


# Fun with C++11

`#define fun`

*or,*

`#define fun true`

# Why C++?



# Why C++?



# Disclaimers

- I like a lot of languages in addition to C++: C# (mostly); Python; Java; PHP; Groovy; would like to learn Ruby, Clojure and Go.
- I use Microsoft C++ mostly.
- I'm not a C++ expert. I find even *these* challenging:  
<http://cppquiz.org/quiz/question/1>
- I practice EDD

# EDD: Error Driven Development

- You can learn a LOT from the compiler warnings and errors. *Fun!*
- Template errors and warnings are getting better. (Have been notoriously bad.)
- *Fun:* “The challenge: Write a piece of C++ code and try to reach the largest possible error message.”

<http://tgceec.tumblr.com/post/106626335863/introducing-the-grand-c-error-explosion>

↑ Optional Types and Lightweight Continuation Passing in C++ (treswalsh.com)  
18 submitted 23 hours ago by SAHChandler  
↓ 6 comments share

all 6 comments

sorted by: best ▼

- ↑ [-] dbchfjdksisjxb 4 points 6 hours ago  
↓ C++ is getting weird  
permalink
- ↑ [-] edric\_garran 0 points 6 hours ago  
↓ weird is good  
permalink parent

↑ **What is std::decay and when it should be used?** (stackoverflow.com)  
10 submitted 2 hours ago by [ericjaviersaura](#)  
↓ 3 comments share

all 3 comments

sorted by: best ▾

↑ [-] [TomSwirly](#) 2 points 2 hours ago

↓ I'm split about this sort of thing. It makes perfect sense if you understand C++, and yet when I think about explaining it to friends who program in other languages, I realize that the necessity of such abstruse constructs is one of the downsides of C++11/14.

[permalink](#)

↑ [-] [bames53](#) 4 points an hour ago

↓ Consider what you're doing when you're implementing a generic pair type: You're not creating a type that holds two objects: In effect you're writing a program that knows how to *design* such types. That design knowledge must get encoded in there somehow. You just have to compare that complexity to the trade offs made by other languages.

- In C we can't represent the abstraction at all and instead just write each kind of pair manually, which produces efficient results.
- In Java we can have the abstraction, but the pair types it produces won't be efficient.

And finally it's important to note that writing this kind of C++ isn't required. It's available if and when you need it, but it's perfectly reasonable to write C++ without getting into this complexity. New C++ programmers can be productive writing C++ for years without learning this stuff.

So I think C++ makes a fairly good compromise: simple, efficient, good abstractions; pick any two. Languages like C and Java, on the other hand, have already picked for you.



▲ Ask HN: How to refresh on modern features of C++?

100 points by ctb\_mg 6 days ago | 62 comments

I first learned C++ 14 years ago and have only used it in a non-trivial fashion on one or two short projects since then.

Today, reading an article on popular C++ myths [1], I now realized there are a TON of things I didn't realize modern C++ can do now. `auto`, `unique_ptr`, `for_each`, and range-for loops were new to me. I'm not 100% certain if all of these were recent additions to the language.

What are some things I can do to brush up on the modern features of C++?

<https://news.ycombinator.com/item?id=8788454>

todo: read Bjarne Stroustrup's article on the myths of C++:

<https://isocpp.org/blog/2014/12/myths-1>



# What's changed?

- C++11 standard *finally* published
  - Took too long.
  - For a *long time*, temporarily named “C++0x”
  - Finally published - but now we're in **2015**...and **C++14** is ~~about to be~~ finalized
- Mobile devices want fewer CPU cycles; battery consumption: a big deal
- Microsoft: C++ [was] 2<sup>nd</sup>-class citizen in the .NET era of 2000's; that changed with 'RT'
- Big internet players *really, really* need performance and massive scale

# Fun with C++ 11

“Conclusion: C++ has changed dramatically over the last decade. It’s no more C with Classes. If you have not looked at C++ recently, it will be the right time to have another look.”

