

---

---

# VEGETATION MODELLING

---

---

SYLLABUS

WRITTEN BY

HANS VERBEECK, FELICIEN MEUNIER, MARC PEAUCHELLE  
*GHENT UNIVERSITY*



2021

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	The central role of vegetation in the Earth system . . . . .	1
1.2	Why do we need modelling? . . . . .	4
1.3	Model types . . . . .	5
1.4	The history of vegetation models . . . . .	5
1.5	Components of a model . . . . .	6
1.6	Modelling workflow and structure of the course . . . . .	11
<b>I</b>	<b>Biophysical and physiological models</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Modelling plant basic processes</b>	<b>14</b>
2.1	Photosynthesis models . . . . .	14
2.2	Stomatal models . . . . .	21
2.3	Upscaling from leaf to canopy . . . . .	29
2.4	Case studies . . . . .	30
<b>3</b>	<b>Modelling radiation, vegetation canopies, and energy balance</b>	<b>32</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	32
3.2	Radiative transfer modelling . . . . .	35
3.3	Representing canopy structure in models . . . . .	48
3.4	Ecosystem energy balance . . . . .	54
3.5	Case studies . . . . .	59
<b>4</b>	<b>Temporal and seasonal dynamics</b>	<b>62</b>
4.1	Phenology . . . . .	62
4.2	Mechanisms of phenology and evidence of changes . . . . .	62
4.3	Models of phenology . . . . .	63
<b>II</b>	<b>Modelling vegetation dynamics</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Modelling plant growth and biogeochemical cycles in vegetation models</b>	<b>65</b>
5.1	Process-based growth modelling . . . . .	65
5.2	Carbon cycle models: stocks and fluxes . . . . .	65
5.3	Nutrient cycle models: soil biogeochemical models . . . . .	67

5.4 Water balance . . . . .	68
<b>6 Representing biodiversity in vegetation models</b>	<b>69</b>
6.1 Why and how representing biodiversity in vegetation models? . . . . .	69
6.2 Functional diversity . . . . .	69
6.3 Competition models . . . . .	71
6.4 Communities . . . . .	71
6.5 What about crops? . . . . .	72
<b>7 Modelling vegetation dynamics and demography</b>	<b>73</b>
7.1 Gap models, individual and cohort based models . . . . .	73
7.2 Allometric relationships . . . . .	74
7.3 Competition for light . . . . .	74
7.4 Seed dispersal and recruitment . . . . .	74
7.5 Mortality . . . . .	75
<b>III Upscaling and applications</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>8 Spatial heterogeneity, landscape scale, metapopulations</b>	<b>77</b>
8.1 Patch dynamics . . . . .	77
8.2 Land-use changes . . . . .	78
8.3 Natural and Anthropogenic disturbances . . . . .	78
<b>9 Upscaling from the leaf to the globe</b>	<b>80</b>
9.1 Spatial and temporal non-linearities: Cascading effect in the Earth system	80
9.2 Land surface models . . . . .	80
9.3 DVGMs as a part of Earth System Models . . . . .	81
<b>10 Model projections and scenario analysis</b>	<b>82</b>
10.1 Climate scenarios . . . . .	82
10.2 Land-use scenarios . . . . .	83
10.3 Management scenarios . . . . .	83
10.4 Some concrete applications of vegetation models . . . . .	83
<b>IV Appendix</b>	<b>84</b>
<b>Contributing to this document</b>	<b>85</b>
First steps . . . . .	85
New pull request . . . . .	85
<b>Supporting material</b>	<b>87</b>
<b>V Practicals</b>	<b>88</b>
<b>Practical A</b>	<b>89</b>

Practical B	90
Practical C	91
Practical D	92
Practical E	93
Practical F	94

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 The central role of vegetation in the Earth system

Plants and vegetation play an essential role in the earth system. In Figure 1.1, we have represented the planetary boundaries and the significant environmental challenges we are facing. For some of them, we are reaching the system's boundary, such as genetic diversity, biogeochemical cycling, and climate change. Plants and forests ecosystems are critical in some of these planetary problems. Therefore, it is crucial to understand how vegetation reacts to environmental problems (positive or negative feedback).




Figure 1.1: The planetary boundaries ([www.stockholmresilience.org](http://www.stockholmresilience.org))

A more specific example is the global carbon budget. We are currently facing this significant change in biogeochemical cycling due to the rising fossil fuel emission over the last 150 years. Figure 1.2 represents the balance between carbon sources (fossil carbon and land-use changes) and sinks (oceans, land, and atmosphere). The more we emit, the more the Earth system is capturing, as shown in Fig.2. These take us to a question, taken frequently by the models, about how long these sinks will continue or not capture our increasing carbon emissions. It is also important to highlight the high annual variability of the land component being the most challenging component to predict.




Figure 1.2: The global carbon budget ([www.globalcarbonproject.org](http://www.globalcarbonproject.org))

**Climate Models** predicts the long-term weather variation and average weather, how they will evolve. These models include the atmosphere, land and ocean component (Fig.3, top). The original climate models focus on biophysics: energy and water balances, predicting precipitation, radiation and fluxes between the three components. More recently, climate models have evolved into **Earth System Models** (ESM). ESM have a more complex concept because it represents more processes (Fig.3, bottom). Why? If you want to predict the end of the century climate, we need to consider greenhouse gases. EMS are more complex but also more realistic. Vegetation models are usually the land component of ESM.




Figure 1.3: Scientific scope of (a) climate models and (b) earth system models. (Bonan 2019)

Vegetation models are often the land component of an earth system model.

- simulates **energy fluxes**: radiation, evapotranspiration and sensible heat fluxes between land and the atmosphere. Depending on the vegetation type, the impacts are different.
- simulates the **hydrology** and the **carbon cycle**.
- slower processes like **vegetation dynamics**: the succession of forest or **land use** and **urbanization**.




Figure 1.4: Scientific scope of terrestrial biosphere model. (Bonan 2019)

The biosphere acts as a coupler in models. The coupler is a system that links different models. The vegetation provides the link between land and atmosphere component.

## 1.2 Why do we need modelling?

Modelling has proven to be a handy tool for:

- For **understanding**: we need good theoretical foundations (understand processes) to generalize in space and time.
- For **prediction**, how vegetation responds to expected changes (temperature or CO<sub>2</sub>) to implant management strategies and policies.
- For **data integration**: a framework to bring together multiple data sources.

## 1.3 Model types

Table 1.1: Continuum of terrestrial biosphere/ecosystem models. (Bonan 2019)

Type of model	Description	Example
Biogeochemical	Ecosystem model with emphasis on biogeochemical pools and fluxes	CASA, BIOME-BGC, CENTURY
Forest gap models	Individual trees, population dynamics, demography, community composition	JABOWA, SORTIE
Ecosystem demography	As gap models but cohort based	ED
Dynamic Global Vegetation Models (DGVM)	Biogeochemistry, community composition, global biogeography	LPJ, LPJ-guess, ORCHIDEE, JULES, ED2
Land Surface Models (LSM)	Global models of the land surface, as part of climate models with focus on biophysical coupling with the atmosphere. They now include biogeochemistry, and vegetation dynamics → they became a DGVM	ORCHIDEE, JSBACH, CLM, FATES, ED2, ...
Plant canopy	Multilayer models with focus on coupling leaf physiology and canopy physics	CANOAK, FORUG
Canopy- chemistry	Plant canopy models that include chemical transport	CAFE
Ecohydrology	Similar to LSM, but spatially explicit with river routing, lateral flow	RHESSy

How can we look at these models' types? We can divide these model types into empirical models or process-based models. **Empirical models** are based on data and correlations, not precisely describing the biophysical processes — **process-based models** describing the biophysical processes and causal relations between the variables (Table 1.1). Most models are hybrid.

