



Nouns DAO Findings & Analysis Report

2023-07-31

Table of contents

- [Overview](#)
 - [About C4](#)
 - [Wardens](#)
- [Summary](#)
- [Scope](#)
- [Severity Criteria](#)
- [High Risk Findings \(1\)](#)
 - [\[H-01\] User can steal tokens by using duplicated ERC20 tokens as parameter in `NounsDAOLogicV1Fork.quit`](#)
- [Medium Risk Findings \(3\)](#)
 - [\[M-01\] `cancelSig` will not completely cancel signatures due to malleability vulnerabilities](#)
 - [\[M-02\] If DAO updates `forkEscrow` before `forkThreshold` is reached, the user's escrowed Nouns will be lost](#)
 - [\[M-03\] `NounsDAOV3Proposals.cancel\(\)` should allow to cancel the proposal of the Expired state](#)

- Low Risk and Non-Critical Issues
 - 01 Reserve price not fully taken care of
 - 02 Code and comment mismatch (V2 Only)
 - 03 Spelling errors
 - 04 Wrong adoption of block time (V2 Only)
 - 05 `MIN_PROPOSAL_THRESHOLD_BPS` is too low a value
 - 06 V3 upgrade could depreciate token value due to oversupply
 - 07 Nouns fork may not efficiently remedy a bad situation
 - 08 Prolonged process due to updatable state
- Gas Optimizations
 - Notes from Warden
 - G-01 Tightly pack storage variables/optimize the order of variable declaration
 - G-02 Pack structs by putting data types that can fit together next to each other
 - G-03 Use calldata instead of memory for function parameters
 - G-04 Expensive operation inside a for loop
 - G-05 Cache storage values in memory to minimize SLOADs
 - G-06 Use the existing Local variable/global variable when equal to a state variable to avoid reading from state
 - G-07 Emitting storage values instead of the memory one
 - G-08 Optimizing check order for cost efficient function execution
 - G-09 The following functions can benefit from some optimizations
 - G-10 Nested if is cheaper than single statement
 - G-11 Caching a variable that is used once just wastes Gas
 - G-12 Importing an entire library while only using one function isn't necessary
 - Conclusion

- [Audit Analysis](#)
 - [01 Summary of Codebase](#)
 - [02 Architecture Improvements](#)
 - [03 Centralization risks](#)
 - [04 Time Spent](#)
- [Disclosures](#)



Overview



About C4

Code4rena (C4) is an open organization consisting of security researchers, auditors, developers, and individuals with domain expertise in smart contracts.

A C4 audit is an event in which community participants, referred to as Wardens, review, audit, or analyze smart contract logic in exchange for a bounty provided by sponsoring projects.

During the audit outlined in this document, C4 conducted an analysis of the Nouns DAO smart contract system written in Solidity. The audit took place between July 3 —July 13, 2023.



Wardens

36 Wardens contributed reports to the Nouns DAO:

1. 0xA5DF
2. [0xAnah](#)
3. 0xGOP1
4. [0xMilenov](#)
5. [0xSmartContract](#)
6. [0xnev](#)
7. [Aymen0909](#)
8. [Bauchibred](#)

9. [Emmanuel](#)
10. [JCN](#)
11. [K42](#)
12. [Kaysoft](#)
13. [Matin](#)
14. [MohammedRizwan](#)
15. [Raihan](#)
16. [SAQ](#)
17. [SM3_SS](#)
18. [c3phas](#)
19. [cccz](#)
20. [codegpt](#)
21. [descharre](#)
22. [dharma09](#)
23. [fatherOfBlocks](#)
24. [flutter_developer](#)
25. [hunter_w3b](#)
26. [iglyx](#)
27. [ihtishamsudo](#)
28. [jasonxiale](#)
29. [klau5](#)
30. [koxuan](#)
31. [kutugu](#)
32. [nadin](#)
33. [naman1778](#)
34. [petrichor](#)
35. [said](#)
36. [shark](#)

This audit was judged by [gzeon](#).



Summary

The C4 analysis yielded an aggregated total of 4 unique vulnerabilities. Of these vulnerabilities, 1 received a risk rating in the category of HIGH severity and 3 received a risk rating in the category of MEDIUM severity.

Additionally, C4 analysis included 14 reports detailing issues with a risk rating of LOW severity or non-critical. There were also 15 reports recommending gas optimizations.

All of the issues presented here are linked back to their original finding.



Scope

The code under review can be found within the [C4 Nouns DAO repository](#), and is composed of 33 smart contracts written in the Solidity programming language and includes 9,098 lines of Solidity code.



Severity Criteria

C4 assesses the severity of disclosed vulnerabilities based on three primary risk categories: high, medium, and low/non-critical.

High-level considerations for vulnerabilities span the following key areas when conducting assessments:

- Malicious Input Handling
- Escalation of privileges
- Arithmetic
- Gas use

For more information regarding the severity criteria referenced throughout the submission review process, please refer to the documentation provided on [the C4 website](#), specifically our section on [Severity Categorization](#).



High Risk Findings (1)



[H-01] User can steal tokens by using duplicated ERC20 tokens as parameter in `NounsDAOLogicV1Fork.quit`

Submitted by [jasonxiale](#), also found by [iglyx](#), [0xA5DF](#), [said](#), [shark](#), and [0xGOP1](#)

Calling [NounsDAOLogicV1Fork.quit](#) by using duplicated ERC20 tokens, malicious user can gain more ERC20 tokens than he/she is supposed to, even drain all ERC20 tokens.



Proof of Concept

In function, [NounsDAOLogicV1Fork.quit](#), `erc20TokensToInclude` is used to specified tokens a user wants to get, but since the function doesn't verify if `erc20TokensToInclude` contains duplicated tokens, it's possible that a malicious user calls the function by specify the ERC20 more than once to get more share tokens.

```
function quit(uint256[] calldata tokenIds, address[] memory
    // check that erc20TokensToInclude is a subset of `erc20
    address[] memory erc20TokensToIncludeInQuit_ = erc20Toke
    for (uint256 i = 0; i < erc20TokensToInclude.length; i++)
        if (!isAddressIn(erc20TokensToInclude[i], erc20Token
            revert TokensMustBeASubsetOfWhitelistedTokens();
        }
    }

    quitInternal(tokenIds, erc20TokensToInclude);
}

function quitInternal(uint256[] calldata tokenIds, address[]
    checkGovernanceActive();

    uint256 totalSupply = adjustedTotalSupply();

    for (uint256 i = 0; i < tokenIds.length; i++) {
        nouns.transferFrom(msg.sender, address(timelock), tc
    }

    uint256[] memory balancesToSend = new uint256[] (erc20Toke
```

```

// Capture balances to send before actually sending then
uint256 ethToSend = (address(timelock).balance * tokenId) /
    totalSupply;
for (uint256 i = 0; i < ERC20TokensToInclude.length; i++) {
    IERC20 ERC20token = IERC20(ERC20TokensToInclude[i]);
    balancesToSend[i] = (ERC20token.balanceOf(address(timelock)) *
        ethToSend) / totalSupply;
}

// Send ETH and ERC20 tokens
timelock.sendETH(payable(msg.sender), ethToSend);
for (uint256 i = 0; i < ERC20TokensToInclude.length; i++) {
    if (balancesToSend[i] > 0) {
        timelock.sendERC20(msg.sender, ERC20TokensToInclude[i],
            balancesToSend[i]);
    }
}

emit Quit(msg.sender, tokenIds);
}

