

Polkaswitch

Smart Contract Security Audit

Prepared by: Halborn

Date of Engagement: April 19 - April 23, 2021

Visit: Halborn.com

DOCH	MENT REVISION HISTORY	3
CONT	ACTS	3
1	EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW	4
1.1	INTRODUCTION	5
1.2	AUDIT SUMMARY	5
1.3	TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY	6
	RISK METHODOLOGY	6
1.4	SCOPE	8
2	ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW	9
3	FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS	10
3.1	(HAL-01) FLOATING PRAGMA - LOW	12
	Description	12
	Code Location	12
	Risk Level	13
	Recommendations	13
	Remediation Plan	14
3.2	(HAL-02) MISSING RE-ENTRANCY PROTECTION - LOW	14
	Description	14
	Code Location	14
	Risk Level	15
	Recommendation	15
	Remediation Plan	15
3.3	(HAL-03) USE OF BLOCK.TIMESTAMP - INFORMATIONAL	15
	Description	15

	Code Location	16
	Recommendation	17
	Remediation Plan	17
3.4	(HAL-04) POSSIBLE MISUSE OF PUBLIC FUNCTIONS - INFORMATIONAL 18	AL.
	Description	18
	Code Location	18
	Risk Level	21
	Recommendations	21
	Remediation Plan	21
3.5	STATIC ANALYSIS REPORT	22
	Description	22
	Results	22
	Recommendations	25
3.6	AUTOMATED SECURITY SCAN	25
	MYTHX	25
	Results	25

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

VERSION	MODIFICATION	DATE	AUTHOR
0.1	Document Creation	04/20/2021	Nishit Majithia
0.2	Document Edits	04/21/2021	Nishit Majithia
1.0	Final Version	04/23/2021	Gabi Urrutia
1.1	Remediation Plan	05/04/2021	Nishit Majithia

CONTACTS

CONTACT	COMPANY	EMAIL
Rob Behnke	Halborn	Rob.Behnke@halborn.com
Steven Walbroehl	Halborn	Steven.Walbroehl@halborn.com
Gabi Urrutia	Halborn	Gabi.Urrutia@halborn.com
Nishit Majithia	Halborn	nishit.majithia@halborn.com

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

1.1 INTRODUCTION

Polkaswitch is a decentralized, non-custodial cross-chain liquidity protocol built for the financial future. The security assessment was scoped to the smart contracts Greeter.sol, SwitchToken.sol, staking/Farming.sol, vesting/PrivateVesting.sol and vesting/Vesting.sol. Halborn conducted this audit to measure security risk and identify any new vulnerabilities introduced during the final stages of development before the Polkaswitch production release.

Though this security audit's outcome is satisfactory, only the most essential aspects were tested and verified to achieve objectives and deliverables set in the scope due to time and resource constraints. It is essential to note the use of the best practices for secure smart-contract development.

1.2 AUDIT SUMMARY

The team at Halborn was provided a one week time frame for the engagement and assigned two full time security engineers to audit the security of the smart contract. The security engineers are blockchain and smart contract security experts, with experience in advanced penetration testing, smart contract hacking, and have a deep knowledge in multiple blockchain protocols.

The purpose of this audit to achieve the following:

- Ensure that smart contract functions operate as intended.
- Identify potential security issues with the smart contracts.

In summary, Halborn identified few security risks, and recommends performing further testing to validate extended safety and correctness in context to the whole set of contracts. External threats, such as economic attacks, oracle attacks, and inter-contract functions and calls should be validated for expected logic and state.

1.3 TEST APPROACH & METHODOLOGY

Halborn performed a combination of manual and automated security testing to balance efficiency, timeliness, practicality, and accuracy in regard to the scope of the smart contract audit.

