Detection and Characterization of Illegal Marketing and Promotion of Prescription Drugs on Twitter

Janani Kalyanam

Global Health Policy Institute University of California, San Diego jkalyana@ucsd.edu

Timothy Mackey

Global Health Policy Institute
School of Medicine, Department of Anasthesiology
University of California, San Diego
tmackey@ucsd.edu

Abstract

Illicit online pharmacies allow the purchase of prescription drugs online without a prescription. Such pharmacies leverage social media platforms such as Twitter as a promotion and marketing tool with the intent of reaching out to a larger, potentially younger demographics of the population. Given the serious negative health effects that arise from abusing such drugs, it is important to identify the relevant content on social media and exterminate their presence as quickly as possible. In response, we collected all the tweets that contained the names of certain preselected controlled substances over a period of 5 months. We found that an unsupervised topic modeling based methodology is able to identify tweets that promote and market controlled substances with high precision. We also study the meta-data characteristics of such tweets and the users who post them and find that they have several distinguishing characteristics that sets them apart. We were able to train supervised methods and achieve high performance in detecting such content and the users who post them.

1 Introduction

Prescription drug abuse is the use of a prescription medication in a way not intended by the prescribing healthcare professional. Prescription drug abuse is a national epidemic, and is the cause for the largest percentage of deaths from drug overdose. Prior studies have established that illicit online pharmacies (IOPs) represent an understudied venue for illegal access of prescription drugs via the internet[6]. IOPs often provide access to controlled substances without a valid prescription. Recent studies have also shown that IOPs utilize social networking platforms such as Twitter to promote and market their products [4, 5]. Hence, the already challenging issue of addressing and minimizing the prevelance of prescription drug abuse is exacerbated due to the utilization of social media by IOPs [7]. This is because social media provides IOPs a gateway to directly market their product to the masses. While progress in federal and state-based efforts have begun to address traditional forms of drug diversion linked to non-medical use of prescription drugs (such as through the implementation of state Prescription Drug Monitoring Programs), information on emerging digital environments that enable the non-medical use of prescription drug access and abuse behavior, such as through IOPs that use social media are inadequate.

As part of an effort to better understand the national epidemic of prescription drug abuse and all its associated risk factors, it is important to understand the ecosystem of IOPs and how they utilize social media in the illegal promotion and marketing of prescription drugs; specifically identify the relevant content on social media and the external URLs it may point to, identify the characteristics of such content and the users who post it etc to inform the design and development of methodologies that can identify such marketing content as soon as they emerge to prevent further exposure to this

codeine	high	weed	best	percocet	laughter	dose	offer
	man	ask	free		medicine	strippers	online
	deaths	slow	pills		laughing	lean	super
	australia	dreams	online		agree	cup	best
	market	sex	buy		best	poured	price
oxycontin	order	fda	approval	oxycodone	canada	buy	wife
	online	children	pediatric		drug	online	doctor
	quantity	approves	abuse		potential	free	airport
	discount	11	police		monopoly	pills	prescriptions
	prescription	young	fake		give	cheap	west
vicodin	online	liquid	teeth	hydrocodone	drug	pain	online
	buy	dragon	wisdon		accidental	feel	pharmacy
	high	flavored	pulled		died	good	buy
	quality	unicorns	pain		toxicity	makes	order
	price	delicious	surgery		tyler	sleep	canada

Table 1: This table shows a sample of three example themes from 6 of the drugs (fentanyl did not yield relevant topics). The top five words for each topic are displayed. The themes marked in bold were annotated as relevant, and the rest were marked irrelevant.

public safety and patient safety hazzard. The eventual goal of such a study is to build realtime surveillence systems to detect rogue content about promoting IOPs as it constantly emerges and evolves on popular social media platforms.

