Computer Lab 2

phiho267 & zijfe244 9-4-2019

Contents

1.	Linear and polynomial regression	2			
	(a) Determining the prior distribution of the model parameters	2			
	(b) Write a program that simulates from the joint posterior distribution	4			
	(c) Locate the time with the highest expected temperature	6			
	(d) Polynomial model of order 7	7			
2.	Posterior approximation for classification with logistic regression	8			
	(a) Consider the logistic regression	8			
	(b) Now the fun begins	9			
	(c) Simulates from the predictive distribution	11			
\mathbf{A}_1	pendix 16				

1. Linear and polynomial regression

The dataset *TempLinkoping.txt* contains daily temperatures (in Celcius degrees) at *Malmslätt*, Linköping over the course of the year 2016 (366 days since 2016 was a leap year). The response variable is temp and the covariate is

$$time = \frac{the\ number\ of\ days\ since\ beginning\ of\ year}{366}$$

The task is to perform a Bayesian analysis of a quadratic regression

$$temp = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot time + \beta_2 \cdot time^2 + \varepsilon \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

(a) Determining the prior distribution of the model parameters.


Use the conjugate prior for the linear regression model. Your task is to set the prior hyperparameters μ_0, Ω_0, v_0 and $\sigma_0^2 = 1$ to sensible values. Start with $\mu_0 = -10, 100, -100)^T$, $\Omega_0 = 0.01 \cdot I_3, v_0 = 4, \sigma_0^2 = 1$. Check if this prior agrees with your prior opinions by simulating draws from the joint prior of all parameters and for every draw compute the regression curve. This gives a collection of regression curves, one for each draw from the prior. Do the collection of curves look reasonable? If not, change the prior hyperparameters until the collection of prior regression curves do agree with your prior beliefs about the regression curve. [Hint: the R package mvtnorm will be handy. And use your $Inv - \chi^2$ simulator from Lab 1.]

From the text task can be given values for prior parameters.

```
# given parameter values
mu_0 <- c(-10, 100, -100)
omega_0 <- 0.01 * diag(3)
v_0 <- 4
sigma2_0 <- 1
```

```
The linear regression:
# linear model - without beta
lmTemp = lm( temp ~ time + I(time^2), data = tempdata)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = temp ~ time + I(time^2), data = tempdata)
## Residuals:
##
        Min
                       Median
                                    3Q
                  1Q
                                            Max
##
  -10.0408 -2.6971 -0.1414
                                2.5157
##
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -10.6754
                            0.6475
                                    -16.49
                93.5980
## time
                            2.9822
                                     31.39
                                             <2e-16 ***
               -85.8311
                            2.8801
                                    -29.80
## I(time^2)
                                             <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 4.107 on 363 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.7318, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7304
## F-statistic: 495.3 on 2 and 363 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Time vs Temperature



Linear regression - conjugate prior Posterior - simulate σ^2 & β :

Adjusted/Modified parameter values:


```
# modify the parameters
mu_0 <- c(-10.68, 93.60, -85.83) # before c(-10, 100, -100),
# change into values given in the lm output
omega_0 <- 0.01 * diag(3)
v_0 <- 4
sigma2_0 <- 0.02 # before 1 - change</pre>
```

Regression curves by simulating draws from the joint prior of all parameters. The number of draws is 40.

It can be observed that some simulation at the given parameters an absolutely unrealistic temperature, some go from -50 to -150.

The exercise was to adjust the given values for the parameters to make a better prediction of the temperature. So we decided to the μ_0 values to the coefficients we received by running the linear regression in an earlier stage of the lab.

We also changed the σ_o^2 value, because we observed quied big differenced in the first simulation, which we want to get ridge of. After testing did we adjust σ_o^2 to 0.02. The rest of the parameters we didn't change.




(b) Write a program that simulates from the joint posterior distribution

of $\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2$ and σ^2 . Plot the marginal posteriors for each parameter as a histogram. Also produce another figure with a scatter plot of the temperature data and overlay a curve for the posterior median of the regression function $f(time) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot time + \beta_2 \cdot time^2$, computed for every value of time. Also overlay curves for the lower 2.5% and upper 97.5% posterior credible interval for f(time). That is, compute the 95% equal tail posterior probability intervals for every value of time and then connect the lower and upper limits of the interval by curves. Does the interval bands contain most of the data points? Should they?

Linear regression - conjugate prior Posterior

Simulate the marginal posterior for each parameter:

Plot the marginal posteriors for each parameter:



Calculate the median of the regression for every time value

```
# use take the beta - exclude the vairance
data_hist = data_hist[,1:3]

# overlay a curve for the posterior median
# use function colMedians - calculates the median for every beta (column)
beta_median = matrixStats::colMedians(as.matrix(data_hist))


# Linear regression in matrix form
# lecture 5 slide 5

# f(time) = beta_0 + beta_1 * time + beta_2 * time^2
pred.1b <- beta_median %*% t(X)</pre>
```

Calculate the 2,5% and 97,5% credible interval for f(time)