Table 1.2: Continuum of process-based versus empirical models. (Adams et al. 2013)

	Process-based	Empirical
Relationship type	Causal	Correlative
Relative comprehensiveness	More comprehensive	Less comprehensive
Incorporation of mechanism	Explicit	Implicit
Primary source of error	Unknown parameters and processes	Extrapolation
Model uncertainty	Higher	Lower
Data requirements	Higher	Lower
Spatial scale for calibration	Smaller	Smaller to larger
Spatial scaling of prediction	Smaller to Larger	Best at scale of calibration

The model type depends on:

- Purpose: will it be used for management support, policy support, research.
- Question: different people will be interested in different questions (forester, ecologist,...)
- Scale: models that are to be applied for local use can be much more detailed than worldwide models because data gathering is much more straightforward on a small scale.

## 1.4 The history of vegetation models

The first vegetation models have emerged in 1960 and 1970. There are some parallel evolutions during that time because of computers emerging, making it possible to study more complex systems.

One of the first models was the **box models** (1960); these models describe the flow

of mass and energy thought boxes. These models still exist in currently biogeochemical models, where arrows represent the fluxes between the pools. In parallel, the **gap models** had emerged. They were developed by forestry scientists using forest inventories derived from growth, regeneration, and mortality in response to environmental variables. In 1973, the first model was developed to derive global net primary productivity, relating in an empirical way to climate variables (temperature) with vegetation productivity—the first attempt to make a global upscaling of a process. In the 1980s surged the first **land surface models**. Land surface models start the models where the other models start to integrate – **Terrestrial biosphere models** (see Figure 1.5), which are now the state-of-the-art land components ESMs. Vegetation modelling is a very interdisciplinary field because it involves knowledge of different scientific fields, making it difficult to find a common terminology. EMS are still not good to simulate vegetation dynamics.




Figure 1.5: Timeline showing the parallel development of model types and the integration of model types into land surface models towards terrestrial biosphere models. (Bonan 2019)

## 1.5 Components of a model

What is a vegetation model?

- **Dynamic global vegetation models** (DGVMs) are powerful tools to project past, current and future vegetation patterns and associated biogeochemical cycles (Scheiter et al., 2013).
- A **Dynamic Global Vegetation Model** (DGVM) is a computer program that simulates shifts in potential vegetation and its associated biogeochemical and hydrological cycles as a response to shifts in climate. DGVMs use time series

of climate data and, given constraints of latitude, topography, and soil characteristics, simulate monthly or daily dynamics of ecosystem processes. DGVMs are used most often to simulate the effects of future climate change on natural vegetation and its carbon and water cycles (Wikipedia 2021).

Table 1.3: Definition of key model components and examples for a typical TBM

Model component	Definition (syllabus)	Examples for a typical TBM
System boundary	What is in the system and what is out?	Lower atmosphere, deep soil
State variable	Time varying quantity	Carbon pools, soil water content
Model structure	The equations and connectivity	Photosynthesis equations
Parameters	Constants in process equations	Photosynthetic capacity, drought sensitivity parameter, ...
Forcing inputs ('forcings')	Quantities needed to evolve the model state	Air temperature, radiation, .... (meteo), atm. CO <sub>2</sub> concentration
Initial conditions	State variables at model start-up	Carbon pools, soil water content
Model outputs	Quantities simulated by the model (state variables, fluxes,...)	Biogeochemical fluxes, dynamics of model states, ...

### 1.5.1 Processes

Key component because we are focusing on process-based models. There is an all list of processes (energy, water, turbulent transport, canopy scaling, carbon, nitrogen, trace gasses, demography,...) that integrates the models, especially the more complex ones. These processes will be discussed in detail in the following theory lectures and how to translate them into equations.

### 1.5.2 Equations

Representations of the processes. However, it is impossible to insert any equation into the model because there are important constraints, such as the specific time. For example, it makes little sense to resolve the equation for forest composition (succession) every day. This is a prolonged process with an extremely low variance between consecutive days. The solution for the equation for photosynthesis, on the other hand, varies significantly throughout the day and between consecutive days (cloudy day vs sunny day). There are three types of equations within the model: - **prognostic equations**: time derivatives of differential equations – calculate the state's change over time - **conservation equations**: conservation of mass and energy - **diagnostic equations**: linking multiple variables independent from the time. Often there is no analytical solution in biological systems; therefore, we must use numerical methods to solve the equations.

### 1.5.3 Parameters

Constants in the model. Some parameters are quite uncertain because we can not measure very well or on the scale, we want to use. For example, we can be confident of the photosynthetic capacity of a leaf. Upscaling this result so that it is applicable for a forest or multiple PTF (plant functional types) induces uncertainty. The more parameters a model uses, the more uncertainties that are to be taken into account!

## 1.5.4 Time Steps

Vegetation models run at multiple timescales (combining processes that are resolved at multiple timescales). Models present fast processes, which are calculated every hour (photosynthesis and energy balance), intermediate processes calculated daily (carbon allocation and growth) and slow processes in order of years (mortality) (Fig.6).




Figure 1.6: Structure of a vegetation model indicating the different time steps at which each process is simulated (Williams et al. 2009)

## 1.5.5 Spatial structure

Division of space or how many times we repeat our calculations in space. The global models have a spatial grid of 100km or even more and divide the landscape into patches. In each patch, they simulate the forest (or savannas, grassland...). Models also have a horizontal grid or horizontal layering: some models consider multiple soil layers. The same is true for above ground layers, where some models divide the canopy into multiple layers (Figure 1.7). For example, the Ecosystem Demography Model (ED2.2) divides the forest into multiple grid cells where the same meteorological conditions apply within each grid cell. Then within each cell, he has different sites with different soils. Each site is divided into multiple patches (forests with a similar disturbance history). Into each patch, we simulate different cohorts of trees (Figure 1.8).




Figure 1.7: Three dimensional grid of a TBM structured in terms of longitude x latitude x level. The number of soil and canopy layers and the geographical resolution is model dependent, (Bonan 2019)




Figure 1.8: Example: the spatial multi-level grid structure of the ED2 vegetation model (Longo et al. 2019)

### 1.5.6 Model code, complexity and uncertainty

There is a gap between equations and model code. Also, it is a variety of how a specific process is implemented in an equation. Usually, large models also contained a “technical debt”, which means over the years, multiple modellers have continued working on models and add code lines, but at some point, the code is so large that anyone knows all code.

Models are always a simplification of the real world, but they tend to become overly complex


More complex models (adding more processes) become more realistic, but we also add more uncertainty sources. Therefore, we should choose our model carefully based on a research question.

### 1.5.7 Data

Not possible to develop models without data. In general, the more data (multiple data sources), the better.

## 1.6 Modelling workflow and structure of the course

Vegetation modelling is a multidisciplinary field. This course will mainly focus on the mathematical formulation of processes and translating these equations into a working model.



36

Figure 1.9: Progression through spatial and temporal scales throughout this course

The construction of a model is a continuous process – a model is never finished. As Figure 10 shows us, we start by describing our system in the form of equations, then running the computer program to characterize the model, perform parameter estimation and interpretation, and then apply it to other locations and validate against independent data.