In order to achieve these goals, we mine all the messages containing a predefined list of prescription drugs from the popular microblogging service Twitter. We found that a topic modeling based methodology could efficiently narrow down on a subset of tweets that promote IOPs. Furthermore, we study the similarities and differences between the *rogue* tweets (and users) and regular tweets (and users) both quantitatively and qualitatively and find that they differ remarkably in many ways. This is substantiated through a series of statistical significance tests, time-series analysis, and by training machine classifiers whose performance yield high scores on several metrics (accuracy, precision, recall, f1-score).

2 Data Collection

We collected messages published on the popular microblogging platform Twitter over a period of approximately 5 months; from June to November 2015. Twitter provides a public API that enables the collection of messages posted by its users via its online platform [9]. We used a data collection methodology involving cloud-based computing services offered by Amazon Web Servies (AWS) and virtual computers via Amazon EC2 t2.micro instances set to filter and collect tweet objects containing specific keywords of controlled substances.

Keywords included the brandnames and international non-proprietary (e.g. generic) names (INN names) of commonly abused prescription analgesic opioid drugs. The INN names of prescription opioid drugs used in this study includes Percocet, Codeine, Oxycodone, OxyContin, Hydrocodone, Vicodin and Fentanyl. These keywords were used in conjunction with the Twitter Streaming API in order to track tweets that contained these keywords. In order to ensure the collection of the full volume of data containing these keywords, care was taken so that the limit rates imposed by Twitter were not reached¹. This generated a total of 620,477 tweets that were collected between the period of June and November 2015.

3 Detecting Illegal Marketing on Twitter

In order to identify rogue content from our dataset, we begin by summarizing the content using unsupervised topic models. Topics models like LDA implicitly learn the word co-occurrence patterns from the document level word generations [1]. Hence, they suffer immensely in the presence of sparsity (on an average, tweets are 5 words/document). We use the Biterm Topic Model instead,

¹A detailed description of the data collection methodology has been published in a previous study, and will be cited for camera ready.

where the biterm denotes an unordered pair of terms in a short context ("apple store", "C program"), and the topics are learnt from the biterms aggregated over the entire corpus [2]. In our experiments, we set the number of topics based on the rule of thumb that $k \approx Sparsity(\mathbf{X})^{-1}$, where **X** is that data matrix of documents-by-terms and manually annotated each of the topics based on the top-10 words from the topic (the number of topics were set to 20 for the experiments). This annotation was carried out in an open ended manner where the annotators (a data science expert, and an expert in public health policy) were asked to mark the themes as relevant based on their judgement of whether the theme could possibly contain tweets relevant to the marketing and promotions of IOP. The themes were to be marked as relevant, irrelevant or in need of further investigation. Table 1 provides summary of results from this phase. The inter-annotator agreement was 1. This suggests that there are clear indications in the lexical groupings of the topics that indicate whether or not they are pertinent to the illicit marketing, promotion and sales of prescription drugs. In order to further calibrate this methodology, once a set of relevant or rogue topics were identified, those tweets whose topic decompositions contained a rogue topic as its most dominant component were isolated. This subset of tweets were again manually annotated as rogue if the contents of the tweets suggested the marketing and promotion of IOPs. In most cases, more than 90% of the tweets in the *rogue* topics indeed were annotated to be rogue.

