1. Reviewer: 1
   1. Ma et al. (2017) has demonstrated that convolutional neural network (CNN) is suitable for traffic prediction, and after that, Du et al. (2018) uses CNN as a first layer to capture the features of different modality traffic data. Done
   2. Section 2.1 should move to the relevant literature review Section. Not yet.
   3. Contributions statement: each contributions at least 150 words. Not yet.
   4. Reference problem. Done
   5. Author should provide the very details illustrating how the proposed model is working in the experimental results section.
   6. Explain on Fig 3. Equation 11. Equation 12. Algorithm 1.
   7. Statistical test to verify the significance of the forecasting performance of the proposed method. (significant test)
2. Reviewer: 2
   1. The paper is unnecessarily length and the authors should consolidate the presentations greatly for concisely demonstrating the studies.
   2. The proposed methods and the comparative methods should be given in separate sections for clear presentation.
   3. The computation efficiency is important for online traffic prediction, and should be investigated in this paper.
   4. K-nearest neighbor and seasonal time series model should be selected as comparative methods.
3. Reviewer: 3
   1. The contributions of this paper is not clear, please be specific about the contributions and rephrase.
   2. 0.68 is arbitrary and the spatial correlation method is quite vogue. what about lagged spatial correlation?
   3. Demonstrate the boxes in Fig 1.
   4. How is the initial correlation analysis for matrix presentation is linked with STFSA?
   5. Fig 6. presents some confusing results.

Both ours and Du et al. (2018) Fig.8 experiments shows that extending the time length does not significantly improve the predictive performance. Also existing researches often uses a small lookup window size to forecast the traffic flows such as (……). In addition, our experiment does not mean use a constant input data size, in different prediction task, we select the different optimal data, limited by the length of the article, the article does not describe the input data selection process for 10 to 20 minutes, which may cause confusion for the reader. We will clarify this in the revised manuscript.

* 1. However STFSA is not making any significant difference.
  2. The input data size for ANN SVR and CNN needs to be presented and compared with ANN+STFSA and … Computational time and efficiency needs to discussed.