While manual testing is recommended to uncover flaws in logic, process, and implementation; automated testing techniques help enhance coverage of smart contracts and can quickly identify items that do not follow security best practices. The following phases and associated tools were used throughout the term of the audit:

- Research into architecture and purpose
- Smart Contract manual code review and walk-through
- Graphing out functionality and contract logic/connectivity/functions (solgraph)
- Manual Assessment of use and safety for the critical solidity variables and functions in scope to identify any arithmetic related vulnerability classes.
- Scanning of solidity files for vulnerabilities, security hotspots, or bugs. (MythX)
- Static Analysis of security for scoped contract, and imported functions. (Slither)
- Testnet deployment (Truffle, Ganache)

RISK METHODOLOGY:

Vulnerabilities or issues observed by Halborn are ranked based on the risk assessment methodology by measuring the LIKELIHOOD of a security incident, and the IMPACT should an incident occur. This framework works for communicating the characteristics and impacts of technology vulnerabilities. It's quantitative model ensures repeatable and accurate measurement while enabling users to see the underlying vulnerability characteristics that was used to generate the Risk scores. For every vulnerability, a risk level will be calculated on a scale of 5 to 1 with 5 being the highest likelihood or impact.

RISK SCALE - LIKELIHOOD

- 5 Almost certain an incident will occur.
- 4 High probability of an incident occurring.
- 3 Potential of a security incident in the long term.
- 2 Low probability of an incident occurring.
- 1 Very unlikely issue will cause an incident.

RISK SCALE - IMPACT

- 5 May cause devastating and unrecoverable impact or loss.
- 4 May cause a significant level of impact or loss.
- 3 May cause a partial impact or loss to many.
- 2 May cause temporary impact or loss.
- 1 May cause minimal or un-noticeable impact.

The risk level is then calculated using a sum of these two values, creating a value of 10 to 1 with 10 being the highest level of security risk.

CRITICAL	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	INFORMATIONAL
----------	------	--------	-----	---------------

10 - CRITICAL

9 - 8 - HIGH

7 - 6 - MEDIUM

5 - 4 - LOW

3 - 1 - VERY LOW AND INFORMATIONAL

1.4 SCOPE

IN-SCOPE:

The security assessment was scoped to the smart contracts:

- Greeter.sol
- SwitchToken.sol
- staking/Farming.sol
- vesting/PrivateVesting.sol
- vesting/Vesting.sol

commit ID: 3eadba5d4295e3073e5ea70d7feafa6b021cd112

Fix commit ID: 661db86b73c6b26ffd53938ed94b58fa0f909419

OUT-OF-SCOPE:

Other smart contracts in the repository, external libraries and economics attacks.

IMPACT

2. ASSESSMENT SUMMARY & FINDINGS OVERVIEW

CRITICAL	HIGH	MEDIUM	LOW	INFORMATIONAL
0	0	0	2	2

LIKELIHOOD

(HAL-02)

(HAL-01)

(HAL-03)
(HAL-04)

SECURITY ANALYSIS	RISK LEVEL	REMEDIATION DATE
FLOATING PRAGMA	Low	SOLVED - 05/04/2021
MISSING RE-ENTRANCY PROTECTION	Low	SOLVED - 05/04/2021
USE OF BLOCK.TIMESTAMP	Informational	NOT APPLICABLE
POSSIBLE MISUSE OF PUBLIC FUNCTIONS	Informational	SOLVED: 05/04/2021
STATIC ANALYSIS	-	-
AUTOMATED SECURITY SCAN	-	-

FINDINGS & TECH DETAILS

3.1 (HAL-01) FLOATING PRAGMA - LOW

Description:

All Smart Contracts use the floating pragma ^0.6.12. Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags used during development and testing. Locking the **pragma** helps to ensure that contracts do not accidentally get deployed using another pragma. For example, an outdated pragma version might introduce bugs that affect the contract system negatively or recently released pragma versions may have unknown security vulnerabilities.