```
# credible intervals
# each column represents all possible values at a fixed time
preds <- as.matrix(data_hist) %*% t(X) # regression function
pred_interval <- data.frame(nrow = n, nrow = 2)
colnames(pred_interval) <- c("lower", "upper")

# calculate the 95% credible interval
for(i in 1:n){
   data_t <- preds[,i]
   pred_interval[i,] <- quantile(data_t, probs = c(0.025,0.975))
}</pre>
```




NO, the cridible intervals do not contain most of points. They shouldn't. Credible intervals describe the robustness of beta, not of model. There exists variance when we predict Y using our regression model, and the corresponding intervals describing the condience of model is predective interval.

(c) Locate the time with the highest expected temperature

It is of interest to locate the *time* with the highest expected temperature (that is, the time where f(time) is maximal). Let??s call this value \tilde{x} . Use the simulations in b) to simulate from the posterior distribution of \tilde{x} . [Hint: the regression curve is a quadratic. You can find a simple formula for \tilde{x} given β_0, β_1 and β_2 .]

```
# calculate the max pred for every day
# pred - column -> days; rows -> predictions
pred_highest <- c()
for(i in 1:366){
    pred_highest[i] <- max(preds[,i])
}</pre>
```



(d) Polynomial model of order 7

Say now that you want to estimate a polynomial model of order 7, but you suspect that higher order terms may not be needed, and you worry about overfitting. Suggest a suitable prior that mitigates this potential problem. You do not need to compute the posterior, just write down your prior. [Hint: the task is to specify μ_0 and Ω_0 in a smart way.]

According to Lecture 5 slide 11 in, too many knots may result in overfitting. We can use regularization prior to avoid such problem. Using

$$\beta_i \mid \sigma^2 \sim N(\mu_0, \frac{\sigma^2}{\lambda}), \text{ where } \Omega_0 = \lambda \cdot I_3,$$

the parameter λ will control the variance of β_i . If λ is larger, β_i would be much closer to μ_0 .

2. Posterior approximation for classification with logistic regression

The dataset **WomenWork.dat** contains n = 200 observations (i.e. women) on the following nine variables:

Variable	Data type	Meaning	Role
Work	Binary	Whether or not the woman works	Response
Constant	1	Constant to the intercept	Feature
HusbandInc	Numeric	Husband's income	Feature
EducYears	Counts	Years of education	Feature
ExpYears	Counts	Years of experience	Feature
ExpYears2	Numeric	$(Years of experience/10)^2$	Feature
Age	Counts	Age	Feature
NSmallChild	Counts	Number of child ≤ 6 years in household	Feature
NBigChild	Counts	Number of child > 6 years in household	Feature

(a) Consider the logistic regression

$$Pr(y = 1 \mid \boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{x}^T \beta)}{1 + \exp(\boldsymbol{x}^T \beta)}$$

where y is the binary variable with y=1 if the woman works and y=0 if she does not. x is a 8-dimensional vector containing the eight features (including a one for the constant term that models the intercept). Fit the logistic regression using maximum likelihood estimation by the command: $\mathbf{glmModel} < -\mathbf{glm(Work} \sim 0 + ., \mathbf{data} = \mathbf{WomenWork}, \mathbf{family} = \mathbf{binomial}$). Note how I added a zero in the model formula so that R doesn't add an extra intercept (we already have an intercept term from the Constant feature). Note also that a dot (.) in the model formula means to add all other variables in the dataset as features. family = binomial tells R that we want to fit a logistic regression.

```
# logistic regression
glmModel <- glm(Work ~ 0 + ., data = WomenWork, family = binomial)</pre>
##
## Call:
  glm(formula = Work ~ 0 + ., family = binomial, data = WomenWork)
## Deviance Residuals:
##
       Min
                 1Q
                      Median
                                    3Q
                                            Max
                      0.4391
  -2.1662 -0.9299
                                0.9494
                                         2.0582
##
##
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## Constant
                0.64430
                           1.52307
                                      0.423 0.672274
## HusbandInc -0.01977
                                     -1.243 0.213752
                           0.01590
## EducYears
                0.17988
                           0.07914
                                      2.273 0.023024 *
## ExpYears
                           0.06600
                                      2.538 0.011144 *
                0.16751
## ExpYears2
               -0.14436
                           0.23585
                                     -0.612 0.540489
## Age
               -0.08234
                           0.02699
                                     -3.050 0.002285 **
## NSmallChild -1.36250
                           0.38996
                                     -3.494 0.000476
## NBigChild
               -0.02543
                           0.14172
                                     -0.179 0.857592
##
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
##
   (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 277.26 on 200 degrees of freedom
```

```
## Residual deviance: 222.73 on 192 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 238.73
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

(b) Now the fun begins.

