

Local gauge invariance

The Dirac Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi$ is invariant under a global phase transformation for ψ , $\psi \rightarrow \psi' = e^{-ic} \psi$, where c is a real number, independent of x^μ .

$$\text{Since } \bar{\psi} \rightarrow \bar{\psi}' = \bar{\psi} e^{ic}, \text{ then } \bar{\psi}' \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi' = \bar{\psi} e^{ic} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu e^{-ic} \psi = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi, \text{ and } \bar{\psi}' \psi = \bar{\psi} e^{ic} \psi = \bar{\psi} \psi.$$

To have the Lagrangian be invariant under a local phase transformation for ψ , we are "forced" to introduce a massless vector field A^μ , so that the new Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi - \frac{1}{2}K \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi A_\mu$ (where K is a real constant) is invariant under the simultaneous transformation

$$\begin{cases} A_\mu(x) \rightarrow A'_\mu(x) = A_\mu(x) + \partial_\mu \lambda(x), \\ \psi(x) \rightarrow \psi'(x) = e^{-ik\lambda(x)} \psi(x) \end{cases} \quad (\text{where } \lambda(x) \text{ is a real function})$$

check: $\bar{\psi}' = \bar{\psi}(x) e^{ik\lambda(x)}$

$$\begin{aligned} i\bar{\psi}' \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi'(x) &= i\bar{\psi}(x) e^{ik\lambda(x)} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu (e^{-ik\lambda(x)} \psi(x)) \\ &= i\bar{\psi}(x) e^{ik\lambda(x)} \gamma^\mu (-ik) e^{-ik\lambda(x)} \partial_\mu \lambda(x) \psi(x) \\ &\quad + i\bar{\psi}(x) e^{ik\lambda(x)} \gamma^\mu e^{-ik\lambda(x)} \partial_\mu \psi(x) \\ &= -K(\partial_\mu \lambda(x)) \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \psi(x) + i\bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$-m\bar{\psi}' \psi'(x) = -m\bar{\psi}(x) \psi(x)$$

$$-K \bar{\psi}' \gamma^\mu \psi' A'_\mu(x) = -K \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \psi(x) A_\mu(x) - K \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \psi(x) (\partial_\mu \lambda(x))$$

$$\Rightarrow i\bar{\psi}' \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi' - m\bar{\psi}' \psi' - K \bar{\psi}' \gamma^\mu \psi' A'_\mu = i\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - m\bar{\psi} \psi - K \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi A_\mu$$

Also, we need to introduce the free part of A_μ , which is

$$-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{4} (\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) (\partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since } F'_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu A'_\nu - \partial_\nu A'_\mu = \partial_\mu (A_\nu + \partial_\nu \lambda) - \partial_\nu (A_\mu + \partial_\mu \lambda) \\ &= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu = F_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{then } -\frac{1}{4} F'_{\mu\nu} F'^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

However, the mass term for A_μ , i.e., $\frac{1}{2} m_A^2 A_\mu A^\mu$ changes to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} m_A^2 A'_\mu A'^\mu &= \frac{1}{2} m_A^2 (A_\mu + \partial_\mu \lambda) (A^\mu + \partial^\mu \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} m_A^2 A_\mu A^\mu + \frac{1}{2} m_A^2 (\partial_\mu \lambda) (\partial^\mu \lambda) \\ &\quad + m_A^2 A_\mu \partial^\mu \lambda \neq \frac{1}{2} m_A^2 A_\mu A^\mu. \end{aligned}$$

This mass term should not be there, otherwise the local gauge invariance is violated.

We can introduce covariant derivative

$$D_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu + iKA_\mu, \text{ then}$$

$\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ is local phase invariant under the simultaneous transformation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A_\mu(x) \rightarrow A'_\mu(x) = A_\mu(x) + \partial_\mu \lambda(x) \\ \psi(x) \rightarrow \psi'(x) = e^{-iK\lambda(x)} \psi(x) \end{array} \right.$$

, where K is a real constant, $\lambda(x)$ is a real function.

The above is $U(1)$ transformation (since $K\lambda(x)$ is a 1×1 real function), and we can write $\psi'(x) = U\psi(x)$, where $U = e^{-iK\lambda(x)}$.

In particular, if A_μ is the EM field, then the equation of motion of A_μ should be just the Maxwell equations

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial A_\nu} = -K\bar{\psi}\gamma^\nu\psi, \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu A_\nu)} = -F^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = K\bar{\psi}\gamma^\nu\psi$$

Since $\int d^3\vec{x} : \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi : = \int d^3\vec{p} [(C(E_p))^2 (2\pi)^3 2E_p] \sum_s (b_{\vec{p},s}^+ b_{\vec{p},s}^- - d_{\vec{p},s}^+ d_{\vec{p},s}^-)$
then K should be $2|e|$ in order to make $K\bar{\psi}\gamma^\nu\psi$ the EM current

Yang-Mills theory

Suppose now we have two Dirac fields, ψ_1 and ψ_2 , then the free Lagrangian is

$$L = i\bar{\psi}_1 \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_1 - m_1 \bar{\psi}_1 \psi_1 + i\bar{\psi}_2 \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_2 - m_2 \bar{\psi}_2 \psi_2$$

