Test for the probability of a binomial distribution

Chao Cheng

November 3, 2022

Contents

1 Introduction

For an i.i.d sample from a bernoulli distribution

$$x_1, \cdots, x_n \overset{\text{i.i.d.}}{\sim} Bernoulli(p),$$

The likelihood of the data is

$$f(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} p^{x_i} (1-p)^{1-x_i} = p^{\sum x_i} (1-p)^{n-\sum x_i}.$$

MLE for p is $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \sim Binom(n, p).$$

So here are mainly two situations: One is to test the probability p against some given value p_0. The other is to compare the probability between two independent random samples $x_1, \cdots, x_n$ and $y_1, \cdots, y_m$.

Case1: One sample $x_1, \cdots, x_n$ from $Bernoulli(p)$, and test p against a given p_0.

Case2: Two samples: $x_1, \cdots, x_2$ from $Bernoulli(p_1)$ and $y_1, \cdots, y_m$ from $Bernoulli(p_2)$. And test whether $p_1 = p_2$.

2 Normal approximation

2.1 Case 1

Note that

$$\mathrm{E}X = p, \quad \mathrm{Var}X = p\,(1-p).$$

Then by CLT we have

$$\bar{x} \overset{\text{asymp}}{\sim} N\left(p,\ \frac{p\,(1-p)}{n}\right).$$

For $H_0:\ p = p_0$, we propose a test statistic

$$Z = \frac{\bar{x} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}}.$$

Then Z is asymptotically standard normal under H_0.

Also we know that under H_1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z &= \frac{\bar{x} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}} \\
&= \frac{\bar{x} - p}{\sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{p\,(1-p)}{p_0\,(1-p_0)}} + \frac{p - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}} \\
&\sim N\left(\frac{p - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}},\ \frac{p\,(1-p)}{p_0\,(1-p_0)}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

So the power of the test can be easily computed.

2.2 Case 2

So we have

$$\bar{x} \overset{\text{asymp}}{\sim} N\left(p_1,\ \frac{p_1\,(1-p_1)}{n}\right), \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{y} \overset{\text{asymp}}{\sim} N\left(p_2,\ \frac{p_2\,(1-p_2)}{m}\right).$$

A test statistic can be

$$Z = \frac{\bar{x} - \bar{y}}{\sqrt{\hat{p}\,(1-\hat{p})\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}\right)}},$$

where $\hat{p} = \frac{n\bar{x}+m\bar{y}}{n+m}$. This test statistic can be found at

https://stats.stackexchange.com/questions/361015/
  proof-of-the-standard-error-of-the-distribution-between-two-normal-distributions/
  361048#361048

https://stats.stackexchange.com/questions/113602/
  test-if-two-binomial-distributions-are-statistically-different-from-each-other

Here this $\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})$ can be seen as an estimate for the variance $p(1 - p)$ when H_0 is true by directly plugging in $\hat{p}$. This is **NOT** a pooled variance for these two samples, which should always be no greater than $\hat{p}(1 - \hat{p})$.

The power of this test statistic is hard to compute under H_1. But we can use some approximation again. Here we consider the power of a theoretical statistics

$$\tilde{Z} = \frac{\bar{x} - \bar{y}}{\sqrt{\bar{p}(1 - \bar{p})\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}\right)}},$$

where $\bar{p} = \frac{p_1 + p_2}{2}$. Note that here $\bar{p}$, the average of p_1 and p_2, is directly used. While in real life test statistic Z, $\hat{p}$ can be seen as an estimate of $\bar{p}$. The distribution of $\bar{x} - \bar{y}$ is asymptotically

$$\bar{x} - \bar{y} \overset{\text{asymp}}{\sim} N\left(p_1 - p_2, \frac{p_1(1 - p_1)}{n_1} + \frac{p_2(1 - p_2)}{n_2}\right)$$