Our goal is to approximate the posterior distribution of the 8-dim parameter vector β with a multivariate normal distribution

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} \mid \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{X} \sim \mathcal{N} \big(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, J_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{-1} (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \big)$$

where $\tilde{\beta}$ is the posterior mode and $J(\tilde{\beta}) = \frac{\partial^2 \ln p(\beta|\mathbf{y})}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^T}|_{\beta=\tilde{\beta}}$ is the observed Hessian evaluated at the posterior mode. Note that $\frac{\partial^2 \ln p(\beta|\mathbf{y})}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^T}$ is an 8×8 matrix with second derivatives on the diagonal and cross-derivatives $\frac{\partial^2 \ln p(\beta|\mathbf{y})}{\partial \beta_i \partial \beta_j}$ on the offdiagonal. It is actually not hard to compute this derivative by hand, but don???t worry, we will let the computer do it numerically for you. Now, both $\tilde{\beta}$ and $J(\tilde{\beta})$ are computed by the optim function in R. See my code ** https://github.com/mattiasvillani/BayesLearnCourse/raw/master/Code/MainOptimizeSpam. ** zip where I have coded everything up for the spam prediction example (it also does probit regression, but that is not needed here). I want you to implement you own version of this. You can use my code as a template, but I want you to write your own file so that you understand every line of your code. Don???t just copy my code. Use the prior $\beta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \tau^2 I)$, with $\tau = 10$. Your report should include your code as well as numerical values for $\tilde{\beta}$ and $J_y^{-1}(\tilde{\beta})$ for Womanwork data. Compute an approximate 95% credible interval for the variable NSmallChild. Would you say that this feature is an important determinant of the probability that a women works?

```
# parameters
mu <- 0
# Prior scaling factor such that Prior Covariance = (tau^2)*I
#- need tau to define sigma later on
tau <- 10
# rename X columns
covNames <- names(WomenWork)[2:length(names(WomenWork))]</pre>
m \leftarrow dim(X)[2]
# setting up the prior
mu <- as.vector(rep(mu,m)) # Prior mean vector</pre>
Sigma <- tau^2 * diag(m) # Prior variance matrix
LogPostLogistic <- function(beta, y, X, mu, Sigma){</pre>
  M <- length(beta)
  p <- X %*% beta
  # log the likelihood of Binomial Distribution
  logLik \leftarrow sum(p * y - log(1 + exp(p)))
  if (abs(logLik) == Inf){
    logLik <- -50000
    # Likelihood is not finite, stear the optimizer away from here!
    # by Teacher's idea
  # prior follows multi-normal distribution with
```

```
logPrior <- dmvnorm(beta, mu, Sigma, log = TRUE)</pre>
  # cuz we logarithmize the likelihood and prior,
  # posterior is the sum of them
  return(logLik + logPrior)
# use random initial values
initVal <- as.vector(rnorm(dim(X)[2]))</pre>
OptimResults <- optim(initVal,</pre>
                   LogPostLogistic,
                    y = y,
                    X = X
                   mu = mu,
                    Sigma = Sigma,
                    method = c("BFGS"),
                    control = list(fnscale=-1),
                   hessian = TRUE) # output hessian matrix
# Printing the results to the screen
postMode <- OptimResults$par</pre>
# Posterior covariance matrix is -inv(Hessian)
postCov <- -solve(OptimResults$hessian)</pre>
# Computing approximate standard deviations.
approxPostStd <- sqrt(diag(postCov))</pre>
# Naming the coefficient by covariates
names(postMode) <- covNames</pre>
names(approxPostStd) <- covNames</pre>
## [1] "The posterior mode is:"
##
      Constant HusbandInc
                              EducYears
                                           ExpYears
                                                       ExpYears2
## 0.62673290 -0.01979154 0.18021979 0.16757035 -0.14461304 -0.08206541
## NSmallChild NBigChild
## -1.35915106 -0.02468334
## [1] "The approximate posterior standard deviation is:"
      Constant HusbandInc
                                            ExpYears
                                                       ExpYears2
##
                              EducYears
   1.50533365 0.01589986 0.07885560 0.06596728 0.23574947
                                                                  0.02680417
## NSmallChild
                 NBigChild
## 0.38892519 0.14132341
```

We can see in the output above, the feature "NSmallChild" with the biggest influence. In this case, the number is negative, which means it has the greatest influence on the response variable work. Woman with small children are more likely not to work.

```
# Compute an approximate 95% credible interval for the variable NSmallChild

# By simulation
data.NSmallChild <- as.data.frame(
    mvtnorm::rmvnorm(n = 1000, mean = postMode, sigma = postCov)</pre>
```

```
# 95% credible interval
quantile(data.NSmallChild, probs = c(0.025,0.975))

## 2.5% 97.5%
## -2.1071488 -0.6171676

CI_0025 = quantile(data.NSmallChild, probs = c(0.025,0.975))[1]
CI_0975 = quantile(data.NSmallChild, probs = c(0.025,0.975))[2]
# By mean and standard deviation
# 1.96 - T-Distribution
interval <- c(postMode[7] - 1.96 * approxPostStd[7], postMode[7] + 1.96 * approxPostStd[7])
names(interval) <- NULL
cat(interval)</pre>
```


-2.121444 -0.5968577

The credible interval for variable NSmallChild is always smaller than 0, which means such feature has an obvious negative impact to women work.