<http://blog.madhukaraphatak.com/functional-programming-in-c++/>

# good/bad news

- C++ was big already - and got a lot bigger with C++11
- C++14: much smaller set of changes compared to C++11
- Regardless, pace of change is increasing

# C++ History

Year	C++ Standard	Informal name
1998	ISO/IEC 14882:1998 <sup>[12]</sup>	C++98
2003	ISO/IEC 14882:2003 <sup>[13]</sup>	<u>C++03</u>
2007	ISO/IEC TR 19768:2007 <sup>[14]</sup>	<u>C++TR1</u>
2011	ISO/IEC 14882:2011 <sup>[4]</sup>	<u>C++11</u>
2014	N3690 (working draft C++14) <sup>[15]</sup>	<u>C++14</u>
2017	to be determined	<u>C++17</u>

source: [wikipedia C++ article](#)

# C++ History: What happened to Technical Report 2 (TR2)?

In 2005, a request for proposals for a TR2 was made with a special interest in Unicode, XML/HTML, Networking and usability for novice programmers.[\[3\]](#) Some of the proposals included:

- Threads [\[4\]](#)
- The [Asio C++ library](#) (networking [\[5\]](#)[\[6\]](#)).
- Signals/Slots [\[7\]](#)[\[8\]](#)
- Filesystem Library [\[9\]](#) – Based on the Boost Filesystem Library, for query/manipulation of paths, files and directories.
- Boost Any Library [\[10\]](#)
- Lexical Conversion Library [\[11\]](#)
- New String Algorithms [\[12\]](#)
- Toward a More Complete Taxonomy of Algebraic Properties for Numeric Libraries in TR2 [\[13\]](#)
- Adding heterogeneous comparison lookup to associative containers for TR2 [\[14\]](#)

Since the call for proposals for TR2, changes to ISO procedures meant that there will not be a TR2, instead enhancements to C++ will be published in a number of Technical Specifications. Some of the proposals listed above are already included in the C++ standard or in draft versions of the Technical Specifications.

# C++ People: Bjarne Stroustrup

- On the ISO standards committee
- NOT a BDFL
- Still writing good books
- Hair: Big fun topic at cppcon.


<http://scottmeyers.blogspot.com/2014/09/cppcon-hair-poll.html>




# C++ People: Scott Meyers

- Latest book is *hot, hot, hot!*
- Has 'the hair'


Scott Meyers




\$39.08   
Paperback



\$37.18   
Paperback



\$42.45   
Paperback



\$39.37   
Paperback

Books by Scott Meyers

Showing 10 Results

Sort by 

# C++ People

- Andrei Alexandrescu
  - Book: Modern C++ Design: Generic Programming and Design Patterns Applied (2001)
  - Loki [framework](#): “a C++ software library written by Andrei Alexandrescu as part of his book Modern C++ Design. The library makes extensive use of C++ template metaprogramming and implements several commonly used tools: typelist, functor, singleton, smart pointer, object factory, visitor and multimethods.”
  - Facebook’s ‘Flint’ – written in ‘D’:  
<https://code.facebook.com/posts/729709347050548/under-the-hood-building-and-open-sourcing-flint/>



# C++ Standard

- [ISO/IEC 14882:2011](#)

ISO/IEC 14882:2011 specifies requirements for implementations of the C++ programming language. The first such requirement is that they implement the language, and so ISO/IEC 14882:2011 also defines C++. Other requirements and relaxations of the first requirement appear at various places within ISO/IEC 14882:2011.

C++ is a general purpose programming language based on the C programming language as specified in ISO/IEC 9899:1999. In addition to the facilities provided by C, C++ provides additional data types, classes, templates, exceptions, namespaces, operator overloading, function name overloading, references, free store management operators, and additional library facilities.

- 1300+ pages
- [isocpp.org](#): links to **free** PDF drafts. Fun!
- Purchase it [here](#) in the U.S. (\$30 or \$60?).

