

Audit Report November, 2022

For

CrickDA 

Table of Content

Executive Summary	01
Checked Vulnerabilities	03
Techniques and Methods	04
Manual Anaysis	05
A. Contract - Metarix	05
High Severity Issues	05
Medium Severity Issues	05
Low Severity Issues	05
A.1 Expired points are not Deducted	05
Informational Issues	06
A.2 Centralization Risk	07
A.3 Unlocked Pragma	07
A.4 General Recommendations for Gas Optimization	07
Functional Testing	08
Automated Testing	08
Closing Summary	09
About QuillAudits	10

Executive Summary

Project Name CrickDAO

Overview CrickDAO Is the first of its kind fantasy cricket platform run and administered exclusively by users. We are on a mission to create a global community of cricket fans and tokenize cricket tournaments across the world.

Timeline 16 June, 2022 - 23 June, 2022

Method Manual Review, Functional Testing, Automated Testing etc.

Scope of Audit The scope of this audit was to analyze CrickDAO.sol

Deployed at <https://bscscan.com/address/0x84B05dFbE9c8104E9433f0c5E0D4Fa873C98690d#code>

Contract Address 0x84B05dFbE9c8104E9433f0c5E0D4Fa873C98690d

Note: The crickDAO team decided to redeploy the contract. We have been informed by the CrickDao team that the 200M supply of crick token from the previous contracts will be locked forever and the whole contract "0x062B60BfE61Ea679E843F44ee05a68BD3bA1e469" and "0x819542aAf1A6093ed59D6f8c813ec9e5F5de5810" will be deprecated. From, this point onwards(28 November,2022), the new contract (0x84B05dFbE9c8104E9433f0c5E0D4Fa873C98690d) will be used by the team



	High	Medium	Low	Informational
Open Issues	0	0	0	0
Acknowledged Issues	0	0	1	3
Partially Resolved Issues	0	0	0	0
Resolved Issues	0	0	0	0



Types of Severities

High

A high severity issue or vulnerability means that your smart contract can be exploited. Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance or functionality, and we recommend these issues be fixed before moving to a live environment.

Medium

The issues marked as medium severity usually arise because of errors and deficiencies in the smart contract code. Issues on this level could potentially bring problems, and they should still be fixed.

Low

Low-level severity issues can cause minor impact and or are just warnings that can remain unfixed for now. It would be better to fix these issues at some point in the future.

Informational

These are severity issues that indicate an improvement request, a general question, a cosmetic or documentation error, or a request for information. There is low-to-no impact.

Types of Issues

Open

Security vulnerabilities identified that must be resolved and are currently unresolved.

Resolved

These are the issues identified in the initial audit and have been successfully fixed.

Acknowledged

Vulnerabilities which have been acknowledged but are yet to be resolved.

Partially Resolved

Considerable efforts have been invested to reduce the risk/impact of the security issue, but are not completely resolved.



Checked Vulnerabilities

- ✓ Re-entrancy
- ✓ Timestamp Dependence
- ✓ Gas Limit and Loops
- ✓ DoS with Block Gas Limit
- ✓ Transaction-Ordering Dependence
- ✓ Use of tx.origin
- ✓ Exception disorder
- ✓ Gasless send
- ✓ Balance equality
- ✓ Byte array
- ✓ Transfer forwards all gas
- ✓ ERC20 API violation
- ✓ Malicious libraries
- ✓ Compiler version not fixed
- ✓ Redundant fallback function
- ✓ Send instead of transfer
- ✓ Style guide violation
- ✓ Unchecked external call
- ✓ Unchecked math
- ✓ Unsafe type inference
- ✓ Implicit visibility leve



Techniques and Methods

Throughout the audit of smart contract, care was taken to ensure:

- The overall quality of code.
- Use of best practices.
- Code documentation and comments match logic and expected behaviour.
- Token distribution and calculations are as per the intended behaviour mentioned in the whitepaper.
- Implementation of ERC-20 token standards.
- Efficient use of gas.
- Code is safe from re-entrancy and other vulnerabilities.

The following techniques, methods and tools were used to review all the smart contracts.

Structural Analysis

In this step, we have analysed the design patterns and structure of smart contracts. A thorough check was done to ensure the smart contract is structured in a way that will not result in future problems.

Static Analysis

Static analysis of smart contracts was done to identify contract vulnerabilities. In this step, a series of automated tools are used to test the security of smart contracts.

Code Review / Manual Analysis

Manual analysis or review of code was done to identify new vulnerabilities or verify the vulnerabilities found during the static analysis. Contracts were completely manually analysed, their logic was checked and compared with the one described in the whitepaper. Besides, the results of the automated analysis were manually verified.

Gas Consumption

In this step, we have checked the behaviour of smart contracts in production. Checks were done to know how much gas gets consumed and the possibilities of optimization of code to reduce gas consumption.

Tools and Platforms used for Audit

Remix IDE, Truffle, Truffle Team, Solhint, Mythril, Slither, Solidity statistic analysis.