Then the power of $\tilde{Z}$ is

$$P\left(\left|\tilde{Z}\right| \geq z_{1-\alpha/2}\right) \geq 1 - \beta$$

$$\implies P\left(\tilde{Z} \leq z_{\alpha/2}\right) + P\left(\tilde{Z} \geq z_{1-\alpha/2}\right) \geq 1 - \beta$$

$$\implies P\left(\bar{x} - \bar{y} \leq \sqrt{\bar{p}(1 - \bar{p})\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)} \cdot z_{\alpha/2}\right) + P\left(\bar{x} - \bar{y} \geq \sqrt{\bar{p}(1 - \bar{p})\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)} \cdot z_{1-\alpha/2}\right) \geq 1 - \beta$$

For the first part of the probability summation, we can write

$$P\left(\bar{x} - \bar{y} \leq \sqrt{\bar{p}(1 - \bar{p})\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)} \cdot z_{\alpha/2}\right)$$

$$= P\left(\frac{\bar{x} - \bar{y} - (p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{p_1(1-p_1)}{n_1} + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{n_2}}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{\bar{p}(1 - \bar{p})\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)} \cdot z_{\alpha/2} - (p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{p_1(1-p_1)}{n_1} + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{n_2}}}\right)$$

$$= P\left(Z_{normal} \leq \frac{\sqrt{\bar{p}(1 - \bar{p})\left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)} \cdot z_{\alpha/2} - \sqrt{n_1}(p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{p_1(1 - p_1) + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{k}}}\right)$$

$$= \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{\bar{p}(1 - \bar{p})\left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)} \cdot z_{\alpha/2} - \sqrt{n_1}(p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{p_1(1 - p_1) + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{k}}}\right),$$

where Z_{normal} is a random variable that follows standard normal distribution and $k = n_2/n_1$. Same deduction can be done for the second part of the probability summation. Therefore the power is

$$P\left(\bar{x} - \bar{y} \leq \sqrt{\bar{p}(1 - \bar{p})\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)} \cdot z_{\alpha/2}\right) + P\left(\bar{x} - \bar{y} \geq \sqrt{\bar{p}(1 - \bar{p})\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)} \cdot z_{1-\alpha/2}\right)$$

$$= \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{\bar{p}(1 - \bar{p})\left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)} \cdot z_{\alpha/2} - \sqrt{n_1}(p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{p_1(1 - p_1) + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{k}}}\right) + \left(1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\sqrt{\bar{p}(1 - \bar{p})\left(1 + \frac{1}{k}\right)} \cdot z_{1-\alpha/2} - \sqrt{n_1}(p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{p_1(1 - p_1) + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{k}}}\right)\right)$$

$$\geq 1 - \beta$$

W.l.o.g, assume $p_1 > p_2$. Then the power will mostly be provided by the second part of the probability summation. Loosely speaking, we can require

$$1 - \Phi \left(\frac{\sqrt{\bar{p}(1-\bar{p})\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)} \cdot z_{1-\alpha/2} - \sqrt{n_1}(p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{p_1(1-p_1) + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{k}}} \right) \geq 1 - \beta,$$

which means

$$\frac{\sqrt{\bar{p}(1-\bar{p})\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)} \cdot z_{1-\alpha/2} - \sqrt{n_1}(p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{p_1(1-p_1) + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{k}}} \leq z_\beta$$

Hence

$$n_1 \geq \frac{\left(\sqrt{\bar{p}(1-\bar{p})\left(1+\frac{1}{k}\right)} \cdot z_{\alpha/2} + \sqrt{p_1(1-p_1) + \frac{p_2(1-p_2)}{k}} z_\beta \right)^2}{(p_1 - p_2)^2}. \tag{1}$$

When $p_1 < p_2$, then the first part of probability summation provdes most power. But luckily, the results for sample size n_1 takes the same form as that in (1).

Note: One can also use the same idea in the "t-test.pdf" notes and propose the test statistic

$$T = \frac{\bar{x} - p_0}{\sqrt{S_x/n}},$$

where $S_x = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})$ for Case 1.

And for Case 2

$$T = \frac{\bar{x} - \bar{y} - \Delta}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}\right) S_p}},$$

where $S_p^2 = \frac{(n-1)S_x^2 + (m-1)S_y^2}{n+m-2}$ and $\Delta = p_1 - p_2$. But again it is hard to evaluate the testing power of these statistics.

