# T-test

### Chao Cheng

### August 14, 2022

## 1 Basic knowledge

 $\phi(x)$  and  $\Phi(x)$  are pdf and cdf of standard normal distribution, respectively. We use Z to represent a random variable that follows standard normal distribution and  $z_{\alpha}$  the lower  $\alpha$  quantile of standard normal distribution. Therefore

$$P(Z \le z_{\alpha}) = \Phi(z_{\alpha}) = \alpha.$$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be a random sample from a population with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2 < \infty$ . Then

- 1.  $E\bar{x} = \mu$ .
- 2.  $\operatorname{Var}\bar{x} = \sigma^2/n$ .

3. 
$$ES^2 = \sigma^2$$
, where  $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be a random sample from  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . Then

- 1.  $\bar{X} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$ .
- 2.  $\bar{X}$  is independent of  $S^2$ .
- 3.  $(n-1) S^2/\sigma^2$  follows a chi-squared distribution with n-1 degree of freedom.

## 2 One-sample test

Consider a random sample  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  from  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . The likelihood is

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{(x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$
$$= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right).$$

We propose the test

$$H_0: \mu = \mu_0 \quad \mathbf{v.s} \quad H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$$

#### 2.1 variance known

Construct LRT

$$LR = \frac{\max_{\mu \in H_0} f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \mu)}{\max_{\mu \in H_0 \cup H_1} f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \mu)} = \frac{f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \mu = \mu_0)}{f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \mu = \bar{x})} = \exp\left(-\frac{(\bar{x} - \mu_0)^2}{2\sigma^2/n}\right)$$

Therefore rejecting  $H_0$  when LR is smaller than some constant C is equivalent to rejecting  $H_0$  when  $|\bar{x} - \mu_0|$  is larger than some other constant C. Hence

Reject Region: 
$$\{\bar{x}: |\bar{x}-\mu_0| > C\}$$

#### 2.1.1 Decide C from $\alpha$

From definition of  $\alpha$  we know that C in the reject region is chosen such that

$$P(|\bar{x} - \mu_0| > C|H_0 \text{ is true }) \leq \alpha.$$

But to fully utilize the test, we choose to use equal sign instead of  $\leq$ . Therefore

$$P(|\bar{x} - \mu_0| > C|\mu = \mu_0) = \alpha.$$

Note that  $\bar{x} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$ . Then under the condition  $\mu = \mu_0$ ,

$$\frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}} \sim N(0, 1).$$

Therefore we propose the reject region for  $H_0$  being

$$\left| \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}} \right| \ge z_{1-\alpha/2}.$$

**Note:** Here, even if the sample distribution is not normal, the result still holds due to CLT under large sample.

#### 2.1.2 Power at given underlying $\mu$

The power (the probability to reject  $H_0$ , when  $H_1$  is true) of the proposed test procedure for any given underlying  $\mu \neq \mu_0$  is computed as

$$P\left(\left|\frac{\bar{x}-\mu_{0}}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}/n}}\right| \geq z_{1-\alpha/2}\right)$$

$$=P\left(\frac{\bar{x}-\mu_{0}}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}/n}} \leq z_{\alpha/2}\right) + P\left(\frac{\bar{x}-\mu_{0}}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}/n}} \geq z_{1-\alpha/2}\right)$$

$$=P\left(\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}/n}} \leq z_{\alpha/2} + \frac{\mu_{0}-\mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}/n}}\right) + P\left(\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}/n}} \geq z_{1-\alpha/2} + \frac{\mu_{0}-\mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}/n}}\right)$$

$$=P\left(Z \leq z_{\alpha/2} + \frac{\mu_{0}-\mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}/n}}\right) + P\left(Z \geq z_{1-\alpha/2} + \frac{\mu_{0}-\mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}/n}}\right)$$

$$(1)$$

Here we use the fact that  $\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}} \sim N(0,1)$ .