# Drivers of C++ Innovation

- all the other cool programming languages
- C++ Standard Library (a.k.a. STL)
- [LLVM](#): all your languages belong to us...and [Clang](#)...
- Boost library: Many things make their way into C++ std lib
- Facebook: HipHop transpiler (PHP => C++); many opensource libs
- Google – products and tools. Yes; lots - including Chrome web browser ([article](#))
- Microsoft – Windows; tools; Office...
  - .NET not used in tools or Office...or drivers. ☹

# Microsoft; Clang; LLVM

Microsoft – Nov 2014:

“The C++ team has made a goal to achieve C++11 and C++14 conformance in the Visual C++ compiler for Visual Studio 2015's final release. But there's more: Visual Studio 2015 will actually support another, modern conformant C++ compiler – Clang for projects targeting non-Microsoft platforms. In this video, Herb Sutter discusses how you'll be able to write a single cross-platform C++ source base and build it to target Windows, Windows Phone, Android, and soon iOS, all from within Visual Studio!

<http://channel9.msdn.com/Events/Visual-Studio/Connect-event-2014/311>

# Sources of C++ Innovation

- Dropbox: [Cross-platform C++ layer](#). iOS, Android, ...
- [Catch](#): “A **modern** C++-native, header-only, framework for unit-tests, TDD and BDD”
- JetBrains (of ReSharper and IntelliJ IDEA): [CLion IDE](#) (and plug-in for VS).
- Google/Android: tools for C/C++
- Intel NDK – [native dev for Android](#)
- Intel [Threading Building Blocks](#)
- Cevelop: Eclipse-based [C++ IDE](#) with unit testing, refactoring

# C++: Alive and Well

- Facebook:

[Proxygen](#) makes heavy use of the latest C++ features and depends on [Thrift](#) and [Folly](#) for its underlying network and data abstractions. We make use of **move semantics** to avoid extra copies for large objects like body buffers and header representations while avoiding typical pitfalls like memory leaks. Additionally, by using non-blocking IO and Linux's epoll under the hood, we are able to create a memory and CPU efficient server.

# C++: Alive and Well

- Qt Framework: Staying current...


“C++14 for Qt programmers”:

<http://woboq.com/blog/cpp14-in-qt.html>

# Yes you Can!

- Develop with C++ on windows, linux, and OSX
- Develop iOS apps
- Develop Android apps
- Develop for cool little microcontrollers
  - Arduino
  - Raspberry Pi
  - Beagle Bone

# C++ 101





# C++ 101

- C++ is still a superset of C
  - Anything you can do with C, you can do with C++
  - C library and runtime still available

instead of this

```
#include <stdio.h>
```

do this:

```
#include <cstdio>
```

*You get all the C stuff – but also get **namespace std::***

# C++ 101

- C++ = the *language + the Standard Library*
- The *Standard Library == STL*
  - Names can be used interchangeably
  - STL came first; became the “C++ Standard Library”
  - The Standard Library is a collection of text files (headers)


# C++ is Multi-Paradigm

- Procedural
- Object Oriented
- Functional
- Generic

The last two are where the biggest C++ changes are taking place.

# Paradigms: Procedural

- statements; expressions; functions; subroutines

A screenshot of a code editor window titled 'procedural.cpp'. The code is written in C++ and demonstrates procedural programming with functions and a main routine. It includes two overloaded 'divider' functions and a 'main' function that uses them. The code is as follows:

```
1  int divider(double a, double b) {  
2      const double result = a / b;  
3      return result;  
4  }  
5  
6  int divider(int a, int b) {  
7      const int result = a / b;  
8      return result;  
9  }  
10  
11 int main() {  
12     auto a = 1;  
13     auto b = 1.1;  
14     auto c = 0;  
15     auto d = divider(a, c);  
16 }
```

# Paradigms: Object Oriented

- Classes; objects

```
procedural.cpp x
1  #include <iostream>
2
3  class Animal {
4  public:
5      void Breathe() {std::cout << "sigh..." << std::endl;}
6  };
7
8  class Person : public Animal {
9  public:
10     Person(double age) : _age(age) {}
11     Person() = delete;
12 private:
13     double _age;
14 };
15
16 int main() {
17     Person fred(53.4);
18     Person bob; // compile fail
19     fred.Breathe();
20 }
```

