#### EM Algorithm, Stochastic Optimization

732A90 Computational Statistics

Krzysztof Bartoszek (krzysztof.bartoszek@liu.se)

28 II 2018 (A37) Department of Computer and Information Science Linköping University

### Stochastic and combinatorial optimization

- So far: Unconstrained optimization
  - Predictor variables are continuous
  - Response function is differentiable

• We discussed Steepest descent, Newton, BFGS, CG

- But: predictors can be discrete (scheduling problems, travelling salesman)
- But: outcome can be discrete, noisy or multi-modal

# Stochastic and combinatorial optimization

Given a (large) set of states S, find

$$\min_{s \in S} f(s)$$

- Exhaustive search (shortest path algorithm)
- Often exhaustive search is NP-hard (TSP)
- Alternative: stochastic methods random search

# Simulated annealing

Motivation from physics: cooling of metal

Parameters:
Energy of metal
(decreasing, but not strictly monotonic)
Temperature (decreasing)

• Aim: find global minimum energy

## Simulated annealing

#### 模拟退火

- 0. Set k = 1 and initialize state s.
- 1. Compute the temperature T(k).
- 2. Set i = 0 and j = 0.
- 3. Generate a new state r and compute  $\delta f = f(r) f(s)$ .
- 4. Based on  $\delta f$ , decide whether to move from state s to state r.

If  $\delta f \leq 0$ , accept state r; otherwise,

accept state r with a probability  $P(\delta f, T(k))$ . If state r is accepted, set s = r and i = i + 1.

- If i is equal to the limit for the number of successes at a given temperature, go to step 1.
- Set j = j + 1. If j is less than the limit for the number of iterations at given temperature, go to step 3.
- 7. If i=0, deliver s as the optimum; otherwise, if  $k < k_{\text{max}}$ , set k=k+1 and go to step 1; otherwise, issue message that

'algorithm did not converge in  $k_{\text{max}}$  iterations'.

## Simulated annealing

- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iaq\_Fpr4KZc
- Generating new state:
  - Continuous: choose a new point a (random) distance from the current one
  - Discrete: similar or some rearrangement

- Selection probability: e.g  $\exp(-\delta f(x)/T)$ : decreasing with f(x), increasing with T
- Temperature function: constant, proportional to k, or

$$T(k+1) = b(k)T(k), \quad b(k) = (\log(k))^{-1}$$

**Remember:** A smaller value is better than one on the path to the global minimum! Always keep track of smallest found.

# Simulated annealing: TSP example

Assume constant temperature

- 1: Choose initial configuration  $(Town_1, ..., Town_n)$ 2: k = 1
- 3: **while**  $k < k_{max} + 1$  **do**
- 4: Generate new configuration by rearrangement,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9) & \to & (1,6,5,4,3,2,7,8,9) \\ (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9) & \to & (1,7,8,2,3,4,5,6,9) \end{array}$$

Measure difference in path length ( $\delta f$ ) between old and

行商问题

- new configuration
- 6: **if** shorter path found **then**
- 7: accept it
- 8: else
- 9: accept it with probability  $P(\delta f)$
- 10: **end if**

5:

11: k++12: **end while** 

## Genetic algorithm

#### 遗传算法

- Inspiration from evolutionary theory: survival of the fittest
  - Variables=genotypes
  - Observation=organism, characterized by genetic code
  - State space=population of organisms
  - Objective function=fitness of organism

New points are obtained from old points by crossover and mutation, the population only retains the fittest organisms (with better objective function).

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List\_of\_genetic\_algorithm\_applications

### Genetic algorithm

#### Encoding points

- lacktriangle Enumerate each element of the state space, S
- **2** Code for observation i is binary representation of i (or something else)

Mutation and recombination rules

```
Generation k Generation k + 1
                Crossover
x_i^{(k)} 11001001
                  \rightarrow x_i^{(k+1)} 11011010
x_i^{(k)} 00111010
                 Inversion
x_i^{(h)} 11101011 \rightarrow x_i^{(h+1)} 11010111
                Mutation
x_i^{(k)} 11101011 \rightarrow x_i^{(k+1)} 10111011
                   Clone
x_i^{(k)} 11101011 \rightarrow x_i^{(k+1)} 11101011
```

#### Genetic algorithm

- Determine a representation of the problem, and define an initial population, x<sub>1</sub><sup>(0)</sup>, x<sub>2</sub><sup>(0)</sup>,..., x<sub>n</sub><sup>(0)</sup>. Set k = 0.
- 1. Compute the objective function (the "fitness") for each member of the population,  $f(x_i^{(k)})$  and assign probabilities  $p_i$  to each item in the population, perhaps proportional to its fitness.
- 2. Choose (with replacement) a probability sample of size  $m \leq n$ . This is the reproducing population.
- 3. Randomly form a new population  $x_1^{(k+1)}, x_2^{(k+1)}, \ldots, x_n^{(k+1)}$  from the reproducing population, using various mutation and recombination rules (see Table 6.2). This may be done using random selection of the rule for each individual of pair of individuals.
- 4. If convergence criteria are met, stop, and deliver  $\arg\min_{x_i^{(k+1)}} f(x_i^{(k+1)})$  as the optimum; otherwise, set k = k + 1 and go to step 1.