Figure 1.10: Model data fusion in every step of the model development cycle (Williams et al. 2009)




Figure 1.11: Methodological workflow of model data fusion (Dietze: Ecological Forecasting)

## **Part I**

# **Biophysical and physiological models**

# Chapter 2

## Modelling plant basic processes

For all processes, we provide an overview of existing models and approaches and we will detail only one of them for the practical course. This also applies for the other chapters, the idea of the course is to be more conceptual about how we model vegetation and the different applications and assumptions.

### 2.1 Photosynthesis models

#### 2.1.1 Refreshing the basic knowledge




Figure 2.1: Leaf level processes transpiration and photosynthesis are strongly inter-linked and both regulated by stomatal conductance




Figure 2.2: Photosynthesis in relation to (a) photosynthetically active radiation,(b) temperature, (c) vapor pressure deficit at 25°C and 35°C,(d) foliage water potential, (e) ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, and (f) foliage water potential for jack pine trees (*Pinus banksiana*). Bonan (2019)




Figure 2.3: Temperature responses of photosynthesis, respiration and net  $\text{CO}_2$  exchange, interaction with  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration (A) and light (B) Schulze ()

## 2.1.2 C3 photosynthesis

### 2.1.2.1 Light response curve models




Figure 2.4: Conceptual figure of a leaf-level light reponse curve




Figure 2.5: Co-limitation illustrated for photosynthetic response to light. The two dashed lines show the rates  $A_{max}$  adn  $EI$  The solid lines show the co-limited rate. (Bonan 2019)

### 2.1.2.2 Light use efficiency models




Figure 2.6: MODIS based GPP map of the US, based on a LUE model.

### 2.1.2.3 The Farquhar model




Figure 2.7: Diffusion of CO<sub>2</sub> from free air across the leaf boundary layer and through stomata to the intercellular space. Diffusion to the chloroplast is additionally regulated by mesophyl conductance. (Bonan 2019)




Figure 2.8: Simulated responses of C3 photosynthesis in relation to (a) intercellular  $\text{CO}_2$  (at  $I_{\downarrow} = 2000 \text{ mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) and (b) photosynthetically active radiation (at  $c_i = 266 \text{ mol mol}^{-1}$ ). (Bonan 2019)

- UCL 4.6.1
- Bonan, Chapter 11.1 The FvCB model Most equations between 11.1 and 11.31 Figure 11.2 a and b Table 11.1 for parameters values + a few simulations to illustrate Table 11.4

### 2.1.3 Parameter and temperature dependencies




Figure 2.9: Relative temperature responses of the parameters of the Farquhar model (Bonan 2019)




Figure 2.10: Linear relation between observed  $V_{c\max}$  and  $J_{\max}$  values for Beech (Verbeeck et al. 2008)

Definition	Equation
Rubisco-limited assimilation	$A_c = \frac{V_{cmax} (c_i - \Gamma_*)}{c_i + K_c (1 + o_i/K_o)}$
Light-limited assimilation	$A_j = \frac{J}{4} \left( \frac{c_i - \Gamma_*}{c_i + 2\Gamma_*} \right)$
Gross photosynthesis	$A = \min(A_c, A_j)$ (no colimitation) $\Theta_A A^2 - (A_c + A_j)A + A_c A_j$ (colimitation)
Net photosynthesis	$A_n = \min(A_c, A_j) - R_d$
Electron transport rate	$\Theta_j J^2 - (I_{PSII} + J_{max})J + I_{PSII} J_{max}$
PSII light utilization	$I_{PSII} = \frac{1}{2} \Phi_{PSII} \alpha_l I^l$
Maximum carboxylation rate	$V_{cmax} = V_{cmax25} \cdot f(T_l) \cdot f_H(T_l)$
Maximum electron transport rate	$J_{max} = J_{max25} \cdot f(T_l) \cdot f_H(T_l)$ $J_{max25} = 1.67 \cdot V_{cmax25}$
Leaf respiration	$R_d = R_{d25} \cdot f(T_l) \cdot f_H(T_l)$ $R_{d25} = 0.015 \cdot V_{cmax25}$
Michalis-Menten constant, CO <sub>2</sub>	$K_c = K_{c25} \cdot f(T_l)$
Michalis-Menten constant, O <sub>2</sub>	$K_o = K_{o25} \cdot f(T_l)$
CO <sub>2</sub> compensation point	$\Gamma_* = \Gamma_* \cdot f(T_l)$
Arrhenius function	$f(T_l) = \exp \left[ \frac{\Delta H_a}{298.15 \cdot R} \left( 1 - \frac{298.15}{T_l} \right) \right]$
High temperature inhibition	$f_H(T_l) = \frac{1 + \exp \left( \frac{298.15 \cdot \Delta S - \Delta H_d}{298.15 \cdot R} \right)}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{(T_l \cdot \Delta S - \Delta H_d)}{T_l \cdot R} \right)}$

Figure 2.11: Equations of the full Farquhar model

- Bonan, Chapter 11.2 Equations 11.34-11.37 Table 11.2 Figure 11.3 for illustration Summary with Table 11.5 and Figure 11.4

### 2.1.4 C4 photosynthesis

- Bonan, Chapter 11.7 PEP carboxylase Equations 11.69-11.74 Find an illustration




Figure 2.12: Comparison of C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> photosynthesis in response to (a) photosynthetically active radiation, (b) ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, (c) leaf temperature, and (d) vapor pressure deficit. In this figure, stomatal conductance is calculated using the Ball–Berry model and  $c_i$  is obtained from the diffusion equation

## 2.2 Stomatal models

### 2.2.1 Refreshing the basic knowledge




Figure 2.13: Diffusion of CO<sub>2</sub> from free air across the leaf boundary layer and through stomata to the intercellular space. Diffusion to the chloroplast is additionally regulated by mesophyl conductance. (Bonan 2019)




Figure 2.14: Observed responses of stomatal conductance for *Pinus banksiana*. (Bonan 2019)

## 2.2.2 Empirical multiplicative models

- Bonan, Chapter 12.2

## 2.2.3 Semiempirical photosynthesis-based models

- Bonan, Chapter 12.3




Figure 2.15: Relationship between stomatal conductance and  $A_n h_s/C_s$  for soybean.(Bonan 2019)




Figure 2.16: Flow diagram of the iterative procedure to numerically calculate  $c_i$ . (Bonan 2019)

## 2.2.4 WUE models and optimality theory

- Bonan, Chapter 12.4 + add optimality approach from Prentice et al.




Figure 2.17: Flow diagram of leaf flux calculations to numerically solve for stomatal conductance that optimizes water-use efficiency.(Bonan 2019)

## 2.2.5 Soil drought stress




Figure 2.18: Leaf carbon uptake in response to leaf water potential for multiple tree species.




Figure 2.19: Soil moisture wetness factor in relation to volumetric water content. (Bonan 2019)

## 2.2.6 Hydraulic models




Figure 2.20: Flow of water and representative water potentials along the soil–plant–atmosphere continuum. Also shown are conductances along the hydraulic pathway.(Bonan 2019)




Figure 2.21: Depiction of (a) plant hydraulics and (b) leaf gas exchange in the Soil–Plant–Atmosphere (SPA) model. SPA is a multilayer canopy model. (Bonan 2019)




Figure 2.22: Simulated stomatal responses for various modelling approaches. (Bonan 2019)

Figure 13.1 The soil-plant-atmosphere model Leaf water potential Plant water uptake Resistance analogy Multinode models

## 2.3 Upscaling from leaf to canopy

- Quickly introduce the problem of scaling in ecology (review paper of Jerome Chave) and refer to chapter 10 on upscaling
- Canopy integration: LAI layers, etc... Nice transition to chap 3 with the interception of light by the canopy

Leaf microclimate and boundary layer processes in relation to leaf dimension for sun and shade conditions.