Let's write it more compactly by combining ψ_1 and ψ_2 into a two component column

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{so, } \bar{\psi} = (\bar{\psi}_1, \bar{\psi}_2).$$

(note that the γ^μ matrices act on ψ_1 and ψ_2 individually)

$$\Rightarrow L = i\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - \bar{\psi} M \psi, \text{ where } M = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

If $m_1 = m_2 = m$ then $M = m \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, so that

$$\bar{\psi} M \psi = m \bar{\psi} \psi$$

The two component ψ admits a $U(2)$ global phase transformation invariance, $\psi \rightarrow \psi' = U \psi$, where U is a 2×2 unitary matrix, $U^+ U = I_{2 \times 2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\psi}' \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi' &= \bar{\psi} U^+ \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu U \psi = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi \\ m \bar{\psi}' \psi' &= m \bar{\psi} \psi \end{aligned}$$

The 2×2 unitary matrix can be written in the form $U = e^{iH}$, where H is Hermitian, so that $(U^+)^* = e^{-iH^*} = e^{-iH}$, and H can be written as $H = \theta I_{2 \times 2} + \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{h}$, where θ and h^1, h^2, h^3 are four real numbers, and $\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3$ are Pauli matrices.

(Note: an $n \times n$ complex matrix has $2n^2$ degrees of freedom, the unitary condition $U^+ U = U U^+ = I_{n \times n}$ gives n^2 restriction: $\sum_k U_{ik} (U^+)^*_{kj} = \sum_k U_{ik} U_{kj}^* = \delta_{ij} = \sum_k (U^+)^*_{ik} U_{kj} = \sum_k U_{ki}^* U_{kj}$, so there are $2n^2 - n^2 = n^2$ degrees of freedom left.)

check:

For $U(2)$ matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, the unitary condition means that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} a^*c + b^*d = 0 \\ a^*a + b^*b = 1 \\ c^*c + d^*d = 1 \\ a^*b + c^*d = 0 \\ a^*a + c^*c = 1 \\ b^*b + d^*d = 1 \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{let } a = xe^{i\alpha}, x \geq 0 \text{ is real, } \alpha \text{ is real.} \\ b = ye^{i\beta}, y \geq 0 \text{ is real, } \beta \text{ is real} \\ c = ze^{i\gamma}, z \geq 0 \text{ is real, } \gamma \text{ is real} \\ d = we^{i\varphi}, w \geq 0 \text{ is real, } \varphi \text{ is real} \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow xe^{i(-\alpha+\gamma)} + ye^{i(-\beta+\varphi)} = 0$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x^2 + y^2 = 1 \\ z^2 + w^2 = 1 \\ xy e^{i(-\alpha+\beta)} + zw e^{i(-\gamma+\varphi)} = 0 \\ x^2 + z^2 = 1 \\ y^2 + w^2 = 1 \\ x^2 + y^2 = 1 \end{array} \right.$$

$$\Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} y = z, x = w, \\ xy e^{i(-\alpha+\beta)} + xy e^{i(-\beta+\varphi)} = 0 \Rightarrow xy = 0 \text{ or } e^{i(-\alpha+\beta)} + e^{i(-\beta+\varphi)} = 0 \\ xy e^{i(-\alpha+\beta)} + xy e^{i(-\gamma+\varphi)} = 0 \Rightarrow xy = 0 \text{ or } e^{i(-\alpha+\beta)} + e^{i(-\gamma+\varphi)} = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

$$\textcircled{1} \text{ If } x=0, \text{ then } w=0, y=z=1 \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\beta} \\ e^{i\gamma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{check: } \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\beta} \\ e^{i\gamma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-i\beta} \\ e^{-i\gamma} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-i\beta} \\ e^{-i\gamma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\beta} \\ e^{i\gamma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\beta} \\ e^{i\gamma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-i\beta} \\ e^{-i\gamma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\textcircled{2} \text{ If } y=0, \text{ then } z=0, x=w=1 \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{check: } \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix}^+ \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\varphi} \end{pmatrix}^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \checkmark$$

$$\text{Q) If } e^{i(-\alpha+\gamma)} + e^{i(-\beta+\gamma)} = e^{i(-\alpha+\beta)} + e^{i(-\beta+\gamma)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x^2+y^2=1$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} -\alpha+\gamma = -\beta+\gamma + (2k+1)\pi, & k=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \\ -\alpha+\beta = -\beta+\gamma + (2m+1)\pi, & m=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} (\cos k)e^{-i\alpha} & (\sin k)e^{i\beta} \\ (\sin k)e^{i\gamma} & -(\cos k)e^{i(-\alpha+\beta+\gamma)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$$