### Genetic algorithm: TSP example

#### Encoding and crossover

• Encode tours as  $A_1, \ldots, A_n$  but

Parent 1: FAB|ECGD Parent 2: DEA|CGBF

Child: FAB|CGBF Child: DEA|ECGD

#### Instead

- Remove FAB from DEACGBF  $\longrightarrow$  DECG. Child becomes FABDECG.
- Second child will be by taking prefix from Parent 2: DEAFBCG

## Genetic algorithm: Mutations

- If a population is small and only crossover: the input domain becomes limited and may converge to a local minimum.
- Large initial populations are computationally heavy.
- Mutations allow one to explore more of S: jump out of local minimum.
- In TSP: mutation move a city in the tour to another position.
- Reproduction: Among m tours selected at step 2, two best are selected for reproduction, two worst replaced by children.
- If m is large, some tours might never be parents, global solution may be missed. Random chance of reproduction?
- Mutation probability is usually small (unless you want to jump wildly)

#### EM algorithm

Fundamental algorithm of computational statistics!

Model depends on the data which are observed (known)  ${\bf Y}$  and latent (unobserved) data  ${\bf Z}$ .

The data's (**both Y**'s and **Z**'s) distribution depends on some parameters  $\theta$ .

#### **AIM**: Find MLE of $\theta$ .

- All data is known: Apply unconstrained optimization (discussed in Lecture 2)
- Unobserved data
  - **Sometimes** it is possible to look at the marginal distribution of the observed data.
  - Otherwise: EM algorithm

### EM algorithm

Let

$$Q(\theta, \theta^k) = \int \log p(\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{z} | \theta) p(\mathbf{z} | \mathbf{Y}, \theta^k) d\mathbf{z} = \mathrm{E} \left[ \mathrm{loglik}(\theta | \mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{Z}) | \theta^k, \mathbf{Y} \right]$$

1: 
$$k = 0, \, \theta^0 = \theta^0$$

- 2: while Convergence not attained and  $k < k_{max} + 1$  do
- 3: **E**-step: Derive  $Q(\theta, \theta^k)$
- 4:  $\mathbf{M}$ -step:  $\theta^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} \ Q(\theta, \theta^k)$
- 5: k + +
- 6: end while

**Example:** Normal data with missing values (but here analytical approach is also possible)

#### EM algorithm: R

 $732 A 90\_Computational Statistics VT 2019\_Lecture 06 code Slide 15.Recture 10 code Slide 15.Recture 15$ 

### EM algorithm: R

```
> Y<-rnorm(100)
> Y[sample(1:length(Y),20,replace=FALSE)]<-NA</p>
> EM.Norm(Y,0.0001,100)
[1]
      1.0000 0.1000 -997.5705
[1] 0.1341894 1.3227095 -128.2789837
[1] -0.03897274 1.38734070 -126.86036252
[1] -0.07360517 1.39307050 -126.80801589
[1] -0.08053165 1.39392861 -126.80593837
[1] -0.08191695 1.39408871 -126.80585537
> mean(Y,na.rm=TRUE)
[1] -0.08226328
> var(Y,na.rm=TRUE)
[1] 1.411775
```

Notice: can be done by studying marginal distribution of observed data.

## EM algorithm: Applications

Mixture models Z is a latent variable,  $P(Z = k) = \pi_k$ 

- Mixed data comes from different sources (e.g. for regression, classification)
- Clustering
  - Density in each cluster is normally distributed.
  - 2 Cluster label is latent (we do not know what are the chances an observation is from the given cluster)

$$p(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \mathcal{N}(x|\vec{\mu}_k, \Sigma_k) \quad \text{(informally)}$$

Direct MLE leads to numerical problems. Introduce latent class variables and use EM.

#### EM algorithm: Gaussian mixtures

- Initialize the means μ<sub>k</sub>, covariances Σ<sub>k</sub> and mixing coefficients π<sub>k</sub>, and evaluate the initial value of the log likelihood.
- 2. E step. Evaluate the responsibilities using the current parameter values

$$\gamma(z_{nk}) = \frac{\pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)}{\sum_{j=1}^K \pi_j \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_j, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j)}.$$
(9.23)

3. M step. Re-estimate the parameters using the current responsibilities

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{\text{new}} = \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma(z_{nk}) \mathbf{x}_{n} \qquad (9.24)$$

$$\mathbf{\Sigma}_{k}^{\text{new}} = \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \gamma(z_{nk}) \left(\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{\text{new}}\right) \left(\mathbf{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}^{\text{new}}\right)^{\text{T}}$$
(9.25)

$$\pi_k^{\text{new}} = \frac{N_k}{N} \tag{9.26}$$

where

$$N_k = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma(z_{nk}). \tag{9.27}$$

4. Evaluate the log likelihood

$$\ln p(\mathbf{X}|\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \boldsymbol{\pi}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \ln \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}_n | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k) \right\}$$
(9.28)

and check for convergence of either the parameters or the log likelihood. If the convergence criterion is not satisfied return to step 2.  $Ez_{nk} = \gamma(z_{nk})$ 

Source: Pattern recognition by Bishop

## Summary

Random walk over the state space in search of minimum

- Follow decreasing path
- **BUT** with a certain probability go to higher values, to avoid local minima traps.
- Never forget best found conformation!
- Simulated annealing, Genetic algorithm,
   EM algorithm,

Stochastic gradient descent (see 2016 slides)