# **POLY Token Contracts Audit**

### OPENZEPPELIN SECURITY | FEBRUARY 13, 2018

**Security Audits** 

The <u>Polymath</u> team asked us to review and audit their <u>POLY Token</u> contracts. We looked at the code and now publish our results.

The audited code is located in the <u>polymath-token-distribution</u> repository. The version used for this report is commit 672fabe081e8f90ea025252d92c2eb247d60010e.

Here is our assessment and recommendations, in order of importance.

**Update:** The Polymath team has followed most of our recommendations and updated the contracts. The new version is at commit

<u>0b47ae467f95a02c6b71421e5816b5d50b698158</u>.

# **Critical Severity**

No issues of critical severity.

# **High Severity**

No issues of high severity.

### **Medium Severity**

### Possible overflow in loop index variable

The <u>airdropTokens</u> function of the <u>PolyDistribution</u> contract takes an array of addresses as a parameter in order to "airdrop" tokens to each of them. To do so, a <u>for loop</u> is



Consider using a uint256 variable for the index.

**Update**: Fixed in commit <u>0b47ae4</u>.

### **Low Severity**

### **Incomplete ERC20 Interface**

The IERC20 contract defines the basic interface of a standard token to be used by the PolyToken contract. However, this contract doesn't follow the ERC20 standard which requires for the totalSupply function to be defined in its public interface.

We recommend dropping this contract in favor of the  $\boxed{\texttt{ERC20}}$  contract from the OpenZeppelin library. If not, consider adding the missing function to the contract to comply with the standard and making this contract an <u>interface</u> as its name and usage suggests.

**Update**: Contract is now an interface <u>0b47ae4</u>.

### Install OpenZeppelin via NPM

The <u>SafeMath</u> and <u>Ownable</u> contacts were copied from the OpenZeppelin repository, and <u>PolyToken</u> is a copy of the <u>StandardToken</u> contract.

Consider making the PolyToken contract inherit from StandardToken to minimize its logic, and following the recommended way to use OpenZeppelin contracts, which is via the zeppelin-solidity NPM package, allowing for any bugfixes to be easily integrated into the codebase.

#### No Transfer event for minted tokens

It is recommended, in the ERC20 spec, to emit a <code>Transfer</code> event with the source ( $\_from$ ) set to 0x0 when minting new tokens. This enhances user experience by allowing applications such as <code>Etherscan</code> to learn of the new token holders. In this case this is only relevant for the constructor, where the initial balance is assigned to the distribution contract. Nonetheless, consider emitting the corresponding event: <code>Transfer(0x0, msg.sender, \_initialAmount)</code>.

# Update: Fixed in commit <u>0b47ae4</u>.

### Token distribution address can be null

In the PolyToken constructor, the total supply of the token is granted to the polyDistributionContractAddress, which as its name suggests, it is expected to be

Consider prohibiting the null address as a parameter of the PolyToken constructor.

**Update**: Fixed in commit <u>0b47ae4</u>.

### **Notes & Additional Information**

- In the PolyToken and PolyDistribution contracts there are several numbers with too many digits, making them hard to read and error-prone. We recommend replacing them with their scientific notation equivalents. For example, 10e9 for PolyTokens's totalSupply.
- There is <u>a transfer of tokens</u> in the function
   <u>transferTokens</u> whose return value is unchecked. Even though the token as of now never returns <u>false</u>, it is good practice to not omit the check, as was correctly done in the rest of the contract. (*Update: Fixed in* 
   <u>0b47ae4</u>.)

#### Conclusion

No critical or high severity issues were found. Some changes were proposed to follow best practices and reduce potential attack surface.

Note that as of the date of publishing, the above review reflects the current understanding of known security patterns as they relate to the POLY Token contracts. We have not reviewed the related Polymath project. The above should not be construed as investment advice. For general information about smart contract security, check out our thoughts <u>here</u>.

# **Related Posts**







### **Beefy Zap Audit**

BeefyZapRouter serves as a versatile intermediary designed to execute users' orders through routes...

Security Audits

# **OpenBrush Contracts Library Security Review**

OpenBrush is an open-source smart contract library written in the Rust programming language and the...

Security Audits

### **Linea Bridge Audit**

Linea is a ZK-rollup deployed on top of Ethereum. It is designed to be EVMcompatible and aims to...

Security Audits

Learn

Docs

Blog

**Ethernaut CTF** 

# OpenZeppelin

Defender Platform	Services
Secure Code & Audit	Smart Contract Security Audit
Secure Deploy	Incident Response
Threat Monitoring	Zero Knowledge Proof Practice
Incident Response	
Operation and Automation	

Company Contracts Library Docs

About us Jobs Blog

© Zeppelin Group Limited 2023

Privacy | Terms of Use