Figure 2.23: Leaf microclimate and boundary layer processes in relation to leaf dimension for sun and shade conditions.(Bonan 2019)




Figure 2.24: Controlling factors on ecosystem GPP. (Chapin)

## 2.4 Case studies

### 2.4.1 Case study 2.1 Ozone impact on global GPP




Figure 2.25: Simulated global GPP reduction in response to current and future atmospheric ozone concentrations

## 2.4.2 Case study 2.2 Drought impact on rainforest GPP




Figure 2.26: Simulated (SPA model) and observed sapflow for a drought experiment in the Amazon; Fisher et al. 2007




Figure 2.27: Simulated (SPA model) gs and GPP for a drought experiment in the Amazon. Fisher et al. 2007

# Chapter 3

## Modelling radiation, vegetation canopies, and energy balance

### 3.1 Introduction




Figure 3.1: Generalized profiles of leaf area density in plant canopies. (Bonan)




Figure 3.2: Cumulative LAI and WAI in a deciduous oak-hickory forest. (Bonan)




Figure 3.3: Illustration of a leaf (thick line) oriented at an angle  $\Theta$  to horizontal. (Bonan)




Figure 3.4: Planophile, erectophile, plagiophile, and spherical leaf angle distributions showing (a) the probability density function  $f(\Theta)$  and (b) the cumulative distribution  $F(\Theta)$ . (Bonan)




Figure 3.5: Illustration of leaf angle distributions and canopy architecture in general influences radiation attenuation in vegetation canopies.




Figure 3.6: Profile of light and foliage in a stand of herbaceous plants approximately 130 cm tall. The horizontal axis shows transmittance as a fraction of incident radiation (top axis) and foliage mass (bottom axis) at various heights in the canopy. (Bonan)

### 3.2 Radiative transfer modelling




Figure 3.7: Representation of a canopy as (a) one-dimensional with a vertical profile of leaf area (shown by grayscale gradation in which darker shading denotes more leaves) that is horizontally homogenous and (b) three-dimensional with vertical and spatial structure determined by crown geometry and spacing. (Bonan)

### 3.2.1 Leaf optical properties




Figure 3.8: Spectrum of absorptance, reflectance and transmittance of a typical plant leaf (Jones, 2014)

	$\rho_s$ (%)	$\alpha_s$ (%)
Single leaves		
Crop species	29–33	40–60
Deciduous broad leaves (low sun)	26–32	34–44
Deciduous broad leaves (high sun)	20–26	48–56
<i>Artemisia</i> sp. (white pubescent, high sun)	39	55
<i>Verbascum</i> sp. (white pubescent, high sun)	36	52
Conifers	12	88
Typical mean values for total shortwave ( $\rho_s, \alpha_s$ )	~30	~50
Typical mean values for PAR ( $\rho_{PAR}, \alpha_{PAR}$ )	~9	~85
Vegetation		
Grass	24	
Crops	15–26	
Forests	12–18	
Typical mean values for total shortwave ( $\rho_s$ )	~20	
Typical mean values for PAR ( $\rho_{PAR}$ )	~5	
Other surfaces		
Snow	75–95	
Wet soil	9 ± 4	
Dry soil	19 ± 6	
Water	5–>20	

Figure 3.9: Table showing typical reflectance and absorptance values for leaves and vegetation canopies of different Plant Functional Types (PFT). (Jones, 2014)

### 3.2.2 Light transmission without scattering




Figure 3.10: Transmission of solar radiation through a homogeneous medium in the absence of scattering. In this example,  $n$  non-overlapping opaque particles each with cross-sectional area  $a$  oriented perpendicular to the path of light are placed in a medium with cross-sectional area  $A$  and thickness  $dz$ . The radiation absorbed in the medium is  $dI$ . (Bonan)




Figure 3.11: Transmission of direct beam radiation  $b$  in relation to leaf area index for typical values of the extinction coefficient  $K_b$ . (Bonan)




Figure 3.12: Extinction coefficient in relation to solar zenith angle  $Z$  and leaf inclination angle  $\Theta_\ell$ . In each panel, a unit leaf area ( $L = 1$ ), shown with a thick line, is projected onto a horizontal surface  $L_H$  so that  $K_b = L_H$ . The leaf inclination angle is  $0^\circ$  (bottom panels),  $30^\circ$  (middle panels), and  $60^\circ$  (top panels). In the left and middle columns, the leaf is oriented towards the Sun ( $A - A = 0^\circ$ ) and the solar zenith angle is  $0^\circ$  (left column) and  $15^\circ$  (middle column). In the right column,  $Z = 15^\circ$ , but the leaf is oriented away from the Sun ( $A - A = 180^\circ$ ). In each panel, the arrows indicate the solar beam (Bonan)




Figure 3.13: Extinction coefficients for horizontal, spherical, and vertical leaf angle distributions. (a) Direct beam radiation  $K_b$  in relation to solar zenith angle. (b) Diffuse radiation  $K_d$  in relation to leaf area index (Bonan)




Figure 3.14: Radiative transfer and sunlit leaf area index for a canopy of horizontal leaves (top panels) with  $K_b = 1$  and vertical leaves (bottom panels) with  $K_b = 0.112$ . The left-hand panels show a canopy consisting of four layers of leaves. Each thick black line represents a leaf area index of  $0.1 \text{ m}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$ . The thin lines depict interception or transmission of beam radiation with a zenith angle of  $10^\circ$ . The middle panels show cumulative leaf area index and sunlit leaf area index with depth in the canopy. The right-hand panels show direct beam transmittance with depth in the canopy. (Bonan)




Figure 3.15: Sunlit leaf area index in relation to total leaf area index for horizontal, spherical, and vertical foliage orientations with solar zenith angle  $Z = 30^\circ$ .  $K_b = 1$ , 0.577, and 0.368 for horizontal, spherical, and vertical foliage. (Bonan)



Figure 3.16: Images illustrating leaf/canopy clumping at various scales: leaf, crown, stand.

### 3.2.3 Diffuse transmittance




Figure 3.17: Illustration of direct beam and diffuse radiation. The sky forms a bowl, or inverted hemisphere, over a horizontal surface. Shown is a cross section of the sky hemisphere. Direct beam (solid line) originates from the direction of the Sun with zenith angle  $Z$ . Diffuse radiation (dashed lines) can be treated as independent beams of radiation each with an angle  $Z$ . The shaded region is the relative contribution between sky angles  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  to total sky irradiance.(Bonan)




Figure 3.18: Transmittance of diffuse radiation  $d$  in relation to leaf area index for a spherical leaf distribution. Show are the transmittances for sky zones of  $0^\circ$ – $30^\circ$ ,  $30^\circ$ – $60^\circ$ , and  $60^\circ$ – $90^\circ$  and also the total transmittance. Fill patterns show the contribution of each sky zone to total transmittance.(Bonan)




Figure 3.19: Transmission of solar radiation through a canopy with spherical leaf distribution in relation to leaf area index. The solid lines show direct beam transmittance  $b$  for solar zenith angles of  $0^\circ$ – $80^\circ$  (in  $10^\circ$  increments). The dashed line shows the diffuse transmittance  $d$ . (Bonan)

