Lab 2: MLFlow

In this lab, we'll be covering the essential basics of core MLflow functionality associated with tracking training event data.

We'll start by learning how to start a local MLflow Tracking server, how to access and view the MLflow UI, and move on to our first interactions with the Tracking server through the use of the MLflow Client.

The lab content builds upon itself, culminating in successfully logging your first MLflow model.

The topics in this lab cover:

- Starting an MLflow Tracking Server (Optionally) and connecting to a Tracking Server
- Exploring the MlflowClient API (briefly)
- · Understanding the Default Experiment
- Searching for Experiments with the MLflow client API
- Understanding the uses of tags and how to leverage them for model organization
- Creating an Experiment that will contain our run (and our model)
- · Learning how to log metrics, parameters, and a model artifact to a run
- Viewing our Experiment and our first run within the MLflow UI

Lab Solution

Complete solution for this lab is available in the lab2 logging model.ipynb notebook.

Starting the MLflow Tracking Server

Before diving into MLflow's rich features, let's set up the foundational components: the MLflow Tracking Server and the MLflow UI. This guide will walk you through the steps to get both up and running.

Setting Up MLflow

The first thing that we need to do is to get MLflow.

Step 1: Install MLflow from PyPI

Make sure mlflow has been installed using PIP.

Step 2: Launch the MLflow Tracking Server

To begin, you'll need to initiate the MLflow Tracking Server. Remember to keep the command prompt running during the lab, as closing it will shut down the server.

```
mlflow server --host 127.0.0.1 --port 8081
```

Once the server starts running, you should see the following output:

```
[2023-11-01 10:28:12 +0900] [28550] [INFO] Starting gunicorn 20.1.0

[2023-11-01 10:28:12 +0900] [28550] [INFO] Listening at: http://127.0.0.1:8081 (28550)

[2023-11-01 10:28:12 +0900] [28550] [INFO] Using worker: sync

[2023-11-01 10:28:12 +0900] [28552] [INFO] Booting worker with pid: 28552

[2023-11-01 10:28:12 +0900] [28553] [INFO] Booting worker with pid: 28553

[2023-11-01 10:28:12 +0900] [28555] [INFO] Booting worker with pid: 28555
```

```
[2023-11-01 10:28:12 +0900] [28558] [INFO] Booting worker with pid: 28558 ...
```

Note: Remember the host and port name that your MLflow tracking server is assigned.

Your MLflow environment is now set up and ready to go. As you progress, you'll explore the myriad of functionalities MLflow has to offer, streamlining and enhancing your machine learning workflows.

Using the MLflow Client API

In the previous section, we started an instance of the MLflow Tracking Server and the MLflow UI. For this stage, we're going to be interfacing with the Tracking Server through one of the primary mechanisms that you will use when training ML models, the MlflowClient. For the duration of this lab, this client API will be your primary interface for MLflow's tracking capabilities, enabling you to:

- Initiate a new Experiment.
- Start Runs within an Experiment.
- Document parameters, metrics, and tags for your Runs.
- Log artifacts linked to runs, such as models, tables, plots, and more.

Importing Dependencies

In order to use the MLflowClient API, the initial step involves importing the necessary modules.

Python

```
from mlflow import MlflowClient
from pprint import pprint
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor
```

With these modules imported, you're now prepared to configure the client and relay specifics about the location of your tracking server.

Configuring the MLflow Tracking Client

By default, barring any modifications to the MLFLOW_TRACKING_URI environment variable, initializing the MlflowClient will designate your local storage as the tracking server. This means your experiments, data, models, and related attributes will be stored within the active execution directory.

For the context of this guide, we'll utilize the tracking server initialized earlier in the documentation, instead of using the client to log to the local file system directory.

In order to connect to the tracking server that we created in the previous section of this lab, we'll need to use the uri that we assigned the server when we started it. The two components that we submitted as arguments to the mlflow server command were the host and the port. Combined, these form the tracking_uri argument that we will specify to start an instance of the client.

• Python

```
client = MlflowClient(tracking_uri="http://127.0.0.1:8081")
```

We now have a client interface to the tracking server that can both send data to and retrieve data from the tracking server.