3 Chi-square approximation

See the notes of "chisq_test.pdf" for details.

4 Exact test

4.1 Case 1: Clopper-Pearson test

The Clopper-Pearson method is an early method. It's called exact method because it's directly based on p.m.f of binomial distribution. Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$. Then $X \sim Binom(n, p)$ and the p.m.f is

$$f(x; p) = P(X = x | p) = C_n^x p^x (1-p)^{n-x}, \tag{2}$$

for $x = 0, 1, \cdots, n$. One thing to point out is that though the $|p$ notation, (2) is frequenist's point of view, not bayesian's. Now let's recall that p-value is the probability under H_0 that something **as or more extreme than** what we have observed happens. Then after observing $X = x_0$, for one-sided test:

- $H_0 : p \leq p_0$ against $H_1 : p > p_0$ for some given p_0. The p-value at this observed x_0 is

$$p_{val}(x_0) = \sum_{x=x_0}^{n} f(x; p_0).$$ (3)

- $H_0 : p \geq p_0$ against $H_1 : p < p_0$ for some given p_0. The p-value at this observed x_0 is

$$p_{val}(x_0) = \sum_{x=0}^{x_0} f(x; p_0).$$ (4)

For the two-sided test. This is a little complicated. Let index set

$$\mathcal{I} = \{x | P(X = x | p_0) \leq P(X = x_0 | p_0), \quad 0 \leq x \leq n\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{I}$ contains all possible realizations of X with its probability no greater than the probability of our observation. Then the p-value of $H_0 : p = p_0$ at this observed x_0 is given by

$$p_{val}(x_0) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{I}} f(x; p_0).$$ (5)

4.1.1 Power analysis

The probability to reject H_0 of Clopper-Pearson test at given underlying p can be computed by

$$P(\text{Reject } H_0 | p) = \sum_{x=0}^{n} P(X = x | p) \cdot I_{\{p_{val}(x) \leq \alpha\}} = \sum_{x=0}^{n} f(x; p) \cdot I_{\{p_{val}(x) \leq \alpha\}},$$ (6)

where α is the significant level of the test and $p_{val}(x)$ is computed for different types of H_0 based on (3), (4) and (5).

4.1.2 Confidence interval

First for the one-sided intervals:

- $(P_L, 1]$: From (3), $H_0 : p \leq p_0$ is rejected when probability of observing x_0 or more number of success at p_0 is small enough. Therefore the reject area

$$\text{Rejct Area: } \left\{ x_0 : \sum_{x=x_0}^{n} f(x; p_0) \leq \alpha \right\}.$$

Hence the accept area

$$\text{Accept Area: } \left\{ x_0 : \sum_{x=x_0}^{n} f(x; p_0) > \alpha \right\}$$

Then we can construct the one-sided CI by increasing p_0 from 0 such that the first p_0 that satisfies this Accept area rule. Then that is the P_L. Therefore

$$\sum_{x=x_0}^{n} f(x; P_L) = \alpha.$$ (7)

- $[0, P_U)$: Similar idea, from (4) we can construct the accept area

$$\text{Accept Area: } \left\{ x_0; \sum_{x=0}^{x_0} f(x; p_0) > \alpha \right\}.$$

Therefore we decrease p_0 from 1 to find the first P_U that satisfies this Accept area rule. Therefore

$$\sum_{x=0}^{x_0} f(x; P_U) = \alpha. \tag{8}$$

Now for the two-sided intervals (P_L, P_U): we apply the **equal-tail rule** and find P_L and P_U such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x=x_0}^{n} f(x; P_L) &= \alpha/2 \\ \sum_{x=0}^{x_0} f(x; P_U) &= \alpha/2. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

This interval can also be expressed as

$$S_{\leq} \cap S_{\geq},$$

or equivalently

$$(\inf S_{\geq}, \sup S_{\leq}),$$

where

$$S_{\leq} \triangleq \left\{ \theta \middle| P(Binomial(n, \theta) \leq x) > \frac{\alpha}{2} \right\}$$
$$S_{\geq} \triangleq \left\{ \theta \middle| P(Binomial(n, \theta) \geq x) > \frac{\alpha}{2} \right\}.$$

