Coinfix Token Audit

OPENZEPPELIN SECURITY | JANUARY 26, 2018

Security Audits

The <u>Coinfix</u> team asked us to review and audit their MerchantSubscription contract. We looked at the code and now publish our results.

The audited code is located in the <u>ChainXcom/coinfix.io-smart-contracts</u> repository. The version used for this report is commit 75edd659ce96c88fe2784f3e767d6617787e8b7c.

Here is our assessment and recommendations, in order of importance.

Update: The Coinfix team has followed most of our recommendations and updated the contracts.

The new version is at commit co2co83173fee08fb6e8e20ff854e5fbbbe9ed55.

Critical Severity

No issues of critical severity.

High Severity

No issues of high severity.

Medium Severity

Merchant address can be null

A [MerchantSubscription] contract can be instantiated with the null address as the [Merchant] parameter. Such an instance will never be able to be activated or function at all. Consider adding a sanity check in the constructor to require that the [Merchant] parameter is different from $[0 \times 0]$. This will also be in line with the principle of [Merchant] and [Merchant] parameter is



Low Severity

Solidity version

The contracts can be compiled with versions of the compiler as low as 0.4.11. We recommend changing the Solidity version pragma to a more recent version (for example, pragma solidity ^0.4.17) to enforce the use of an up to date compiler.

Update: Fixed in this commit.

Reuse open source contracts

The contract implements similar functionality to code found in OpenZeppelin's

SafeMath , Ownable (or

<u>Clai mable</u>) and <u>Pausable</u>. Reimplementing functionality instead of reusing public and already audited code can bring <u>regression problems and difficult to find bugs</u>. Consider removing the duplicate code from your repo and using the installed versions from OpenZeppelin.

Update: Fixed in this commit by using OpenZeppelin's contracts.

Redundant amount state variable

The contract has an amount state variable, which intends to track the amount of ether received. However, it is redundant because such a value is already accessible via this.balance. Additionally, bear in mind that the contract can receive ether bypassing the fallback function (see the second warning here. This would leave the amount variable out of sync with the actual balance, and the ether received in such a way unrecoverable. Moreover, the SubscriptionPaymentMade event will not be emitted for these cases.

Consider removing this variable from the contract, and using this.balance instead. After this removal SafeMath will be unnecessary.

Update: Fixed in this commit by using this balance.

Notes & Additional Information

• Neither the <u>constructor</u>, <u>fallback function</u> nor the <u>claimOwnership</u> method have explicit visibility. Solidity defaults to <u>public</u>. Consider making this explicit. This will also avoid some compiler warnings introduced in the latest versions, motivated by the <u>first Parity</u>

(Update: Fixed in this commit.)

• The <u>SafeMath</u> methods could be marked <u>pure</u>. Consider using Solidity's <u>using for</u> feature for enhanced readability.

(Update: Fixed in this commit by reusing OpenZeppelin's SafeMath.)

• Consider indexing event parameters such as SubscriptionPaymentMade 's customer as it enables faster lookups for consuming apps.

(**Update:** Fixed in <u>this</u> commit.)

- Only the owner can trigger a withdrawal of funds from the subscription. This requires trust in the owner by the merchant. Unless this limitation is by design, consider also allowing the merchant to trigger it.
- The withdrawal function transfers ether to the merchant address (by using Solidity's transfer). Make sure that merchant addresses can receive ether.

Conclusion

No critical or high severity issues were found. Some changes were proposed to follow best practices and reduce potential attack surface.

Note that as of the date of publishing, the above review reflects the current understanding of known security patterns as they relate to Coinfix's contract. We have not reviewed the related Coinfix project. The above should not be construed as investment advice. For general information about smart contract security, check out our thoughts here.

Related Posts



Zap Audit







Beefy Zap Audit

BeefyZapRouter serves as a versatile intermediary designed to execute users' orders through routes...

Security Audits

OpenBrush Contracts Library Security Review

OpenBrush is an open-source smart contract library written in the Rust programming language and the...

Security Audits

Linea Bridge Audit

Linea is a ZK-rollup deployed on top of Ethereum. It is designed to be EVMcompatible and aims to...

Security Audits

Learn

Docs

Blog

Ethernaut CTF

OpenZeppelin

Defender Platform	Services
Secure Code & Audit	Smart Contract Security Audit
Secure Deploy	Incident Response
Threat Monitoring	Zero Knowledge Proof Practice
Incident Response	
Operation and Automation	

Company Contracts Library Docs

About us Jobs Blog

© Zeppelin Group Limited 2023

Privacy | Terms of Use