

## Lorentz Symmetry of spin $\frac{1}{2}$ fields

Do a Lorentz transformation

$$x^\mu \rightarrow x'^\mu = \Lambda^\mu_\nu x^\nu, \text{ where } \Lambda^\mu_\rho \Lambda^\nu_\sigma g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\rho\sigma}$$

then  $\psi'_a(x') = S_{ab}(\Lambda) \psi_b(x)$ , note that  $\Lambda^\mu_\nu$  are constants.

$$\left( \begin{array}{l} \text{recall that for scalar field } \phi'(x') = \phi(x), \\ \text{for vector field } A'^\mu(x') = \Lambda^\mu_\nu A^\nu(x) \end{array} \right)$$

Since the theory should not change after a Lorentz transformation, then  $\psi'(x')$  should also satisfy Dirac equation.

$$(i\gamma^\mu \partial'_\mu - m) \psi'(x') = 0.$$

times  $S$  from the left to  $(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi(x) = 0$

$$S (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi(x) = 0$$

and insert  $S^{-1}S = 1$ .

$$\Rightarrow S (i\gamma^\mu S^{-1}S \partial_\mu - m) \psi(x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow i S \gamma^\mu S^{-1} \partial_\mu (S \psi(x)) - m S \psi(x) = 0$$

(note that  $S(\Lambda) \partial_\mu = \partial_\mu S(\Lambda)$ , since  $S$  is a  $4 \times 4$  constant matrix)

$$\Rightarrow (i S \gamma^\mu S^{-1} \partial_\mu - m) \psi'(x') = 0$$

so we have

$$S \gamma^\mu S^{-1} \partial_\mu = \gamma^\mu \partial'_\mu.$$

$$\text{Since } \partial_\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \frac{\partial x'^\nu}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^\nu} = \Lambda^\nu_\mu \partial'_\nu$$

$$\text{then } S \gamma^\mu S^{-1} \Lambda^\nu_\mu \partial'_\nu = \gamma^\mu \partial'_\mu$$

$$\Rightarrow S \gamma^\mu S^{-1} \Lambda^\nu_\mu = \gamma^\nu$$

$$\Rightarrow S^{-1} S \gamma^\mu S^{-1} S \Lambda^\nu_\mu = S^{-1} \gamma^\nu S$$

$$\Rightarrow S^{-1} \gamma^\nu S = \Lambda^\nu_\mu \gamma^\mu$$

This is the meaning of  $\gamma^\mu$  behaves as a Lorentz vector.

Now consider an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation,

$$\Lambda^\mu_\nu = \delta^\mu_\nu + \epsilon^\mu_\nu, \text{ and } \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \equiv g_{\mu\lambda} \epsilon^\lambda_\nu$$

and we have shown before that  $\epsilon_{\mu\nu} = -\epsilon_{\nu\mu}$ .

To first order in  $\epsilon_{\mu\nu}$ ,  $S(\Lambda)$  must have the form that

$$S(\Lambda) \approx 1 - \frac{i}{4} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu}$$

where  $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$  are  $4 \times 4$  matrices,  $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = -\sigma_{\nu\mu}$ .

the factor  $(-\frac{i}{4})$  is introduced by convention.

Now let's find  $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ .

Then from  $S^{-1} \gamma^\nu S = \Lambda^\nu_\mu \gamma^\mu$ , to first order in  $\epsilon$

$$\Rightarrow \left(1 + \frac{i}{4} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}\right) \gamma^\nu \left(1 - \frac{i}{4} \epsilon^{\rho\sigma} \sigma_{\rho\sigma}\right) \approx (\delta^\nu_\mu + \epsilon^\nu_\mu) \gamma^\mu$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\gamma^\nu + \frac{i}{4} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \gamma^\nu\right) \left(1 - \frac{i}{4} \epsilon^{\rho\sigma} \sigma_{\rho\sigma}\right) = \gamma^\nu + \epsilon^\nu_\mu \gamma^\mu$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{i}{4} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \gamma^\nu - \frac{i}{4} \gamma^\nu \epsilon^{\rho\sigma} \sigma_{\rho\sigma} \approx \epsilon^\nu_\mu \gamma^\mu$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{i}{4} \epsilon^{\kappa\lambda} (\gamma^\nu \sigma_{\kappa\lambda} - \sigma_{\kappa\lambda} \gamma^\nu) = \epsilon^\nu_\mu \gamma^\mu$$