The Default Experiment

Before we get to logging anything to the Tracking Server, let's take a look at a key feature that exists at the outset of starting any MLflow Tracking Server: the Default Experiment.

The Default Experiment is a placeholder that is used to encapsulate all run information if an explicit Experiment is not declared. While using MLflow, you'll be creating new experiments in order to organize projects, project iterations, or logically group large modeling activities together in a grouped hierarchical collection. However, if you manage to forget to create a new Experiment before using the MLflow tracking capabilities, the Default Experiment is a fallback for you to ensure that your valuable tracking data is not lost when executing a run.

```
Let's see what this Default Experiment looks like by using the mlflow.client.MlflowClient.search_experiments() API.
```

Searching Experiments

The first thing that we're going to do is to view the metadata associated with the Experiments that are on the server. We can accomplish this through the use of the <code>mlflow.client.MlflowClient.search_experiments()</code> API. Let's issue a search query to see what the results are.

Python

```
all_experiments = client.search_experiments()
print(all_experiments)

[<Experiment: artifact_location='./mlruns/0', creation_time=None, experiment_id='0',
last_update_time=None, lifecycle_stage='active', name='Default', tags={}>]
```


It is worth noting that the return type of the <code>search_experiments()</code> API is not a basic collection structure. Rather, it is a list of <code>Experiment</code> objects. Many of the return values of MLflow's client APIs return objects that contain metadata attributes associated with the task being performed. This is an important aspect to remember, as it makes more complex sequences of actions easier to perform, which will be covered in later labs.

With the returned collection, we can iterate over these objects with a comprehension to access the specific metadata attributes of the Default experiment.

To get familiar with accessing elements from returned collections from MLflow APIs, let's extract the name and the lifecycle stage from the search experiments() query and extract these attributes into a dict.

Python

Running it



In the next step, we'll create our first experiment and dive into the options that are available for providing metadata information that helps to keep track of related experiments and organize our runs within experiments so that we can effectively compare the results of different parameters for training runs.


Creating Experiments

In the previous section, we became familiar with the MLflow Client and its search_experiments API. Before we get into creating experiments and adding metadata tags to them, let's take a brief look at the MLflow UI.

In the first section of this lab, we started the MLflow Tracking Server from a command prompt, specifying the host as 127.0.0.1 and the port as 8081. Let's go to the UI and see what the Default Experiment looks like.

Viewing the MLflow UI

In order to see the MLflow UI, we simply have to use a web browser to connect to the MLflow Tracking Server and navigate to http://127.0.0.1:8081. Once navigating to the url for the MLflow UI, you will see the default experiment with no run data.



As you can see, there are no runs recorded and only the Default Experiment (with an ID of 0) is present. This won't be the case for long, as we're about to add a new Experiment.

Notes on Tags vs Experiments

While MLflow does provide a default experiment, it primarily serves as a 'catch-all' safety net for runs initiated without a specified active experiment. However, it's not recommended for regular use. Instead, creating unique experiments for specific collections of runs offers numerous advantages, as we'll explore below.

Benefits of Defining Unique Experiments:

- 1. **Enhanced Organization**: Experiments allow you to group related runs, making it easier to track and compare them. This is especially helpful when managing numerous runs, as in large-scale projects.
- 2. **Metadata Annotation**: Experiments can carry metadata that aids in organizing and associating runs with larger projects.


Consider the scenario below: we're simulating participation in a large demand forecasting project. This project involves building forecasting models for various departments in a chain of grocery stores, each housing numerous products. Our focus here is the 'produce' department, which has several distinct items, each requiring its own forecast model. Organizing these models becomes paramount to ensure easy navigation and comparison.

When Should You Define an Experiment?

The guiding principle for creating an experiment is the consistency of the input data. If multiple runs use the same input dataset (even if they utilize different portions of it), they logically belong to the same experiment. For other hierarchical categorizations, using tags is advisable.