Reference: ConsenSys Diligence - Lock pragmas

Code Location:

```
SwitchToken.sol: [Line #23]

22
23 pragma solidity ^0.6.12;
24
25 // SwitchToken with Governance and adds a cap to the supply of tokens..
26 r contract Switch is IERC20, Ownable {
27 using SafeMath for uint256;
```

staking/Farming.sol: [Line #1]

Reference: ConsenSys Diligence - Lock pragmas

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1 Impact - 3

Recommendations:

Consider locking the pragma version. It is not recommended to use a floating pragma in production. It is possible to lock the pragma by fixing the version both in truffle-config.js for Truffle framework or in hardhat-config.js for HardHat framework.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: Polkaswitch team locked the pragma version to 0.6.12 in all contracts.

3.2 (HAL-02) MISSING RE-ENTRANCY PROTECTION - LOW

Description:

To protect against cross-function reentrancy attacks, it may be necessary to use a mutex. By using this lock, an attacker can no longer exploit the withdraw function with a recursive call. OpenZeppelin has it's own mutex implementation called ReentrancyGuard which provides a modifier to any function called nonReentrant that guards the function with a mutex against reentrancy attacks.

Code Location:

Vesting.sol Lines #134-142

```
function claim() public {
    require(!_upfrontReleased[msg.sender], "Vesting: token already claimed");

uint256 upfront = _beneficiaries[msg.sender].upfront;

Switch.safeTransfer(msg.sender, upfront);

_upfrontReleased[msg.sender] = true;

under TokenClaimed(msg.sender, upfront);

| description | descri
```

PrivateVesting.sol Lines #102-110

```
function claim() public {
    require(! upfrontReleased[msg.sender], "Vesting: token already claimed");

uint256 upfront = beneficiaries[msg.sender].upfront;

Switch.safeTransfer(msg.sender, upfront);

upfrontReleased[msg.sender] = true;

emit TokenClaimed(msg.sender, upfront);

}
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1 Impact - 4

Recommendation:

The Vesting.sol and PrivateVesting.sol smart contracts are missing a nonReentrant guard. Use the nonReentrant modifier to avoid introducing future vulnerabilities.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: nonReentrant modifier was added to all the above reported methods.

3.3 (HAL-03) USE OF BLOCK.TIMESTAMP - INFORMATIONAL

Description:

During a manual review, we noticed the use of block.timestamp. The contract developers should be aware that this does not mean current time. Miners can influence the value of block.timestamp to perform Maximal Extractable Value (MEV) attacks. The use of now creates a risk that time manipulation can be performed to manipulate price oracles. Miners can modify the timestamp by up to 900 seconds.

Code Location:

SwitchToken.sol: [Line #251]

staking/Farming.sol: [Line #126]

```
function lastTimeRewardApplicable(uint i) public view returns (uint256) {
    return Math.min(block.timestamp, tokenRewards[i].periodFinish);
}

127
}

128

129     function rewardPerToken(uint i) public view returns (uint256) {
    TokenRewards storage tr = tokenRewards[i];
```

[Line #192], [Line #196], [Line #205], [Line #206]

```
uint256 duration = tr.duration;

if (block.timestamp >= tr.periodFinish) {
    require(reward >= duration, "Reward is too small");
    tr.rewardRate = reward.div(duration);
} else {
    uint256 remaining = tr.periodFinish.sub(block.timestamp);
    uint256 leftover = remaining.mul(tr.rewardRate);
    require(reward.add(leftover) >= duration, "Reward is too small");
    tr.rewardRate = reward.add(leftover).div(duration);
}

uint balance = tr.gift.balanceOf(address(this));
    require(tr.rewardRate <= balance.div(duration), "Reward is too big");

tr.lastUpdateTime = block.timestamp;
tr.periodFinish = block.timestamp;
emit RewardAdded(i, reward);
}

function setRewardDistribution(uint i, address _rewardDistribution) external onlyOwned</pre>
```

vesting/PrivateVesting.sol: [Line #75]

[Line #158], [Line #160], [Line #162], [Line #164]