One can utilize the relationship between the Binomial cummulative distribution function and **regularized incomplete beta function**, i.e. for $k = 0, \cdots, n$

$$P(X \leq k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} C_n^i p^i (1-p)^{n-i}$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-k)\Gamma(k+1)} \int_0^{1-p} t^{n-k-1}(1-t)^k \, \mathrm{d}t = pBeta(1-p; n-k, k+1)$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n-k)\Gamma(k+1)} \int_p^1 t^k (1-t)^{n-k-1} \, \mathrm{d}t = 1 - pBeta(p; k+1, n-k),$$

where $pBeta(x; \alpha, \beta)$ represents the cummulative probability of $Beta(\alpha, \beta)$ distribution, cummulated from 0 to x. And it satisfies

$$pBeta(x; \alpha, \beta) = 1 - pBeta(1-x; \beta, \alpha), \quad \forall x \in [0, 1].$$

Similarly, for the quantile function $qBeta(p; \alpha, \beta)$, we can show that

$$1 - qBeta(p; \alpha, \beta) = qBeta(1-p; \beta, \alpha), \quad \forall p \in [0, 1].$$

So we can see that P_L and P_U are actually satisfing

$$1 - \alpha/2 = (\geq)P(X \leq x_0 - 1 | P_L) = pBeta(1 - P_L; n - x_0 + 1, x_0)$$
$$\implies 1 - P_L = (\leq)qBeta(1 - \alpha/2; n - x_0 + 1, x_0)$$
$$\implies P_L = (\geq)qBeta(\alpha/2; x_0, n - x_0 + 1)$$

For P_L, it's taking inf, therefore

$$P_L = qBeta\left(\alpha/2; x_0, n - x_0 + 1\right). \tag{10}$$

Similarly, we have for P_U:

$$\alpha/2 = P\left(X \le x_0 | P_U\right) = pBeta\left(1 - P_U; , n - x_0, x_0 + 1\right)$$
$$\implies 1 - P_U = qBeta\left(\alpha/2; n - x_0, x_0 + 1\right)$$
$$\implies P_U = qBeta\left(1 - \alpha/2; x_0 + 1, n - x_0\right)$$

Therefore

$$P_U = qBeta\left(1 - \alpha/2; x_0 + 1, n - x_0\right). \tag{11}$$

Also, note that this cumulative probability is also related to F-distribution via

$$P\left(X \le x_0\right) = F\left(x = \frac{1-p}{p}\frac{x_0 + 1}{n - x_0}; d_1 = 2\left(n - x_0\right), d_2 = 2\left(x_0 + 1\right)\right)$$

where $F\left(x; d_1, d_2\right)$ is the cummulative probability function of a F-distribution with degree of freedom d_1 and d_2, cummulated from 0 to x. The we have

$$P_L = \left(1 + \frac{n - x_0 + 1}{x_0 \times qF\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}; 2x_0, 2\left(n - x_0 + 1\right)\right)}\right)^{-1}$$
$$P_U = \left(1 + \frac{n - x_0}{\left(x_0 + 1\right) \times qF\left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}; 2\left(x_0 + 1\right), 2\left(n - x_0\right)\right)}\right)^{-1} \tag{12}$$

where $qF\left(\alpha; d_1, d_2\right)$ is the quantile function of F-distribution.