#### 2.1.3 Sample size at given $\alpha$ , $\beta$ and underlying $\mu$

W.l.o.g, assume that  $\mu > \mu_0$ , then in previous power equation (1)

$$P\left(Z \le z_{\alpha/2} + \frac{\mu_0 - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}}\right)$$

would be really close to zero and

$$P\left(Z \ge z_{1-\alpha/2} + \frac{\mu_0 - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}}\right)$$

will offer most of the power. In order to guarantee a power of at least  $1 - \beta$ , we could simply set

$$P\left(Z \ge z_{1-\alpha/2} + \frac{\mu_0 - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}}\right) \ge 1 - \beta,$$

which means

$$z_{1-\alpha/2} + \frac{\mu_0 - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}} \le z_{\beta}.$$

Normally in test settings,  $\alpha < 0.1$  and  $\beta < 0.5$ , which means  $z_{1-\alpha/2}$  is positive and  $z_{\beta}$  is negative. Also  $\mu_0 - \mu < 0$  in our assumption. This leads to

$$-z_{\alpha/2} - z_{\beta} \le \frac{\sqrt{n} \left(\mu - \mu_0\right)}{\sigma}.$$

Hence the sample size requirement is

$$n \ge \frac{\sigma^2 \left(z_{\alpha/2} + z_{\beta}\right)^2}{\left(\mu - \mu_0\right)^2}.\tag{2}$$

**Note:** The sample size requirement can be deduced the same way when  $\mu < \mu_0$ . And the result is just the same as (2).

#### 2.2 variance unknown

When  $\sigma^2$  is unknown, the MLE under  $H_0$  is

$$\mu_{(0)} = \mu_0, \quad \sigma_{(0)}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2.$$

And the MLE under  $H_0 \cup H_1$  is

$$\mu_{(0\cup 1)} = \bar{x}, \quad \sigma_{(0\cup 1)}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2.$$

**Note:** MLE for  $\sigma^2$  offers smaller MSE than  $S^2$ , but it's biased. Then the likelihood ratio is

$$LR = \frac{f\left(x_1, \dots, x_n \middle| \mu = \mu_{(0)}, \sigma^2 = \sigma_{(0)}^2\right)}{f\left(x_1, \dots, x_n \middle| \mu = \mu_{(0 \cup 1)}, \sigma^2 = \sigma_{(0 \cup 1)}^2\right)} = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_0)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}\right)^{-n/2} \propto \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{x} - \mu_0)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}\right)^{-n/2},$$

where for the last part we mainly focus on terms related to  $\mu_0$ . So to reject  $H_0$  when LR is small is equivalent to

Reject Region: 
$$\left\{ \bar{x} : \frac{|\bar{x} - \mu_0|}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}} > C \right\}$$

The idea is similar to that in Section 2.1. But we replace  $\sigma^2$  with  $S^2$ .

### 2.3 Decide C from $\alpha$

First we can write

$$P\left(\frac{|\bar{x} - \mu_0|}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}} > C \middle| \mu = \mu_0\right) = P\left(\frac{|\bar{x} - \mu_0|}{\sqrt{(n-1)S^2}} > C \middle| \mu = \mu_0\right) = \alpha.$$

From Theorem 2 we know that

$$\frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}} \sim N(0,1), \quad (n-1) S^2/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(n-1), \quad \bar{x} \perp S^2$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}}}{\sqrt{\frac{(n-1)S^2}{(n-1)\sigma^2}}} = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sqrt{S^2/n}} \sim t(n-1).$$

Then we know the reject rejion is

$$\left| \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sqrt{S^2/n}} \right| > t_{1-\alpha/2} \left( n - 1 \right).$$

**Note:** Here we need Theorem 2, which means the normal assumption of the sample is **necessary**. Though one might argue that without normal assumption, under large sample scenario, using Slutsky's theorem, asymptotically

$$\frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sqrt{S^2/n}} = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2}{S^2}} \to N(0, 1).$$

## 2.4 Power at given underlying $\mu$ and $\sigma^2$

Before any computation, we introduce the **non-central** t-distribution.

$$T = \frac{Z + \mu}{\sqrt{V/v}},\tag{3}$$

where Z follows standard normal and V follows  $\chi^2(v)$  and  $Z \perp V$ . Then T follows a non-central t-distribution with degree of freedom v and non-central parameter  $\mu$ , denoted by  $t(v,\mu)$ .