```
if (block.timestamp < _beneficiaries[beneficiary].start) {
    return 0;
    } else if (block.timestamp >= _beneficiaries[beneficiary].start.add(WAVE_1) && block.timestamp < _beneficiaries[beneficiary].start.add(WAVE_2)) {
    return totalBalance.div(5);
    } else if (block.timestamp >= _beneficiaries[beneficiary].start.add(WAVE_2) && block.timestamp < _beneficiaries[beneficiary].start.add(WAVE_3)) {
    return totalBalance.div(5);nul(2);
    } else if (block.timestamp >= _beneficiaries[beneficiary].start.add(WAVE_3) || _revoked[beneficiary]) {
    return totalBalance.div(5);nul(2);
    } else if (block.timestamp < _beneficiaries[beneficiary].start.add(WAVE_3) || _revoked[beneficiary]) {
    return totalBalance;
    } else {
    return 0;
}
```

vesting/Vesting.sol: [Line #105]

[Line #190], [Line #192], [Line #197]

```
if (block.timestamp < _beneficiaries[beneficiary].cliff) {
    return 0;
} else if (block.timestamp >= _beneficiaries[beneficiary].start.add(_beneficiaries[beneficiary].duration) || _revoked[beneficiary]) {
    return totalBalance;
} else {
    uint256 vestingDuration = _beneficiaries[beneficiary].start.add(_beneficiaries[beneficiary].duration).sub(_beneficiaries[beneficiary].cl
    uint256 totalNumNave = vestingDuration.div(WAVE);
    uint256 waveNum = block.timestamp.sub(_beneficiaries[beneficiary].cliff).div(WAVE);
    return totalBalance.mul(waveNum).div(totalNumNave);
}

}
```

Recommendation:

Use block.number instead of block.timestamp or now to reduce the risk of MEV attacks. Check if the timescale of the project occurs across years, days and months rather than seconds. If possible, it is recommended to use Oracles.

Remediation Plan:

NOT APPLICABLE: Development team confirmed that their timescale is larger than 900 seconds when using block.timestamp. So this issue will not affect in this case.

3.4 (HAL-04) POSSIBLE MISUSE OF PUBLIC FUNCTIONS - INFORMATIONAL

Description:

In public functions, array arguments are immediately copied to memory, while external functions can read directly from calldata. Reading calldata is cheaper than memory allocation. Public functions need to write the arguments to memory because public functions may be called internally. Internal calls are passed internally by pointers to memory. Thus, the function expects its arguments being located in memory when the compiler generates the code for an internal function.

Code Location:

SwitchToken.sol: [Line #86], [Line #93]

[Line #136]

```
function transfer(address dst, uint rawAmount) public override returns (bool) {
    uint96 amount = safe96(rawAmount, "SWITCH::transfer: amount exceeds 96 bits");
    _transferTokens(msg.sender, dst, amount);
    return true;
}
```

vesting/PrivateVesting.sol: [Line #48], [Line #55], [Line #62], [Line #69]

[Line #73], [Line #86], [Line #102]

[Line #116], [Line #139]

```
112 •
          * @notice Allows the owner to revoke the vesting. Tokens already vested
          * remain in the contract, the rest are returned to the owner.
         function revoke(address beneficiary) public onlyOwner {
             require(_revocable, "Vesting: cannot revoke");
             require(!_revoked[beneficiary], "Vesting: token already revoked");
             uint256 balance = _beneficiaries[beneficiary].amount;
             uint256 refund = balance.sub(unreleased);
             if (_upfrontReleased[beneficiary]) {
                 refund = refund.sub(_beneficiaries[beneficiary].upfront);
             _revoked[beneficiary] = true;
             Switch.safeTransfer(owner(), refund);
             emit TokenVestingRevoked(beneficiary);
          * @notice Make contract non-revocable.
         function finalizeContract() public onlyOwner {
             _revocable = false;
```

vesting/Vesting.sol: [Line #99], [Line #118], [Line #134]