```

Add the following code in `test/foundry/governance/fork/NounsDAOLogicV1Fork.t.sol` file `NounsDAOLogicV1Fork_Quit_Test` contract, and run `forge test --ffi --mt test_quit_allowsChoosingERC20TokensToIncludeTwice`.

```

function test_quit_allowsChoosingERC20TokensToIncludeTwice()
    vm.prank(quitteer);
    address[] memory tokensToInclude = new address[](3);
    //*****
    // specify token2 three times
    //*****
    tokensToInclude[0] = address(token2);
    tokensToInclude[1] = address(token2);
    tokensToInclude[2] = address(token2);
    dao.quit(quitteerTokens, tokensToInclude);

    assertEq(quitteer.balance, 24 ether);
    assertEq(token1.balanceOf(quitteer), 0);
    //*****
    // get 3 time tokens
    //*****
    assertEq(token2.balanceOf(quitteer), 3 * (TOKEN2_BALANCE));
}

```

Tools Used

VS



Recommended Mitigation Steps

By using function `checkForDuplicates` to prevent the issue

```
--- NounsDAOLogicV1Fork.sol      2023-07-12 21:32:56.925848531 +(
+++ NounsDAOLogicV1ForkNew.sol   2023-07-12 21:32:34.006158294 +(
@@ -203,8 +203,9 @@
        quitInternal(tokenIds, erc20TokensToIncludeInQuit);
    }

-    function quit(uint256[] calldata tokenIds, address[] memory
+    function quit(uint256[] calldata tokenIds, address[] memory
+        // check that erc20TokensToInclude is a subset of `erc2
+    checkForDuplicates(erc20tokenstoinclude);
        address[] memory erc20TokensToIncludeInQuit_ = erc20Tok
        for (uint256 i = 0; i < erc20TokensToInclude.length; i+
            if (!isAddressIn(erc20TokensToInclude[i], erc20Toke
```

[eladmallel \(Nouns DAO\) confirmed and commented:](#)

Fix PR: <https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/pull/762>

[gzeon \(judge\) increased severity to High](#)



Medium Risk Findings (3)



[M-01] `cancelSig` will not completely cancel signatures due to malleability vulnerabilities

Submitted by [kutugu](#)

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOV3Proposals.sol#L270-L275>

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns->

monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOV3Proposals.sol#L983

The current version of openzeppelin contracts has a high risk of vulnerability about signature malleability attack: <https://github.com/OpenZeppelin/openzeppelin-contracts/pull/3610>.

So if the signer only cancel one signature, the malicious proposer can still extend a fully valid signature through the previous signature to pass the proposal.

Proof of Concept

► Details

Tools Used

Foundry

Recommended Mitigation Steps

Update openzeppelin contracts to the new version.

[eladmallel \(Nouns DAO\) confirmed, but disagreed with severity and commented:](#)

Fix PR here: <https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/pull/761>

However, think severity should not be high. The worst case here is a signature abuse leads to a proposal going on chain, still subject to the proposal lifecycle, including quorum and voting.

[davidbrai \(Nouns DAO\) commented:](#)

Another point regarding severity:
The signer can also move their tokens to another address as a way to make the previous signature not useful.

[gzeon \(judge\) decreased severity to Low and commented:](#)

Downgrading to Low since no asset will be at risk and require a user error.

[gzeon \(judge\)](#) increased severity to Medium and commented:

It is worth to note this is atypical in Code4rena judging, and should not be considered as a precedence for future contests. [Signature malleability](#), or [outdated OZ dependency](#) are generally considered as out-of-scope in C4 contests as they are covered by the bot report. This report is special in the sense that while the project already used the recommended OZ ECDSA library, the specific version they used contained a bug that allow malleability, which the warden provided a POC with meaningful impact. I am keeping this as Medium risk for the above reason and sponsor opinion.



[M-02] If DAO updates `forkEscrow` before `forkThreshold` is reached, the user's escrowed Nouns will be lost

Submitted by [cccZ](#)

During the escrow period, users can escrow to or withdraw from `forkEscrow` their Nouns.

During the escrow period, proposals can be executed.

```
function withdrawFromForkEscrow(NounsDAOStorageV3.StorageV3
    if (isForkPeriodActive(ds)) revert ForkPeriodActive();

    INounsDAOForkEscrow forkEscrow = ds.forkEscrow;
    forkEscrow.returnTokensToOwner(msg.sender, tokenIds);

    emit WithdrawFromForkEscrow(forkEscrow.forkId(), msg.ser
}
```

Since `withdrawFromForkEscrow` will only call the `returnTokensToOwner` function of `ds.forkEscrow`, and `returnTokensToOwner` is only allowed to be called by DAO.

If, during the escrow period, `ds.forkEscrow` is changed by the proposal's call to `_setForkEscrow`, then the user's escrowed Nouns will not be withdrawn by `withdrawFromForkEscrow`.

```

function returnTokensToOwner(address owner, uint256[] calldata
    for (uint256 i = 0; i < tokenIds.length; i++) {
        if (currentOwnerOf(tokenIds[i]) != owner) revert Not

        nounsToken.transferFrom(address(this), owner, tokenId
        escrowedTokensByForkId[forkId][tokenIds[i]] = address

    }

    numTokensInEscrow -= tokenIds.length;
}

```

Consider that some Nouners is voting on a proposal that would change `ds.forkEscrow`.

There are some escrowed Nouns in `forkEscrow` (some Nouners may choose to always escrow their Nouns to avoid missing fork).

The proposal is executed, `ds.forkEscrow` is updated, and the escrowed Nouns cannot be withdrawn.



Proof of Concept

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/NounsDAOV3Fork.sol#L95-L102>

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/NounsDAOForkEscrow.sol#L116-L125>

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOV3Admin.sol#L527-L531>



Recommended Mitigation Steps

Consider allowing the user to call `forkEscrow.returnTokensToOwner` directly to withdraw escrowed Nouns, and need to move `isForkPeriodActive` from `withdrawFromForkEscrow` to `returnTokensToOwner`.