Example:

Consider the following structure of the models, mapped to the business product hierarchy:




Here, the produce and dairy departments are part of the same overarching project, but they rely on distinct datasets and will likely produce different model metrics. Grouping the departments together definitely doesn't make sense.

However, the temptation might arise to group all produce together. Grouping diverse items like apples, cherries, and carrots under a single experiment could dilute the effectiveness of run comparisons within that experiment. Thus, it's essential to demarcate clear boundaries for your experiments to ensure meaningful insights.

Note

While the business product hierarchy in this case doesn't explicitly need to be captured within the tags, there is nothing preventing you from doing so. There isn't a limit to the number of tags that you can apply. Provided that the keys being used are consistent across experiments and runs to permit search to function properly, any number of arbitrary mappings between tracked models and your specific business rules can be applied.

To apply these boundaries effectively, as is shown in the figure below, tags should be employed.



{width="70%"}

Creating the Apples Experiment with Meaningful tags

```
# Provide an Experiment description that will appear in the UI
experiment_description = (
    "This is the grocery forecasting project."

"This experiment contains the produce models for apples."
)

# Provide searchable tags that define characteristics of the Runs that
# will be in this Experiment
experiment_tags = {
    "project_name": "grocery-forecasting",
    "store_dept": "produce",
    "team": "stores-ml",
    "project_quarter": "Q3-2023",
    "mlflow.note.content": experiment_description,
}

# Create the Experiment, providing a unique name
produce_apples_experiment = client.create_experiment(
```

```
name="Apple_Models", tags=experiment_tags
)
```

In the next section, we'll take a look at what these tags can be used for, which are visible in the UI, and how we can leverage the power of tags to simplify access to experiments that are part of a larger project.

Searching Experiments


In the last section, we created our first MLflow Experiment, providing custom tags so that we can find co-related Experiments that are part of a larger project.

In this brief section, we're going to see how to perform those searches with the MLflow Client API.

Before we perform the search, let's take a look at our Apple Models experiment in the UI.


Seeing our new Experiment in the UI

As before, we're going to connect to our running MLflow Tracking server to view the MLflow UI. If you've closed the browser window that was running it, simply navigate to http://127.0.0.1:8081 in a new browser window.



Important components to be aware of in the UI

There are some important elements in the UI to be aware of at this point, before we start adding more exciting things like runs to our new experiment. Note the annotated elements on the figure below. It will be useful to know that these bits of data are there later on.



Searching based on tags

Now that we've seen the experiment and understand which of the tags that we specified during the creation of the experiment are visible within the UI and which are not, we're going to explore the reason for defining those tags as we apply searches against the tracking server to find experiments whose custom tags values match our query terms.

One of the more versatile uses of setting tags within Experiments is to enable searching for related Experiments based on a common tag. The filtering capabilities within the search_experiments API can be seen below, where we are searching for experiments whose custom project name tag exactly matches grocery-forecasting.

Note that the format that is used for the search filtering has some nuance to it. For named entities (for instance, here, the tags term in the beginning of the filter string), keys can be directly used. However, to reference custom tags, note the particular syntax used. The custom tag names are wrapped with back ticks (`) and our matching search condition is wrapped in single quotes.

Python

```
tags={
    'mlflow.note.content': 'This is the grocery forecasting project. This

    'experiment contains the produce models for apples.',
    'project_name': 'grocery-forecasting',
    'project_quarter': 'Q3-2023',
    'team': 'stores-ml'}
>
```

Note

The returned results above are formatted for legibility. This return type is an Experiment object, not a dict.

Executing the Search

```
Creating a new Experiment
In this section, we'll:

• create a new MLflow Experiment
• apply metadata in the form of Experiment Tags

In []: experiment_description = ("This is the grocery forecasting project."

"this experiment contains the produce models for apples.")

experiment_tags = {
    "project_name": "grocery-forecasting",
    "store_dept': "produce",
    "team": "store=nel",
    "project_casterel": (03-2023",
    "miliow.note.content": experiment_description
}

produce_apples_experiment = client.create_experiment(
    name="Apple Models",
    tags=experiment_tags
}

In []: # Use search_experiments() to search on the project_name tag key

apples_experiment = client.search_experiments(
    filter_string='tags.' project_name = "grocery-forecasting"")
)

print(apples_experiment[0])

In []: # Access individual tag data
    print(apples_experiment[0].tags["team"])

In []:
```

In the next section, we'll begin to use this experiment to log training data to runs that are associated with this experiment, introducing another aspect of both the MLflow APIs (the fluent API) and another part of the MLflow UI (the run information page).

