Final Exam

Due date: 2019.12.30

Problem 1. The "magic" number that can give the correct WIMP dark matter relic abundance is $3 \times 10^{-26} \text{cm}^3/\text{sec}$, which is the product of the annihilation cross section and the relative speed between two annihilating dark matter particles, i.e, σv .

- 1) In c = 1 units, what is this number in cm²? [1 point]
- 2) In $\hbar = c = 1$ units, what is this number in GeV^{-2} ? [1 point]

NOTE: Please round your results to one significant figure, and don't worry about uncertainties.

Problem 2. [4 points] Calculate

$$\bar{u}(\vec{p}_2, s_2) \left(\frac{-\not p_1 + \not p_2 + m}{(p_1 - p_2)^2 - m^2} \right) \not p_4 v(\vec{p}_3, s_3) + \bar{u}(\vec{p}_2, s_2) \not p_4 \left(\frac{\not p_1 - \not p_3 + m}{(p_1 - p_3)^2 - m^2} \right) v(\vec{p}_3, s_3) ,$$

where

$$(\not p_2 - m)u(\vec p_2, s_2) = 0 , \quad (\not p_3 + m)v(\vec p_3, s_3) = 0 ,$$

$$p_1^{\mu} = p_2^{\mu} + p_3^{\mu} + p_4^{\mu} , \quad p_2^2 = p_3^2 = m^2 , \quad p_4^2 = 0 .$$

NOTE: You can use all the properties of the γ -matrices that you have proved in the problem 1 in homework 3, and you don't have to re-do the proofs here.

Problem 3. For two real scalar fields, σ and ϕ , decomposed as

$$\sigma(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} C(E_{\vec{p}}) (a_{\vec{p}} e^{-ip \cdot x} + a_{\vec{p}}^{\dagger} e^{ip \cdot x}) d^{3} \vec{p},$$

$$\phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} C(E_{\vec{p}}) (b_{\vec{p}} e^{-ip \cdot x} + b_{\vec{p}}^{\dagger} e^{ip \cdot x}) d^{3} \vec{p},$$

for which the commutation relations are

$$[a(\vec{p}), a^{\dagger}(\vec{p}')] = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_{\vec{p}}} \left(\frac{1}{C(E_{\vec{p}})}\right)^2 \delta^3(\vec{p} - \vec{p}') ,$$

$$[b(\vec{p}), b^{\dagger}(\vec{p}')] = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{2E_{\vec{p}}} \left(\frac{1}{C(E_{\vec{p}})}\right)^2 \delta^3(\vec{p} - \vec{p}') ,$$

$$[a(\vec{p}), a(\vec{p}')] = [b(\vec{p}), b(\vec{p}')] = [a(\vec{p}), b^{\dagger}(\vec{p}')] = [b(\vec{p}), a^{\dagger}(\vec{p}')] = 0 ,$$

$$[a^{\dagger}(\vec{p}), a^{\dagger}(\vec{p}')] = [b^{\dagger}(\vec{p}), b^{\dagger}(\vec{p}')] = [a^{\dagger}(\vec{p}), b^{\dagger}(\vec{p}')] = [a(\vec{p}), b(\vec{p}')] = 0 .$$

Note that in fact, the two sets of annihilation and creation operators for the fields σ and ϕ are independent, so they commute. Use the above field decompositions and commutation relations, calculate

1) [3 points]

$$\langle q_1, q_2 | \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^4x : \sigma(x)\phi(x)\partial_\mu\phi(x) : |k_1\rangle$$
,

where

$$\langle q_1, q_2 | = C(E_{\vec{q}_1})(2\pi)^3 (2E_{\vec{q}_1}) C(E_{\vec{q}_2})(2\pi)^3 (2E_{\vec{q}_2}) \langle 0 | b_{\vec{q}_1} b_{\vec{q}_2}, |k_1\rangle = C(E_{\vec{k}_1})(2\pi)^3 (2E_{\vec{k}_1}) a_{\vec{k}_1}^{\dagger} |0\rangle.$$

2) [3 points] Calculate

$$\langle q_2, k_2 | \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^4x : \sigma^2(x) \phi^2(x) : |q_1, k_1\rangle$$
,

where

$$\langle q_2, k_2 | = C(E_{\vec{q}_2})(2\pi)^3 (2E_{\vec{q}_2}) C(E_{\vec{k}_2})(2\pi)^3 (2E_{\vec{k}_2}) \langle 0 | b_{\vec{q}_2} a_{\vec{k}_2}, | q_1, k_1 \rangle = C(E_{\vec{q}_1})(2\pi)^3 (2E_{\vec{q}_1}) C(E_{\vec{k}_1})(2\pi)^3 (2E_{\vec{k}_1}) b_{\vec{q}_1}^{\dagger} a_{\vec{k}_1}^{\dagger} | 0 \rangle.$$

NOTE: Please note that there is a ∂_{μ} in question 1).

The vacuum state is normalized as $\langle 0|0\rangle = 1$. $C(E_{\vec{k}})$ is a real function of $E_{\vec{k}}$. Please show all your steps. Please don't use directly the Feynman rule (if you know it), since the purpose is to let you derive it.

Problem 4. The first man-made Ω^- particle was created by firing a high energy proton at a stationary proton to produce a K^+/K^- pair: $p+p\to p+p+K^++K^-$; the K^- in turn hit another stationary proton, $K^-+p\to \Omega^-+K^0+K^+$. The masses of the particles involved in these two reactions are 938.27 MeV for a proton, 493.7 MeV for a charged kaon, 497.6 MeV for a neutral kaon, and 1672 MeV for an Ω^- . What minimum kinetic energy is required for the incident proton, to make an Ω^- in this way? [6 points]

NOTE: Please give your result in the unit of MeV. Round this number to three significant figures, and don't worry about uncertainties.