# Paradigms: Generic

- Templates
  - Templated functions
  - Templated classes
- As C++ developers, we *need* to understand how to read and understand C++ code that uses templates.
- So much of C++11/14 Standard Library leverages Generic Programming / templates.
  - *View the source, Luke: Open `<string>` for example...*

# We should all be able to read this...

```
#include <iostream>
#include <string>

template<typename T>
T const max_fun_with_cpp_11(T const& a, T const& b) {
    const auto result = a > b ? a : b;
    return result;
}

int main() {
    std::cout << max_fun_with_cpp_11(7, 29) << std::endl;
    std::cout << max_fun_with_cpp_11(
        std::string("zebra"), std::string("fred"))
        << std::endl;
}
```

output:

29

zebra

# C++ Standard Library / STL:

## *Not Object Oriented!*

- Read what the creator of the STL has to say about this:

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alexander\\_Stepanov#Criticism of OOP](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alexander_Stepanov#Criticism_of_OOP)

- He's got a new book, too:

<http://www.informit.com/store/from-mathematics-to-generic-programming-9780321942043>



# Paradigms: Functional

- Lambdas!
  - “Lambda Expressions” - correct terminology
  - We know what C / C++ expressions are; makes it a little easier
- Pure Functions
  - Thread safe

[Gamasutra article](#) – John Carmack on functional programming in C++  
[related recent java8 article](#)

# Paradigms: Bjarne's recent take

(Copied from: <http://www.stroustrup.com/Myths-final.pdf>)

Consider an example:

```
void rotate_and_draw(vector<Shape*>& vs, int r) {  
    for_each(vs.begin(), vs.end(), [](Shape* p) { p->rotate(r); }); // rotate all elements of vs  
    for (Shape* p : vs) p->draw(); // draw all elements of vs  
}
```

Is this object-oriented? Of course it is; it relies critically on a class hierarchy with virtual functions.

It is generic? Of course it is; it relies critically on a parameterized container (vector) and the generic function `for_each`.

Is this functional? Sort of; it uses a lambda (the `[]` construct).

**So what is it? It is modern C++: C++11.**

---

<http://isocpp.org/blog/2014/12/myths-1> // series of 3 recent articles.

# C++: what's [still] missing

- XML
- Packages / modules
  - PHP composer; Python pip; Ruby gems; LuaRocks
  - Java [JPM4j](#) anyone?
  - Microsoft has `#import <typelib>` for C++ COM
- Garbage collection (as C#, Java, Python, ...)
  - Discussion point: Make it optional?
- Strings in `switch()` statement as in C#
  - [\*Here's a cute solution on CodeProject\*](#)
- Decimal data type

# C++: what's [still] missing

- Serialization:
  - see [google FlatBuffers](#) – good, modern C++
- Run-time introspection (C#, Java, Python, ...)
  - C++11 annotations – not much here
- Interfaces: Need [ugly] abstract classes
- Command-line parsing
- Binary-compatible outputs
  - No standard “name mangling” of C++ classes
  - Fallback is to expose ‘C’ interfaces instead of rich C++ datatypes and objects. 😞
  - ‘[hourglass interfaces](#)’: interesting cppcon presentation.

# C++: what's still missing

- Networking: Will probably be stealing Boost.Asio

“Boost.Asio is a cross-platform C++ library for network and low-level I/O programming that provides developers with a consistent asynchronous model using a **modern C++** approach.”