[eladmallel \(Nouns DAO\) acknowledged](#)



[M-03] NounsDAOV3Proposals.cancel() should allow to cancel the proposal of the Expired state

Submitted by [cccZ](#)

cancel() does not allow to cancel proposals in the final states
Canceled/Defeated/Expired/Executed/Vetoed.

```
function cancel(NounsDAOStorageV3.StorageV3 storage ds, uint
    NounsDAOStorageV3.ProposalState proposalState = stateInt
    if (
        proposalState == NounsDAOStorageV3.ProposalState.Car
        proposalState == NounsDAOStorageV3.ProposalState.Def
        proposalState == NounsDAOStorageV3.ProposalState.Exp
        proposalState == NounsDAOStorageV3.ProposalState.Exe
        proposalState == NounsDAOStorageV3.ProposalState.Vet
    ) {
        revert CantCancelProposalAtFinalState();
    }
```

The Canceled/Executed/Vetoed states are final because they cannot be changed once they are set.

The Defeated state is also a final state because no new votes will be cast (stateInternal() may return Defeated only if the objectionPeriodEndBlock is passed).

But the Expired state depends on the GRACE_PERIOD of the timelock, and GRACE_PERIOD may be changed due to upgrades. Once the GRACE_PERIOD of the timelock is changed, the state of the proposal may also be changed, so Expired is not the final state.

```
    } else if (block.timestamp >= proposal.eta + getProposal
        return NounsDAOStorageV3.ProposalState.Expired;
    } else {
        return NounsDAOStorageV3.ProposalState.Queued;
```

Consider the following scenario:

- Alice submits proposal A to stake 20,000 ETH to a DEFI protocol, and it is successfully passed, but it cannot be executed because there is now only 15,000 ETH in the timelock (consumed by other proposals), and then proposal A expires.
- The DEFI protocol has been hacked or rug-pulled.
- Now proposal B is about to be executed to upgrade the timelock and extend `GRACE_PERIOD` (e.g., `GRACE_PERIOD` is extended by 7 days from V1 to V2).
- Alice wants to cancel Proposal A, but it cannot be canceled because it is in Expired state.
- Proposal B is executed, causing Proposal A to change from Expired to Queued.
- The malicious user sends 5000 ETH to the timelock and immediately executes Proposal A to send 20000 ETH to the hacked protocol.



Proof of Concept

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOV3Proposals.sol#L571-L581>



Recommended Mitigation Steps

Consider adding a proposal expiration time field in the Proposal structure.

```
function queue(NounsDAOStorageV3.StorageV3 storage ds, uint256 proposalId)
    require(
        stateInternal(ds, proposalId) == NounsDAOStorageV3.ProposalState.Expired,
        'NounsDAO::queue: proposal can only be queued if it is expired'
    );
    NounsDAOStorageV3.Proposal storage proposal = ds._proposals[proposalId];
    INounsDAOExecutor timelock = getProposalTimelock(ds, proposalId);
    uint256 eta = block.timestamp + timelock.delay();
    for (uint256 i = 0; i < proposal.targets.length; i++) {
        queueOrRevertInternal(
            timelock,
            proposal.targets[i],
            proposal.values[i],
            proposal.signatures[i],
            proposal.calldatas[i],
            eta
        );
    }
}
```

```

    }
    proposal.eta = eta;
+    proposal.exp = eta + timelock.GRACE_PERIOD();
    ...
-    } else if (block.timestamp >= proposal.eta + getProposal
+    } else if (block.timestamp >= proposal.exp) {
        return NounsDAOStorageV3.ProposalState.Expired;

```

[eladmallel \(Nouns DAO\) acknowledged and commented:](#)

Agree, it's possible due to a change in executor's grace period to move from Expired back to Queued.

However, since a grace period change is a rare event, we think this is very low priority and we won't fix.

[gzeon \(judge\) decreased severity to Low/Non-Critical](#)

[eladmallel \(Nouns DAO\) commented:](#)

We think it would be great to include this issue in the report (at medium severity).

[gzeon \(judge\) commented:](#)

@eladmallel - Changing the `GRACE_PERIOD` is an admin change, which besides misconfiguration is out-of-scope, it is as you described is a rare event. Having a malicious proposal which is passed that got expired is also a rare event. Having a changed `GRACE_PERIOD` that just long enough to make such a malicious proposal become queued is a very rare event, assuming governance is not completely compromised already.

That said, I am ok with this being Medium risk since this is clearly in scope + can be Medium risk with some assumption (tho extreme imo but is subjective), and I would recommend for a fix accordingly. Please let me know if that's what you want, thanks!

[eladmallel \(Nouns DAO\) commented:](#)

Thank you @gzeon.

We all agree the odds of the risk materializing is low, we just felt like this was a

nice find, and honestly mostly motivated by wanting the warden who found this to have a win :)

It's not a deal breaker for us if it's in the report or not, just wanted to express our preference.

Thank you for sharing more of your thinking, it's helpful!

[cccz \(warden\) commented:](#)

Low Likelihood + High Severity is generally considered Medium, which is an edge case that fits the medium risk.

Another thing I would say is that the proposal doesn't need to be malicious, as I said in the attack scenario where the proposal is normal but expires due to inability to execute for other reasons (contract balance insufficient, etc.).

Changing the `GRACE_PERIOD` is an admin change, which besides misconfiguration is out-of-scope, it is as you described is a rare event. Having a malicious proposal which is passed that got expired is also a rare event. Having a changed `GRACE_PERIOD` that just long enough to make such a malicious proposal become queued is a very rare event, assuming governance is not completely compromised already.

[gzeon \(judge\) increased severity to Medium and commented:](#)

@cccz - True, but this is also marginally out-of-scope since an admin action is required, and one may argue it is a misconfiguration if you increase `GRACE_PERIOD` so much that it revive some old passed buggy proposal.

But given this is marginal and on sponsor's recommendation, I will upgrade this to Medium.



Low Risk and Non-Critical Issues

For this audit, 12 reports were submitted by wardens detailing low risk and non-critical issues. The [report highlighted below](#) by shark received the top score from the judge.

The following wardens also submitted reports: [Kaysoft](#), [codegpt](#), [MohammedRizwan](#), [klau5](#), [nadin](#), [OxMilenov](#), [ihtishamsudo](#), [descharre](#), [fatherOfBlocks](#), [koxuan](#), and [Bauchibred](#).



[01] Reserve price not fully taken care of

It is possible for an active auction to close at a price lower than the newly increased reserve price. This is undesirable especially when preventing a Noun auctioned off at the lower than expected price could be out of control in a bear market. Consider adding a check alleging that the contract balance needing to exceed the reserve price. Else, the last bidder will be refunded prior to having the Noun burned. Here's a refactored code logic that will take care of the suggestion.