Then we know that

$$\frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{\sqrt{S^2/n}} = \frac{\frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}}}{\sqrt{\frac{(n-1)S^2}{(n-1)\sigma^2}}} = \frac{\frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}} + \frac{\mu - \mu_0}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}}}{\sqrt{\frac{(n-1)S^2}{(n-1)\sigma^2}}} \sim t \left(n - 1, \frac{\mu - \mu_0}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}}\right),$$

which means  $\frac{\bar{x}-\mu_0}{\sqrt{S^2/n}}$  follows a non-central t-distribution  $t\left(n-1,\frac{\mu-\mu_0}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}}\right)$ . Therefore the power can be computed as

$$P\left(\left|\frac{\bar{x}-\mu_{0}}{\sqrt{S^{2}/n}}\right| \geq t_{1-\alpha/2}(n-1)\right)$$

$$=P\left(\left|T\left(n-1,\frac{\mu-\mu_{0}}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}/n}}\right)\right| \geq t_{1-\alpha/2}(n-1)\right)$$

$$=P\left(T\left(n-1,\frac{\mu-\mu_{0}}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}/n}}\right) \leq t_{\alpha/2}(n-1)\right) + P\left(T\left(n-1,\frac{\mu-\mu_{0}}{\sqrt{\sigma^{2}/n}}\right) \geq t_{1-\alpha/2}(n-1)\right). \tag{4}$$

## 2.5 Sample size at given $\alpha$ , $\beta$ and underlying $\mu$ and $\sigma^2$

W.l.o.g, assume  $\mu > \mu_0$ , then in the previous power equation (4)

$$P\left(T\left(n-1,\frac{\mu-\mu_0}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}}\right) \le t_{\alpha/2}(n-1)\right)$$

would be close to zero and

$$P\left(T\left(n-1,\frac{\mu-\mu_0}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}}\right) \ge t_{1-\alpha/2}(n-1)\right)$$

will offer the most power. In order to guarantee a power of at least  $1-\beta$ , we could simply set

$$P\left(T\left(n-1,\frac{\mu-\mu_0}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}}\right) \ge t_{1-\alpha/2}(n-1)\right) \ge 1-\beta,$$

which means

$$t_{1-\alpha/2}(n-1) \le t_{\beta}\left(n-1, \frac{\mu-\mu_0}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}}\right).$$

There's no close form for this inequality, we should use some numerical method to solve for n.

**Note:** If  $\mu < \mu_0$ , then similarly we can get the requirement as

$$t_{\alpha/2}(n-1) \ge t_{1-\beta}\left(n-1, \frac{\mu-\mu_0}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}}\right).$$

Use the fact that  $t_{\alpha}(n,\mu) = -t_{1-\alpha}(n,-\mu)$ , we can arrange the previous inequality as

$$t_{1-\alpha/2}(n-1) \le t_{\beta}\left(n-1, \frac{\mu_0 - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}}\right).$$

Therefore in summary the sample size requirement is

$$t_{1-\alpha/2}(n-1) \le t_{\beta}\left(n-1, \frac{|\mu_0 - \mu|}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}}\right).$$

## 3 Two sample test

Consider two random samples  $x_1, \dots, x_{n_1} \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2)$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_{n_2} \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2)$ . Then the likelihood of the data is

$$f\left(x_{1}, \dots, x_{n_{1}}, y_{1}, \dots, y_{n_{2}} \middle| \mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \sigma_{1}^{2}, \sigma_{2}^{2}\right)$$

$$= \left(2\pi\sigma_{1}^{2}\right)^{-n_{1}/2} \left(2\pi\sigma_{2}^{2}\right)^{-n_{2}/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \left(x_{i} - \mu_{1}\right)^{2}}{2\sigma_{1}^{2}} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_{2}} \left(y_{i} - \mu_{2}\right)^{2}}{2\sigma_{2}^{2}}\right)$$

We propose the test

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$$
 **v.s.**  $H_1: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ .

### 3.1 Two-sample, variance known

When  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$  are known, the likelihood satisfies

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n_2} | \mu_1, \mu_2) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{n_1(\bar{x} - \mu_1)^2}{2\sigma_1^2} - \frac{n_2(\bar{y} - \mu_2)^2}{2\sigma_2^2}\right).$$

Therefore under  $H_0$ , the MLE for  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  is

$$\mu_{1(0)} = \mu_{2(0)} = \mu_{(0)} = \frac{\sigma_2^2 n_1 \bar{x} + \sigma_1^2 n_2 \bar{y}}{\sigma_2^2 n_1 + \sigma_1^2 n_2}.$$