[http://www.boost.org/doc/libs/1\\_57\\_0/doc/html/boost\\_asio.html](http://www.boost.org/doc/libs/1_57_0/doc/html/boost_asio.html)

# C++: what's still missing

```
d:\temp>type fail.cpp
```

```
int main() {  
    f();  
}
```

```
void f() {  
}
```

```
d:\temp>cl fail.cpp
```

```
Microsoft (R) C/C++ Optimizing Compiler Version 18.00.31101 for x86  
Copyright (C) Microsoft Corporation. All rights reserved.
```

```
fail.cpp
```

```
fail.cpp(2) : error C3861: 'f': identifier not found
```

# Which C++ compiler am I using?

Windows driver kit (WDK):

```
c:\apps\WinDDK\7600.16385.1> bin\setenv.bat .  
WLH
```

```
c:\apps\WinDDK\7600.16385.1> cl.exe
```

```
Microsoft (R) 32-bit C/C++ Optimizing  
Compiler Version 15.00.30729.207 for 80x86
```

This is C++ '03'

# Which C++ compiler?

Set the environment; run CL.EXE

- Visual Studio help/about: fail
- Look for “C++” [here](#) for all MS C++ compiler versions

**vs2013env:** Microsoft (R) C/C++ Optimizing Compiler Version **18.00.31010** for x86

**vs2012env:** Microsoft (R) C/C++ Optimizing Compiler Version **17.00.61030** for x86

**vs2010env:** Microsoft (R) 32-bit C/C++ Optimizing Compiler Version **16.00.40219.01** for 80x86

**vs2008env:** Microsoft (R) 32-bit C/C++ Optimizing Compiler Version **15.00.30729.01** for 80x86

**vs2014env:** Microsoft (R) C/C++ Optimizing Compiler Version **19.00.22129.1** for x86



# Which C++ compiler?

On a fairly up-to-date linux box:

```
dwright@dwright-mint17 ~ $ g++ --version  
g++ (Ubuntu 4.8.2-19ubuntu1) 4.8.2  
Copyright (C) 2013 Free Software Foundation, Inc.
```

- GCC: “[GNU compiler collection](#)”
- g++ is the C++ compiler command
- gcc is the C compiler command

# Checking C++11 Conformance

- Try it – it might work
- “compiler shootout” as of march 2014  
<http://cpprocks.com/c1114-compiler-and-library-shootout/>
- microsoft has a lot of links. Here's one:  
<http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/hh567368.aspx>

# MS C/C++ runtime dependencies

```
c:\apps\vs2013\VC\redist\x64> tree /f /a
+---Microsoft.VC120.CRT
|      msvcp120.dll           // C++ runtime; 600+ Kb
|      msvcr120.dll          // C runtime; 2+ Mb
|      vccorlib120.dll        // C++/CLI (.NET)
+---Microsoft.VC120.CXXAMP // 'accelerated massive
                             //   parallelism'
|      vcamp120.dll
+---Microsoft.VC120.MFC
|      mfc120u.dll
|      mfcm120u.dll
\---Microsoft.VC120.OpenMP
     vcomp120.dll
```

That last one: "...multi-platform shared-memory parallel programming in C/C++ and Fortran"

# C++ Idioms

- RAII
  - “Resource Acquisition Is Initialization”
  - Acquire and release things in constructor and destructor
- SFINAE
  - “Substitution Failure Is Not An Error”
  - You won’t get compile-time errors when fiddling with templates

# RAII

- Constructor acquires resource
  - e.g. opens file; allocate memory
- All other member functions know resource is acquired
  - Do not need to test and make sure
- Destructor releases resources
  - Works even in the presence of exceptions

# C++: Rule of Three

[http://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/rule of three](http://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/rule_of_three)

“If a class requires a user-defined destructor, a user-defined copy constructor, or a user-defined copy assignment operator, it almost certainly requires all three.

# C++11: Rule of 5

[http://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/rule\\_of\\_three](http://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/rule_of_three)

“Because the presence of a user-defined destructor, copy-constructor, or copy-assignment operator prevents implicit definition of the **move constructor** and the **move assignment operator**, any class for which **move semantics** are desirable, has to declare all five special member functions.”

*(Ugh.)*

# C++11: Rule of Zero!

## *FUN!*

"I'd go so far as to claim that these rules are rarely needed. Why is that? Well, as I mentioned in the introduction on ownership, C++ allows us to encapsulate ownership policies into generic reusable classes. This is the important bit! Most often, our ownership needs can be catered for by 'ownership-in-a-package' classes.