4.2 Case 2: Fisher's exact test

Fisher's exact test is a method for testing proportion difference. A toy example of a 2×2 contingency table is shown in Table 1. When the margin of this table is fixed(n_x, n_y, n_1 and n_2), the probability for observing this table follows the hyper-genometric distribution

$$P\left(\#\{\text{Sample 1, Success}\} = a\right) \frac{C_{n_x}^a C_{n_y}^{n_1 - a}}{C_n^{n_1}}.$$

	Success	Failure	Total
Sample 1	a	b	$n_x = a + b$
Sample 2	c	d	$n_y = c + d$
Total	$n_1 = a + c$	$n_0 = b + d$	$n = a + b + c + d$

Table 1: Data sample

We can compute the p-value based on the same idea from Section 4.1. Note that here the p-value is a **conditional** one since it is conditional on the fixed marginal values. To simplify the notation, denote X the number in cell (Sample 1, Success) and

$$f\left(x; n_x, n_y, n_1\right) = P\left(X = x | n_x, n_y, n_1\right) = \frac{C_{n_x}^x C_{n_y}^{n_1 - x}}{C_{n_x + n_y}^{n_1}}$$

Then for a observation with $X = x_0$ and fixed n_x, n_y, n_1:

- $H_0 : p_x \geq p_y$ against $H_1 : p_x < p_y$. The p-value can be computed as

$$p_{val} = \sum_{i=0}^{x_0} f\left(i; n_x, n_y, n_1\right). \tag{13}$$

- $H_0 : p_x \leq p_y$ against $H_1 : p_x > p_y$. The p-value can be computed as

$$p_{val} = \sum_{i=x_0}^{n_1} f\left(i; n_x, n_y, n_1\right). \tag{14}$$

- $H_0 : p_x = p_y$ against $H_1 : p_x \neq p_y$. The p-value can be computed as

$$p_{val} = \sum_{i=a_L}^{a_U} f\left(i; n_x, n_y, n_1\right) I_{\{f(i;n_x,n_y,n_1)\leq f(x_0;n_x,n_y,n_1)\delta\}}, \tag{15}$$

where the summation limits $a_L = \max\left(0, n_1 - n_y\right)$ and $a_U = \min\left(n_x, n_1\right)$. Note that in an ideal world the red δ is just 1 in (15). But in actuality, since the computation involves large factorials, especially when sample size is large, the numerical results might be inaccurate. To ensure a convervative test, δ is set to 1.0000001 in R[Helwig, 2020].

5 Approximated confidence interval for Case 1

Let z_α be the left α quantile of standard normal distribution. $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} x_i$ is the number of success trials and $\hat{p} = \frac{1}{n}\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} x_i$ is the MLE for p. Then a confidence interval for p can be constructed using various methods.

- Normal/Wald Approximation:

$$\hat{p} \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \times \sqrt{\hat{p}\left(1 - \hat{p}\right)/n}.$$

- Agresti-Coull method: Define

$$\tilde{p} = \tilde{n}^{-1}\left(n\hat{p} + \frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}^2}{2}\right), \quad \tilde{n} = n + z_{1-\alpha/2}^2.$$

Then the CI is constructed as

$$\tilde{p} \pm z_{1-\alpha/2}\sqrt{\frac{\tilde{p}\left(1 - \tilde{p}\right)}{\tilde{n}}},$$

which is just the form of Normal Approximation with $\tilde{p}$ and $\tilde{n}$ plugged in.

- Wilson Score method: Find the roots p of

$$|p - \hat{p}| = z_{1-\alpha/2}\sqrt{p\left(1 - p\right)/n}.$$

And the solutions form the CI

$$\left(1 + \frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}^2}{n}\right)^{-1}\left(\hat{p} + \frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}^2}{2n} \pm z_{1-\alpha/2}\sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}\left(1 - \hat{p}\right)}{n} + \frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}^2}{4n^2}}\right).$$

- Arcsin method:

$$\sin^2\left(\arcsin\left(\sqrt{\hat{p}}\right) \pm \frac{z_{1-\alpha/2}}{2\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

The normal approximated one is the simplest and most introductory one, but its performance is only valid for large sample, not finite n. The Clopper-Pearson interval is an exact one, but it's always conservative, so the coverage probability is at least $1 - \alpha$. These other approximated all try to be more accurate than the normal approximated one and less conservative than Clopper-Pearson method. {need reference here} Though the Arcsin method might be unstable when $\hat{p}$ is close to 0 or 1.

References

Nathaniel E. Helwig. Inference for proportions. October 2020. URL http://users.stat.umn.edu/~helwig/notes/ProportionTests.pdf.