DRUG NAMES	CO	CODEINE		PERCOCET		OXYCONTIN	
feature names	rogue	non-rogue	rogue	non-rogue	rogue	non-rogue	
retweeted_status	0	0.4131	0.0571	0.4688	0.0036	0.2764	
retweet_count	0.3234	409.16	0.4321	244.13	0.0036	7.2593	
favorite_count	0	386.82	0	198.88	0	5.1835	
in_reply_status_id	0	0.0658	0	0.0578	0	0.0773	
possibly_sensitive	0	0.102	0	0.023	0	0.0158	
entities_urls	1	0.1724	1	0.1764	0.9927	0.7331	
entities_symbols	0	0.0009	0	0.0048	0	0.0007	
entities_hashtags	0	0.1647	0	0.1800	0	0.3242	
user_verified	0	0.0022	0	0.0025	0	0.0227	
user_friends_count	12.39	1123.05	10.08	1281.12	15.03	1731	
user_follower_count	28.39	2666.85	31.025	3411.784	31.97	7669	
user_statuses_count	166995	38823.55	155576	41665	159218	41679	
user_favorites_count	0	5436.99	0	6054	0.0109	4700	
DRUG NAMES	OXYO	CODONE	HYDRO	CODONE	VIC	CODIN	
DRUG NAMES feature names	OXYO	non-rogue	HYDRO rogue	non-rogue	rogue	non-rogue	
			1				
feature names	rogue	non-rogue	rogue	non-rogue	rogue	non-rogue	
feature names retweeted_status	rogue 0	non-rogue 0.3075	rogue 0.0992	non-rogue 0.1339	rogue 0.0147	non-rogue 0.2062	
feature names retweeted_status retweet_count	rogue 0 0	non-rogue 0.3075 16.248 8.7775 0.0703	rogue 0.0992 0.2977	non-rogue 0.1339 1.6805 2.5229 0.1623	rogue 0.0147 0.0147	non-rogue 0.2062 6.7211 12.0797 0.2034	
feature names retweeted_status retweet_count favorite_count	rogue 0 0 0 0 0	non-rogue 0.3075 16.248 8.7775	rogue 0.0992 0.2977 0 0	non-rogue 0.1339 1.6805 2.5229	rogue 0.0147 0.0147 0	non-rogue 0.2062 6.7211 12.0797	
feature names retweeted_status retweet_count favorite_count in_reply_status_id	0 0 0 0 0	non-rogue 0.3075 16.248 8.7775 0.0703	rogue 0.0992 0.2977 0 0	non-rogue 0.1339 1.6805 2.5229 0.1623	rogue 0.0147 0.0147 0 0	non-rogue 0.2062 6.7211 12.0797 0.2034	
feature names retweeted_status retweet_count favorite_count in_reply_status_id possibly_sensitive	rogue 0 0 0 0 0	0.3075 16.248 8.7775 0.0703 0.0107	rogue 0.0992 0.2977 0 0 0 0.8777	non-rogue 0.1339 1.6805 2.5229 0.1623 0.0112	rogue 0.0147 0.0147 0 0 0 1	non-rogue 0.2062 6.7211 12.0797 0.2034 0.0134	
feature names retweeted_status retweet_count favorite_count in_reply_status_id possibly_sensitive entities_urls	rogue 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	non-rogue 0.3075 16.248 8.7775 0.0703 0.0107 0.7109	rogue 0.0992 0.2977 0 0 0	non-rogue 0.1339 1.6805 2.5229 0.1623 0.0112 0.2692	rogue 0.0147 0.0147 0 0 0 1	non-rogue 0.2062 6.7211 12.0797 0.2034 0.0134 0.0769	
feature names retweeted_status retweet_count favorite_count in_reply_status_id possibly_sensitive entities_urls entities_symbols	rogue 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	non-rogue 0.3075 16.248 8.7775 0.0703 0.0107 0.7109 0.0021	rogue 0.0992 0.2977 0 0 0 0.8777	non-rogue 0.1339 1.6805 2.5229 0.1623 0.0112 0.2692 0.0001	rogue 0.0147 0.0147 0 0 0 1	non-rogue 0.2062 6.7211 12.0797 0.2034 0.0134 0.0769 0.0011	
feature names retweeted_status retweet_count favorite_count in_reply_status_id possibly_sensitive entities_urls entities_symbols entities_hashtags	rogue 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.9999 0 0.0145	non-rogue 0.3075 16.248 8.7775 0.0703 0.0107 0.7109 0.0021 0.4165	rogue 0.0992 0.2977 0 0 0 0.8777 0 0.0114	non-rogue 0.1339 1.6805 2.5229 0.1623 0.0112 0.2692 0.0001 0.1953 0.0084 1028.98	rogue 0.0147 0.0147 0 0 0 1 0 0.0221	non-rogue 0.2062 6.7211 12.0797 0.2034 0.0134 0.0769 0.0011 0.1528	
feature names retweeted_status retweet_count favorite_count in_reply_status_id possibly_sensitive entities_urls entities_bashtags user_verified	rogue 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.9999 0 0.0145	non-rogue 0.3075 16.248 8.7775 0.0703 0.0107 0.7109 0.0021 0.4165 0.0346	rogue 0.0992 0.2977 0 0 0 0.8777 0 0.0114	non-rogue 0.1339 1.6805 2.5229 0.1623 0.0112 0.2692 0.0001 0.1953 0.0084	rogue 0.0147 0.0147 0 0 0 1 0 0 0.0221	non-rogue 0.2062 6.7211 12.0797 0.2034 0.0134 0.0769 0.0011 0.1528 0.0045	
feature names retweeted_status retweet_count favorite_count in_reply_status_id possibly_sensitive entities_urls entities_symbols entities_hashtags user_verified user_friends_count	rogue 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.9999 0 0.0145 0 9.828	non-rogue 0.3075 16.248 8.7775 0.0703 0.0107 0.7109 0.0021 0.4165 0.0346 1511.60	rogue 0.0992 0.2977 0 0 0 0.8777 0 0.0114 0 37.4427	non-rogue 0.1339 1.6805 2.5229 0.1623 0.0112 0.2692 0.0001 0.1953 0.0084 1028.98	rogue 0.0147 0.0147 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 0.0221 0 12.2022	non-rogue 0.2062 6.7211 12.0797 0.2034 0.0134 0.0769 0.0011 0.1528 0.0045 1342.68	