$$\text{check: } \begin{pmatrix} (\cos k)e^{-i\alpha} & (\sin k)e^{i\beta} \\ (\sin k)e^{i\gamma} & -(\cos k)e^{i(-\alpha+\beta+\gamma)} \end{pmatrix}^+$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \cos k e^{-i\alpha} & (\sin k) e^{-i\beta} \\ (\sin k) e^{-i\gamma} & -(\cos k) e^{i(\alpha-\beta-\gamma)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} (\cos k)e^{-i\alpha} & (\sin k)e^{-i\beta} \\ (\sin k)e^{-i\gamma} & -(\cos k)e^{i(\alpha-\beta-\gamma)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (\cos k)e^{i\alpha} & (\sin k)e^{i\beta} \\ (\sin k)e^{i\gamma} & -(\cos k)e^{i(-\alpha+\beta)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} (\cos k)^2 + (\sin k)^2 & (\cos k)(\sin k)e^{i(-\alpha+\beta)} & -(\cos k)(\sin k)e^{i(-\alpha+\beta)} \\ (\sin k)(\cos k)e^{i(\alpha-\beta)} & -(\sin k)(\cos k)e^{i(\alpha-\beta)} & (\sin k)^2 + (\cos k)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \checkmark$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} (\cos k)e^{i\alpha} & (\sin k)e^{i\beta} \\ (\sin k)e^{i\gamma} & -(\cos k)e^{i(-\alpha+\beta+\gamma)} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (\cos k)e^{-i\alpha} & (\sin k)e^{-i\beta} \\ (\sin k)e^{-i\gamma} & -(\cos k)e^{i(\alpha-\beta-\gamma)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} (\cos k)^2 + (\sin k)^2 & (\cos k)(\sin k)e^{i(\alpha-\beta)} & -(\sin k)(\cos k)e^{i(\alpha-\beta)} \\ (\sin k)(\cos k)e^{i(-\alpha+\beta)} & -(\sin k)(\cos k)e^{i(-\alpha+\beta)} & (\sin k)^2 + (\cos k)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \checkmark$$

So in general all the three cases can be written as

$$e^{i(\beta+\gamma)} \begin{pmatrix} (\cos k) e^{i(\alpha-\beta-\gamma)} & (\sin k) e^{-i\gamma} \\ (\sin k) e^{-i\beta} & -(\cos k) e^{-i\alpha} \end{pmatrix}$$

let $\beta+\gamma = \theta'$ then $\gamma = \theta' - \beta$

\Rightarrow a general $U(2)$ matrix is

$$e^{i\theta'} \begin{pmatrix} (\cos k) e^{i(\alpha-\theta')} & (\sin k) e^{-i(\theta'-\beta)} \\ (\sin k) e^{-i\beta} & -(\cos k) e^{-i\alpha} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Rightarrow e^{i\theta' - \frac{\theta' - \pi}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (\cos k) e^{i(\alpha - \frac{\theta'}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2})} & (\sin k) e^{-i(\frac{\theta'}{2} - \beta - \frac{\pi}{2})} \\ -(\sin k) e^{+i(\frac{\theta'}{2} - \beta - \frac{\pi}{2})} & -(\cos k) e^{-i(\alpha - \frac{\theta'}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2})} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{let } \theta = \theta' - \frac{\theta' - \pi}{2}, a \equiv (\cos k) e^{i(\alpha - \frac{\theta'}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2})}, b \equiv (\sin k) e^{-i(\frac{\theta'}{2} - \beta - \frac{\pi}{2})}$$

then $e^{i\theta} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b^* & a^* \end{pmatrix}$

where $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$.

$$\text{Since } \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b^* & a^* \end{pmatrix}^* \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b^* & a^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a^* & -b \\ b^* & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b^* & a^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b^* & a^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b^* & a^* \end{pmatrix}^* = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b^* & a^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a^* & -b \\ b^* & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{and } \det \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b^* & a^* \end{pmatrix} = |a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1.$$

We conclude that we can decompose $U(2)$ as $U(1) \otimes SU(2)$

The general $SU(2)$ matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b^* & a^* \end{pmatrix}$ can be written as

$e^{i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{h}}$, where h^1, h^2, h^3 are three real numbers.

check: use $e^{i\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{h}} = \cos h + i(\vec{h} \cdot \vec{\sigma}) \sin h$, where $h \equiv |\vec{h}|$ and $\hat{h} = \vec{h}/h$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \cosh + i\hat{h}^3 \sinh & (i\hat{h}' + \hat{h}^2) \sinh \\ (i\hat{h}' - \hat{h}^2) \sinh & \cosh - i\hat{h}^3 \sinh \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b^* & a^* \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow 2i\hat{h}^3 \sinh = a - a^* = 2i\operatorname{Im} a \Rightarrow \hat{h}^3 \sinh = \operatorname{Im} a$$

$$2i\hat{h}' \sinh = b - b^* = 2i\operatorname{Im} b \Rightarrow \hat{h}' \sinh = \operatorname{Im} b$$

$$2\cosh = a + a^* = 2\operatorname{Re} a \Rightarrow \cosh = \operatorname{Re} a$$

$$2\hat{h}^2 \sinh = b + b^* = 2\operatorname{Re} b \Rightarrow \hat{h}^2 \sinh = \operatorname{Re} b$$

$$(e^{i\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{h}})^+ e^{i\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{h}} = (\cosh - i(\hat{h} \cdot \vec{\theta}) \sinh)(\cosh + i(\hat{h} \cdot \vec{\theta}) \sinh)$$

$$= (\cosh)^2 + (\sinh)^2 \cdot \hat{h} \cdot \hat{h} = 1$$

$$e^{i\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{h}} (e^{i\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{h}})^+ = 1$$

$$\det(e^{i\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{h}}) = (\cosh)^2 + (\hat{h}^3)^2 \sinh^2 + \sinh^2 ((\hat{h}^2)^2 + (\hat{h}')^2) = 1$$

