for string literals
quote
```

Results

No major issues were found. Some false positive errors were reported by the tools. All the other issues have been categorized above according to their level of severity.

Disclaimer

Quillhash audit is not a security warranty, investment advice, or an endorsement of the KUCOIN LAUNCHPAD platform. This audit does not provide a security or correctness guarantee of the audited smart contracts. The statements made in this document should not be interpreted as investment or legal advice, nor should its authors be held accountable for decisions made based on them. Securing smart contracts is a multistep process. One audit cannot be considered enough. We recommend that the KUCOIN LAUNCHPAD Team put in place a bug bounty program to encourage further analysis of the smart contract by other third parties.

Closing Summary

In this report, we have considered the security of the KUCOIN LAUNCHPAD platform. We performed our audit according to the procedure described above.

Several issues of medium, low, and informational severity were discovered during the audit. In the end, all of the issues were resolved by the Auditee.





- O Canada, India, Singapore and United Kingdom
- audits.quillhash.com
- audits@quillhash.com