(c) Simulates from the predictive distribution

Write a function that simulates from the predictive distribution of the response variable in a logistic regression. Use your normal approximation from 2(b). Use that function to simulate and plot the predictive distribution for the *Work* variable for a 40 year old woman, with two children (3 and 9 years old), 8 years of education, 10 years of experience. and a husband with an income of 10. [Hint: the R package *mvtnorm* will again be handy. And remember my discussion on how Bayesian prediction can be done by simulation.]

```
# 1000 simulations of beta
data.hist <- as.data.frame(
  mvtnorm::rmvnorm(n = 1000, mean = postMode, sigma = postCov)
)</pre>
```



We saved all the given values for the example in a vector.

```
# the given values for the example
example <- matrix(c(1, 10, 8, 10, (10/10)^2, 40, 1, 1), nrow = 1)
```


Since we have a classification problem, we used the given example to create some prediction from the regression and use the sigmoid function to convert the output to a probability.


The formula for the sigmoid regression goes as follows:


$$S(x) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}}$$

```
pred.hist <<- as.data.frame(t(example %*% t(data.hist)))</pre>
  # comment:
  # we used mutnorm to simulate data.hist, so we use it at this point again
  # instead of simulating again
  # ----- sigmoid function -----
  sigmoid <- as.data.frame(1/(1+exp(-pred.hist)))</pre>
  colnames(sigmoid) <- "Probability"</pre>
  # create flags
  sigmoid$job_flag <- ifelse(sigmoid$Probability < 0.5, "no_job", "job")</pre>
  sigmoid$job_flag_binary <- ifelse(sigmoid$Probability < 0.5, 0, 1)</pre>
  # add simulation nr
  sigmoid$nr <- c(1:nrow(sigmoid))</pre>
  # return the output
  sigmoid <<- sigmoid
  #return(sigmoid)
sigmoid_function(example)
hist(sigmoid$job_flag_binary,2)
```


Histogram of sigmoid\$job_flag_binary









After 1000 simulations for the given example it can be seen that the probability that the person in the example works is usually less than 0.5. In only 5 cases the probability is higher than 0.5.





Density and credible interval of the feature NSmallChild



Thus, we can trust that the woman does not work with 95% confident level.