Table 2: This table lists the mean values of several Twitter based features for the rogue set of tweets and the regular set for all the drugs.

Next, we wish to characterize tweets with content related to the promotion and marketing of IOPs, and users who engage with IOP related content. The goal for such an analysis is to understand the unique markers of rogue tweets and users so that intelligent systems can be trained and deployed to detect their presence and emergence in the social media arena. As part of this effort, we analyze various twitter based features of the tweets and the users, and quantitatively (through statistical tests) and qualitatively lay out their similarities and differences. Then, we train a machine classifier to learn the differences between the features and test its performance on unseen test data. Table 2 shows the mean value for each group of data across drugs for all the features ². We divide the features into five semantic groupings; each representing a speific aspect of user behavior or the characteristics of tweets.

The *User Engagement Features* such as favorite_count and in_reply_to_status_id (whether the tweet is a reply to an existing tweet). are consistently 0 for the rogue set of tweets across all drugs. This suggests that rogue tweets do not invite active engagement from general users. The retweeted_status and retweet_count indicate whether the tweet under consideration is a retweet, and the number of times the original tweet has been retweeted (at the time of data collection).

²We omit fentanyl since very few rogue tweets were identified.

metric	oxycodone	oxycontin	hydrocodone	vicodin	percocet	codeine
accuracy	0.9457	0.9451	0.8449	0.9658	0.9565	0.9342
average precision	0.9621	0.9582	0.8930	0.9749	0.9740	0.9673
f1-score	0.9451	0.9455	0.8342	0.9656	0.9551	0.9443
precision	0.9573	0.9414	0.8949	0.9692	0.9837	0.9842
recall	0.9337	0.9500	0.7822	0.9634	0.9285	0.9023
zero-one-loss	0.0542	0.0548	0.1551	0.0314	0.0434	0.0232

Table 3: This illustrates the classification results for different drugs using logistic regression.

We observe that these two features are either 0 or remarkably low for the rogue set of tweets across all drugs. For those drugs for which the retweeted_status and retweet_count were nonzero, we further investigated the cause for the retweets. We found that some of the retweets were propagated by the author of the original tweet itself. Collectively, all the user engagement features seem to suggest that users in general choose not to engage with content that might seem suspicious.

The Tweet Based Features are those that describe the content of the tweet. This includes entities_urls, entities_hashtags, entities_symbols and possibly_sensitive. The entities_urls feature indicates whether or not a tweet contains a url embedded in it. From Table 2, we observe that this feature is consistently 1 or very close to 1 across all the drugs. This suggests that one of the sole strategies in the marketing and promotion of IOPs is to direct the users out of the Twitter domain and into the landing page of the IOPs.