$$\text{the RHS} = \epsilon^\nu_\mu \gamma^\mu = \epsilon^{\nu\mu} \gamma_\mu = \epsilon^{\kappa\lambda} (\delta^\nu_\kappa \gamma_\lambda)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\kappa\lambda} \delta^\nu_\kappa \gamma_\lambda + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\kappa\lambda} \delta^\nu_\lambda \gamma_\kappa - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\kappa\lambda} \delta^\nu_\lambda \gamma_\kappa$$

$$\Rightarrow -\frac{i}{4} \epsilon^{\kappa\lambda} (\gamma^\nu \sigma_{\kappa\lambda} - \sigma_{\kappa\lambda} \gamma^\nu) = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\kappa\lambda} (\delta^\nu_\kappa \gamma_\lambda - \delta^\nu_\lambda \gamma_\kappa)$$

$$\Rightarrow 2i (\delta^\nu_\kappa \gamma_\lambda - \delta^\nu_\lambda \gamma_\kappa) = [\gamma^\nu, \sigma_{\kappa\lambda}]$$

we can check that  $\sigma_{\kappa\lambda} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma_\kappa, \gamma_\lambda]$  is the solution to the above equation.

check:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS} &= [\gamma^\nu, \frac{i}{2} [\gamma_\kappa, \gamma_\lambda]] \\ &= \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^\nu, \gamma_\kappa \gamma_\lambda - \gamma_\lambda \gamma_\kappa] \\ &= \frac{i}{2} (\gamma^\nu \gamma_\kappa \gamma_\lambda - \gamma^\nu \gamma_\lambda \gamma_\kappa - \gamma_\kappa \gamma_\lambda \gamma^\nu + \gamma_\lambda \gamma_\kappa \gamma^\nu) \end{aligned}$$

use  $\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu + \gamma_\nu \gamma_\mu = 2g_{\mu\nu} \Rightarrow \gamma_\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma_\mu = 2\delta_\mu^\nu$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \text{RHS} &= \frac{i}{2} [(2\delta_\kappa^\nu - \gamma_\kappa \gamma^\nu) \gamma_\lambda - (2\delta_\lambda^\nu - \gamma_\lambda \gamma^\nu) \gamma_\kappa \\ &\quad - \gamma_\kappa (2\delta_\lambda^\nu - \gamma^\nu \gamma_\lambda) + \gamma_\lambda (2\delta_\kappa^\nu - \gamma^\nu \gamma_\kappa)] \\ &= \frac{i}{2} [4\delta_\kappa^\nu \gamma_\lambda - 4\delta_\lambda^\nu \gamma_\kappa - \cancel{\gamma_\kappa \gamma^\nu \gamma_\lambda} + \cancel{\gamma_\lambda \gamma^\nu \gamma_\kappa} \\ &\quad + \cancel{\gamma_\kappa \gamma^\nu \gamma_\lambda} - \cancel{\gamma_\lambda \gamma^\nu \gamma_\kappa}] \\ &= 2i (\delta_\kappa^\nu \gamma_\lambda - \delta_\lambda^\nu \gamma_\kappa) \\ &= \text{LHS} \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{From } \sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu] \Rightarrow \sigma_{\mu\nu}^\dagger = -\frac{i}{2} (\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu - \gamma_\nu \gamma_\mu)^\dagger = -\frac{i}{2} (\gamma_\nu^\dagger \gamma_\mu^\dagger - \gamma_\mu^\dagger \gamma_\nu^\dagger)$$

$$\Rightarrow S^\dagger = 1 + \frac{i}{4} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu}^\dagger = 1 + \frac{i}{4} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} \gamma_0 \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_0 = \gamma_0 \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_0$$

$$\text{while } S^{-1} = 1 + \frac{i}{4} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu}, \quad \gamma_0^2 = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow S^\dagger = \gamma_0 S^{-1} \gamma_0$$

$$\text{Since } \psi'(x') = S \psi(x)$$

$$\text{then } \psi'^\dagger(x') = \psi^\dagger(x) S^\dagger$$

$$\bar{\psi}'(x') = \psi'^\dagger(x') \gamma_0 = \psi^\dagger(x) S^\dagger \gamma_0 = \psi^\dagger(x) \gamma_0 S^{-1} = \bar{\psi}(x) S^{-1}$$

So, Under Lorentz transformation.

$$\bar{\psi}(x) \psi(x) \rightarrow \bar{\psi}'(x') \psi'(x') = \bar{\psi}(x) S^{-1} S \psi(x) = \bar{\psi}(x) \psi(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} i \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi &\rightarrow i \bar{\psi}'(x') \gamma^\mu \partial'_\mu \psi'(x') = i \bar{\psi}(x) S^{-1} \gamma^\mu \partial'_\mu S \psi(x) \\ &= i \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi(x) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = i \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - m \bar{\psi} \psi$$

is invariant under Lorentz transformation.