```
function addbeneficiary(address beneficiary, uint256 cliffDuration, uint256 duration, uint256 amount, uint256 upfront) public onlyOwner {
    require(client(carp) != address(0), "Vesting: beneficiary is the zero address");
    require(cluration > 0, "Vesting: duration is 0");
    require(cluration > 0, "Vesting: duration is 0");
    require(cliffDuration <= duration, "Vesting: cliff is longer than duration");
    // sofunit-disable-next-tine max-tine-length
    require(start-add(duration) > block.timestamp, "Vesting: final time is before current time");

    VestingInfo storage vesting = _beneficiaries[beneficiary];
    vesting.duration = duration,
    vesting.duration = duration);
    vesting.duration = duration);
    vesting.duration = duration);
    vesting.upfront = upfront;
    /**
    //*    // **
    //*    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
    // **
```

[Line #148], [Line #171]

```
un une contract, une rest are returnea to une owner
         function revoke(address beneficiary) public onlyOwner {
              require(_revocable, "Vesting: cannot revoke");
             require(!_revoked[beneficiary], "Vesting: token already revoked");
             uint256 balance = _beneficiaries[beneficiary].amount;
             uint256 unreleased = _releasableAmount(beneficiary);
             uint256 refund = balance.sub(unreleased);
             if (_upfrontReleased[beneficiary]) {
                 refund = refund.sub(_beneficiaries[beneficiary].upfront);
             _revoked[beneficiary] = true;
             Switch.safeTransfer(owner(), refund);
             emit TokenVestingRevoked(beneficiary);
          * @notice Make contract non-revocable.
171 v
172
         function finalizeContract() public onlyOwner {
             _revocable = false;
          * Odey Calculates the amount that has already yested but hasn't been relea
```

Risk Level:

Likelihood - 1 Impact - 1

Recommendations:

Consider declaring external variables instead of public variables. A best practice is to use external if expecting a function to only be called externally and public if called internally. Public functions are always accessible, but external functions are only available to external callers.

Remediation Plan:

SOLVED: Modifiers has been changed to external for all the methods above reported

3.5 STATIC ANALYSIS REPORT

Description:

Halborn used automated testing techniques to enhance coverage of certain areas of the scoped contract. Among the tools used was Slither, a Solidity static analysis framework. After Halborn verified all the contracts in the repository and was able to compile them correctly into their abi and binary formats. This tool can statically verify mathematical relationships between Solidity variables to detect invalid or inconsistent usage of the contracts' APIs across the entire code-base.

Results:

```
INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in Farming.exit() (staking/Farming.sol#164-167):
External calls:
- withdraw(balanceOf(msg.sender)) (staking/Farming.sol#165)
- returndata = address(token).functionCall(data,SafeERC20: low-level call failed) (openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#69
- underlying.safeTransfer(msg.sender,amount) (staking/Farming.sol#61)
- (success,returndata) = target.call(value: value)(data) (openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#119)
- getAllRewards() (staking/Farming.sol#166)
- returndata = address(token).functionCall(data,SafeERC20: low-level call failed) (openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/SafeERC20.sol#69
- (success,returndata) = target.call(value: value)(data) (openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#119)
- tr.gift.safeTransfer(msg.sender), reward) (staking/Farming.sol#167)

External calls sending eth:
- withdraw(balanceOf(msg.sender)) (staking/Farming.sol#166)
- (success,returndata) = target.call(value: value)(data) (openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#119)
- getAllRewards() (staking/Farming.sol#166)
- (success,returndata) = target.call(value: value)(data) (openzeppelin/contracts/utils/Address.sol#119)