Appendix

```
knitr::opts_chunk$set(echo = TRUE, out.width = "400px")
# packages we use in the lab
library(mvtnorm)
library(LaplacesDemon)
library(ggplot2)
library(reshape2)
library(gridExtra)
# clean environment
rm(list=ls())
# load the data
tempdata <- read.table("TempLinkoping.txt",header = TRUE, sep = "\t")</pre>
         <- tempdata$temp
# lecture 5 slide 5 - The linear regression model in matrix form
# X - matrix represents the model
         <- cbind(1, tempdata$time, tempdata$time**2)</pre>
         <- nrow(X)
n
# given parameter values
        <- c(-10, 100, -100)
mu_0
omega_0 \leftarrow 0.01 * diag(3)
        <- 4
v_0
sigma2_0 <- 1
# linear model - without beta
lmTemp = lm( temp ~ time + I(time^2), data = tempdata)
summary(lmTemp)
# plot the data
ggplot(tempdata, aes(x = time, y=temp)) +
  geom_point(aes(color = "#e74c3c")) +
                                                                  # default blue
  ylab("Temperature") + xlab("Time") + ggtitle("Time vs Temperature") +
  scale_color_identity(name = "colour",
                           breaks = c("#e74c3c"),
                           labels = c("Observations"),
                           guide = "legend") +
  theme(legend.position = "bottom")
# create simulation
set.seed(123456)
# joint conjugate prior
N <- 40 # nr of simulations
# data frame to save prior coef data
prior <- matrix(ncol = 3, nrow = N)</pre>
for (i in 1:N) {
  # lecture 5 slide 7 - Inv - chi^2(v_0, sigma^2_0)
  var <- LaplacesDemon::rinvchisq(1 ,v_0, sigma2_0)</pre>
  # solve(A)
                Inverse of A where A is a square matrix
  beta <- MASS::mvrnorm(1, mu_0, var*solve(omega_0))</pre>
  prior[i,1:3] <- beta</pre>
```

```
data.1a <- as.data.frame(cbind(tempdata$time, X%*%t(prior)))</pre>
cnames \leftarrow c("x")
for (i in 1:N) {
  cnames[1+i] <- paste0("pred.",i)</pre>
colnames(data.1a) <- cnames</pre>
data.1a <- melt(data.1a, id.vars = "x") # data.1a - variable to create a color for each line
plot1a.ori <- ggplot(data.1a)+</pre>
  geom_line(aes(x = x, y = value, color = variable)) +
  geom_point(data = tempdata, aes(x = time, y = temp), colour = "#e74c3c") +
  ggtitle("Original parameters") + ylab("Temperature") + xlab("Time") +
 theme(legend.position = "none")
# modify the parameters
        \leftarrow c(-10.68, 93.60, -85.83) # before c(-10, 100, -100),
# change into values given in the lm output
omega_0 \leftarrow 0.01 * diag(3)
v_0
       <- 4
sigma2_0 <- 0.02
                               # before 1 - change
# ------ same code as before - maybe create a function
prior <- matrix(ncol = 3, nrow = N)</pre>
for (i in 1:N) {
 var <- LaplacesDemon::rinvchisq(1 ,v_0, sigma2_0)</pre>
  beta <- MASS::mvrnorm(1, mu_0, var*solve(omega_0))</pre>
  prior[i,1:3] <- beta</pre>
# Linear regression in matrix form - X%*%t(prior)
# lecture 5 slide 5
data.1a <- as.data.frame(cbind(tempdata$time, X %*% t(prior)))</pre>
cnames <-c("x")
for (i in 1:N) {
  cnames[1+i] <- paste0("pred.",i)</pre>
colnames(data.1a) <- cnames</pre>
data.1a <- melt(data.1a, id.vars = "x")</pre>
plot1a.mdf <- ggplot(data.1a)+</pre>
  geom_line(aes(x = x, y = value, color = variable)) +
  geom_point(data = tempdata, aes(x = time, y = temp), color = "#e74c3c") +
  ggtitle("Modified parameter") + ylab("Temperature") + xlab("Time") +
  theme(legend.position = "none")
plot(arrangeGrob(plot1a.ori, plot1a.mdf, nrow = 2))
# lecture 5 slide 7
# posterior
         <- 3 # nr of regression coefficients
beta_hat <- solve(t(X) %*% X) %*% t(X) %*% y
```

```
mu_n <- solve(t(X) %*% X + omega_0) %*% (t(X) %*% X %*% beta_hat + omega_0 %*% mu_0)
omega_n <- t(X) %*% X+omega_0
        <-v_0 + n
sigma2_n \leftarrow (v_0 * sigma2_0 + (t(y) %*% y + t(mu_0) %*% omega_0)
                                %*% mu_0 - t(mu_n) %*% omega_n %*% mu_n)) / v_n
# marginal posterior
###### Zijie idea:
# The arguments of marginal posterior of conjugate prior
# are guessed form marginal posterior of uniform prior (page 6)
######
# Lecture 5 slide 6
# Linear regression - uniform prior
# Marginal posterior - of beta
# for all the 3 betas - have a delta with mu_n of 3 betas
data_hist <- as.data.frame(</pre>
 mvtnorm::rmvt(n = 1000, delta = mu_n, df = n-k, # t_{n-k}
                sigma = as.numeric(sigma2_n) * solve(t(X) %*% X))
 )
# simulate the sigma^2 parameter
var_hist <- LaplacesDemon::rinvchisq(n = 1000, v_n, sigma2_n)</pre>
# combine all parameters
data_hist <- cbind(data_hist, var_hist)</pre>
cnames <- c("beta0", "beta1", "beta2", "sigma2")</pre>
colnames(data hist) <- cnames</pre>
f <- function(cname){</pre>
  ggplot(data_hist, aes_string(x=cname)) +
    geom_histogram(aes(y = ..density..),
                   colour = "black",
                   fill = "white",
                   bins = 30) +
