



Audit Report December, 2021

For



Muslim Coins

Contents

Overview	01
Scope of Audit	01
Check Vulnerabilities	02
Techniques and Methods	03
Issue Categories	03
Number of security issues per severity.	03
Introduction	04
Issues Found – Code Review / Manual Testing	05
High Severity Issues	05
Medium Severity Issues	05
Low Severity Issues	05
1. Missing zero address validation	05
Informational Issues	05
2. Missing comments and description:	05
3. Same execution for two different cases can be merged	06
4. Less meaningful variable	06
5. Variable defined but never used	06
6. Public methods only being used externally	07
7. Constant calculations in the contract	08
8. Use a constructor to set addresses	08
9. Getters should be at the bottom of the contract	08
10. Length calculation within the loop	09
11. Inconsistent error messages	09

Contents

12. Naming Conventions	09
13. Presence of not implemented payable method	10
Binance Testnet Test Contract	11
Functional Tests	11
Automated Tests	12
Results	13
Closing Summary	14



Scope of the Audit

The scope of this audit was to analyze and document the MuslimCoins Token smart contract codebase for quality, security, and correctness.

Checked Vulnerabilities

We have scanned the smart contract for commonly known and more specific vulnerabilities. Here are some of the commonly known vulnerabilities that we considered:

- Re-entrancy
- Timestamp Dependence
- Gas Limit and Loops
- DoS with Block Gas Limit
- Transaction-Ordering Dependence
- Use of tx.origin
- Exception disorder
- Gasless send
- Balance equality
- Byte array
- Transfer forwards all gas
- BEP20 API violation
- Malicious libraries
- Compiler version not fixed
- Redundant fallback function
- Send instead of transfer
- Style guide violation
- Unchecked external call
- Unchecked math
- Unsafe type inference
- Implicit visibility level

Techniques and Methods

Throughout the audit of smart contract, care was taken to ensure:

- The overall quality of code.
- Use of best practices.
- Code documentation and comments match logic and expected behaviour.
- Token distribution and calculations are as per the intended behaviour mentioned in the whitepaper.
- Implementation of BEP-20 token standards.
- Efficient use of gas.
- Code is safe from re-entrancy and other vulnerabilities.

The following techniques, methods and tools were used to review all the smart contracts.

Structural Analysis

In this step, we have analysed the design patterns and structure of smart contracts. A thorough check was done to ensure the smart contract is structured in a way that will not result in future problems.

Static Analysis

Static analysis of smart contracts was done to identify contract vulnerabilities. In this step, a series of automated tools are used to test the security of smart contracts.

Code Review / Manual Analysis

Manual analysis or review of code was done to identify new vulnerabilities or verify the vulnerabilities found during the static analysis. Contracts were completely manually analysed, their logic was checked and compared with the one described in the whitepaper. Besides, the results of the automated analysis were manually verified.

Gas Consumption

In this step, we have checked the behaviour of smart contracts in production. Checks were done to know how much gas gets consumed and the possibilities of optimization of code to reduce gas consumption.

Tools and Platforms used for Audit

Remix IDE, Truffle, Truffle Team, Solhint, Mythril, Slither, Solidity statistic analysis.

Issue Categories

Every issue in this report has been assigned to a severity level. There are four levels of severity, and each of them has been explained below.

Risk-level	Description
High	A high severity issue or vulnerability means that your smart contract can be exploited. Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance or functionality, and we recommend these issues be fixed before moving to a live environment.
Medium	The issues marked as medium severity usually arise because of errors and deficiencies in the smart contract code. Issues on this level could potentially bring problems, and they should still be fixed.
Low	Low-level severity issues can cause minor impact and or are just warnings that can remain unfixed for now. It would be better to fix these issues at some point in the future.
Informational	These are severity issues that indicate an improvement request, a general question, a cosmetic or documentation error, or a request for information. There is low-to-no impact.

Number of issues per severity

Type	High	Medium	Low	Informational
Open	0	0	0	0
Acknowledged	0	0	1	12
Closed	0	0	0	0

Introduction

During the period of **November 24, 2021 to December 1, 2021** - QuillAudits Team performed a security audit for **MuslimCoins** smart contracts.