State variables written after the call(s):
- getAllRewards() (staking/Farming.sol#166)
- tr.rewards(msg.sender) = 0 (staking/Farming.sol#173)
- tr.lastUpdateTokenStored = rewardPerTokenStored (staking/Farming.sol#116)
- tr.rewards(msg.sender) = 0 (staking/Farming.sol#116)
- tr.rewards(msg.sender) = 0 (staking/Farming.sol#116)
- tr.rewards(msg.sender) = tr.rewardPerTokenStored (staking/Farming.sol#119)

Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities
```

Issue showing that an uninitialized state variable can cause an exception and abrupt crash of the contract.

The divide before multiply finding indicates this calculation may lose integer precision because of the order of operations.

The issue showing dangerous strict equality is not applicable.

```
INFO:Detectors:
Reentrancy in Vesting.claim() (vesting/Vesting.sol#134-142):
    External calls:
        - Switch.safe[ransfer(msg.sender.upfront) (vesting/Vesting.sol#138)
        Event emitted after the call(s):
        - TokenClaimed(msg.sender.upfront) (vesting/Vesting.sol#141)
Reentrancy in Vesting.release() (vesting/Vesting.sol#136):
        External calls:
        - Switch.safe[ransfer(beneficiary,unreleased) (vesting/Vesting.sol#126):
        Event emitted after the call(s):
        - TokensReleased(beneficiary) unreleased) (vesting/Vesting.sol#128)
Reentranc in inting.revoke(address) (vesting/Vesting.sol#136):
        Event emitting.revoke(address) (vesting/Vesting.sol#163)
        Event emitted after the call(s):
        - Token/SesingRevoke(beneficiary) (vesting/Vesting.sol#163)
        Event emitted after the call(s):
        - Token/SesingRevoke(beneficiary) (vesting/Vesting.sol#163)
        Fent emitted after the call(s):
        - Token/SesingRevoke(beneficiary) (vesting/Vesting.sol#102-110):
        External calls:
        - Switch.safe[ransfer(msg.sender.upfront) (vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#102-110):
        External calls:
        - Switch.safe[ransfer(msg.sender.upfront) (vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#109)
Reentrancy in PrivateVesting.release() (vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#309)
Reentrancy in PrivateVesting.release() (vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#309)
Reentrancy in PrivateVesting.release() (vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#309)
Reentrancy in PrivateVesting.release() (vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#309)
Reentrancy in PrivateVesting.release() (vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#310-134):
        External calls:
        - Switch.safe[ransfer(beneficiary,unreleased) (vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#310-134):
        External calls:
        - TokenSkeleased(beneficiary) (vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#310-134):
        External calls:
        - TokenSkeleased(beneficiary) (vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#313)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#reentrancy-vulnerabilities-3
```

The issue regarding ReentrancyGuard use has already been documented above.

```
INFO:Detectors:
Farming.getAllRewards() (staking/Farming.sol#179-184) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- i < len (staking/Farming.sol#181)
Farming.notifyRewardAmount(uint256,uint256) (staking/Farming.sol#186-288) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- block.timestamp >> tr.periodFinish (staking/Farming.sol#192)
- require(bool,string)(reward.add(leftover) >= duration,Reward is too small) (staking/Farming.sol#198)
- require(bool,string)(reward.add(leftover) >= duration,Reward is too big) (staking/Farming.sol#203)
Farming.setDuration(uint256,Guint256) (staking/Farming.sol#216-221) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- require(bool,string)(clock.timestamp >= tr.periodFinish,Not finished yet) (staking/Farming.sol#218)
Farming.addoifft(IERC20,uint256,address) (staking/Farming.sol#23-237) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- require(bool,string)(gift != tokenRewards[i].gift,Gift is already added) (staking/Farming.sol#226)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#block-timestamp
```

```
INFO:Detectors:
Switch.delegateBySig(address,uint256,uint256,uint8,bytes32,bytes32) (SwitchToken.sol#212-253) uses timestamp for comparisons
  bunger day comparisons.