Create a dataset about apples

In order to produce some meaningful data (and a model) for us to log to MLflow, we'll need a dataset. In the interests of sticking with our theme of modeling demand for produce sales, this data will actually need to be about apples.

There's a distinctly miniscule probability of finding an actual dataset on the internet about this, so we can just roll up our sleeves and make our own.

Defining a dataset generator

For our examples to work, we're going to need something that can actually fit, but not something that fits too well. We're going to be training multiple iterations in order to show the effect of modifying our model's hyperparameters, so there needs to be some amount of unexplained variance in the feature set. However, we need some degree of correlation between our target variable (demand , in the case of our apples sales data that we want to predict) and the feature set.

We can introduce this correlation by crafting a relationship between our features and our target. The random elements of some of the factors will handle the unexplained variance portion.

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
from datetime import datetime, timedelta
def generate apple sales data with promo adjustment(
   base demand: int = 1000, n rows: int = 5000
) :
   Generates a synthetic dataset for predicting apple sales demand with seasonality
   and inflation.
   This function creates a pandas DataFrame with features relevant to apple sales.
   The features include date, average temperature, rainfall, weekend flag, holiday
flag,
   promotional flag, price_per_kg, and the previous day's demand. The target
variable,
    'demand', is generated based on a combination of these features with some added
noise.
   Aras:
       base demand (int, optional): Base demand for apples. Defaults to 1000.
       n rows (int, optional): Number of rows (days) of data to generate. Defaults to
5000.
   Returns:
       pd.DataFrame: DataFrame with features and target variable for apple sales
prediction.
   Example:
       >>> df = generate apple sales data with seasonality(base demand=1200,
n rows=6000)
       >>> df.head()
    # Set seed for reproducibility
   np.random.seed(9999)
   # Create date range
   dates = [datetime.now() - timedelta(days=i) for i in range(n rows)]
   dates.reverse()
```

```
# Generate features
df = pd.DataFrame(
    {
        "date": dates,
        "average temperature": np.random.uniform(10, 35, n rows),
        "rainfall": np.random.exponential(5, n_rows),
        "weekend": [(date.weekday() >= 5) * 1 for date in dates],
        "holiday": np.random.choice([0, 1], n_rows, p=[0.97, 0.03]),
        "price per kg": np.random.uniform(0.5, 3, n rows),
        "month": [date.month for date in dates],
)
# Introduce inflation over time (years)
df["inflation multiplier"] = (
   1 + (df["date"].dt.year - df["date"].dt.year.min()) * 0.03
# Incorporate seasonality due to apple harvests
\label{eq:dfcont}  \texttt{df["harvest\_effect"] = np.sin(2 * np.pi * (df["month"] - 3) / 12) + np.sin() } 
   2 * np.pi * (df["month"] - 9) / 12
# Modify the price per kg based on harvest effect
df["price_per_kg"] = df["price_per_kg"] - df["harvest_effect"] * 0.5
# Adjust promo periods to coincide with periods lagging peak harvest by 1 month
peak months = [4, 10] # months following the peak availability
df["promo"] = np.where(
   df["month"].isin(peak_months),
   np.random.choice([0, 1], n_rows, p=[0.85, 0.15]),
)
# Generate target variable based on features
base_price_effect = -df["price_per_kg"] * 50
seasonality effect = df["harvest effect"] * 50
promo effect = df["promo"] * 200
df["demand"] = (
   base demand
    + base price effect
   + seasonality effect
    + promo effect
    + df["weekend"] * 300
    + np.random.normal(0, 50, n rows)
) * df[
   "inflation multiplier"
] # adding random noise
# Add previous day's demand
df["previous days demand"] = df["demand"].shift(1)
```