### 3.2.4 The Norman Model(1979)




Figure 3.20: Radiative fluxes in a canopy of  $N$  leaf layers. The vertical profile is oriented with  $i = 1$  the leaf layer at the bottom of the canopy, leaf layer  $i + 1$  above layer  $i$ , and  $i = N$  the leaf layer at the top of the canopy. Each layer has a leaf area index  $\Delta L_i$ .  $I^{\downarrow}_i$  is the downward diffuse shortwave flux onto layer  $i$ ,  $I^{\uparrow}_i$  is the upward diffuse shortwave flux above layer  $i$ , and  $I^{\downarrow}_{sky,b}$  is the unscattered direct beam flux onto layer  $i$ .  $L^{\uparrow}_i$  and  $L^{\downarrow}_i$  are the corresponding downward and upward fluxes of longwave radiation. These depend on leaf  $T$  and ground  $T_g$  temperatures. Thick arrows denote boundary conditions of diffuse solar radiation, direct beam solar radiation, and atmospheric longwave radiation at the top of the canopy.(Bonan)

### 3.2.5 The Goudriaan and van Laar Model (1994)




Figure 3.21: Derivation of absorbed direct beam solar radiation for a leaf layer with leaf area index  $\Delta L$  (Goudriaan 1982).  $c$  is the reflectance of the leaf layer.(Bonan)

### 3.2.6 The Two-Stream approximation





Figure 3.22: Fluxes for (a) direct beam and (b) diffuse radiation in the two-stream approximation for a canopy with leaf area index  $L$ . (Bonan)

### 3.2.7 Longwave radiation

(a) Numerical



(b) Analytical




Figure 3.23: Longwave radiation fluxes represented for a single leaf layer.(a) Norman's (1979) numerical model. Shown is the radiative balance for leaf layer  $i + 1$  located above leaf layer  $i$ . (b) A simplified model to allow only forward scattering ( $\omega_e = 0$  and  $\epsilon_e = 1 - \tau_d$ ) and to permit an analytical solution integrated over a canopy. In both panels, emitted radiation is excluded. Thick lines denote fluxes incident onto the layer. (Bonan)

### 3.3 Representing canopy structure in models

#### 3.3.1 Big-leaf models




Figure 3.24: Scaling of leaf fluxes to the canopy using a big-leaf model. (a) Shown are leaf sensible heat, transpiration, and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes in relation to various conductances. Fluxes are exchanged between the leaf and air around the leaf. Also shown is the total resistance. (b) Shown are big-leaf canopy fluxes in which leaf fluxes are scaled by the average conductance and leaf area index and are further modified by turbulent transport in the atmospheric surface layer. Surface layer processes are commonly omitted for CO<sub>2</sub> exchange. Only a single big leaf is shown, but separate sunlit and shaded big leaves can be similarly depicted. (Bonan)




Figure 3.25: Canopy profiles of relative photosynthetic capacity in relation to cumulative leaf area index. Thin lines show exponential profiles using values of  $K_n$  for 16 temperate broadleaf forests and two tropical forests ranging from 0.10 to 0.43 (Lloyd et al. 2010). The two thick lines show observed profiles of  $V_{\text{cmax}}$  and  $J_{\text{max}}$  from Niinemets and Tenhunen (1997) obtained for sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*). (Bonan)



### 3.3.2 Multilayer models




Figure 3.26: Overview of the main processes in a multilayer canopy model. The canopy is represented by  $N$  leaf layers with layer  $i + 1$  above layer  $i$ . (a) Diffuse and direct beam solar radiation is transmitted or intercepted. The intercepted portion is absorbed or scattered in the forward and backward direction. Longwave radiation is similar to diffuse radiation. (b) Leaf sensible heat, transpiration, and CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes depend on absorbed radiation and leaf boundary layer and stomatal conductances. Sensible heat is exchanged from both sides of the leaf. Water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub> can be exchanged from one or both sides of the leaf depending on stomata. Leaf temperature is the temperature that balances the energy budget. (c) Stomatal conductance depends on leaf water potential. Plant water uptake for a canopy layer is in relation to belowground soil and root conductance and aboveground stem conductance acting in series and also a capacitance term. See Figure 13.4a for more details. (d) Scalar profiles are calculated from a conductance network. Leaf fluxes provide the source or sink of heat, water vapor, and CO<sub>2</sub>, along with soil fluxes. (e) Sensible heat, latent heat, and heat storage in soil depend on the ground temperature that balances the soil energy budget. (f) The wetted fraction of the canopy layer depends on the portion of precipitation that is intercepted. (Bonan)




Figure 3.27: Flow diagram of processes in a multilayer canopy model. The shaded area denotes leaf processes resolved at each layer in the canopy. This is a generalized diagram of the required calculations for a dry leaf. Specific models differ in how the equation set is solved and the iterative calculations. Evaporation of intercepted water requires additional complexity.(Bonan)

### 3.3.3 3D ray tracing models




Figure 3.28: Example of the PROSPECT leaf optical model and the DART 3D ray tracing model.




Figure 3.29: Example of a study that uses terrestrial laser scanning (TLS) to construct a full 3D model of a forest as input for a 3D ray tracing model (Kükenbrink et al. 2020)

## 3.4 Ecosystem energy balance

### 3.4.1 Basic principles

### 3.4.2 Surface radiation balance




Figure 3.30: Radiative balance of an opaque gray body receiving downwelling solar  $S\downarrow$  and longwave  $L\downarrow$  radiation.(Bonan)

### 3.4.3 Bulk surface energy balance





Figure 3.31: Conductance networks for sensible heat flux (top) and latent heat flux (bottom) for various depictions of the land surface. This chapter describes the bulk surface and big-leaf canopies. (Bonan)




### 3.4.4 Leaf energy balance

(a) Radiative environment



(b) Boundary layer processes



(c) Stomatal physiology





Figure 3.32: Biophysics and biochemistry of leaves. (a) The radiative environment consists of solar radiation (left) and longwave radiation (right). (b) Leaf fluxes include




## 3.5 Case studies

### 3.5.1 Case study 3.1


(a) Radiative environment



(b) Boundary layer processes



(c) Stomatal physiology







Figure 3.34: Resulting impact of changing diffuse fraction on carbon and water fluxes and WUE (Knohl et al. 2008)

### 3.5.2 Case study 3.2



**Fig. 1** Global map indicating the trend of LAI from 1981 to 2016. The gray color indicates non-vegetated areas and the white color denotes that the trend is statistically insignificant ( $p > 0.05$ ). Positive values indicate increasing trends of growing season mean LAI and vice versa.

Figure 3.35: Global map of LAI trend between 1981 and 2016 based on remote sensing (Chen et al. 2021).