<http://flamingdangerzone.com/cxx11/2012/08/15/rule-of-zero.html>



# The Big C++11 List

- Wikipedia: take a look.

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/C%2B%2B11>

# Hot off the press: C++14, 17 status

<http://talesofcpp.fusionfenix.com/post-19/interlude>

<http://www.bfilipek.com/2014/12/c-status-at-end-of-2014.html>

# Some of My Favorite Things...

# String Literals

cppreference.com

Create a

Page Discussion

C++ C++ language Expressions

## string literal

### Syntax

<code>" (unescaped_character escaped_character)* "</code>	(1)	
<code>L " (unescaped_character escaped_character)* "</code>	(2)	
<code>u8 " (unescaped_character escaped_character)* "</code>	(3)	(since C++11)
<code>u " (unescaped_character escaped_character)* "</code>	(4)	(since C++11)
<code>U " (unescaped_character escaped_character)* "</code>	(5)	(since C++11)
<code>prefix(optional) R "delimiter( raw_character* )delimiter"</code>	(6)	(since C++11)

# String Literals

- 1) Narrow multibyte string literal. The type of an unprefixed string literal is `const char[]`
- 2) Wide string literal. The type of a `L"..."` string literal is `const wchar_t[]`
- 3) UTF-8 encoded string literal. The type of a `u8"..."` string literal is `const char[]`
- 4) UTF-16 encoded string literal. The type of a `u"..."` string literal is `const char16_t[]`
- 5) UTF-32 encoded string literal. The type of a `U"..."` string literal is `const char32_t[]`
- 6) Raw string literal. Used to avoid escaping of any character, anything between the delimiters becomes part of the string, if *prefix* is present has the same meaning as described above.

*Note: C and C++ do not have string types; the libs have 'em!*

*Note: these Unicode literals are not (yet) in MSVC++ ('raw' is)*

# String Literals: Raw

- YAY! Needed this from day 1:

```
#include <string>
#include <iostream>
int main() {
    std::string s = R"#(>%^\t\n&*(<)#";
    std::cout << s << std::endl;
    s = R"gobbledygook(a raw string literal with "gobbledygook"
        as the delimiter)gobbledygook";
    std::cout << s << std::endl;
    return 0;
}
```

output:

```
\>%^\t\n&*(<
a raw string literal with "gobbledygook" as the delimiter
```

# nullptr

- NULL is dead. It's ambiguous.
- Prefer to zero, also.
- Use nullptr wherever you used to use NULL.
- nullptr is *part of the C++ language – not the standard library*.

if (NULL == dumbPointer)... // bad

if (nullptr != dumbPointer) ... // ok

delete nullptr; // always works

# Uniform Initialization

## *a.k.a. “List Initialization”*

“C++03 has a number of problems with initializing types. There are several ways to initialize types, and they do not all produce the same results when interchanged. The traditional constructor syntax, for example, can look like a function declaration, and steps must be taken to ensure that the compiler's most vexing parse rule will not mistake it for such. Only aggregates and POD types can be initialized with aggregate initializers (using `SomeType var = { /*stuff*/ };`).

“C++11 provides a syntax that allows for fully uniform type initialization that works on any object. It expands on the initializer list syntax:

wikipedia: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/C%2B%2B11#Uniform\\_initialization](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/C%2B%2B11#Uniform_initialization)

cppreference: [http://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/list\\_initialization](http://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/language/list_initialization)



# Uniform Initialization

- Old:

```
std::vector<byte> bytes;  
sendBytes.push_back(0x00);  
sendBytes.push_back(0xC0);  
sendBytes.push_back(0x00);  
sendBytes.push_back(0x00);  
sendBytes.push_back(0x12);
```