So, indeed, any $U(2)$ matrix can be written as

$$U = e^{iH} = e^{i(\theta I_{2x2} + \vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{h})} = e^{i\theta} e^{i\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{h}}, \text{ with } \theta, h', h^2, h^3 \text{ real.}$$

We have already explored the implications of the $U(1)$ part, i.e., the phase transformation $e^{i\theta}$, let's concentrate on the $SU(2)$ part $S = e^{i\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{h}}$ (i.e., $U = e^{i\theta} S$)

Apparently, the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - m\bar{\psi} \psi$, where

$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$, is invariant under $\underbrace{U(2)}_{\text{global}}^{\text{have checked}}$, $\underbrace{SU(2)}_{\text{(let } \theta=0\text{)}}$ and $\underbrace{U(1)}_{\text{(let } h=0\text{)}}$.

For a local $SU(2)$ gauge transformation, $\psi \rightarrow \psi' = S\psi$, where $S = e^{i\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{h}(x)}$, we have

$$\bar{\psi}' \psi' = \bar{\psi} S^\dagger S \psi = \bar{\psi} \psi$$

$$\bar{\psi}' \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi' = \bar{\psi} S^\dagger \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu (S \psi) = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi + \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu S^\dagger (\partial_\mu S) \psi$$

To compensate the term $\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu S^\dagger (\partial_\mu S) \psi$, i.e. to make the Lagrangian invariant under local gauge transformation, we introduce vector fields $\vec{A}_\mu = (A_\mu^1, A_\mu^2, A_\mu^3)$ coupling to ψ , and it transforms under local gauge transformation as

$$\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}'_\mu = S(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu) S^{-1} + i\frac{1}{k}(\partial_\mu S) S^{-1}, \text{ where } k \text{ is a constant}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} & i\bar{\psi}' \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi' + i\bar{\psi}' \gamma^\mu (ik \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}'_\mu) \psi' \\ &= i\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi + i\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu S^\dagger (\partial_\mu S) \psi + i\bar{\psi} S^\dagger \gamma^\mu (ik) [S(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu) S^{-1} \\ & \quad + i\frac{1}{k}(\partial_\mu S) S^{-1}] S \psi \\ &= i\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi + i\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu S^\dagger (\partial_\mu S) \psi + i\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu (ik \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu) \psi - i\bar{\psi} S^\dagger \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu S) \psi \\ &= i\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi + i\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu (ik \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu) \psi \end{aligned}$$

So, we can introduce covariant derivative.

$$D_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu + ik \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu$$

such that $i\bar{\psi}' \gamma^\mu D'_\mu \psi' = i\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi$, i.e., $D'_\mu \psi' = S D_\mu \psi$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(check: } D'_\mu \psi' &= \partial_\mu (S \psi) + ik \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}'_\mu \psi' = (\partial_\mu S) \psi + S \partial_\mu \psi + ik S(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu) \psi \\ &+ ik \frac{i}{k}(\partial_\mu S) \psi = S D_\mu \psi. \text{)} \end{aligned}$$

We need to introduce the free part of \vec{A}_μ , which is

$$\mathcal{L}_A = -\frac{1}{4} \vec{F}_{\mu\nu} \vec{F}^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^1 F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^2 F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^3 F^{\mu\nu}$$

Still, the mass term $\frac{1}{2} m_A^2 \vec{A}_\mu \cdot \vec{A}^\mu = \frac{1}{2} m_A^2 (A_\mu^1 A^\mu + A_\mu^2 A^\mu + A_\mu^3 A^\mu)$