Figure 3.36: Simulated impact of different factors contributing to the increased global land C sink since 1981 (Chen et al. 2021)

# Chapter 4

## Temporal and seasonal dynamics

### 4.1 Phenology

- UCL 4.5.2 The study of life-cycle events. Here refers to the temporal dynamics of vegetation. Broader sense of phenology. Applies to most plant. Circadian to seasonal cycles Tissue turnover and senescence

#### 4.1.1 The example of crop phenology

- UCL 4.5.1 Definition +Simple example of phenology (wheat for example: germination + spread + full coverage + allocation to storage organs + ripening)

### 4.2 Mechanisms of phenology and evidence of changes

- UCL 4.5.3

#### 4.2.1 Overview of controls at different levels

- temperature
- light
- water
- Nutrients
- Drivers of seasonality and phenology

#### 4.2.2 Vegetation index and changes over time

- This is also why phenology is a “metrics” of climate change

#### 4.2.3 Seasonality feedbacks

- Phenology affects phenology (phenophases are linked, one perturbation will affect the next phenophase in the cycle)

- The control of phenology on climate: example, early spring leaf unfolding exacerbates drought in summer

## 4.3 Models of phenology

- UCL 4.5.4

### 4.3.1 budburst models

### 4.3.2 senescence models

### 4.3.3 Phenology in DGVMs

- Figures from Zhang et al. 2003

## Part II

# Modelling vegetation dynamics

# Chapter 5

## Modelling plant growth and biogeochemical cycles in vegetation models

- UCL 4.2.2

based on Bonan Chapter 17

### 5.1 Process-based growth modelling

#### 5.1.1 C-allocation models

- C pools: Allocation to leaf, wood, fruit

#### 5.1.2 Applications of growth modelling in forestry and agriculture

- short, link with inventory course

### 5.2 Carbon cycle models: stocks and fluxes

This chapter will develop the ecological foundation and mathematics to describe ecosystem carbon dynamics using biogeochemical models. Biogeochemical models abstract an ecosystem as pools of carbon and the flows of carbon among these pools.

Use specific model as an example to illustrate? In Bonan: CASA-CNP model

#### 5.2.1 Model structure

Biogeochemical models simulate processes of allocation of photosynthetic carbon gain to plant parts (e.g., foliage, fine root, wood), turnover of plant biomass as litterfall, transformation of litter to soil organic matter, and carbon loss during respiration.

Principles: - net carbon input is equal to gross primary production minus autotrophic respiration; - carbon flows from donor to receiver pools at a rate that depends on the donor pool size and its chemical quality as modified by the environment; - mass balance is maintained as carbon flows through the system of interconnected pools; - decay of litter and soil organic matter releases CO<sub>2</sub> as heterotrophic respiration.

Models: a system of first-order, linear differential equations to describe carbon pools and fluxes (typically time step of one day)

Pools and fluxes to be included: - Carbon gain from gross primary production minus autotrophic respiration - Allocation of carbon to growth of leaves, wood, and roots pools (partitioning varies with light availability, soil temperature, soil moisture, and nutrients + temporal for leaves (ref to phenology) - Carbon turnover (comprising litterfall, background mortality, and disturbances) + turnover rates depending on the plant material - litter pools: metabolic litter, structural litter, coarse woody debris (vary in chemical quality and turnover rate; base turnover rates are modified for soil temperature and soil moisture (environmental scaling factors)) - decomposition to soil organic matter pools: fast SOM, slow SOM, passive SOM (vary in chemical quality and turnover time) - portion of the decomposition flow lost as heterotrophic respiration

Bonan - Figure 17.2: structure of a typical biogeochemical model - equations 17.1 – 17.10

Additional details? - maintenance respiration and growth respiration - storage pool of nonstructural carbohydrates - some models separate wood into live stems (sapwood) and dead stems, roots into fine roots and coarse roots, and coarse roots into live pools and dead pools to account for the different physiological functioning of these biomass components

### 5.2.2 Allocation and turnover parameterization

- types of allocation models (see Campioli et al 2013 and work of Faticchi et al. )
- allocation parameters
- fixed allocation and dynamic allocation (specified by biome or based on environmental conditions)
- Optimality models: plants optimally allocate resources to balance light acquisition (foliage), structural support and water transport (stems), and water and nutrient uptake (roots).
- allocation based on scaling relationships among plant components (specified ratios of foliage, root, and wood biomass)
- Turnover rates vary depending on plant material and are specified as a fraction of biomass.
- Turnover rates are commonly estimated as the inverse of residence time or longevity

- biogeochemical models can be applied to any type of ecosystem such as grassland, savanna, forest, shrubland, and tundra

## 5.3 Nutrient cycle models: soil biogeochemical models

### 5.3.1 Nitrogen cycle

- Bonan Chapter 17.6 Nitrogen Cycle
- Bonan Figure 17.8: Depiction of the nitrogen cycle
- only ~recently added in most biogeochemical models
- closely coupled to carbon cycle
- important role to limit plant productivity
- similar to carbon with an associated nitrogen pool and transfer.
- cycling of nitrogen can be represented by a system of linear differential equations similar to that for carbon.
- allocation of plant nitrogen uptake up to plant pools
- loss of nitrogen in litterfall + portion is reabsorbed
- soil nitrogen cycle is more complex (various forms)
- decomposition of litter and soil organic matter, (mineralization and immobilization)
- nitrification, denitrification, leaching, ammonia volatilization
- additional inputs from biological nitrogen fixation, atmospheric deposition, and fertilizer
- some examples of models? CLM?
- All models simulate a decrease in plant growth when soil mineral nitrogen is insufficient to meet demand, but they differ in the manner in which this is implemented.
- maybe also more soil oriented model (CENTURY , ....)
- discuss different approaches?

### 5.3.2 Phosphorus cycle

Not in Bonan - Some models additionally include phosphorus (Wang et al. 2010; Yang et al. 2014; Goll et al. 2017) - ORCHIDEE, CLM-CNP

### **5.3.3 Other nutrients**

- K and Mg in Eucalyptus and other tropical plantations

## **5.4 Water balance**

- focus on the surface energy balance and vertical water movement in the soil–plant atmosphere system (e.g., soil moisture control of evapotranspiration)
- Specific components in terrestrial biosphere models:  
Interception, throughfall, stemflow, infiltration, surface runoff, soil water redistribution, subsurface runoff, snow melt, evaporation, transpiration, plant water uptake, stomatal conductance

### **5.4.1 A bucket model hydrologic cycle**

- refer to hydrology courses in the program
- change in soil water is the difference between precipitation and evapotranspiration, excess runs off
- based on maximum water-holding capacity

# Chapter 6

## Representing biodiversity in vegetation models

### 6.1 Why and how representing biodiversity in vegetation models?

We can start with the applications? I think it is more interesting than finishing with the applications. Application: Conservation, ecosystem resilience, vegetation-atmosphere feedbacks

Biodiversity refers here to functional diversity.


### 6.2 Functional diversity

- check the book "Terrestrial Ecosystems in a changing world" from P. Canadell (2007)
- Part C: Landscapes under changing disturbance regimes:
  - PFT
  - Fire and disturbances
  - upscaling
  - construction, evaluation and examples of DGVM applications

#### 6.2.1 Definition of functional diversity and plant functional traits

Any morphological, physiological or phenological feature measurable at the individual level, from the cell to the whole-organism level, without reference to the environment or any other level of organization. It is functional if it affects fitness indirectly via its effects on growth, reproduction and survival.

- Seminal papers from the plant functional trait community Violle 2007, Lavorel, garnier, Shipley, etc...



- Mention here the interesting summer school: <http://www.cef-cfr.ca/index.php?n=MEMbres.AlisonMunsonPlantTraits?userlang=en> - List of reference papers in the link above - 3 types of traits: dynamic, response & constant, that are linked to the processes we studied in the previous chapter (slow/fast processes)

### 6.2.2 Representing 400 000 plant species in a single model: the Plant Functional Type approach

Short description in UCL 4.3.2

No description in Bonan

- Lack of observations for every species
- Computing resources problem (refers to the history of DGVMs from the introduction)
- A simplification based on biome description and plant functioning at the ecosystem level
- Different definitions of PFT: statistical classification, etc.
- Table of classical PFTs used in models here.
- PFT mapping: multi-obs approach based on remote sensing.
- First use of PFTs managed to reproduce well the gradients at the global scale, but now it is unsufficient.