```
df["previous_days_demand"].fillna(
    method="bfill", inplace=True
) # fill the first row

# Drop temporary columns
df.drop(columns=["inflation_multiplier", "harvest_effect", "month"], inplace=True)
return df
```

In the next section, we'll both use this generator for its output (the data set), and as an example for how to leverage MLflow Tracking as part of a prototyping phase for a project.

Logging our first runs with MLflow

In our previous segments, we worked through setting up our first MLflow Experiment and equipped it with custom tags. These tags, as we'll soon discover, are instrumental in seamlessly retrieving related experiments that belong to a broader project.

In the last section, we created a dataset that we'll be using to train a series of models.

As we advance in this section, we'll delve deeper into the core features of MLflow Tracking:

- Making use of the start_run context for creating and efficiently managing runs.
- An introduction to logging, covering tags, parameters, and metrics.
- Understanding the role and formation of a model signature.
- Logging a trained model, solidifying its presence in our MLflow run.

But first, a foundational step awaits us. For our upcoming tasks, we need a dataset, specifically focused on apple sales. While it's tempting to scour the internet for one, crafting our own dataset will ensure it aligns perfectly with our objectives.

Crafting the Apple Sales Dataset

Let's roll up our sleeves and construct this dataset.

We need a data set that defines the dynamics of apple sales influenced by various factors like weekends, promotions, and fluctuating prices. This dataset will serve as the bedrock upon which our predictive models will be built and tested

Before we get to that, though, let's take a look at what we've learned so far and how these principles were used when crafting this data set for the purposes of this lab.

Using Experiments in early-stage project development

As the diagram below shows, I tried taking a series of shortcuts. In order to record what I was trying, I created a new MLflow Experiment to record the state of what I tried. Since I was using different data sets and models, each subsequent modification that I was trying necessitated a new Experiment.

Project: Make MLflow tutorial Experiment 1 run 1 Not sure what I was thinking Random features Very bad error Random target metrics Linear Regression Model Why would this work? run 2 Failure to converge Experiment 2 Random features run 1 - Target correlated to sum of 2 covariant features This is not good. Perfect metrics The model overfit by Linear Regression Model 'memorizing' the linear relationship of the covariant features and target. Experiment 3 run 1 Stop being lazy

Different data sets, models, and approaches necessitated different Experiments. After finding a good approach, old experiments that are full of failed runs are manually cleaned up.

R2 0.903


rmse 52.95

mae 42.92


Now we're talking!

After finding a workable approach for the dataset generator, the results can be seen in the MLflow UI.

- Create covariance with uncertainty between features and target - Random Forest Regression Model



Once I found something that actually worked, I cleaned everything up (deleted them).



Note

If you're precisely following along to this lab and you delete your Apple_Models Experiment, recreate it before proceeding to the next step in the lab.

Using MLflow Tracking to keep track of training

Now that we have our data set and have seen a little bit of how runs are recorded, let's dive in to using MLflow to tracking a training iteration.

To start with, we will need to import our required modules.

```
import mlflow
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor
from sklearn.metrics import mean_absolute_error, mean_squared_error, r2_score
```

Notice that here we aren't importing the MlflowClient directly. For this portion, we're going to be using the fluent API. The fluent APIs use a globally referenced state of the MLflow tracking server's uri. This global instance allows for us to use these 'higher-level' (simpler) APIs to perform every action that we can otherwise do with the MlflowClient, with the addition of some other useful syntax (such as context handlers that we'll be using very shortly) to make integrating MLflow to ML workloads as simple as possible.

In order to use the fluent API, we'll need to set the global reference to the Tracking server's address. We do this via the following command:

• Python

```
mlflow.set_tracking_uri("http://127.0.0.1:8081")
```

Once this is set, we can define a few more constants that we're going to be using when logging our training events to MLflow in the form of runs. We'll start by defining an Experiment that will be used to log runs to. The parent-child relationship of Experiments to Runs and its utility will become very clear once we start iterating over some ideas and need to compare the results of our tests.

Python

```
# Sets the current active experiment to the "Apple_Models" experiment and
# returns the Experiment metadata
apple_experiment = mlflow.set_experiment("Apple_Models")

# Define a run name for this iteration of training.
# If this is not set, a unique name will be auto-generated for your run.
run_name = "apples_rf_test"

# Define an artifact path that the model will be saved to.
artifact_path = "rf_apples"
```

With these variables defined, we can commence with actually training a model.

Firstly, let's look at what we're going to be running. Following the code display, we'll look at an annotated version of the code.