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/newdao/NounsAuctionHouseFork.sol#L236-L256>

```
/**
 * @notice Settle an auction, finalizing the bid and paying out
 * @dev If there are no bids, the Noun is burned.
 */
function _settleAuction() internal {
    INounsAuctionHouse.Auction memory _auction = auction;

    require(_auction.startTime != 0, "Auction hasn't begun");
    require(!_auction.settled, 'Auction has already been settled');
    require(block.timestamp >= _auction.endTime, "Auction hasn't

    auction.settled = true;

    // Check if contract balance is greater than reserve price
    if (address(this).balance < reservePrice) {
        // If contract balance is less than reserve price, refund
        if (_auction.bidder != address(0)) {
            _safeTransferETHWithFallback(_auction.bidder, _aucti
        }

        // And then burn the Noun
        nouns.burn(_auction.nounId);
    } else {
```



```

        if (_auction.bidder == address(0)) {
            nouns.burn(_auction.nounId);
        } else {
            nouns.transferFrom(address(this), _auction.bidder, _

        if (_auction.amount > 0) {
            _safeTransferETHWithFallback(owner(), _auction.amour
        }

        emit AuctionSettled(_auction.nounId, _auction.bidder, _aucti
    }

```



[02] Code and comment mismatch (V2 Only)

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOLogicV2.sol#L86>

```

@ audit 4,000 should be changed to 6,000
uint256 public constant MAX_QUORUM_VOTES_BPS_UPPER_BOUND = 6

```



[03] Spelling errors

There are numerous instances throughout the codebase in different contracts. Here's just one of the specific instances:

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOLogicV2.sol#L61>

```

@ audit setable should be changed to settable
/// @notice The minimum setable proposal threshold

```

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOLogicV2.sol#L218>

```
@ audit arity should be changed to parity
'NounsDAO::propose: proposal function information ar
```

There are numerous instances throughout the codebase in different contracts. Here's just one of the specific instances:

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOLogicV2.sol#L901>

```
@ audit privileges should be changed to privileges
* @notice Burns veto privileges
```

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOLogicV3.sol#L19>

```
@ audit NounsDAOLogicV2.sol should be changed to NounsDAOLogicV3
// NounsDAOLogicV2.sol is a modified version of Compound Lab's C
```

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOInterfaces.sol#L217>

```
@ audit the during of should be omitted
/// @notice Emitted when the during of the forking period is
```

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/newdao/token/base/ERC721CheckpointableUpgradeable.sol#L80-L84>

```
@ audit thats should be changed to that's
```

```

/// @notice An event thats emitted when an account changes i
event DelegateChanged(address indexed delegator, address inc

@ audit thats should be changed to that's
/// @notice An event thats emitted when a delegate account's
event DelegateVotesChanged(address indexed delegate, uint256

```



[04] Wrong adoption of block time (V2 Only)

The following voting period constants are assuming 9.6 instead of 12 seconds per block. Depending on the sensitivity of lower and upper ranges desired, these may limit or shift the intended settable voting periods. For instance, using the supposed 12 second per block convention, the minimum and maximum settable voting periods should respectively be 7_200 and 100_800 .

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOLogicV2.sol#L67-L71>

```

/// @notice The minimum setable voting period
uint256 public constant MIN_VOTING_PERIOD = 5_760; // About

/// @notice The max setable voting period
uint256 public constant MAX_VOTING_PERIOD = 80_640; // About

```



[05] MIN_PROPOSAL_THRESHOLD_BPS is too low a value

In NounsDAOLogicV2.sol, NounsDAOV3Admin.sol, and NounsDAOLogicV1Fork.sol, the minimum proposal threshold can be set as low as 1 basis point.

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOLogicV2.sol#L61-L62>

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOV3Admin.sol#L111-L112>

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOV1Fork.sol#L111-L112>

s-

[contracts/contracts/governance/fork/newdao/governance/NounsDAOLogicV1Fork.sol#L118-L119](#)

```
/// @notice The minimum settable proposal threshold
uint256 public constant MIN_PROPOSAL_THRESHOLD_BPS = 1; // 1
```

This could pose a precision issue even if the total supply of Nouns tokens is already in its three digits. Apparently, a proposal threshold determined via the following two functions could return zero, e.g. $(1 * 720) / 10000$ yields zero due to truncation, i.e. the numerator smaller than the denominator.

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOLogicV2.sol#L921-L923>

```
function proposalThreshold() public view returns (uint256) {
    return bps2Uint(proposalThresholdBPS, nouns.totalSupply);
}
```

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOLogicV2.sol#L1066-L1068>

```
function bps2Uint(uint256 bps, uint256 number) internal pure
    return (number * bps) / 10000;
}
```

🔗

[06] V3 upgrade could depreciate token value due to oversupply

The forking feature, though rarely happened as [documented in the FAQs](#), could potentially affect the Nouns token value. This is because:

- a new fork will have its own DAO tokens daily generated and auctioned off through a new Auction House.

- new Nouns tokens claimed through escrow and during forking period will not have the original Nouns tokens burned. They are transferred to the original treasury or elsewhere as the DAO deems fit.

With a new fork competing with the original DAO for the daily auction, it will likely diverge the amount of ETH intended to go into bidding for the daily new NFTs at opposing ends. The situation could be worse in the far future if more forks were to transpire.



[07] Nouns fork may not efficiently remedy a bad situation

The 20% threshold, as [documented in the FAQs](#) for instance, $800 * 0.2 = 160$ of Nouns tokens, is not a small number comparatively in terms of the market cap. This translates to approximately $160 * 30 \text{ ETH} * \$2,000$ almost equivalent to 10 million worth of USDC. For members wishing to dodge bad/undesirable proposals that aren't going to be vetoed, it's likely this will not materialize where the proposals get executed long before the threshold could be met to initiate a fork.

Consider conditionally reducing the threshold given that ragequit (or [quitting](#)) is going to happen regardless of the size of the fork. It is the forking group that could share the same goal and direction in a new DAO that matters.



[08] Prolonged process due to updatable state

The introduction of [updatePeriodEndBlock](#) in V3 compared to the V1/V2 could unnecessarily prolong the entire proposal voting process.

Consider reducing the pending period to make room for the updatable period which should nonetheless be entailing a longer period still, albeit in a more reasonable sense.

[gzeon \(judge\) commented:](#)

Reserve price not fully taken care of -> Low

Code and comment mismatch -> Non-Critical

Spelling errors -> Non-Critical

Wrong adoption of block time -> Non-Critical

MIN_PROPOSAL_THRESHOLD_BPS is too low a value -> Low

V3 upgrade could depreciate token value due to oversupply -> Non-Critical

Nouns fork may not efficiently remedy a bad situation -> Non-Critical

Prolonged process due to updatable state -> Non-Critical



Gas Optimizations

For this audit, 15 reports were submitted by wardens detailing gas optimizations. The [report highlighted below](#) by **c3phas** received the top score from the judge.