### 6.2.3 Limits of the PFT representation in the context of global change

- Including acclimation and adaptation processes
- Dynamic vegetation: Accounting for non-random species turnover
- Quantifying vegetation-environment feedbacks
- Quantifying impacts of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning and climate

#### **6.2.4 From model parameters to plant traits**

- Reconciliating modelling with functional ecology.
- Existing databases (TRY)
- Empirical approach: More PFTs with traits instead of model-specific parameters, trait-trait, trait-environment relationships
- Trade-offs: modeling plant strategies → LES, PES, RES, all the ES :D
- Role of data assimilation in regions without data and to assess spatial variability of vegetation properties
- But: requires lots of observations in space and time.

#### **6.2.5 Eco-evolutive optimality approaches**

- New generation of models
- PPA, coordination, ect...
- Paper from Oskar.

### **6.3 Competition models**

Not in Bonan nor UCL

In Bonan Chapter 19 on demography, gap models, etc... which is a part of competition.

#### **6.3.1 Representation of PFTs in vegetation models**

- Parameterization and calibration of PFTs→ data assimilation, traits, model-specific parameters
- representation by pixels
- shared processes, different processes
- interaction between PFTs
- Depend on the model: individual/cohort/big leaf

#### **6.3.2 Competition for ressources / Plant strategy?**

- In fact we can extend the trait based approach and plant strategy (PES, etc) in the competition and community section?
- Mortality, turnover, etc..

#### **6.3.3 Representation of trait distributions**

- Trade-offs

### **6.4 Communities**

- Successions and impact on cycles, species composition etc.

## 6.5 What about crops?

- Not our focus but we don't forget it. A few words to say that specific crop models exists
- Diversity is not a problem anymore
- Plant functional traits are still central to crop modelling, but competition and diversity are no more an issue.
- Other problematics specific to agriculture, such as agro-ecosystems where we have multi layers of vegetation (Trees over crops) -> Very interesting modelling problem and application especially in arid/semi-arid and tropical regions

# Chapter 7

## Modelling vegetation dynamics and demography

Bonan Chapter 19.2 Another class of models, known as individual plant or ecosystem demography models, retains the complexity of individual plants or cohorts of similar plants. In these models, ecosystem properties such as carbon storage are the outcome of demographic processes.

- plant populations
- community composition
- ecosystem structure
- driven by demographic processes of recruitment, establishment, growth, and mortality

### 7.1 Gap models, individual and cohort based models

- small scale models; landscape represented as a mosaic of hundreds of independent forest patches, each of which can differ in species composition and stage of development in response to disturbance that creates an opening in the canopy
- models track the establishment, growth, and death of individual trees in an area of land.
- Each tree is characterized by its species, stem diameter, height, and age.
- trees compete for light, soil moisture, and nutrients.
- patch undergoes temporal changes in the density, size, and composition of trees with the formation of a gap in the canopy
- Community composition, biomass, productivity, and biogeochemical cycles are emergent outcomes of individual trees interacting among themselves and with the environment to acquire the resources necessary for growth and survival

- cohort-based models define patches based on age since disturbance and simulate the dynamics of cohorts of similar plant functional types rather than tracking every individual.
- Common to each model is the representation of vegetation demography, with age- and size-dependent growth and mortality and in which growth is constrained by allometric relationships of stem diameter with height, sapwood area, leaf area, and biomass. cohort models → modelling size distributions

## 7.2 Allometric relationships

- link with growth modelling of previous chapter
- allometric relationships are a critical driver of individual tree growth.
- Height is important for its effect on stem diameter increment, both directly through tree volume growth and indirectly through shading.
- Biomass allocation: empirical equations that constrain foliage, stem, and root mass for a given size tree
- relationship between stem diameter and leaf area drives light extinction in the canopy
- annual growth of a tree is calculated from its diameter and height as modified by light, climate, and site conditions. Growth curves figure 19.5

## 7.3 Competition for light

- critical driver of forest dynamics
- shading of smaller individuals by taller trees
- vertical profile of leaf area in the patch (vertical structure in which trees are arranged into canopy layers)
- height of a tree determines its location in the cumulative leaf area profile
- light extinction coefficient
- figure 19.6: representation of plant canopies

## 7.4 Seed dispersal and recruitment

- regeneration: stochastic process
- seeds of species are assumed to be present on-site
- available light at the forest floor, climate tolerances, and other site conditions determine which species become established.
- sprouting based on size
- Species are characterized by life history characteristics + maybe add example of herb layer models of FORNALAB

## 7.5 Mortality

- stochastic process
- Trees die with a constant probability each year
- The probability of mortality increases when tree growth is less than some minimum
- disturbance related mortality : Wildfire and insect outbreaks can be included
- The occurrence of fire is treated stochastically with an annual probability of burning. An individual patch may, for example, have a 1% chance of burning in any given year.

# Part III

## Upscaling and applications

# Chapter 8

## Spatial heterogeneity, landscape scale, metapopulations

### 8.1 Patch dynamics

Some references: - Book: The ecology of natural disturbance and patch dynamics, Pickett & White, 2013

#### 8.1.1 Spatial heterogeneity: Definitions

- Definition of Patch Dynamics, Perturbation, Disturbance:
- Spatial heterogeneity
- Resilience and shifts

#### 8.1.2 Impact of heterogeneity on ecosystem functioning and environmental feedbacks

- Show examples here of the impact of heterogeneity
- Application in the design of nature reserves for example

#### 8.1.3 Heterogeneity is a matter of resolution

- Imbricated levels of heterogeneity depending on spatial and temporal resolution
- Heterogeneity is also a matter of the studied question: important in term of modelling since it will govern how processes are implemented

#### 8.1.4 Representation in Vegetation models: what are the drivers of spatial heterogeneity?

- List here the different drivers
- Heterogeneity is a patchwork of homogeneity in most models
- But we can still represent dynamics in heterogeneity -> mortality, growth and shifts in species composition

### **8.1.5 Disturbances and Patch dynamics**

- We listed the different drivers above, we will now discuss in detail the most important aspects affecting patch dynamics
- Link to land-use and disturbance

## **8.2 Land-use changes**

- Land use is linked to spatial heterogeneity and patch dynamics

### **8.2.1 Role of Land-use in global emissions and biogeochemical cycles**

- impact C stocks and fluxes
- impact on nutrients (depletion over rotations, etc...)
- important impact on respiration
- vegetation cover and biophysical impact: albedo, etc...
- Specific case of deforestation, one of the most important imapct (make a paragraph on that?)
- How are fluxes attributed to land use in gas emission assessments? -> central role of vegetation modeling

### **8.2.2 The important role of land use in the water cycle**

- Affects regional precipitations
- Affects water routing -> Compared to the local impact on vegetation, here we touch something that will have an impact for the surrounding regions

### **8.2.3 Monitoring land-use**

- remote sensing, rapid link to other courses

### **8.2.4 How Land-use is represented in vegetation models?**

- Compared to vegetation dynamic which is process-based, here land use is imposed.
- management
- urban areas

## **8.3 Natural and Anthropogenic disturbances**

- We provide an overview of disturbances but we will detail only one of each: Fires and Management

### **8.3.1 Wind and extrem events**

- Modelling storms

- Modelling heat and cold waves, frost impact

### **8.3.2 Herbivory**

- Yes herbivory is represented in vegetation models :D
- Palability traits/ fixed fraction/ insects

### **8.3.3 Modelling fires**

- In UCL Practical chap. 6
- For estimating the impact on ecosystems
- To be able to predict fires
- Observation of fires and quantifications of fluxes
- Fires and deposition
- Aerosols
- Modelling “fire” traits, drought and temperature stress in models to simulate fires

### **8.3.4 Human activity: Management and urban areas**

- Forest management: existing models, representation of forestry and use of models
- Fertilization and irrigation in vegetation models
- Urban areas in vegetation models
- Concrete application: Paper of Luyssaert: forest management in Europe did not help in mitigating climate change.