is not invariant under local gauge transformation

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{check: } (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu) (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}^\mu)) &= \sigma^i \sigma^j A_\mu^i A^\mu = (S^{ij} + i \epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^k) A_\mu^i A^\mu \\ &= \vec{A}_\mu \cdot \vec{A}^\mu + i \underbrace{(\vec{A}_\mu \times \vec{A}^\mu) \cdot \vec{\sigma}}_{\substack{\text{current index} \\ \text{index}}} = \vec{A}_\mu \cdot \vec{A}^\mu \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} m_A^2 \vec{A}_\mu \cdot \vec{A}^\mu = \frac{1}{2} m_A^2 (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu) (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}^\mu) = 0 - 0 = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{2} m_A^2 [S(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu) S^{-1} + \frac{i}{k} (\partial_\mu S) S^{-1}] [S(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}^\mu) S^{-1} + \frac{i}{k} (\partial^\mu S) S^{-1}] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} m_A^2 [S(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu) (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}^\mu) S^{-1} + (\frac{i}{k})^2 (\partial_\mu S) S^{-1} (\partial^\mu S) S^{-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{k} S(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu) S^{-1} (\partial^\mu S) S^{-1} + \frac{i}{k} (\partial_\mu S) (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}^\mu) S^{-1}] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } (\partial^\mu S) S^{-1} = \partial^\mu (S S^{-1}) - S (\partial^\mu S^{-1}) = -S (\partial^\mu S^{-1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow (\frac{i}{k})^2 (\partial_\mu S) S^{-1} (\partial^\mu S) S^{-1} &= (\frac{i}{k})^2 (\partial_\mu S) S^{-1} (-S (\partial^\mu S^{-1})) \\ &= -(\frac{i}{k})^2 (\partial_\mu S) (\partial^\mu S^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{i}{k} S(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu) S^{-1} (\partial^\mu S) S^{-1} = -\frac{i}{k} S(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu) (\partial^\mu S^{-1}) \\ \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} m_A^2 \vec{A}_\mu \cdot \vec{A}^\mu &= \frac{1}{2} m_A^2 [S(\vec{A}_\mu \cdot \vec{A}^\mu) S^{-1} - (\frac{i}{k})^2 (\partial_\mu S) (\partial^\mu S^{-1}) - \frac{i}{k} S(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu) (\partial^\mu S^{-1}) \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{k} (\partial_\mu S) (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}^\mu) S^{-1}] \\ &\neq \frac{1}{2} m_A^2 \vec{A}_\mu \cdot \vec{A}^\mu. \end{aligned}$$

We need to take $\vec{F}^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu \vec{A}^\nu - \partial^\nu \vec{A}^\mu - 2k(\vec{A}^\mu \times \vec{A}^\nu)$
to make \mathcal{L}_A invariant under local gauge transformation.

check

$$\vec{F}'^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu - 2K(\vec{A}'^\mu \times \vec{A}'^\nu)$$

$$\vec{F} \cdot \vec{F}'^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu (\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\nu) - \partial^\nu (\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\mu) - 2K \vec{F} \cdot (\vec{A}'^\mu \times \vec{A}'^\nu)$$

$$\text{using } \vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\mu = S(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}^\mu) S^{-1} + \frac{i}{k} (\partial^\mu S) S^{-1}.$$

$$\text{and. } (\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\mu)(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\nu) = \sigma^i A'^{\mu i} \sigma^j A'^{\nu j} = (S^{ij} + i \epsilon^{ijk} \sigma^k) A'^{\mu i} A'^{\nu j}$$

$$= \vec{A}'^\mu \cdot \vec{A}'^\nu + i \vec{F} \cdot (\vec{A}'^\mu \times \vec{A}'^\nu)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\mu)(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\nu) - (\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\nu)(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\mu)$$

$$= 2i \vec{F} \cdot (\vec{A}'^\mu \times \vec{A}'^\nu)$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{F} \cdot \vec{F}'^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu [S(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\nu) S^{-1} + \frac{i}{k} (\partial^\nu S) S^{-1}]$$

$$- \partial^\nu [S(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\mu) S^{-1} + \frac{i}{k} (\partial^\mu S) S^{-1}]$$

$$- 2K \frac{1}{2i} [(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\mu)(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\nu) - (\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\nu)(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\mu)]$$

$$= \partial^\mu [S(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\nu) S^{-1}] - \partial^\nu [S(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\mu) S^{-1}]$$

$$+ \frac{i}{k} [(\partial^\nu S)(\partial^\mu S^{-1}) - (\partial^\mu S)(\partial^\nu S^{-1})]$$

$$+ ik [S(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\mu) S^{-1} + \frac{i}{k} (\partial^\mu S) S^{-1}] [S(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\nu) S^{-1} + \frac{i}{k} (\partial^\nu S) S^{-1}]$$

- $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$

$$\text{Using } 0 = \partial^\mu (S^{-1} S) = (\partial^\mu S^{-1}) S + S^{-1} \partial^\mu S$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial^\mu S^{-1} = - S^{-1} \partial^\mu S S^{-1}$$

$$\partial^\mu S = - S (\partial^\mu S^{-1}) S$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{F} \cdot \vec{F}'^{\mu\nu} = \left\{ (\partial^\mu S)(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\nu) S^{-1} + S(\partial^\mu(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\nu)) S^{-1} + S(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\nu)(\partial^\mu S^{-1}) \right.$$

$$+ \frac{i}{k} (\partial^\nu S)(\partial^\mu S^{-1}) \left. + ik [S(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\mu)(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\nu) S^{-1} + (\frac{i}{k})^2 (\partial^\mu S) S^{-1} (\partial^\nu S) S^{-1}] \right.$$

$$+ \frac{i}{k} (\partial^\mu S)(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\nu) S^{-1} + \frac{i}{k} S(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\mu) S^{-1} (\partial^\nu S) S^{-1} \left. \right.$$