The code for the audit was taken from following the official link:

Codebase: [0x8B93585978B81E4FC0aE063fe526dBfBE9B8D42D](https://github.com/0x8B93585978B81E4FC0aE063fe526dBfBE9B8D42D)

Ver No	Date	Contract address	Network
1	24 November	0x8B93585978B81E4FC0aE 063fe526dBfBE9B8D42D	Binance

Issues Found

A. Contract – MuslimCoins

High severity issues

No issues were found.

Medium severity issues

No issues were found.

Low severity issues

1. Missing zero address validation

Description

Missing zero address check for to address in the following methods:

- `_transferBothExcluded()`
- `_approve()`
- `_transfer()`
- `_tokenTransfer()`

Remediation

Add a 'require' to check to address `!= address(0)`

Status: **Acknowledged**

Informational issues

2. Missing comments and description

Description

Comments and Description of the methods and the variables are missing, it's hard to read and understand the purpose of the variables and the methods in context of the whole picture

Remediation

Consider adding NatSpec format comments for the comments and state variables

Status: **Acknowledged**

3. Same execution for two different cases can be merged

```
if (!_isExcluded[sender] && !_isExcluded[recipient]) {
    _transferFromExcluded(sender, recipient, amount);
} else if (!_isExcluded[sender] && _isExcluded[recipient]) {
    _transferToExcluded(sender, recipient, amount);
} else if (!_isExcluded[sender] && !_isExcluded[recipient]) {
    _transferStandard(sender, recipient, amount);
} else if (_isExcluded[sender] && _isExcluded[recipient]) {
    _transferBothExcluded(sender, recipient, amount);
} else {
    _transferStandard(sender, recipient, amount);
}
```

Remediation

```
if (!_isExcluded[sender] && !_isExcluded[recipient]) {
    _transferFromExcluded(sender, recipient, amount);
} else if (!_isExcluded[sender] && _isExcluded[recipient]) {
    _transferToExcluded(sender, recipient, amount);
} else if (_isExcluded[sender] && _isExcluded[recipient]) {
    _transferBothExcluded(sender, recipient, amount);
} else {
    _transferStandard(sender, recipient, amount);
}
```

Status: **Acknowledged**

4. Less meaningful variable

Description

MAX variable names do not provide a clear picture of their purpose

Remediation

MAX should be renamed to **MAX_INT_256**

Status: **Acknowledged**

5. Variable defined but never used

Description

'contractTokenBalance' defined but never used

Remediation

Remove unused variables

Status: **Acknowledged**

6. Public methods only being used externally

Description

'public' functions that are not inherited by the contract should be declared 'external' to save gas.

Remediation

Make these methods external -

- name()
- symbol()
- decimals()
- totalSupply()
- balanceOf()
- transfer()
- allowance()
- approve()
- transferFrom()
- increaseAllowance()
- decreaseAllowance()
- isExcludedFromReward()
- totalFees()
- deliver()
- reflectionFromToken()
- tokenFromReflection()
- excludeFromReward()
- excludeFromFee()
- includeInFee()
- isExcludedFromFee()

Status: **Acknowledged**

Precalculated initialization will save 2847 units of gas in deployment

10. Length calculation within the loop

Description

Reading from the state and fetching its length is a costly operation and doing such within a loop should be avoided

Recommendation

`_excluded.length` should be calculated and stored in a variable before using in the for loop inside ``_getCurrentSupply`` and ``includeInReward`` method.

Status: **Acknowledged**

11. Inconsistent error messages

Description

The require checks in the contract have error messages containing the contract name in some places and the same has been skipped in most cases.

Recommendation

The error message should follow the same pattern and we recommend using the contract name along with the error message at all places
Eg.

```
require(!_isExcluded[account], "MuslimCoins: Account is already excluded");
```

Status: **Acknowledged**

12. Naming Conventions

Description

The contract follows a consistent naming convention of private variables with leading “_” and public variables without it.

But we have missed complying with the condition for certain variable names - “**calculateTaxFee**”, “**removeAllFee**” and “**restoreAllFee**” which are private.