```
# Split the data into features and target and drop irrelevant date field and target
field
X = data.drop(columns=["date", "demand"])
y = data["demand"]

# Split the data into training and validation sets
X_train, X_val, y_train, y_val = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.2,
random_state=42)

params = {
    "n_estimators": 100,
    "max_depth": 6,
    "min_samples_split": 10,
    "min_samples_leaf": 4,
    "bootstrap": True,
```

```
"oob_score": False,
    "random state": 888,
# Train the RandomForestRegressor
rf = RandomForestRegressor(**params)
# Fit the model on the training data
rf.fit(X train, y train)
# Predict on the validation set
y_pred = rf.predict(X_val)
# Calculate error metrics
mae = mean absolute error(y val, y pred)
mse = mean_squared_error(y_val, y_pred)
rmse = np.sqrt(mse)
r2 = r2_score(y_val, y_pred)
\ensuremath{\text{\#}} Assemble the metrics we're going to write into a collection
metrics = {"mae": mae, "mse": mse, "rmse": rmse, "r2": r2}
# Initiate the MLflow run context
with mlflow.start run(run name=run name) as run:
   # Log the parameters used for the model fit
   mlflow.log params(params)
    # Log the error metrics that were calculated during validation
   mlflow.log_metrics(metrics)
   \# Log an instance of the trained model for later use
   mlflow.sklearn.log_model(
        sk model=rf, input example=X val, artifact path=artifact path
```

To aid in visualizing how MLflow tracking API calls add in to an ML training code base, see the figure below.

```
\# Split the data into features and target and drop irrelevant date field and target field X = data.drop(columns=["date", "demand"])
                   # Split the data into training and validation sets
X_train, X_val, y_train, y_val = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.2, random_state=42)
                        "n estimators": 100,
                        "max_depth": 6,
                        "min_samples_split": 10,
"min_samples_leaf": 4,
                        "bootstrap": True,
"oob_score": False
                                                              We define parameters
                        "random_state": 888,
                                                                   for training
                   # Train the RandomForestRegressor
                   rf = RandomForestRegressor(**params)
                   rf.fit(X_train, y_train)
                                                                       .
We generate
                   # Predict on the validation set
                                                                       predictions
                                                                                                                 We log our
trained model
                  y_pred = rf.predict(X_val)
                                                                                      We calculate error
                   # Calculate error metrics
                                                                                       metrics based on
                   mae = mean_absolute_error(y_val, y_pred)
                                                                                           predictions
                   mse = mean_squared_error(y_val, y_pred)
                                                                                             We construct
                   rmse = np.sqrt(mse)
We log the
                   r2 = r2_score(y_val, y_pred)
                                                                                           a collection of our
parameters
                                                                                                 metrics
used to train
                  # Assemble the metrics we're going to write into a collection
metrics = {"mae": mae, "mse": mse, "rmse": rmse, "r2": r2}
 the model
                   # Initiate the MLflow run context
                   with mlflow.start_run(run_name=run_name) as run:
                                                                                                     we log our
                                                                                     We create
                                                                                                       metrics
                       # Log the parameters used for the model fit
                                                                                    an MLFlow run
                       mlflow.log_params(params)
                        # Log the error metrics that were calculated during validation
                       mlflow.log_metrics(metrics)
                       # Log an instance of the trained model for later use
                       mlflow.sklearn.log_model(sk_model=rf, input_example=X_val, artifact_path=artifact_path)
```

Putting it all together

Let's see what this looks like when we run our model training code and navigate to the MLflow UI.