- $(\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)$ $- S(\partial^\nu S^{-1})$

$$= S [(\partial^\mu(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\nu)) - (\partial^\nu(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\mu)) + ik(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\mu)(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\nu) - ik(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\nu)(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\mu)] S^{-1}$$

$$= S [(\partial^\mu(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\nu)) - (\partial^\nu(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{A}'^\mu)) - 2K \vec{F} \cdot (\vec{A}'^\mu \times \vec{A}'^\nu)] S^{-1}$$

$$= S(\vec{F} \cdot \vec{F}'^{\mu\nu}) S^{-1}$$

$$\text{Tr}[(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{F}'^{\mu\nu})(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{F}'_{\mu\nu})] = \text{Tr}[S(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{F}^{\mu\nu})S^{-1}S(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{F}_{\mu\nu})S^{-1}]$$

$$= \text{Tr}[(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{F}^{\mu\nu})(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{F}_{\mu\nu})]$$

$$\text{Tr}[(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{F}^{\mu\nu})(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{F}_{\mu\nu})] = F^{i\mu\nu} F^j_{\mu\nu} \text{Tr}(\sigma^i \sigma^j)$$

$$= F^{i\mu\nu} F^j_{\mu\nu} \text{Tr}(\delta^{ij} + i\varepsilon^{ijk}\sigma^k) = F^{i\mu\nu} F^j_{\mu\nu} 2\delta^{ij}$$

$$= 2\vec{F}^{\mu\nu} \cdot \vec{F}_{\mu\nu}$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{F}'^{\mu\nu} \cdot \vec{F}'_{\mu\nu} = \vec{F}^{\mu\nu} \cdot \vec{F}_{\mu\nu}$$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_A$ is invariant under local gauge transformation.

Therefore, the complete Yang-Mills Lagrangian, which is invariant under local $SU(2)$ transformation, is

$$\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi - m\bar{\psi} \psi - \frac{1}{4} \vec{F}_{\mu\nu} \cdot \vec{F}^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\text{where } \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_\mu = \partial_\mu + ik\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu,$$

$$\vec{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \vec{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \vec{A}_\mu - 2k(\vec{A}_\mu \times \vec{A}_\nu)$$

This Lagrangian describes two equal-mass Dirac fields in interaction with three massless vector gauge fields.

QCD

Let's apply Yang-Mills theory to construct the QCD Lagrangian.

$$4 = \begin{pmatrix} 4_r \\ 4_b \\ 4_g \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{4} = (\bar{4}_r \quad \bar{4}_b \quad \bar{4}_g)$$

Since the three colors of a given flavor are supposed to have the same mass, then the free Lagrangian for a particular flavor is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= i\bar{4}_r \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu 4_r - m \bar{4}_r 4_r + i\bar{4}_b \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu 4_b - m \bar{4}_b 4_b \\ &\quad + i\bar{4}_g \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu 4_g - m \bar{4}_g 4_g \\ &= i\bar{4} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu 4 - m \bar{4} 4. \end{aligned}$$

This Lagrangian has a $U(3)$ symmetry, that is, it is invariant under a global $U(3)$ transformation $4 \rightarrow U 4$ (so that $\bar{4} \rightarrow \bar{4} U^*$, where U is a 3×3 unitary matrix).

Since any unitary matrix can be written as an exponential Hermitian matrix: $U = e^{iH}$, with $H^+ = H$, and any 3×3 Hermitian matrix can be expressed in terms of nine real numbers, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_8 and θ , as

$$H = \theta I_{3 \times 3} + \sum_{i=1}^8 \lambda_i \sigma_i, \quad \text{where } \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_8 \text{ are Gell-Mann matrices,}$$

$$\text{then } U = e^{i\theta} e^{i\sum \lambda_i \sigma_i}.$$

(Check: any 3×3 Hermitian matrix can be written as $H = \begin{pmatrix} h_{11} & h_{12} & h_{13} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} & h_{23} \\ h_{31} & h_{32} & h_{33} \end{pmatrix}$ with h_{11}, h_{22}, h_{33} reals, $h_{12}^* = h_{21}$, $h_{13}^* = h_{31}$, $h_{23}^* = h_{32}$, so we can write it using 9 real numbers, as $H = \begin{pmatrix} b & f+i g & h+i k \\ f-i g & c & x+i y \\ h-i k & x-i y & d \end{pmatrix}$)

The eight Gell-Mann matrices are $\lambda_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\lambda_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\lambda_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\lambda_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\lambda_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\lambda_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\lambda_7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_8 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix},$$

it is checked that (see my Mathematica code "Gell-Mann matrix property")
 $[\frac{\lambda_a}{2}, \frac{\lambda_b}{2}] = if_{abc} \frac{\lambda_c}{2}$

where f_{abc} are structure constants, they are completely antisymmetric
with $f_{123} = 1$, $f_{147} = f_{246} = f_{257} = f_{345}$, $f_{458} = f_{678} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$
 $= f_{516} = f_{637} = \frac{1}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} & (i.e., f_{abc} = f_{bca} \\ & = f_{cab} = -f_{bac} \\ & = -f_{acb} = -f_{cba}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow G_I + \vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{a} = \begin{pmatrix} \theta + a_3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}a_8 & a_1 - ia_2 & a_4 - ia_5 \\ a_1 + ia_2 & \theta - a_3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}a_8 & a_6 - ia_7 \\ a_4 + ia_5 & a_6 + ia_7 & \theta - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}a_8 \end{pmatrix}$$