- New:

```
std::vector<byte> bytes {0x00, 0xC0, 0x00, 0x00, 0x12};
```

# Uniform Initialization

- Avoids ‘narrowing’:

```
char c1 = 1.234e12; // warning: C4244: 'initializing' :  
conversion from 'double' to 'char', possible loss of data  
char c2 = 54321; // warning C4305 : '=' : truncation  
from 'int' to 'char'
```

```
char c1 {1.234e12}; // error C2397: conversion from  
'double' to 'char' requires a narrowing conversion  
char c2 {54321}; // error C2397: conversion from 'int'  
to 'char' requires a narrowing conversion
```

*It's FUN to practice EDD!*

# Smart Pointers

- C++ does not have garbage collection: It is deterministic in its acquisition and release of memory and other resources.
- `std::auto_ptr` is **deprecated; do not use it**
  - Failed to play well with std lib collections – `std::list`, `std::vector`
  - Still in use; don't panic.
  - Just don't write any new stuff with `std::auto_ptr`

# C++ Smart Pointers

## `std::unique_ptr`

- New to C++11
- Use instead of deprecated `std::auto_ptr`
- Use it wherever you are tempted to use an old fashioned dumb pointer (!!!)
- Plays well with std collections

old:

```
CPrinter* pPrinter = new CPrinter {};
```

new:

```
std::unique_ptr <CPrinter> printer (new CPrinter {});
```

# C++ smart pointers

- `std::unique_ptr` if only one object needs access to the underlying pointer
- `std::shared_ptr` if several want to use the same underlying pointer
  - Cleaned up when the last copy goes out of scope
- In `<memory>` header file
- *“If you’re using `new` or `delete`, you’re doing it wrong.”* –Kate Gregory (Microsoft)

# Smart Pointers

## `std::shared_ptr`

- New to C++11
- Similar to `unique_ptr` – but **reference counted**
- Plays well with std collections
  - Store objects
  - Store pointers to objects
  - Has some overhead
- As with `unique_ptr`, you'll still have a 'new' – but no 'delete': They're SMART!

# std::to\_string

- “Converts a numeric value to [std::string](http://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/string/basic_string/to_string).”  
[http://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/string/basic\\_string/to\\_string](http://en.cppreference.com/w/cpp/string/basic_string/to_string)
- Avoid Unicode macros, risky scanf(), CString::Format(), ...
- use to\_wstring() for wide strings

```
#include <iostream>
#include <string>

int main() {
    double f = 23.43;
    std::string f_str = std::to_string(f);
    std::cout << f_str << std::endl;
}
```

output: 23.430000

# Auto: Type Inference

- C / C++ “auto” keyword was rarely used
  - Different behavior in different compiler implementations
- This is just like the “var” keyword in C#
- Compiler determines type at compile-time
  - Your IDE can be your friend: *Hover with your mouse, Luke...*
- Yes; there are a lot of pros/cons for usage in different context.



# Auto: Type Inference

```
8      std::vector<std::wstring> ribbonNames {L"YMCKT", L"KT", L"UV"};
9
10     for (std::vector<std::wstring>::iterator it = ribbonNames.begin(); it < ribbonNames.end(); it++) {
11         std::wcout << "ribbon name: " << it->c_str() << std::endl;
12     }
13
14     for (auto& it = cbegin(ribbonNames); it < cend(ribbonNames); it++) {
15         std::wcout << "ribbon name: " << it->c_str() << std::endl;
16     }
```

output (twice):

ribbon name: YMCKT

ribbon name: KT

ribbon name: UV

# Lambdas:

## Lambda Expressions

<http://isocpp.org/wiki/faq/cpp11-language#lambda>

<http://codexpert.ro/blog/2014/10/25/c11-lets-write-a-hello-lambda/>

# Lambda Expressions

- Part of C++11 *language* – not the C++ Standard Library
- Alternative to function objects (‘functors’), and plain functions
- Per the ISO standard document: “Lambda expressions provide a concise way to create simple function objects.”
- Unnamed
  - But you can give them names: They are expressions, and you can just assign them to a variable name.
- Very useful inside templated functions and classes