The *User Network Features* are those that indicate the size of a user's network on Twitter like user_followers_count and the user_friends_count). From Table 2, we observe that both these features have much smaller values in the rogue set than the non-rogue set. On an average, the user_friends_count for the regular set is approximately 107x higher than the rogue set; and the user_followers_count is approximately 188x higher in the regular set than the non-rogue set. This suggests that the users propagating and perpetuating the rogue tweets are fairly isolated in the network. This may be explained by the relative short life of many IOPs, which are often removed or become inactive due to a number of factors including enforcement activities [8].

The *User Profile Features* numerically describe the profile of a user and includes user_statuses_count, user_favorites_count and user_verified. We note that the user_statuses_count (the total number of status messages published so far by the user) for the rogue set is on an average approximately 5x as much as that of the regular set (this number is in the order of 100000s for the rogue set, and in the order of 10000s for the regular set). We also analyzed the data of creation of the user accounts. More than 70% of the accounts in the rogue set were created in or after 2014. We also note that there are no verified users in the rogue set of tweets because the users_verified feature is consistently 0 across all drugs. This indicates that the influential nodes on Twitter do not engage with

We now proceed to train a machine classifier to be able to automatically classify a tweet as being rogue or not based on the features engineering above. The data is randomly split into 70% training and 30% test splits. The results were repeated and averaged over 10 different runs. The results from logistic regression are summarized in Table 3 [3]. We observe good performance consistently across all drugs. This provides sufficient evidence to promise success of real world systems that can be deployed in order to detect such anamolous behavior.

4 Discussion and Conclusion

In this work, we developed a methodology to isolate tweets which promote and market illicit online pharmacies. We also identified and studied the unique markers of such content and the users who generate it, and demonstrated that these unique markers could help identify the rogue tweets from unseen data. A simple machine classifier trained on numerical features was used to predict rogue tweets on unseen data. However, the scenario of marketing and promotion for IOPs are perhaps constantly evolving. The perpetrators of such messages might adopt newer strategies of promotion and reaching out to the users. Hence, there is a need for more sophisticated online learning techniques where intelligent systems can automatically learn the nuances and adapt accordingly.

References

- [1] David M Blei. Probabilistic topic models. Communications of the ACM, 55(4):77–84, 2012.
- [2] Xueqi Cheng, Xiaohui Yan, Yanyan Lan, and Jiafeng Guo. Btm: Topic modeling over short texts. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 26(12):2928–2941, 2014.
- [3] David W Hosmer and Stanley Lemeshow. Introduction to the logistic regression model. *Applied Logistic Regression, Second Edition*, pages 1–30, 2000.
- [4] Takeo Katsuki, Tim K Mackey, and Raphael E Cuomo. Digital surveillance of prescription drug abuse: An accessible methodology for collecting and analyzing twitter nupm data. *Annals of Global Health*, 82(3):590, 2016.
- [5] Takeo Katsuki, Tim Ken Mackey, and Raphael Cuomo. Establishing a link between prescription drug abuse and illicit online pharmacies: analysis of twitter data. *Journal of medical Internet research*, 17(12), 2015.
- [6] Bryan A Liang and Tim Mackey. Searching for safety: addressing search engine, website, and provider accountability for illicit online drug sales. *American journal of law & medicine*, 35(1):125–184, 2009.
- [7] Tim K Mackey, Bryan A Liang, and Steffanie A Strathdee. Digital social media, youth, and nonmedical use of prescription drugs: the need for reform. *Journal of medical Internet research*, 15(7):e143, 2013.
- [8] Tim K Mackey and Gaurvika Nayyar. Digital danger: a review of the global public health, patient safety and cybersecurity threats posed by illicit online pharmacies. *British medical bulletin*, 2016.
- [9] Twitter Streaming API. https://dev.twitter.com/streaming/overview.