- require(bool,string)(block.timestamp <= expiry,SWITCH::delegateBySig: signature expired) (SwitchToken.so1#251)
PrivateVesting.addBeneficiary(address,uint256,uint256) (vesting/PrivateVesting.so1#73-81) uses timestamp for comparisons
     Puratevesting.addbeneficiary(address,uintzbs,uintzbs) (vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#/3-81) uses timestamp for comparisons:

Dangerous comparisons:

- require(bool,string)(start.add(WAVE_3) > block.timestamp,Vesting: final time is before current time) (vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#75)

rivateVesting__vestedAmount(address) (vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#155-169) uses timestamp for comparisons

Dangerous comparisons:

- block.timestamp < _peneficiaries[beneficiary].start (vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#158)

- block.timestamp >= _beneficiaries[beneficiary].start.add(WAVE_1) && block.timestamp < _beneficiaries[beneficiary].start.add(WAVE_2) (vesting.privateVesting.sol#168)
- block.timestamp >= _beneficiaries[beneficiary].start.add(WAVE_3) || _revoked[beneficiary] (vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#164) Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#block-timestamp
Dangerous comparisons:
- require(bool,string)(block.timestamp <= expiry,SWITCH::delegateBySig: signature expired) (SwitchToken.sol#251)

Vesting.addBeneficiary(address,uint256,uint256,uint256,uint256) (vesting/Vesting.sol#99-113) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- require(bool,string)(start.add(duration) > block.timestamp,Vesting: final time is before current time) (vesting/Vesting.sol#105)

Vesting.release() (vesting/Vesting.sol#118-129) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
- require(bool,string)(unreleased > 0,Vesting: no tokens are due) (vesting/Vesting.sol#122)

Vesting.vestedAmount(address) (vesting/Vesting.sol#187-200) uses timestamp for comparisons
Dangerous comparisons:
                            ingerous comparisons:
block.timestamp < _beneficiaries[beneficiary].cliff (vesting/Vesting.sol#190)
block.timestamp >= _beneficiaries[beneficiary].start.add(_beneficiaries[beneficiary].duration) || _revoked[beneficiary] (vesting/Vesting.s
```

The issue regarding block.timestamp has already mentioned been above.

```
burn(address, uint256) should be declared external:
       transfer(address, uint256) should be declared external:
- ERC20.transfer(address,uint256) (openzeppelin/contracts/token/ERC20/ERC
- Switch.transfer(address,uint256) (SwitchToken.sol#136-140)