    geom_density(alpha = .2, fill = "#FF6666")
plot(arrangeGrob(grobs = lapply(cnames, f)))
# use take the beta - exclude the vairance
data_hist = data_hist[,1:3]
# overlay a curve for the posterior median
# use function colMedians - calculates the median for every beta (column)
beta_median = matrixStats::colMedians(as.matrix(data_hist))
# Linear regression in matrix form
# lecture 5 slide 5
\# f(time) = beta_0 + beta_1 * time + beta_2 * time^2
pred.1b <- beta_median %*% t(X)</pre>
# credible intervals
# each column represents all possible values at a fixed time
preds <- as.matrix(data_hist) %*% t(X) # regression function</pre>
```

```
pred_interval <- data.frame(nrow = n, nrow = 2)</pre>
colnames(pred_interval) <- c("lower", "upper")</pre>
# calculate the 95% credible interval
for(i in 1:n){
  data_t <- preds[,i]</pre>
 pred_interval[i,] <- quantile(data_t, probs = c(0.025,0.975))</pre>
# the plot data
data.1b <- cbind(tempdata, t(pred.1b), pred_interval)</pre>
ggplot(data.1b) +
  geom_point(aes(x = time, y = temp, color = "#e74c3c")) +
  geom_line(aes(x = time, y = t(pred.1b), color = "Blue"), size = 1) +
  geom_line(aes(x = time, y = lower, color = "#2980b9"), linetype = "dotted", size = 1.5) +
  geom_line(aes(x = time, y = upper, color = "#2980b9"), linetype = "dotted", size = 1.5) +
  scale_color_identity(name = "Colour",
                       breaks = c("#e74c3c", "Blue", "#2980b9", "#2980b9"),
                       labels = c("Observations", "Median",
                                   "Credible Interval", "Credible Interval"),
                      guide = "legend") +
 theme(legend.position="bottom")
# calculate the max pred for every day
# pred - column -> days; rows -> predictions
pred highest <- c()</pre>
for(i in 1:366){
  pred_highest[i] <- max(preds[,i])</pre>
data.1c <- cbind(tempdata, t(pred.1b), pred_interval, pred_highest)</pre>
ggplot(data.1c) +
  geom_point(aes(x = time, y = temp, color = "#e74c3c")) +
  geom_line(aes(x = time, y = t(pred.1b),color = "Blue"),size = 1) +
  geom_line(aes(x = time, y = lower, color = "#2980b9"), linetype = "dotted", size = 1.5) +
  geom_line(aes(x = time, y = upper, color = "#2980b9"), linetype = "dotted", size = 1.5) +
  geom_line(aes(x = time,y = pred_highest, color = "#7bed9f"), linetype = "solid", size = 1)+
  scale_color_identity(name = "Colour",
                        breaks = c("#e74c3c", "Blue", "#2980b9", "#2980b9", "#7bed9f"),
                       labels = c("Observations", "Median",
                                   "Credible Interval", "Credible Interval",
                                   "Highest expected temperature"),
                      guide = "legend") +
  theme(legend.position="bottom")
# clean up environment
rm(list=ls())
knitr::include_graphics("lab2_table_ex2.png")
# load the data & define y (response) and x 8 dimensional vector of the features
WomenWork = read.table("WomenWork.dat", header = TRUE)
y = WomenWork$Work
X = WomenWork[,2:9]
X = as.matrix(X)
n = nrow(WomenWork) # nr of observations
# logistic regression
```

```
glmModel <- glm(Work ~ 0 + ., data = WomenWork, family = binomial)</pre>
summary(glmModel)
# parameters
mu <- 0
\# Prior scaling factor such that Prior Covariance = (tau^2)*I
#- need tau to define sigma later on
tau <- 10
# rename X columns
covNames <- names(WomenWork)[2:length(names(WomenWork))]</pre>
m \leftarrow dim(X)[2]
# setting up the prior
mu <- as.vector(rep(mu,m)) # Prior mean vector</pre>
Sigma <- tau^2 * diag(m) # Prior variance matrix
LogPostLogistic <- function(beta, y, X, mu, Sigma){</pre>
 M <- length(beta)</pre>
 p <- X %*% beta
  # log the likelihood of Binomial Distribution
  logLik \leftarrow sum(p * y - log(1 + exp(p)))
  if (abs(logLik) == Inf){
   logLik <- -50000
   # Likelihood is not finite, stear the optimizer away from here!
    # by Teacher's idea
  }
  # prior follows multi-normal distribution with
 logPrior <- dmvnorm(beta, mu, Sigma, log = TRUE)</pre>
  # cuz we logarithmize the likelihood and prior,
  # posterior is the sum of them
 return(logLik + logPrior)
# use random initial values
initVal <- as.vector(rnorm(dim(X)[2]))</pre>
OptimResults <- optim(initVal,</pre>
                    LogPostLogistic,
                    y = y,
                    X = X,
                    mu = mu,
                    Sigma = Sigma,
                    method = c("BFGS"),
                    control = list(fnscale=-1),
                    hessian = TRUE) # output hessian matrix
# Printing the results to the screen
postMode <- OptimResults$par</pre>
```

```
# Posterior covariance matrix is -inv(Hessian)
postCov <- -solve(OptimResults$hessian)</pre>
# Computing approximate standard deviations.
approxPostStd <- sqrt(diag(postCov))</pre>