$$\mathcal{L} = i \bar{\psi} \not{\partial} \psi - \bar{\psi} m \psi$$

$$= \bar{\psi} (i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi} = -m \bar{\psi}$$

$$\partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \psi)} = \partial_\mu (\bar{\psi} i \gamma^\mu) = i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}$$

$$\Rightarrow i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{\psi} + m \bar{\psi} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\psi} (i \overleftarrow{\not{\partial}} + m) = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \bar{\psi}} = (i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi$$

$$\partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})} = \partial_\mu 0 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

if use  $\psi^\dagger$  as independent field, then

$$\mathcal{L} = i \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - m \psi^\dagger \gamma^0 \psi$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \psi^\dagger} = i \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - m \gamma^0 \psi$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \psi^\dagger)} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma^0 (i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - m \psi) = 0$$

times  $\gamma^0$  from the left and use  $(\gamma^0)^2 = 1$

$$\Rightarrow (i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi = 0$$



## spin of the Dirac field.

Do a Lorentz transformation

$$\psi'(x') = S(\Lambda) \psi(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi'(x) = S(\Lambda) \psi(\Lambda^{-1}x)$$

Recall for scalar field  $\phi'(x') = \phi(x) \Rightarrow \phi'(x) = \phi(\Lambda^{-1}x)$

for vector field.  $A'^{\alpha}(x') = \Lambda^{\alpha}_{\beta} A^{\beta}(x) \Rightarrow A'^{\alpha}(x) = \Lambda^{\alpha}_{\beta} A^{\beta}(\Lambda^{-1}x)$

For an infinitesimal transformation,  $\Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} \approx \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} + \epsilon^{\mu}_{\nu}$

where  $\epsilon_{\mu\nu} \equiv g_{\mu\lambda} \epsilon^{\lambda}_{\nu}$  and  $\epsilon_{\mu\nu} + \epsilon_{\nu\mu} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \psi'(x) &= \left(1 - \frac{i}{4} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu}\right) \psi(x^{\rho} - \epsilon^{\rho}_{\sigma} x^{\sigma}) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{i}{4} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \sigma_{\mu\nu}\right) \left[\psi(x) - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} L_{\mu\nu} \psi(x)\right] \\ &= \psi(x) - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \left(L_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4} \sigma_{\mu\nu}\right) \psi(x) \end{aligned}$$

recall for scalar field  $\phi'(x) = \phi(\Lambda^{-1}x) = \phi((\delta^{\mu}_{\nu} - \epsilon^{\mu}_{\nu})x^{\nu}) = \phi(x^{\mu} - \epsilon^{\mu}_{\nu} x^{\nu})$   
 $= \phi(x) - \epsilon^{\mu}_{\nu} x^{\nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi(x)$   
 $= \phi(x) - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} L_{\mu\nu} \phi(x)$

where  $L_{\mu\nu} \equiv i(x_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} - x_{\nu} \partial_{\mu})$

$L_{\mu\nu}$  is antisymmetric in  $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$ .

for vector field.

$$\begin{aligned} A'^{\alpha}(x) &= \Lambda^{\alpha}_{\beta} A^{\beta}(\Lambda^{-1}x) \approx (\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} + \epsilon^{\alpha}_{\beta}) \left(A^{\beta}(x) - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} L_{\mu\nu} A^{\beta}(x)\right) \\ &= \left[\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} (\Sigma_{\mu\nu})^{\alpha}_{\beta}\right] \left(A^{\beta}(x) - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} L_{\mu\nu} A^{\beta}(x)\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= A^{\alpha}(x) - \frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \left[L_{\mu\nu} \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} + (\Sigma_{\mu\nu})^{\alpha}_{\beta}\right] A^{\beta}(x)$$

where  $(\Sigma_{\mu\nu})^{\alpha}_{\beta} = i(g^{\alpha}_{\mu} g_{\nu\beta} - g^{\alpha}_{\nu} g_{\mu\beta})$   
 $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}$  is antisymmetric in  $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$ .

$$\Rightarrow \delta_0 \psi(x) = -\frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \left(L_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4} \sigma_{\mu\nu}\right) \psi(x)$$

write the spinor indices explicitly,

$$\delta_0 \psi_a(x) = -\frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \left[L_{\mu\nu} \delta_{ab} + \frac{1}{4} (\sigma_{\mu\nu})_{ab}\right] \psi_b(x)$$

$(L_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4} \sigma_{\mu\nu})$  are the generators for infinitesimal Lorentz transformation of a Dirac field.