Manual Analysis

A. Contract - CrickDAO.sol

High Severity Issues

No issues were found

Medium Severity Issues

No issues were found

Low Severity Issues

A.1 Ownership Transfer must be a two-step process.

Line #452,
457

```
function transferOwnership(address newOwner) public virtual onlyOwner {
    require(newOwner != address(0), "Ownable: new owner is the zero address");
    _setOwner(newOwner);
}

function _setOwner(address newOwner) private {
    address oldOwner = _owner;
    _owner = newOwner;
    emit OwnershipTransferred(oldOwner, newOwner);
}
```

Description

The contract uses openzeppelin's ownable contract to manage ownership. The transferOwnership() function in ownable contract allows the current owner to transfer his privileges to another address. However, inside _setOwner(), the newOwner is directly stored in the storage, owner, after validating the newOwner is a non-zero address in transferOwnership(), which may not be enough.

The newOwner is only validated against the zero address. However, if the current admin enters a wrong address by mistake, he would never be able to take the management permissions back. Besides, if the newOwner is the same as the current admin address stored in _owner, it's a waste of gas.

Remediation

It would be much safer if the transition is managed by implementing a two-step approach: _transferOwnership() and _updateOwnership(). Specifically, the _transferOwnership() function keeps the new address in the storage, _newOwner, instead of modifying the _owner() directly. The updateOwnership() function checks whether _newOwner is msg.sender, which means _newOwner signs the transaction and verifies himself as the new owner. After that, _newOwner could be set into _owner.

Status

Acknowledged



Informational Issues

A.2 Public functions that could be declared external inorder to save gas

Description

Whenever a function is not called internally, it is recommended to define them as external instead of public in order to save gas. For all the public functions, the input parameters are copied to memory automatically, and it costs gas. If the function is only called externally, then you should explicitly mark it as external. External function's parameters are not copied into memory but are read from calldata directly. This small optimization in your solidity code can save you a lot of gas when the function input parameters are huge.

Here is a list of functions that could be declared external:

In CrickDAO.sol:

- pause
- unPause

Remediation

Consider declaring the above functions as external inorder to save some gas.

Status

Acknowledged

A.3 Unused code

Description

While auditing, it has been found that the contracts have multiple lines of code that are not being used. It is recommended to remove the unused code in order to save gas and improve the overall code quality. In **CrickDAO.sol**

Functions at line number - 15, 20, 25, 35, 40, 45, 50, 55, 60, 65, 70, 75, 132, 158,166, 422 are not being used and can be removed.

Remediation

Consider Removing the unused code.

Status

Acknowledged



A.4 Multiple unlocked pragmas

Description

Contracts should be deployed with the same compiler version and flags that they have been tested thoroughly. Locking the pragma helps to ensure that contracts do not accidentally get deployed using, for example, an outdated compiler version that might introduce bugs that affect the contract system negatively. Additionally, It is better to use one Solidity compiler version across all contracts instead of different versions with different bugs and security checks.

Remediation

Lock the pragma version by removing the ^ sign to lock the file onto a specific Solidity version. Moreover, consider using the same solidity compiler version throughout the code.

Status

Acknowledged

Functional Testing

Some of the tests performed are mentioned below

- ✓ Should test all getters
- ✓ Should test approve and allowance
- ✓ Should test claimStuckTokens
- ✓ Should test access controls on claimStuckTokens
- ✓ Should test decreaseAllowance
- ✓ Should test increaseAllowance
- ✓ Should test delegate
- ✓ Should test checkpoints
- ✓ Should test delegates
- ✓ Should test getVotes and getPastVots
- ✓ Should test functions related to transfer ownership.
- ✓ Should test access controls on transfer ownership.
- ✓ Should test pause and unpause

Automated Tests

No major issues were found. Some false positive errors were reported by the tools. All the other issues have been categorized above according to their level of severity.



Closing Summary

In this report, we have considered the security of the CrickDAO. We performed our audit according to the procedure described above.

Some issues of Low and informational severity were found, Some suggestions and best practices are also provided in order to improve the code quality and security posture.

At the end, CrickDao Team Acknowledged all Issues.

Disclaimer

QuillAudits smart contract audit is not a security warranty, investment advice, or an endorsement of the CrickDAO Platform. This audit does not provide a security or correctness guarantee of the audited smart contracts.

The statements made in this document should not be interpreted as investment or legal advice, nor should its authors be held accountable for decisions made based on them. Securing smart contracts is a multistep process. One audit cannot be considered enough. We recommend that the CrickDAO Team put in place a bug bounty program to encourage further analysis of the smart contract by other third parties.



About QuillAudits

QuillAudits is a secure smart contracts audit platform designed by QuillHash Technologies.

We are a team of dedicated blockchain security experts and smart contract auditors determined to ensure that Smart Contract-based Web3 projects can avail the latest and best security solutions to operate in a trustworthy and risk-free ecosystem.



700+

Audits Completed



\$15B

Secured



700K

Lines of Code Audited



Follow Our Journey



Audit Report November, 2022

For

CrickDAO 



QuillAudits

📍 Canada, India, Singapore, United Kingdom

🌐 audits.quillhash.com

✉️ audits@quillhash.com