# Naming the coefficient by covariates
names(postMode) <- covNames</pre>
names(approxPostStd) <- covNames</pre>
print('The posterior mode is:')
print(postMode)
print('The approximate posterior standard deviation is:')
print(approxPostStd)
# Compute an approximate 95% credible interval for the variable NSmallChild
# By simulation
data.NSmallChild <- as.data.frame(</pre>
 mvtnorm::rmvnorm(n = 1000, mean = postMode, sigma = postCov)
 )[,7]
# 95% credible interval
quantile(data.NSmallChild, probs = c(0.025,0.975))
CI_{0025} = quantile(data.NSmallChild, probs = c(0.025, 0.975))[1]
CI_{0975} = quantile(data.NSmallChild, probs = c(0.025, 0.975))[2]
# By mean and standard deviation
# 1.96 - T-Distribution
interval <- c(postMode[7] - 1.96 * approxPostStd[7], postMode[7] + 1.96 * approxPostStd[7])
names(interval) <- NULL</pre>
cat(interval)
# Author: Mattias Villani, Linkoping University.
        E-mail: mattias.villani@liu.se
        web: http://mattiasvillani.com
# Script to illustrate numerical maximization of the Logistic or Probit regression
Probit <- 0
                   # If Probit <-0, then logistic model is used.
chooseCov <- c(1:16) # Here we choose which covariates to include in the model
tau <- 10000;
                   # Prior scaling factor such that Prior Covariance = (tau^2)*I
#install.packages("mutnorm") # Loading a package that contains the multivariate normal pdf
library("mvtnorm") # This command reads the mutnorm package into R's memory. NOW we can use dmunorm fun
# Loading data from file
Data <- read.table ("SpamReduced.dat", header = TRUE) # Spam data from Hastie et al.
y <- as.vector(Data[,1]); # Data from the read.table
\# function is a data frame. Let's convert y and X to vector and matrix.
X <- as.matrix(Data[,2:17]);</pre>
```

```
covNames <- names(Data)[2:length(names(Data))];</pre>
X <- X[,chooseCov]; # Here we pick out the chosen covariates.
covNames <- covNames[chooseCov];</pre>
nPara \leftarrow dim(X)[2];
# Setting up the prior
mu <- as.vector(rep(0,nPara)) # Prior mean vector</pre>
Sigma <- tau^2*diag(nPara);</pre>
# Defining the functions that returns the log posterior (Logistic and Probit models).
# Note that the first input argument of
# this function must be the one that we optimize on, i.e. the regression coefficients.
LogPostLogistic <- function(betaVect,y,X,mu,Sigma){</pre>
  nPara <- length(betaVect);</pre>
  linPred <- X%*%betaVect;</pre>
  # evaluating the log-likelihood
  logLik <- sum( linPred*y -log(1 + exp(linPred)));</pre>
  if (abs(logLik) == Inf) logLik = -20000; # Likelihood is not finite,
  # stear the optimizer away from here!
  # evaluating the prior
  logPrior <- dmvnorm(betaVect, matrix(0,nPara,1), Sigma, log=TRUE);</pre>
  # add the log prior and log-likelihood together to get log posterior
 return(logLik + logPrior)
LogPostProbit <- function(betaVect,y,X,mu,Sigma){</pre>
  nPara <- length(betaVect);</pre>
  linPred <- X%*%betaVect;</pre>
  # The following is a more numerically stable evaluation of the log-likelihood in my slides:
  # logLik <- sum(y*log(pnorm(linPred)) + (1-y)*log(1-pnorm(linPred)) )</pre>
  logLik <- sum(y*pnorm(linPred, log.p = TRUE) + (1-y)*pnorm(linPred, log.p = TRUE, lower.tail = FALSE)</pre>
  # evaluating the prior
  logPrior <- dmvnorm(betaVect, matrix(0,nPara,1), Sigma, log=TRUE);</pre>
  # add the log prior and log-likelihood together to get log posterior
 return(logLik + logPrior)
}
# Calling the optimization routine Optim. Note the auxilliary arguments that are passed
# to the function logPost
# Note how I pass all other arguments of the function logPost (i.e. all arguments
#except betaVect which is the one that we are trying to optimize over) to the R optimizer.
# The argument control is a list of options to the optimizer. Here I am telling
#the optimizer to multiply the objective function (i.e. logPost) by -1. This is because
```

```
# Optim finds a minimum, and I want to find a maximum. By reversing the sign of
# logPost I can use Optim for my maximization problem.
# Different starting values. Ideally, any random starting value gives you the same optimum
# (i.e. optimum is unique)
initVal <- as.vector(rep(0,dim(X)[2]));</pre>
# Or a random starting vector: as.vector(rnorm(dim(X)[2]))
# Set as OLS estimate: as.vector(solve(crossprod(X,X))%*%t(X)%*%y); # Initial values by OLS
if (Probit==1){
 logPost = LogPostProbit;
} else{
  logPost = LogPostLogistic;
OptimResults<-optim(initVal,logPost,gr=NULL,y,X,mu,Sigma,
                    method=c("BFGS"),control=list(fnscale=-1),
                    hessian=TRUE)
# Printing the results to the screen
postMode <- OptimResults$par</pre>
postCov <- -solve(OptimResults$hessian) # Posterior covariance matrix is -inv(Hessian)
names(postMode) <- covNames # Naming the coefficient by covariates</pre>