cliff(address) should be declared external:
- Vesting.cliff(address) (vesting/Vesting.sol#60-62)
start(address) should be declared external:
- Vesting.start(address) (vesting/Vesting.sol#67-69)
duration(address) should be declared external:
- Vesting.revocable() (vesting/Vesting.sol#87-76)
revocable() should be declared external:
- Vesting.revocable() (vesting/Vesting.sol#81-83)
released(address) should be declared external:
- Vesting.released(address) (vesting/Vesting.sol#89-99)
revoke(address) should be declared external:
- Vesting.released(address) (vesting/Vesting.sol#95-97)
addbenficlary(address) (vesting/Vesting.sol#95-97)
should be declared external:
- Vesting.released(substing/vesting.sol#18-129)
claim() should be declared external:
- Vesting.released() (vesting/Vesting.sol#18-129)
claim() should be declared external:
- Vesting.released() (vesting/Vesting.sol#18-129)
revoke(address) should be declared external:
- Vesting.released() (vesting/Vesting.sol#171-173)
Reference: https://github.com/crytic/slither/wiki/Detector-Documentation#public-function-that-could-be-declared-external:
- PrivateVesting.start(address) (vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#8-60)
released() should be declared external:
- PrivateVesting.start(address) (vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#8-60)
released() should be declared external:
- PrivateVesting.released(address) (vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#8-60)
released() should be declared external:
- PrivateVesting.released(address) (vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#8-60)
released() should be declared external:
- PrivateVesting.released(address) (vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#8-60)
released(should be declared external:
- PrivateVesting.released(address) (vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#8-60)
- PrivateVesting.released(address) (vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#86-97)
released() should be declared external:
- PrivateVesting.released(vesting/PrivateVesting.sol#86-97)
- Reference: https://github.
                                                          Switch.transfer(address,uint256) (SwitchToken.sol#136-140)
```

Issues regarding public functions have been already documented in the

Findings section.

Recommendations:

Use the nonReentrant modifier to prevent reentrancy attacks.

3.6 AUTOMATED SECURITY SCAN

MYTHX:

Halborn used automated security scanners to assist with detection of well-known security issues, and to identify low-hanging fruit on the targets for this engagement. Among the tools used was MythX, a security analysis service for Ethereum smart contracts. MythX performed a scan on the testers machine and sent the compiled results to the analyzers to locate any vulnerabilities. Only security-related findings are shown below.

Results:

Greeter.sol

Report for Greeter.sol https://dashboard.mythx.io/#/console/analyses/90e14070-0404-406b-b28f-8c18cf83adea

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
2	(SWC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.
8	(SWC-108) State Variable Default Visibility	Low	State variable visibility is not set.
15	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Function could be marked as external.
19	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium Re	Function could be marked as external.

SwitchToken.sol

Report for SwitchToken.sol https://dashboard.mythx.io/#/console/analyses/6348196f-b8ba-4606-86e1-1cdf79d25dec				
Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description	
86	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Function could be marked as external.	
93	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Function could be marked as external.	
136	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Function could be marked as external.	
281	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randonmness.	
365	(SWC-120) Weak Sources of Randomness from Chain Attributes	Low	Potential use of "block.number" as source of randonmness.	

staking/Farming.sol

Report for staking/Farming.sol https://dashboard.mythx.io/#/console/analyses/f32d2ca6-b81d-443a-ac54-b0ca9f711e92

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
15	(SWC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.
27	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Incorrect ERC20 implementation
66	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Incorrect ERC20 implementation
100	(SWC-128) DoS With Block Gas Limit	Low	Potentially unbounded data structure passed to builtin.
104	(SWC-128) DoS With Block Gas Limit	Low	Potentially unbounded data structure passed to builtin.
113	(SWC-128) DoS With Block Gas Limit	Medium	Loop over unbounded data structure.
152	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Function could be marked as external.
181	(SWC-128) DoS With Block Gas Limit	Medium	Loop over unbounded data structure.

vesting/PrivateVesting.sol

Report for vesting/PrivateVesting.sol https://dashboard.mythx.io/#/console/analyses/5e09a293-5149-494d-aa93-46770c40a1c3

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
1	(SWC-103) Floating Pragma	Low	A floating pragma is set.
48	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Function could be marked as external.
55	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Function could be marked as external.
62	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium Goo	Function could be marked as external.
69	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium (7)	Function could be marked as external.
73	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Function could be marked as external.
Zoon 86	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Function could be marked as external.
102	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Function could be marked as external.
116	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Function could be marked as external.
139	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Function could be marked as external.

vesting/Vesting.sol

Report for vesting/Vesting.sol https://dashboard.mythx.io/#/console/analyses/6348196f-b8ba-4606-86e1-1cdf79d25dec

Line	SWC Title	Severity	Short Description
1	(SWC-103) Floating Pragma	Low SOP	A floating pragma is set.
60	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium Onen	Function could be marked as external.
67	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Function could be marked as external.
Dock 74	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Function could be marked as external.
81	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Function could be marked as external.
88	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Function could be marked as external.
95	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Function could be marked as external.
99	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Function could be marked as external.
118	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Function could be marked as external.
134	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Function could be marked as external.
148	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Function could be marked as external.
171	(SWC-000) Unknown	Medium	Function could be marked as external.

THANK YOU FOR CHOOSING