So, we obtain $a_1 = f$, $a_2 = -g$, $a_4 = h$, $a_5 = -k$, $a_6 = x$, $a_7 = -$,

$$\begin{cases} 3\theta = b + c + d \Rightarrow \theta = (b + c + d)/3 \\ 2a_3 = b - c \Rightarrow a_3 = (b - c)/2 \\ \theta - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}a_8 = d \Rightarrow a_8 = \frac{(\theta - d)\sqrt{3}}{2} = \frac{(b + c + d)}{3} - d/\sqrt{3}/2 \\ = \frac{b + c - 2d}{2\sqrt{3}} \end{cases}$$

done the check).

Let's focus on the $e^{i\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{a}}$ part of the transformation.

This is an $SU(3)$ transformation, i.e., $\det(e^{i\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{a}}) = 1$, $(e^{i\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{a}})^+ e^{i\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{a}} = e^{i\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{a}} (e^{i\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{a}})^+$

Under a global $SU(3)$ transformation, $S = e^{i\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{a}}$, the Lagrangian
 $L' = i\bar{4}'\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu 4' - m\bar{4}'4' = i\bar{4}S^+ \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu (S4) - m\bar{4}S^+ S4$
 $= i\bar{4}\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu 4 - m\bar{4}4 = L$, where $4 = \begin{pmatrix} 4_r \\ 4_b \\ 4_g \end{pmatrix}$

Now, let's "promote" it to a local transformation (i.e., $S \equiv S(x) = e^{i\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}(x)}$)

First, we introduce the covariant derivative

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu + ik\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu$$

and require that $D_\mu^i 4' = S D_\mu 4$, and therefore

$$i\vec{4}' \gamma^\mu D_\mu^i 4' = i\vec{4} \gamma^\mu D_\mu 4.$$

$$\begin{aligned} S D_\mu^i 4' &= (\partial_\mu + ik\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu^i)(S 4) \\ &= (\partial_\mu S) 4 + S(\partial_\mu 4) + ik\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu^i S 4 \\ &\stackrel{?}{=} S D_\mu 4 = S(\partial_\mu 4 + ik\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu 4) \end{aligned}$$

require

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow ik\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu^i S + \partial_\mu S &= ik S \vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu \\ \Rightarrow \vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu^i &= S(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu) S^{-1} + \frac{i}{k} (\partial_\mu S) S^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

We also need to introduce the free part Lagrangian for \vec{A}_μ ,

$$L_A = -\frac{1}{4} \vec{F}_{\mu\nu} \cdot \vec{F}^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^8 F_{\mu\nu}^i F^{i\mu\nu}$$

$$\text{where } \vec{F}^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu \vec{A}^\nu - \partial^\nu \vec{A}^\mu - 2k(\vec{A}^\mu \times \vec{A}^\nu),$$

$$\text{where } (\vec{A}^\mu \times \vec{A}^\nu)^i \equiv f^{ijk} A^{j\mu} A^{k\nu}$$

$$\text{Let's show that } \vec{F}^{\mu\nu} \cdot \vec{F}_{\mu\nu} = \vec{F}^{\mu\nu} \cdot \vec{F}_{\mu\nu}$$