approxPostStd <- sqrt(diag(postCov)) # Computing approximate standard deviations.
names(approxPostStd) <- covNames # Naming the coefficient by covariates</pre>
print('The posterior mode is:')
print(postMode)
print('The approximate posterior standard deviation is:')
print(approxPostStd)
# Plotting some of the marginal posteriors
par(mfrow = c(2,2))
for (k in 1:4){
  betaGrid <- seq(0, postMode[k] + 4*approxPostStd[k], length = 1000)
  plot(betaGrid, dnorm(x = betaGrid, mean = postMode[k], sd = approxPostStd[k]),
       type = "1", lwd = 2, main = names(postMode)[k], ylab = '', xlab = expression(beta))
}
# Plot a bivariate distribution for two beta coefficients - they are almost independent in this example
par1 <- 3
par2 <- 6
beta1Values <- seq(postMode[par1] - 3*approxPostStd[par1],</pre>
                   postMode[par1] + 3*approxPostStd[par1], length = 10)
beta2Values <- seq(postMode[par2] - 3*approxPostStd[par2],</pre>
                   postMode[par2] + 3*approxPostStd[par2], length = 10)
dens <- matrix(NA,length(beta1Values),length(beta2Values))</pre>
for (i in 1:length(beta1Values)){
 for (j in 1:length(beta1Values)){
    dens[i,j] <- dmvnorm(c(beta1Values[i],beta2Values[j]), postMode[c(par1,par2)], postCov[c(par1,par2)</pre>
 }
}
contour(beta1Values, beta2Values, dens)
postCov[par1,par2]/(sqrt(postCov[par1,par1])*sqrt(postCov[par2,par2]))
```

```
# 1000 simulations of beta
data.hist <- as.data.frame(</pre>
 mvtnorm::rmvnorm(n = 1000, mean = postMode, sigma = postCov)
colnames(data.hist) <- covNames</pre>
f <- function(cname){</pre>
  ggplot(data.hist, aes_string(x=cname)) +
    geom_histogram(aes(y = ..density..),
                   colour = "black",
                   fill = "white",
                   bins = 30) +
    geom_density(alpha = .2, fill = "#FF6666")
}
plot(arrangeGrob(grobs = lapply(covNames, f)))
# the given values for the example
example \leftarrow matrix(c(1, 10, 8, 10, (10/10)^2, 40, 1, 1), nrow = 1)
sigmoid_function = function(x){
  # this function returns create prediction from a regression (pred.hist) + usesed sigmoid function to
  # create probabilites of that to some a classification problem (sigmoid)
  # Arguments:
  # x = input to the function (your algorithm's prediction e.g. mx + b)
    data frame -s(x) = output between 0 and 1 (probability estimate) + flags
  # Flags:
  # 50% Decision Boundary
  # Lecture 6 slide 4
  # Classification with logistic regression - Logistic regression
  # ----- prediction -----
  # 1000 predictions for such example - pred.hist represents X
  pred.hist <<- as.data.frame(t(example %*% t(data.hist)))</pre>
  # we used mutnorm to simulate data.hist, so we use it at this point again
  # instead of simulating again
  # ----- sigmoid function -----
  sigmoid <- as.data.frame(1/(1+exp(-pred.hist)))</pre>
  colnames(sigmoid) <- "Probability"</pre>
  # create flags
  sigmoid$job_flag <- ifelse(sigmoid$Probability < 0.5, "no_job", "job")</pre>
  sigmoid$job_flag_binary <- ifelse(sigmoid$Probability < 0.5, 0, 1)</pre>
  # add simulation nr
  sigmoid$nr <- c(1:nrow(sigmoid))</pre>
```

```
# return the output
 sigmoid <<- sigmoid
  #return(sigmoid)
sigmoid function(example)
hist(sigmoid$job_flag_binary,2)
ggplot(data = sigmoid, aes(x = Probability)) +
  geom_histogram(aes(y = ..density..),
                 colour = "black",
                 fill = "white",
                 bins = 30) +
  geom_density(alpha = .2, fill = "#FF6666") +
  ggtitle("Density - Histogram of work probabily") +
  xlim(c(0,1))
ggplot(data = sigmoid, aes(x = sigmoid$Probability, y = sigmoid$job_flag_binary)) +
  xlim(c(0,1)) + ylab("Classification") + xlab("Probability") +
  ggtitle("Sigmoid function with 0.5 as decision boundary") +
  geom_vline(aes(xintercept = 0.5, color = "#e67e22"), alpha = 0.5) +
  geom_hline(aes(yintercept = 0.5, color = "#e67e22"), alpha = 0.5) +
   stat_smooth(method="glm", aes(color = "Blue"), alpha = 0.5, se=FALSE, fullrange=TRUE,
              method.args = list(family=binomial)) +
  geom point(aes(color = "#e74c3c")) +
  scale_color_identity(name = "Colour",
                       breaks = c("#e74c3c", "#e67e22", "Blue"),
                       labels = c("Observations", "Decision boundary", "Logisic Regression"),
                       guide = "legend") +
 theme(legend.position="bottom")
ggplot(pred.hist, aes(x=V1)) +
  geom_density(alpha = .2, fill = "#FF6666") +
  xlab("NSmallChild") + ggtitle("Density and credible interval of the feature NSmallChild ") +
  geom_vline(aes(xintercept = CI_0975)) +
  geom_vline(aes(xintercept = CI_0025))+
  geom_text(aes(label ="97.5 % interval", x = CI_0975 +0.3, y = 1), size = 3 )+
  geom_text(aes(label ="2.5 % interval", x = CI_0025 -0.3, y = 1),size = 3 )
```