The following wardens also submitted reports: [JCN](#), [Raihan](#), [flutter_developer](#), [petrichor](#), [OxAnah](#), [naman1778](#), [SM3_SS](#), [SAQ](#), [Aymen0909](#), [MohammedRizwan](#), [klau5](#), [hunter_w3b](#), [K42](#), and [dharma09](#).



Notes from Warden



Warden's Disclaimer

While we try our best to maintain readability in the provided code snippets, some functions have been truncated to highlight the affected portions.

It's important to note that during the implementation of these suggested changes, developers must exercise caution to avoid introducing vulnerabilities. Although the optimizations have been tested prior to these recommendations, it is the responsibility of the developers to conduct thorough testing again.

Code reviews and additional testing are strongly advised to minimize any potential risks associated with the refactoring process.



Note on Gas estimates

I've tried to give the exact amount of gas being saved from running the included tests. Whenever the function is within the test coverage, the average gas before and after will be included, and often a diff of the code will also accompany this.

Some functions are not covered by the test cases or are internal/private functions. In this case, the gas can be estimated by looking at the opcodes involved. For some benchmarks are based on the function that calls this internal functions.



[G-01] Tightly pack storage variables/optimize the order of variable declaration

The EVM works with 32 byte words. Variables less than 32 bytes can be declared next to each other in storage and this will pack the values together into a single 32 byte storage slot (if the values combined are ≤ 32 bytes). If the variables packed together are retrieved together in functions we will effectively save ~2000 gas with every subsequent SLOAD for that storage slot. This is due to us incurring a `Gwarmaccess` (100 gas) versus a `Gcoldload` (2100 gas) . Here, the storage variables can be tightly packed from:

► Details



[G-02] Pack structs by putting data types that can fit together next to each other

As the solidity EVM works with 32 bytes, variables less than 32 bytes should be packed inside a struct so that they can be stored in the same slot, this saves gas when writing to storage ~20000 gas



We have some `uint32` that can be be packed with an address (Save 1 SLOT: 2.1K gas)

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOInterfaces.sol#L653-L717>

Pack

`lastMinuteWindowInBlocks, objectionPeriodDurationInBlocks, proposalUpdatablePeriodInBlocks` with `forkDAOTreasury`

```
File: /packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOInt
    struct StorageV3 {
        <Truncated>
690:         uint32 lastMinuteWindowInBlocks;
```



```

691:        /// @notice Length of the objection period in blocks
692:        uint32 objectionPeriodDurationInBlocks;
693:        /// @notice Length of proposal updatable period in blocks
694:        uint32 proposalUpdatablePeriodInBlocks;
695:        /// @notice address of the DAO's fork escrow contract
696:        INounsDAOForkEscrow forkEscrow;
697:        /// @notice address of the DAO's fork deployer contract
698:        IForkDAODeployer forkDAODeployer;
699:        /// @notice ERC20 tokens to include when sending fork
700:        address[] erc20TokensToIncludeInFork;
701:        /// @notice The treasury contract of the last deployed
702:        address forkDAOTreasury;

```

<Truncated>

```

}

```

```

diff --git a/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOInter
index 8fb0b4d3..ba0a251f 100644

```

```

--- a/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOInter
+++ b/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOInter

```

```

@@ -686,12 +686,6 @@ contract NounsDAOStorageV3 {
    // ===== V3 ===== //
    /// @notice user => sig => isCancelled: signatures that
    mapping(address => mapping(bytes32 => bool)) cancelledSignatures;
-    /// @notice The number of blocks before voting ends during
-    uint32 lastMinuteWindowInBlocks;
-    /// @notice Length of the objection period in blocks
-    uint32 objectionPeriodDurationInBlocks;
-    /// @notice Length of proposal updatable period in blocks
-    uint32 proposalUpdatablePeriodInBlocks;
    /// @notice address of the DAO's fork escrow contract
    INounsDAOForkEscrow forkEscrow;
    /// @notice address of the DAO's fork deployer contract
@@ -700,6 +694,12 @@ contract NounsDAOStorageV3 {
    address[] erc20TokensToIncludeInFork;
    /// @notice The treasury contract of the last deployed
    address forkDAOTreasury;
+    /// @notice The number of blocks before voting ends during
+    uint32 lastMinuteWindowInBlocks;
+    /// @notice Length of the objection period in blocks
+    uint32 objectionPeriodDurationInBlocks;
+    /// @notice Length of proposal updatable period in blocks
+    uint32 proposalUpdatablePeriodInBlocks;
    /// @notice The token contract of the last deployed for

```



```
address forkDAOToken;
```



[G-03] Use calldata instead of memory for function parameters

If a reference type function parameter is read-only, it is cheaper in gas to use calldata instead of memory. Calldata is a non-modifiable, non-persistent area where function arguments are stored, and behaves mostly like memory.

Note that I've also flagged instances where the function is public but can be marked as external since it's not called by the contract.

► Details



[G-04] Expensive operation inside a for loop



Function `quitInternal()` does a lot of inefficient operation mainly inside it's for loops. I've optimized it as a whole but avoided some common optimizations

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/newdao/governance/NounsDAOLogicV1Fork.sol#L218-L245>

As it's an internal one, the gas changes can be seen from the two function `quit`

Benchmarks for `quit(uint256[])`

	Min	Average	Median	Max	
Before	743	245981	202066	501600	
After	743	245388	201653	500096	

Benchmarks for `quit(uint256[],address[])`

	Min	Average	Median	Max	
Before	14309	165989	151098	347452	
After	14309	165727	150891	346817	

File: /packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/newdao

```

218:     function quitInternal(uint256[] calldata tokenIds, address

223:         for (uint256 i = 0; i < tokenIds.length; i++) {
224:             nouns.transferFrom(msg.sender, address(timelock)
225:         }

231:         for (uint256 i = 0; i < erc20TokensToInclude.length;
232:             IERC20 erc20token = IERC20(erc20TokensToInclude[
233:             balancesToSend[i] = (erc20token.balanceOf(address
234:         }

237:         timelock.sendETH(payable(msg.sender), ethToSend);
238:         for (uint256 i = 0; i < erc20TokensToInclude.length;
239:             if (balancesToSend[i] > 0) {
240:                 timelock.sendERC20(msg.sender, erc20TokensTo
241:             }
242:         }

```

```

diff --git a/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/
index 0b098e44..49d60515 100644

```

```

--- a/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/newdao/
+++ b/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/newdao/
@@ -219,25 +219,26 @@ contract NounsDAOLogicV1Fork is UUPSUpgradeable {
     checkGovernanceActive();

```

```

uint256 totalSupply = adjustedTotalSupply();

```

```

-
+     NounsDAOExecutorV2 _timelock = timelock;
+     INounsTokenForkLike _nouns = nouns;
+     for (uint256 i = 0; i < tokenIds.length; i++) {
-         nouns.transferFrom(msg.sender, address(timelock), t
+         _nouns.transferFrom(msg.sender, address(_timelock),
+     }