And under  $H_0 \cup H_1$ , the MLE for  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  is

$$\mu_{1(0\cup 1)} = \bar{x}, \quad \mu_{2(0\cup 1)} = \bar{y}.$$

Then the likelihood ratio is

$$LR = \frac{\max_{H_0} f\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \middle| \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_{(0)}\right)}{\max_{H_0 \cup H_1} f\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \middle| \mu_1 = \mu_{1(0 \cup 1)}, \mu_2 = \mu_{2(0 \cup 1)}\right)}$$

$$\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{n_1 \left(\bar{x} - \mu_{(0)}\right)^2}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{n_2 \left(\bar{y} - \mu_{(0)}\right)^2}{\sigma_2^2}\right)\right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{n_1 n_2}{\sigma_2^2 n_1 + \sigma_1^2 n_2} \left(\bar{x} - \bar{y}\right)^2\right).$$

From the idea of LRT,  $H_0$  is rejected when LR is small enough, which means the reject rule is

Reject Region: 
$$\{(\bar{x}, \bar{y})||\bar{x} - \bar{y}| > C\}$$

#### 3.1.1 Decide C from $\alpha$

From the definition of  $\alpha$  we know that

$$P(|\bar{x} - \bar{y}| > C|H_0 \text{ is true}) \leq \alpha.$$

Note that  $\bar{x} \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1^2/n_1)$ ,  $\bar{y} \sim N(\mu_2, \sigma_2^2/n_2)$  and  $\bar{x} \perp \bar{y}$ . Therefore

$$\bar{x} - \bar{y} \sim N \left( \mu_1 - \mu_2, \sigma_1^2 / n_1 + \sigma_2^2 / n_2 \right).$$

Then under  $H_0$ ,  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$  and

$$\frac{\bar{x} - \bar{y}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}} \sim N(0, 1),$$

which means

$$P(|\bar{x} - \bar{y}| > C|\mu_1 = \mu_2) = P\left(|Z| > \frac{C}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}\right) \le \alpha.$$

Here to fully utilize the test, we choose the equal sign. Hence

$$z_{1-\alpha/2} = \frac{C}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}.$$

Here the reject region is

$$\frac{|\bar{x} - \bar{y}|}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} > z_{1-\alpha/2}.$$

**Note:** Even the samples does not follow normal distribution, by CLT this test still holds true for large sample.

#### 3.1.2 Power at given $\Delta = \mu_1 - \mu_2$

The power at a given  $\Delta = \mu_1 - \mu_2$  is

$$\begin{split} P\left(\frac{|\bar{x} - \bar{y}|}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}} > z_{1-\alpha/2} \middle| \Delta = \mu_{1} - \mu_{2}\right) \\ = P\left(\frac{\bar{x} - \bar{y}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}} > z_{1-\alpha/2}\right) + P\left(\frac{\bar{x} - \bar{y}}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}} < z_{\alpha/2}\right) \\ = P\left(\frac{\bar{x} - \bar{y} - \Delta}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}} > z_{1-\alpha/2} - \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}}\right) + P\left(\frac{\bar{x} - \bar{y} - \Delta}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}} < z_{\alpha/2} - \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}}\right) \\ = P\left(Z > z_{1-\alpha/2} - \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}}\right) + P\left(Z < z_{\alpha/2} - \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{n_{1}} + \frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{n_{2}}}}\right) \end{split}$$

### 3.1.3 Sample size at given $\alpha$ , $\beta$ , $\Delta$ and $k = \frac{n_1}{n_2}$

W.l.o.g, assume  $\Delta > 0$ , then the power of the test comes mostly from

$$P\left(Z > z_{1-\alpha/2} - \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}\right).$$

So to achieve the power, we can set

$$P\left(Z > z_{1-\alpha/2} - \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}\right) \ge 1 - \beta.$$

And this means

$$z_{1-\alpha/2} - \frac{\Delta}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} \le z_{\beta}.$$

Rearrange this inequality we have

$$\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2} \le \frac{\Delta^2}{\left(z_{\alpha/2} + z_{\beta}\right)^2}.$$

1. When  $n_1$  is fixed and given, we need

$$n_2 \ge \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\left(\frac{\Delta^2}{(z_{\alpha/2} + z_{\beta})^2} - \frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1}\right)}.$$

Also this fixed and given  $n_1$  must satisfy

$$\frac{\Delta^2}{\left(z_{\alpha/2} + z_{\beta}\right)^2} > \frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1}$$

for the test to be feasible.

- 3.2 Two-sample, variance unknown but equal
- 3.3 Two-sample, variance unknown and unequal