Recommendation

Add “_” in private method names

Status: **Acknowledged**

13. Presence of not implemented payable method

Description

The method `receive()` is not implemented but is present in the contract.

Recommendation

Remove the method from the contract or call the `revert()` method inside this `receive()` method.

Eg.

```
receive() external payable {  
    revert("NOT_IMPLEMENTED");  
}
```

Binance Testnet Test Contract

MuslimCoins: 0x23Ab9286aF3713783d994d675b1421824396Aeef

Functional Tests

- Deliver
- Exclude from fee
- Exclude from reward
- Include in fee
- Include in reward
- Set zakat and fee

Slither


```
Variable MuslimCoins._transferStandard(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#960) is too similar to MuslimCoins._transferFromExcluded(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#1010)
Variable MuslimCoins._transferBothExcluded(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#759) is too similar to MuslimCoins._transferBothExcluded(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#761)
Variable MuslimCoins._transferBothExcluded(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#759) is too similar to MuslimCoins._transferFromExcluded(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#1010)
Variable MuslimCoins.reflectionFromToken(uint256,bool).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#711) is too similar to MuslimCoins._transferBothExcluded(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#761)
Variable MuslimCoins._transferToExcluded(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#989) is too similar to MuslimCoins._transferBothExcluded(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#761)
Variable MuslimCoins._transferToExcluded(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#989) is too similar to MuslimCoins._transferFromExcluded(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#1010)
Variable MuslimCoins.reflectionFromToken(uint256,bool).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#711) is too similar to MuslimCoins._transferFromExcluded(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#1010)
Variable MuslimCoins._transferFromExcluded(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#1008) is too similar to MuslimCoins._transferFromExcluded(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#1010)
Variable MuslimCoins._transferStandard(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#960) is too similar to MuslimCoins._getTValues(uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#813)
Variable MuslimCoins._getRValues(uint256,uint256,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#832) is too similar to MuslimCoins._getTValues(uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#813)
Variable MuslimCoins._transferBothExcluded(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#759) is too similar to MuslimCoins._getTValues(uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#813)
Variable MuslimCoins._transferFromExcluded(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#1008) is too similar to MuslimCoins._getTValues(uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#813)
Variable MuslimCoins._getTValues(uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#799) is too similar to MuslimCoins._transferStandard(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#962)
Variable MuslimCoins.reflectionFromToken(uint256,bool).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#711) is too similar to MuslimCoins._getTValues(uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#813)
Variable MuslimCoins._transferToExcluded(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#989) is too similar to MuslimCoins._getTValues(uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#813)
Variable MuslimCoins._transferBothExcluded(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#759) is too similar to MuslimCoins._getTValues(uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#798)
Variable MuslimCoins._transferFromExcluded(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#1008) is too similar to MuslimCoins._getTValues(uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#798)
Variable MuslimCoins._getRValues(uint256,uint256,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#832) is too similar to MuslimCoins._getTValues(uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#798)
Variable MuslimCoins._getTValues(uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#799) is too similar to MuslimCoins._transferToExcluded(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#991)
Variable MuslimCoins._getTValues(uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#799) is too similar to MuslimCoins._getTValues(uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#798)
Variable MuslimCoins._getRValues(uint256,uint256,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#832) is too similar to MuslimCoins._transferFromExcluded(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#1010)
Variable MuslimCoins._transferStandard(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#960) is too similar to MuslimCoins._transferToExcluded(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#991)
Variable MuslimCoins._transferStandard(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#960) is too similar to MuslimCoins._transferBothExcluded(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#761)
Variable MuslimCoins._transferBothExcluded(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#759) is too similar to MuslimCoins._transferToExcluded(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#991)
Variable MuslimCoins._transferFromExcluded(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#1008) is too similar to MuslimCoins._transferToExcluded(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#991)
Variable MuslimCoins._transferStandard(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#960) is too similar to MuslimCoins._getTValues(uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#798)
Variable MuslimCoins._transferFromExcluded(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#1008) is too similar to MuslimCoins._transferStandard(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#962)