```

```

uint256[] memory balancesToSend = new uint256[](erc20TokensTo

```

```

// Capture balances to send before actually sending the

```

```

-         uint256 ethToSend = (address(timelock).balance * tokenI
+         uint256 ethToSend = (address(_timelock).balance * tokenI
for (uint256 i = 0; i < ERC20TokensToInclude.length; i++) {
    IERC20 ERC20token = IERC20(ERC20TokensToInclude[i])
-         balancesToSend[i] = (ERC20token.balanceOf(address(t
+         balancesToSend[i] = (ERC20token.balanceOf(address(_
    }

    // Send ETH and ERC20 tokens
-     timelock.sendETH(payable(msg.sender), ethToSend);
+     _timelock.sendETH(payable(msg.sender), ethToSend);
for (uint256 i = 0; i < ERC20TokensToInclude.length; i++) {
    if (balancesToSend[i] > 0) {
-         timelock.sendERC20(msg.sender, ERC20TokensToInc
+         _timelock.sendERC20(msg.sender, ERC20TokensToIr
    }
}
}

```



Don't read state inside loops, escrow and forkId should be cached outside the loop (Save 199 Gas on average)

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/newdao/token/NounsTokenFork.sol#L148-L157>

Gas benchmarks

	Min	Average	Median	Max	
Before	6721	387833	217402	3683287	
After	6754	387714	217439	3680633	

```

File: /packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/newdao
149:         for (uint256 i = 0; i < tokenIds.length; i++) {
150:             uint256 nounId = tokenIds[i];
151:             if (escrow.ownerOfEscrowedToken(forkId, nounId)

156:                 _mintWithOriginalSeed(msg.sender, nounId);
157:         }

```

```

function claimFromEscrow(uint256[] calldata tokenIds) external
+     INounsDAOForkEscrow _escrow = escrow;
+     uint32 _forkId = forkId;
    for (uint256 i = 0; i < tokenIds.length; i++) {
        uint256 nounId = tokenIds[i];
-         if (escrow.ownerOfEscrowedToken(forkId, nounId) !=
+         if (_escrow.ownerOfEscrowedToken(_forkId, nounId) !=

        _mintWithOriginalSeed(msg.sender, nounId);
    }

```



[G-05] Cache storage values in memory to minimize SLOADs

The code can be optimized by minimizing the number of SLOADs.

► Details



[G-06] Use the existing Local variable/global variable when equal to a state variable to avoid reading from state



Local variable `_escrow` should be used instead of reading `escrow`

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/newdao/token/NounsTokenFork.sol#L131-L137>

Gas benchmarks

	Min	Average	Median	Max	
Before	211357	224893	211357	255180	
After	211339	224875	211339	255162	

```

File: /packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/newdao
131:         escrow = _escrow;

137:         NounsTokenFork originalToken = NounsTokenFork(address

```

```
diff --git a/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/
okenFork.sol
index 1f9d6d3..fea6fad8 100644
--- a/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/newdao/
+++ b/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/newdao/
@@ -134,7 +134,7 @@ contract NounsTokenFork is INounsTokenFork,
    remainingTokensToClaim = tokensToClaim;
    forkingPeriodEndTimestamp = _forkingPeriodEndTimestamp;

-    NounsTokenFork originalToken = NounsTokenFork(address(e
+    NounsTokenFork originalToken = NounsTokenFork(address(_
    descriptor = originalToken.descriptor();
    seeder = originalToken.seeder();
}
```



Global variable `msg.sender` should be used instead of reading state (Save 128 gas on average)

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOLogicV2.sol#L240-L260>

Gas benchmarks

	Min	Average	Median	Max
Before	13546	439476	380456	947962
After	13456	439348	380323	947829

```
File: /packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOLogicV2.sol
240:         Proposal storage newProposal = _proposals[proposalCount];

242:         newProposal.proposer = msg.sender;

260:         latestProposalIds[newProposal.proposer] = newProposal.id;
```

We are setting `newProposal.proposer` to be equal to `msg.sender`. As `newProposal.proposer` is a state variable, it's a bit expensive to read, we can instead read `msg.sender` which is a global variable, thus more cheaper to read.

```
diff --git a/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/Nouns
index ff426a81..9328d9ce 100644
--- a/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOLogi
+++ b/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOLogi
@@ -257,7 +257,7 @@ contract NounsDAOLogicV2 is NounsDAOStorageV
    newProposal.totalSupply = temp.totalSupply;
    newProposal.creationBlock = block.number;

-    latestProposalIds[newProposal.proposer] = newProposal.id;
+    latestProposalIds[msg.sender] = newProposal.id;
```



[G-07] Emitting storage values instead of the memory one

Here, the values emitted shouldn't be read from storage. The existing memory values should be used instead:

► Details



[G-08] Optimizing check order for cost efficient function execution

Checks that involve constants should come before checks that involve state variables, function calls, and calculations. By doing these checks first, the function is able to revert before wasting a Gcoldload (2100 gas) in a function that may ultimately revert in the unhappy case.

► Details



[G-09] The following functions can benefit from some optimizations

► Details



[G-10] Nested if is cheaper than single statement

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/base/ERC721Checkpointable.sol#L243-L245>

```

File: /packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/base/ERC721Checkpoints.sol
243:         if (nCheckpoints > 0 && checkpoints[delegatee][nCheckpoints - 1].votes =
244:             checkpoints[delegatee][nCheckpoints - 1].votes =
245:         } else {

-         if (nCheckpoints > 0 && checkpoints[delegatee][nCheckpoints - 1].votes = ne
-             checkpoints[delegatee][nCheckpoints - 1].votes = ne
+         if (nCheckpoints > 0) {
+             if( checkpoints[delegatee][nCheckpoints - 1].fromBlock ==
+                 checkpoints[delegatee][nCheckpoints - 1].votes =
+             }
+         } else {

```

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/newdao/governance/NounsDAOLogicV1Fork.sol#L381-L383>

```

File: /packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/newdao/governance/NounsDAOLogicV1Fork.sol
381:         if (block.timestamp < delayedGovernanceExpirationTimestamp) {
382:             revert WaitingForTokensToClaimOrExpiration();
383:         }

-         if (block.timestamp < delayedGovernanceExpirationTimestamp) {
-             revert WaitingForTokensToClaimOrExpiration();
+         if (block.timestamp < delayedGovernanceExpirationTimestamp) {
+             if ( nouns.remainingTokensToClaim() > 0) {
+                 revert WaitingForTokensToClaimOrExpiration();
+             }