(note that  $\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}]$  is antisymmetric in  $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$ .)

(recall for scalar field,  $\delta_\alpha \phi(x) = \phi'(x) - \phi(x) = -\frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} L_{\mu\nu} \phi(x)$

for vector field,  $\delta_\alpha A^\alpha(x) = -\frac{i}{2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} (L_{\mu\nu} + \Sigma_{\mu\nu}) A^\alpha(x)$

where  $\Sigma_{\mu\nu} A^\alpha \equiv (\Sigma_{\mu\nu})^\alpha_\beta A^\beta$ ,  $L_{\mu\nu} A^\alpha \equiv L_{\mu\nu} \delta^\alpha_\beta A^\beta$

$L_{\mu\nu}$  are the generators of infinitesimal Lorentz transformation of a scalar field,

$(L_{\mu\nu} + \Sigma_{\mu\nu})$  are the generators of infinitesimal Lorentz transformation of a vector field.

For the pure spatial part (i.e., for pure Lorentz rotation, no boost)

Define  $L^K \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} L_{ij}$

$$S^K \equiv \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{ij}$$

$$\Rightarrow L^1 = L_{23}, L^2 = L_{31}, L^3 = L_{12}$$

$$S^1 = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{23}, S^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{31}, S^3 = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{12}$$

we have shown before (when we do scalar field)

$$[L^i, L^j] = i \epsilon^{ijk} L^k$$

For  $[S^i, S^j]$ ,

$$[S^1, S^1] = [S^2, S^2] = [S^3, S^3] = 0$$

$$\text{use } \sigma_{ij} = \frac{i}{2} [\gamma_i, \gamma_j] = i \gamma_i \gamma_j \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

$$\Rightarrow [S^1, S^2] = \frac{1}{4} [\sigma_{23}, \sigma_{31}] = \frac{1}{4} i^2 [\gamma_2 \gamma_3, \gamma_3 \gamma_1]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} i^2 (\gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_3 \gamma_1 - \gamma_3 \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} i^2 (-\gamma_2 \gamma_1 + \gamma_1 \gamma_2)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} i^2 \gamma_1 \gamma_2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{12} = i \epsilon^{123} S^3$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[S^2, S^3] &= \frac{1}{4} [\sigma_{31}, \sigma_{12}] = \frac{1}{4} i^2 [\gamma_3 \gamma_1, \gamma_1 \gamma_2] \\
&= \frac{1}{4} i^2 (\gamma_3 \gamma_1 \gamma_1 \gamma_2 - \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_1) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} i^2 (-\gamma_3 \gamma_2 + \gamma_2 \gamma_3) \\
&= \frac{i^2}{2} \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \\
&= \frac{i}{2} \sigma_{23} \\
&= i \epsilon^{231} S^1
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[S^3, S^1] &= \frac{1}{4} [\sigma_{12}, \sigma_{23}] = \frac{1}{4} i^2 [\gamma_1 \gamma_2, \gamma_2 \gamma_3] \\
&= \frac{1}{4} i^2 (\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 - \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_1 \gamma_2) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} i^2 (-\gamma_1 \gamma_3 + \gamma_3 \gamma_1) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} i^2 2 \gamma_3 \gamma_1 \\
&= \frac{i}{2} \sigma_{31} \\
&= i \epsilon^{312} S^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow [S^i, S^j] = i \epsilon^{ijk} S^k$$

Since  $[L^i, S^i] = 0$ , then  $[L^i, S^j] = 0$

$$\text{Define } J^i \equiv L^i + S^i \Rightarrow [J^i, J^j] = i \epsilon^{ijk} J^k$$

So  $J^i$  is total angular momentum,  $L^i$  is orbital angular momentum,  $S^i$  is spin angular momentum.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Since } \sum_{i=1}^3 S^i S^i &= S^1 S^1 + S^2 S^2 + S^3 S^3 = \frac{1}{4} (\sigma_{23} \sigma_{23} + \sigma_{31} \sigma_{31} + \sigma_{12} \sigma_{12}) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} i^2 (\gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 + \gamma_3 \gamma_1 \gamma_3 \gamma_1 + \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_1 \gamma_2) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} i^2 (-1 -1 -1) \\
&= -\frac{3}{4} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} + 1 \right) \Rightarrow \text{spin is } \frac{1}{2}
\end{aligned}$$