Variable MuslimCoins._transferFromExcluded(address,address,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#1008) is too similar to MuslimCoins._transferBothExcluded(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#761)
Variable MuslimCoins._getRValues(uint256,uint256,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#832) is too similar to MuslimCoins._transferToExcluded(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#991)
Variable MuslimCoins._getRValues(uint256,uint256,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#832) is too similar to MuslimCoins._transferStandard(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#962)
Variable MuslimCoins._getRValues(uint256,uint256,uint256).rTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#832) is too similar to MuslimCoins._transferBothExcluded(address,address,uint256).tTransferAmount (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#761)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/sllither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#variable-names-are-too-similar

MuslimCoins._decimals (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#554) should be constant
MuslimCoins._tTotal (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#547) should be constant
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/sllither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#state-variables-that-could-be-declared-constant

renounceOwnership() should be declared external:
- Ownable.renounceOwnership() (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#491-494)
transferOwnership(address) should be declared external:
- Ownable.transferOwnership(address) (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#500-507)
geUnlockTime() should be declared external:
- Ownable.geUnlockTime() (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#509-511)
lock(uint256) should be declared external:
- Ownable.lock(uint256) (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#514-519)
unlock() should be declared external:
- Ownable.unlock() (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#522-530)
name() should be declared external:
- MuslimCoins.name() (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#577-579)
symbol() should be declared external:
- MuslimCoins.symbol() (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#581-583)
decimals() should be declared external:
- MuslimCoins.decimals() (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#585-587)
totalSupply() should be declared external:
- MuslimCoins.totalSupply() (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#589-591)
transfer(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- MuslimCoins.transfer(address,uint256) (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#608-615)
allowance(address,address) should be declared external:
- MuslimCoins.allowance(address,address) (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#617-624)
approve(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- MuslimCoins.approve(address,uint256) (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#626-633)
transferFrom(address,address,uint256) should be declared external:
- MuslimCoins.transferFrom(address,address,uint256) (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#635-650)
increaseAllowance(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- MuslimCoins.increaseAllowance(address,uint256) (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#652-663)
decreaseAllowance(address,uint256) should be declared external:
- MuslimCoins.decreaseAllowance(address,uint256) (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#665-679)
isExcludedFromReward(address) should be declared external:
- MuslimCoins.isExcludedFromReward(address) (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#681-683)
totalFees() should be declared external:
- MuslimCoins.totalFees() (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#685-687)
deliver(uint256) should be declared external:
- MuslimCoins.deliver(uint256) (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#689-699)
reflectionFromToken(uint256,bool) should be declared external:
- MuslimCoins.reflectionFromToken(uint256,bool) (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#701-714)
excludeFromReward(address) should be declared external:
- MuslimCoins.excludeFromReward(address) (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#729-737)
excludeFromFee(address) should be declared external:
- MuslimCoins.excludeFromFee(address) (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#772-774)
includeInFee(address) should be declared external:
- MuslimCoins.includeInFee(address) (contracts/MuslimCoins.sol#776-778)
isExcludedFromFee(address) should be declared external:
```

Results

No major issues were found. Some false positive errors were reported by the tool. All the other issues have been categorized above according to their level of severity.

Closing Summary

Overall, smart contracts are very well written, documented, and adhere to guidelines. Several issues of Low severity and information issues have been reported, which have been acknowledged by the MuslimCoins Team. We recommend working on suggestions that are reported in order to improve the code quality of smart contracts.

Disclaimer

Quillhash audit is not a security warranty, investment advice, or endorsement of the **MuslimCoins** platform. This audit does not provide a security or correctness guarantee of the audited smart contracts. The statements made in this document should not be interpreted as investment or legal advice, nor should its authors be held accountable for decisions made based on them. Securing smart contracts is a multistep process. One audit cannot be considered enough. We recommend that the **MuslimCoins** Team put in place a bug bounty program to encourage further analysis of the smart contract by other third parties.

Audit Report December, 2021

For



Muslim Coins



QuillAudits

📍 Canada, India, Singapore, United Kingdom

🌐 audits.quillhash.com

✉️ audits@quillhash.com