

# Pearson's Chi-square Test

Chao Cheng

August 26, 2022

There are mainly two types of situations that's suitable for a Pearson's Chi-square test. The first is to test one sample against a given vector, the so-called goodness-of-fit test. And the second is to test the existence of correlation between two samples, the so-called contingency/independence/association test.

## 1 Effect size index $w$

The Effect size index  $w$  from Chapter 7 in [Cohen \[2013\]](#) is

$$w = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(P_{1i} - P_{0i})^2}{P_{0i}}}, \quad (1)$$

where

- $m$  is the number of cell.
- $P_{0i}$  is the **propotion** in cell  $i$  proposed by the null hypothesis.
- $P_{1i}$  is the **propotion** in cell  $i$  proposed by the alternative hypothesis and reflects the effect for that cell.

## 2 Test statistics $\chi^2$

The test statistic is just

$$\chi_T^2 = nw^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(nP_{1i} - nP_{0i})^2}{nP_{0i}}. \quad (2)$$

## 3 Goodness of fit test

Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}^m$  be a sample from *multinomial*( $n, \mathbf{p}$ ) where  $n$  is the number of trials and  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_m)^T$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^m p_i = 1$ . Here we assume  $p_i > 0$  for all  $i$  to eliminate some edge cases where some nomial is utterly impossible to happen. Then the probability of any given  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)^T$  is

$$P(\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_m)^T) = \prod_{i=1}^m p_i^{x_i},$$

where  $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i = n$ . This  $\mathbf{x}$  can also be seen as the summation of  $n$  samples  $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  where each  $\mathbf{x}_i \in \mathcal{R}^m$  follows *multinomial*(1,  $\mathbf{p}$ ). And one and only one entry in each  $\mathbf{x}_i$  is a single one while others  $m - 1$  entries all remain zero.

Based on this observed  $\mathbf{x}$ , we want to test its underlying distribution  $\mathbf{p}$  against a given vector  $\mathbf{p}_0 = (p_{01}, \dots, p_{0m})^T$ . And from (2) we know that the test statistic is

$$\chi_T^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(x_i - np_{0i})^2}{np_{0i}}. \quad (3)$$

### 3.1 Reject rule

Under null hypothesis, this test statistics follows a  $\chi^2$  distribution with degree of freedom being  $m - 1$ . Proof for this statement can be found in Chapter 9 Pearson's chi-square test in David R. Hunter's **Notes for a graduate-level course in asymptotics for statisticians** [Hunter, 2014]. And we reject  $H_0$  when this test statistic  $\chi_T^2$  is large enough.

### 3.2 Power analysis

Under alternative hypothesis, i.e.  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_m)^T \neq \mathbf{p}_0$ . Denote  $\boldsymbol{\delta} = \sqrt{n}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_0)$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Gamma} = \text{diag}(\mathbf{p}_0)$ . Then the test statistic now follows a **non-central chi-square distribution** with non-central parameter

$$\lambda = \boldsymbol{\delta}^T \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\delta}.$$

**Non-central chi-square distribution:** Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be independent normal distribution with means  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  and unit variance. Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$  follows a non-central chi-square distribution with non-central parameter being

$$\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i^2$$

and degree of freedom being  $n$ . And the pdf of  $X = \sum x_i$  is given by

$$f(x; n, \lambda) = \exp(-\lambda/2) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda/2)^i}{i!} f_{n+2i}(x),$$

where  $f_n(x)$  stands for the pdf of a ordinary chi-square distribution with  $n$  degree of freedom. This result can also be found in Hunter's **Notes for a graduate-level course in asymptotics for statisticians** [Hunter, 2014]. Also Guenther [1977] and Meng and Chapman [1966] offers the same results.

## 4 Contingency test

The same idea as that in Section 3 for the goodness of fit test except for that  $\mathbf{p}_0$  is not now given, but rather computed based on **marginal proportion** of the data. So consider a  $r \times c$  contingency table in Table 1 and Table 2.

The null hypothesis is that these two types of categories (arranged in row and column, respectively) is independent. Therefore the underlying distribution satisfies

$$p_{ij} = p_{i \cdot} p_{\cdot j}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r, \quad 1 \leq j \leq c. \quad (4)$$

	col <sub>1</sub>	...	col <sub>c</sub>	Total
row <sub>1</sub>	$x_{11}$	...	$x_{1c}$	$x_{1\cdot} = \sum_{j=1}^c x_{1j}$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
row <sub>r</sub>	$x_{r1}$	...	$x_{rc}$	$x_{r\cdot} = \sum_{j=1}^c x_{rj}$
Total	$x_{\cdot 1} = \sum_{i=1}^r x_{i1}$	...	$x_{\cdot c} = \sum_{i=1}^r x_{ic}$	$n = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^c x_{ij}$

Table 1: A contingency table, counts in cell

	col <sub>1</sub>	...	col <sub>c</sub>	Total
row <sub>1</sub>	$p_{11} = x_{11}/n$	...	$p_{1c} = x_{1c}/n$	$p_{1\cdot} = x_{1\cdot}/n$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\ddots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
row <sub>r</sub>	$p_{r1} = x_{r1}/n$	...	$p_{rc} = x_{rc}/n$	$p_{r\cdot} = x_{r\cdot}/n$
Total	$p_{\cdot 1} = x_{\cdot 1}/n$	...	$p_{\cdot c} = x_{\cdot c}/n$	1

Table 2: A contingency table, proportion in cell

Then the alternative hypothesis is that there exists at least one  $(i, j)$  such that (4) does not hold.

## 4.1 Reject rule

Here the test statistic is

$$\chi_T^2 = n \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^c \frac{(P_{1,ij} - P_{0,ij})^2}{P_{0,ij}} = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^c \frac{(x_{ij} - np_{i\cdot}p_{\cdot j})^2}{np_{i\cdot}p_{\cdot j}},$$

where  $P_{1,ij}$  is just the observed proportion in cell  $(i, j)$  and  $P_{0,ij} = p_{i\cdot}p_{\cdot j}$  is the expected proportion computed based on marginal data.

Under null hypothesis,  $\chi_T^2$  follows a  $\chi^2$  distribution with degree of freedom being  $(r - 1)(c - 1)$ . And  $H_0$  is rejected for large value of  $\chi_T^2$ .

## 4.2 Power analysis

The same as that in Section 3.2. Just now the  $\mathbf{p}$  is length  $r \times c$  instead of  $m$ , and the degree of freedom of the chi-square distribution is  $(r - 1)(c - 1)$ .

## 5 Other related tests

- For  $2 \times 2$  table with small sample size, a Fisher's exact test can be considered.
- For  $2 \times 1$  table, a binomial test can be considered: Clopper-Pearson's test is an exact one, while the chi-square test or a normal test is a continuous approximation here.

## References

- Jacob Cohen. *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences*. Routledge, may 2013. doi: 10.4324/9780203771587.
- William C. Guenther. Power and sample size for approximate chi-square tests. *The American Statistician*, 31(2):83, may 1977. doi: 10.2307/2683047.
- David R. Hunter. Notes for a graduate-level course in asymptotics for statisticians. June 2014. URL <http://personal.psu.edu/drh20/asymp/lectures/asymp.pdf>.
- Rosa C. Meng and Douglas G. Chapman. The power of chi square tests for contingency tables. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 61(316):965–975, dec 1966. doi: 10.1080/01621459.1966.10482187.