First of all, we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} &(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\mu)(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\nu) - (\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\nu)(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\mu) \\ &= \lambda^i \lambda^j A^{i\mu} A^{j\nu} - \lambda^j \lambda^i A^{i\mu} A^{j\nu} = [\lambda^i, \lambda^j] A^{i\mu} A^{j\nu} \\ &= 2i f^{ijk} \lambda^k A^{i\mu} A^{j\nu} \equiv 2i \vec{\lambda} \cdot (\vec{A}^\mu \times \vec{A}^\nu) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{then } \vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{F}^{\mu\nu} &= \partial^\mu(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\nu) - \partial^\nu(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\mu) - 2k \vec{\lambda} \cdot (\vec{A}^\mu \times \vec{A}^\nu) \\ &= \left\{ \partial^\mu [S(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\nu) S^{-1} + \frac{i}{k} (\partial^\nu S) S^{-1}] - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{2k}{2i} [(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\mu)(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\nu) - (\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\nu)(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\mu)] \\ &= \left\{ (\partial^\mu S)(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\nu) S^{-1} + S(\partial^\mu(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\nu)) S^{-1} + S(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\nu)(\partial^\mu S^{-1}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{i}{k} (\partial^\nu S)(\partial^\mu S^{-1}) - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \right\} \\ &\quad + ik \left\{ S(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\mu) S^{-1} + \frac{i}{k} (\partial^\mu S) S^{-1} \right\} [S(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\nu) S^{-1} + \frac{i}{k} (\partial^\nu S) S^{-1}] \\ &= \left\{ (\partial^\mu S)(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\nu) S^{-1} + S(\partial^\mu(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\nu)) S^{-1} + S(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\nu)(\partial^\mu S^{-1}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{i}{k} (\partial^\nu S)(\partial^\mu S^{-1}) \right\} \\ &\quad + ik \left[S(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\mu)(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\nu) S^{-1} - \frac{1}{k^2} (\partial^\mu S) S^{-1} (\partial^\nu S) S^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{i}{k} (\partial^\mu S)(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\nu) S^{-1} + \frac{i}{k} S(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\mu) S^{-1} (\partial^\nu S) S^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{using } 0 = \partial^\mu (SS^{-1}) = (\partial^\mu S)S^{-1} + S(\partial^\mu S^{-1}) \\
& \Rightarrow \vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{F}'^{\mu\nu} = S[(\partial^\mu(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\nu)) - (\partial^\nu(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\mu))]S^{-1} \\
& \quad + ik 2i S[\vec{\lambda} \cdot (\vec{A}^\mu \times \vec{A}^\nu)]S^{-1} \\
& = S(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{F}'^{\mu\nu})S^{-1} \\
& \Rightarrow (\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{F}'^{\mu\nu})(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{F}'_{\mu\nu}) = S[(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{F}'^{\mu\nu})(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{F}'_{\mu\nu})]S^{-1} \\
& \Rightarrow T_F[(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{F}'^{\mu\nu})(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{F}'_{\mu\nu})] = T_F[S(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{F}'^{\mu\nu})(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{F}'_{\mu\nu})]S^{-1}] \\
& \quad = T_F[(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{F}'^{\mu\nu})(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{F}'_{\mu\nu})]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Since } T_F[(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{F}'^{\mu\nu})(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{F}'_{\mu\nu})] = T_F[\lambda^i \lambda^j F'^{\mu\nu}_{ij} F_{\mu\nu}^j]$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2}{3} \times 3 \vec{F}'^{\mu\nu} \cdot \vec{F}'_{\mu\nu} = 2 \vec{F}'^{\mu\nu} \cdot \vec{F}'_{\mu\nu}$$

use $\lambda_i \lambda_j = \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} + (d_{ijk} + if_{ijk}) \lambda_k$ and $T_F[\lambda_i] = 0$ for all λ_i

$$\Rightarrow \vec{F}'^{\mu\nu} \cdot \vec{F}'_{\mu\nu} = \vec{F}'^{\mu\nu} \cdot \vec{F}'_{\mu\nu}$$

Also, the mass term for \vec{A}_μ , $\frac{1}{2} m_A^2 \vec{A}_\mu \cdot \vec{A}^\mu$ is not local gauge invariant, so it cannot be in the full Lagrangian.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{check: } T_F[(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\mu)(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu)] = T_F[\lambda^i \lambda^j A^i_\mu A^j_\mu] = 2 \vec{A}_\mu \cdot \vec{A}^\mu \\
& \Rightarrow \vec{A}_\mu \cdot \vec{A}^\mu = \frac{1}{2} T_F[(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}'^\mu)(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}'_\mu)] \\
& \quad = \frac{1}{2} T_F[(S(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu)S^{-1} + \frac{i}{k}(\partial_\mu S)S^{-1}) \\
& \quad \quad (S(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\mu)S^{-1} + \frac{i}{k}(\partial^\mu S)S^{-1})] \\
& \quad = \frac{1}{2} T_F[S(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu)(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\mu)S^{-1}] \\
& \quad \quad + \frac{1}{2} T_F[(\frac{i}{k})^2 (\partial_\mu S)S^{-1}(\partial^\mu S)S^{-1}] \\
& \quad \quad + \frac{i}{k} T_F[(\partial_\mu S)(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\mu)S^{-1}] \\
& \quad = \vec{A}_\mu \cdot \vec{A}^\mu + \frac{1}{2} (\frac{i}{k})^2 (-1) T_F[(\partial_\mu S^{-1})(\partial^\mu S)] \\
& \quad \quad + \frac{i}{k} T_F[(\partial_\mu S)(\vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}^\mu)S^{-1}] \\
& \quad \neq \vec{A}_\mu \cdot \vec{A}^\mu
\end{aligned}$$

So, the QCD Lagrangian is

$$L = i\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi - m \bar{\psi} \psi - \frac{1}{4} \vec{F}_{\mu\nu} \cdot \vec{F}^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\text{where } \vec{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \vec{A}_\nu - \partial_\nu \vec{A}_\mu - 2k(\vec{A}_\mu \times \vec{A}_\nu)$$

$$\text{i.e., } F_{\mu\nu}^i = \partial_\mu A_\nu^i - \partial_\nu A_\mu^i - 2k f^{ijk} A_\mu^j A_\nu^k$$

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu + ik \vec{\lambda} \cdot \vec{A}_\mu = \partial_\mu + ik \sum_i \lambda^i A_\mu^i$$

The convention is to take $k = \frac{g_s}{2}$, where $g_s = \frac{g_s^2}{4\pi}$