### **8.3.5 The specific case of CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature increase**

- conclude the chapter here by referring to climate change, one of the biggest “Continuous” disturbance compared to previous “discrete” disturbances
- Simulating acclimation and adaptation
- refers to chapter 2 for acclimation of processes
- refers to chapter 11 for scenarios

# Chapter 9

## Upscaling from the leaf to the globe

Some references: - Scalling processes and problems, Jarvis 1995 - upscalling in global change research, Harvey 2000

### 9.1 Spatial and temporal non-linearities: Cascading effect in the Earth system

- spatial upscaling
- temporal upscaling
- classification of upscaling problems:
- Spatial variability + process nonlinearity
- Minimim scale to observe the process
- Different processes dominate at different scales
- Feedbacks between scales
- Development of emergent properties
- Edge effects
- Temporal lag dependent on spatial scale change
- Collective response with differential effects
- Solutions to upscaling problems:
- Ignore (easy solution)
- Increase model resolution (now more and more possible thanks to computing ressources, and data assimilation)
- etc... \*\* Nice review in Harvey 2000 \*\*

→ Solution depends on the application, show some examples here

### 9.2 Land surface models

- Dependence to other disciplines (Biology, ecology, physics, chemistry (VOC, etc), hydrology, pedology, datascience and mathematics, etc...)
- Figure 1.7 from Bonan
- UCL 4.2: Land surface schemes

- Focus on the coupling of different models and what it implies, not the technical aspects ### Soil-Vegetation-Atmosphere-Transer models
- Description of SVAT models, regroups what we studied in Chap1-9

## 9.3 DVGMs as a part of Earth System Models

- Partially in UCL 4.3.3

### 9.3.1 One Biosphere

- Chapter 1 of Bonan, specifically 1.5
- Coupling to other components

### 9.3.2 Atmosphere, Ocean, lakes and urban areas

- Rapid description of other models
- Reference here to previous chapter on heterogeneity

### 9.3.3 Coupling of processes with different time steps and regional scale

### 9.3.4 Simulating feedbacks

- Nice transition to chap 11 with future scenarii

# Chapter 10

## Model projections and scenario analysis

### 10.1 Climate scenarios

#### 10.1.1 Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP scenarios)

- How scenarios are defined
- How current emissions are measured and attributed to different factors?

#### 10.1.2 Different models, different RCP

- The central role of ESM: coupling to Atmosphere and Ocean and feedbacks
- Here refers to previous Chapter 10
- list some examples and differences: IPSL, HadGEM, etc...

#### 10.1.3 Use of RCP in vegetation modelling

- ENSEMBLE simulations
- IPCC
- Example of applications

#### 10.1.4 How can we evaluate future scenarios?

- FACE
- Rainfall exclusion experiments
- Natural gradient (Iceland and soil temperature based on volcano and geothermy)

#### 10.1.5 The central role of Paleo studies and historical datasets.

- Good performance for past and current conditions is mandatory to evaluate future scenarios

- Here remind the central role of experiments and monitoring

## 10.2 Land-use scenarios

### 10.2.1 Construction of Land-use scenarios

- Hyde for historical land-use, <https://themasites.pbl.nl/tridion/en/themasites/hyde/>
- Scenario for future land-use

-> We can follow the same structure as for RCP?

### 10.2.2 How can we evaluate land use scenarios?

- Based on historical data
- Remote sensing

## 10.3 Management scenarios

### 10.3.1 Construction of Land-use scenarios

### 10.3.2 How can we evaluate management scenarios?

## 10.4 Some concrete applications of vegetation models

- As a conclusion of the whole course I see a nice diagram that we constructed throughout the course with small boxes added to each others and we link that to all the possible application

# Part IV

# Appendix

# Contributing to this document

## First steps

First, visit the course webpage on [https://github.com/femeunier/VegMod\\_course](https://github.com/femeunier/VegMod_course), and fork it to your own github account. Open a RStudio session and (if it is your first time with git) introduce yourself:

```
git config --global user.name "FULLNAME"  
git config --global user.email you@yourdomain.example.com
```

Note that you can do every single step below using the terminal and the git tabs in RStudio. Clone the newly forked folder to your local machine:

```
git clone https://github.com/femeunier/VegMod_course.git
```

or using SSH (to set up it first, see for instance <https://help.github.com/en/github/authenticating-to-github/connecting-to-github-with-ssh>)

```
git clone git@github.com:femeunier/VegMod_course.git
```

Define upstream

```
cd VegMod_course  
git remote add upstream git@github.com:femeunier/VegMod_course.git
```

## New pull request

Get the latest code from the main repository

```
git pull upstream master
```

Create a new branch (here new\_branch is the new branch's name)

```
git checkout -b new_branch
```

Do some coding, add files and commit them

```
git add filepath  
git commit -m "Message"
```

Push your changes to your github (when a feature is working, a set of bugs are fixed, or you need to share progress with others).

```
git push origin new_branch
```

Before submitting code back to the main repository, make sure that book compiles (buikd book). Open the PR online by visiting your github repository. To ease those previous steps you can take advantage of the git GUI in RStudio. To do so, create a new project from an existing directory.

# Supporting material

Crash course, basic programming (R), theory about model evaluation etc.

# **Part V**

## **Practicals**

# Practical A

PC-room, supervised exercise

Simple model on diurnal variation in solar angle, radiation extinction and photosynthesis in vegetation types with different canopy structure and LAI: grassland, broadleaved forest, coniferous forest

Scale: aggregated stand level (big leaf model)

Methodological focus: model formulation: translating a few equations into code

Methodological focus: compiling code, running model, reading input-output

# Practical B

Group work, report, PC room

Modelling diurnal cycle of carbon and water fluxes for flux tower sites (Savanna's Sahel)

Scale: aggregated stand level

Methodological focus: model-data comparison (goodness-of-fit), simple parameter optimisation

# Practical C

PC-room, supervised exercise

Modelling the size structure of a temperate forest (stand diameter distribution)

Scale: forest stand

Methodological focus: initial conditions

# Practical D

Group work, report, PC room

Modelling carbon stocks (above and belowground) and fluxes

Scale: ecosystem

Methodological focus: Spinup and sensitivity analysis (testing which climate variables have strongest impact on stocks)

# Practical E

PC-room, supervised exercise

Simulating forest succession, meta-analysis of trait dataset to prescribe vegetation functional composition (using PEcAn-framework)

Scale: landscape

Methodological focus: parameter meta-analysis (PFT construction), data assimilation

# Practical F

PC-room, group work, microteaching

Climate/land use/management scenario analysis

Scale: site/globe? (Pecan framework) each group chooses a question and a model

Methodological focus: sensitivity and uncertainty analysis