```



[G-11] Caching a variable that is used once just wastes Gas



No need to cache `ds.forkEscrow` as it's being used once

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/newdao/governance/NounsDAOLogicV1Fork.sol#L381-L383>

```
File: /packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/NounsDAOV3Fork.sol
141:     function joinFork(
142:         NounsDAOStorageV3.StorageV3 storage ds,
143:         uint256[] calldata tokenIds,
144:         uint256[] calldata proposalIds,
145:         string calldata reason
146:     ) external {
147:         if (!isForkPeriodActive(ds)) revert ForkPeriodNotActive(reason);

149:         INounsDAOForkEscrow forkEscrow = ds.forkEscrow;
150:         address timelock = address(ds.timelock);
151:         sendProRataTreasury(ds, ds.forkDAOTreasury, tokenIds);

153:         for (uint256 i = 0; i < tokenIds.length; i++) {
154:             ds.nouns.transferFrom(msg.sender, timelock, tokenIds[i]);
155:         }

157:         NounsTokenFork(ds.forkDAOToken).claimDuringForkPeriod(msg.sender, tokenIds);

159:         emit JoinFork(forkEscrow.forkId() - 1, msg.sender, tokenIds);
160:     }
```

```
diff --git a/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/NounsDAOV3Fork.sol
index d87ffc70..4051da05 100644
```

```
--- a/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/NounsDAOV3Fork.sol
+++ b/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/NounsDAOV3Fork.sol
@@ -146,7 +146,6 @@ library NounsDAOV3Fork {
```

```
    ) external {
        if (!isForkPeriodActive(ds)) revert ForkPeriodNotActive(reason);
```

```
-        INounsDAOForkEscrow forkEscrow = ds.forkEscrow;
-        address timelock = address(ds.timelock);
-        sendProRataTreasury(ds, ds.forkDAOTreasury, tokenIds.length);
```

```
@@ -156,7 +155,7 @@ library NounsDAOV3Fork {

    NounsTokenFork(ds.forkDAOToken).claimDuringForkPeriod(msg.sender, tokenIds);
```

```
-        emit JoinFork(forkEscrow.forkId() - 1, msg.sender, tokenIds);
+        emit JoinFork(ds.forkEscrow.forkId() - 1, msg.sender, tokenIds);
```


}



NounsTokenFork.sol.claimDuringForkPeriod(): `_currentNounId` should not be cached

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/newdao/token/NounsTokenFork.sol#L166-L185>

The variable `currentNounId` is being once, as such no need to cache.

```
File: /packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/fork/newdao/token/NounsTokenFork.sol
166:     function claimDuringForkPeriod(address to, uint256[] calldata data) public {
167:         uint256 currentNounId = _currentNounId;

184:         if (maxNounId >= currentNounId) _currentNounId = maxNounId;
```



[G-12] Importing an entire library while only using one function isn't necessary

<https://github.com/nounsDAO/nouns-monorepo/blob/718211e063d511eeda1084710f6a682955e80dcb/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOV3Votes.sol#L22>

```
File: /packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOV3Votes.sol
22:import { SafeCast } from '@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/

252:         proposal.objectionPeriodEndBlock = SafeCast.toUint64(
253:             proposal.endBlock + ds.objectionPeriodDuration
254:         );
```

We import the entire library `SafeCast` yet we only need to utilize one function from it ie `toUint64()`. Peeking into it's implementation from Openzeppelin we have the following [https://github.com/OpenZeppelin/openzeppelin-](https://github.com/OpenZeppelin/openzeppelin-contracts/blob/master/contracts/utils/math/SafeCast.sol#L1-L10)

[contracts/blob/7ccea54dc15856d0e6c3b61b829b85d9e52195cd/contracts/utils/math/SafeCast.sol#L441-L446](#).

```
File: /contracts/utils/math/SafeCast.sol
441:     function toUint64(uint256 value) internal pure returns (
442:         if (value > type(uint64).max) {
443:             revert SafeCastOverflowedUintDowncast(64, value)
444:         }
445:         return uint64(value);
446:     }
```

```
diff --git a/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/Nouns
index 3743132b..782a2cf2 100644
--- a/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOV3Vc
+++ b/packages/nouns-contracts/contracts/governance/NounsDAOV3Vc
@@ -19,13 +19,14 @@ pragma solidity ^0.8.19;
```

```
import './NounsDAOInterfaces.sol';
import { NounsDAOV3Proposals } from './NounsDAOV3Proposals.sol'
-import { SafeCast } from '@openzeppelin/contracts/utils/math/Sa
```

```
library NounsDAOV3Votes {
    using NounsDAOV3Proposals for NounsDAOStorageV3.StorageV3;

    error CanOnlyVoteAgainstDuringObjectionPeriod();

+   error SafeCastOverflowedUintDowncast();
+
    /// @notice An event emitted when a vote has been cast on a
    /// @param voter The address which casted a vote
    /// @param proposalId The proposal id which was voted on
@@ -249,9 +250,11 @@ library NounsDAOV3Votes {
        // second part of the vote flip check
        !ds.isDefeated(proposal)
    ) {
-        proposal.objectionPeriodEndBlock = SafeCast.toUint64(
-            proposal.endBlock + ds.objectionPeriodDuration1
-        );
+
+        if (proposal.endBlock + ds.objectionPeriodDuration1
+            revert SafeCastOverflowedUintDowncast()
+        )
+        proposal.objectionPeriodEndBlock = uint64(proposal.
```

```
emit ProposalObjectionPeriodSet(proposal.id, propos  
}
```

Alternatively we can implement our own internal function to do the safeCast.



Conclusion

It is important to emphasize that the provided recommendations aim to enhance the efficiency of the code without compromising its readability. We understand the value of maintainable and easily understandable code to both developers and auditors.

As you proceed with implementing the suggested optimizations, please exercise caution and be diligent in conducting thorough testing. It is crucial to ensure that the changes are not introducing any new vulnerabilities and that the desired performance improvements are achieved. Review code changes, and perform thorough testing to validate the effectiveness and security of the refactored code.

Should you have any questions or need further assistance, please don't hesitate to reach out.



Audit Analysis

For this audit, 5 analysis reports were submitted by wardens. An analysis report examines the codebase as a whole, providing observations and advice on such topics as architecture, mechanism, or approach. The [report highlighted below](#) by Oxnev received the top score from the judge.

The following wardens also submitted reports: [OxSmartContract](#), [shark](#), [Matin](#), [K42](#), and [ihtishamsudo](#).



[01] Summary of Codebase



1.1 Description

Nouns is a generative NFT project on Ethereum, where a new Noun is minted and auctioned off every day, and each token represents one vote where proposers who

hold a noun and create and vote on governance proposals, which execute transactions on the ethereum blockchain when approved.



1.2 Proposal States and flow

To summarize the NounsDAO protocol, it will be helpful to look at the various states introduced in the NounsDaoV3 contracts. But first lets look at the creation of proposals.



1.2.1 Creation of Proposal

- Propose the proposal via `propose/proposeOnTimelockV1/proposeBySigs`
- Check that proposer (and if there is signers), have sufficient votes to meet minimum voting threshold
- Check validity of signatures if there are signers
- Check transactions validity (array length check and maximum actions (10) allowed check)
- Check that there is no active proposal for proposer (NounsDao only allows 1 active proposal per proposer)
- Create a new proposal if check passes, at this stage, proposal will be in the Updatable state



1.2.2 Updatable

- At this stage, proposals are not active for voting yet, and there exists a updatable period for proposers/signers to edit proposals transaction details and description via

`updateProposal()/updateProposalTransactions()/updateProposalBySigs()` and `updateProposalDescription()` respectively



1.2.3 Pending

- Once updatable period ends, the proposal reaches the pending state, where it switches to Active once the `block.number` reaches the starting block.



1.2.4 Active

- During the Active state, voters can start casting votes, where the `uint8 support` represents the vote value, with 0 = against, 1 = for and 2 = abstain

- Vote casting can be done via
`castVote() / castVoteWithReason() / castVoteBySig()`
- Voting casting can also be done with a request for gas refund from DAO via
`castRefundableVote() / castRefundableVoteWithReason()`
- In the Active state, there exists 3 state transitions:
 1. During the active period if there are enough votes that exceeds quorum, and for votes more than against votes, then proposal state will switch to Succeeded.
 2. If the opposite occurs where against votes are more than for votes, proposal state will switch to Defeated
 3. The NounsDaoV3 introduced a new mechanism known as the objection period, where against voters are given more reaction time to react to last minute state transitions from Defeated to Succeeded. A more detailed explanation is included in 1.2.5



1.2.5 ObjectionPeriod

- The ObjectionPeriod is a conditional state where any last minute votes swinging a already Defeated proposal to Succeeded by for voters supporting proposal will trigger the state
- In this period, only against voters are allowed to vote to allow them to swing the proposal back to the Defeated state.
- If enough against voters votes against proposal, then the final proposal state will be Defeated, and proposal will not be queued and executed



1.2.6 Queued and Executed

- If the current state of proposal after Active period of voting is Succeeded, then proposal is ready to be queued and executed by admin via the
`NounsDaoExecutorV2.sol` contract
- More specifically, proposals are queued using `queueTransaction()` and executed via `executeTransaction()`



1.2.7 Expired

- If proposals are not executed within 21 days (increased from 14 days to account for possible forking period) after being queued, then it will expire



1.2.8 Cancelled

- At any point of time, proposers and/or signers can cancel active proposals as long as proposal has not reached a final state, specifically following states (Canceled/Defeated/Expired/Executed/Vetoed).



1.2.9 Vetoed

- The Vetoed state essentially means that proposal is Cancelled by vetoer set by NounsDAO
- It is a means for NounsDAO to protect the protocol against malicious proposals.



1.3 Forking Mechanism

- There is also a new forking mechanism that allows forking of a new Noun Dao if enough Noun tokens are escrowed
- This is wonderfully summarized by the protocol [here](#)



[02] Architecture Improvements



2.1 Consider allowing for voters to recover from swing last minute swing from successful to defeated

Currently, the Nouns Dao introduce a objection period where there is a last-minute proposal swing from defeated to successful, affording against voters more reaction time.

This could be unfair to the for voters, where the reverse could happen, when there is a last-minute proposal swing from successful to defeated, but no time is allowed for for voters to react. Hence, protocol could introduce a new mechanism/state to allow this to happen, where similarly, only a against vote casted in the last minute voting block can trigger this period.



2.2 Consider implementing a mechanism to unvote and/or updated vote choice

Currently, there are no mechanisms for voters to unvote or update their votes, and they can only ever vote once due to the `hasVoted` flag. Consider implementing a mechanism to unvote and/or update vote choice.



2.3 Consider not allowing creation of new proposal when current proposal is still in Queued state

Queued proposals are still active, since it has not been executed. As such, consider not allowing creation of proposal when proposal state is queued. Given Nouns Dao only allow 1 active proposal per proposer, there could be a scenario where there are multiple proposals queued if proposals are not yet executed.



2.4 Consider not allowing executing fork if not a token holder

In the contest Docs, it is stated that any token holder can execute fork if fork threshold is met. However, anybody, not just token holders can execute fork via `executeFork()` once threshold is met. Since Nouns govern Noun DAO, consider only allowing only token holders to execute fork.



[03] Centralization risks



3.1 Vote casters may lose gas refund if contract is underfunded

`_refundGas`

Any one can fund the `NounsDAOV3Votes.sol` contract, but it is presumably the DAO funding it to refund gas for voters. If contract ETH balance is insufficient, voters may not get refunded their gas when voting.



3.2 DAO can affect proposal thresholds anytime by adjusting totalSupply

In the new `NounsDaoV3Logic`, all proposal thresholds are calculated using an adjusted total supply instead of the fixed nouns supply previously. This adjusted total supply represents the total supply of nouns minus nouns owed by DAO. If in any of the address the Nouns DAO mints/transfer nouns tokens, it could affect proposal thresholds by increasing/decreasing it respectfully, potentially preventing/allowing proposals to be created.



3.3 DAO can close escrow and withdraw escrowed tokens anytime

In `NounsDAOForEscrow.sol`, any nouns tokens sent to the contract to be escrowed faces a potential risk of DAO closing escrow at anytime, essentially locking up the tokens and cannot be unescrowed, with the tokens only being able to be withdrawn by the DAO.



3.4 `NounsDAOV3Proposals.cancel()` : Signers can collude to cancel proposer proposal anytime by adjusting voting power

With the introduction of proposing proposals with other signers, it also gives the power to signers to cancel proposals at anytime without the need to consult proposer/other signers. This opens up the ability for any signers or even the proposer to invalidate votes simply by cancelling the proposal if they do not agree with the direction of the state that proposal is approaching (`Defeated/Succeeded`).



[04] Time Spent

- Day 1: Compare v2 and v3 NounsDao versions, noting new mechanisms such as proposal editing, proposal by sig and objection only period.
- Day 2: Audit NounsV3Logic coupled with NounsV3Proposals
- Day 3: Audit NounsV3Logic coupled with NounsV3Vote and NounsDAOV3Admin
- Day 4: Finish up Analysis



Time spent:

48 hours



Disclosures

C4 is an open organization governed by participants in the community.

C4 Audits incentivize the discovery of exploits, vulnerabilities, and bugs in smart contracts. Security researchers are rewarded at an increasing rate for finding higher-risk issues. Audit submissions are judged by a knowledgeable security researcher and solidity developer and disclosed to sponsoring developers. C4 does not conduct formal verification regarding the provided code but instead provides final verification.

C4 does not provide any guarantee or warranty regarding the security of this project. All smart contract software should be used at the sole risk and responsibility of users.

