2.18: If $D \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (A \cup B \cup C)^c = \emptyset$, then

$$\sigma(A, B, C) = \{\emptyset, \Omega, A, B, C, A \cup B, A \cup C, B \cup C\},\$$

and the probabilities for the events in this σ -field (other than A, B, and C) are

 $P(\emptyset) = 0, \ P(\Omega) = 1, \ P(A \cup B) = 0.9, \ P(A \cup C) = 0.7, \ P(B \cup C) = 0.4.$

(If $D \neq \emptyset$, then the σ -algebra also contains D and unions of D with sets in the class listed except \emptyset . As D is a null set its presence or absense will not effect the probability of the event. For instance $P(A \cup D) = P(A) = 0.6$.)

2.22: Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Since $F(x) \to 0$ as $x \to -\infty$, there exists a < 0 so that $F(a) < \epsilon/2$, and since $F(x) \to 1$ as $x \to \infty$, there exists b > 0 such that $F(b) > 1 - \epsilon/2$. Since continuous functions on a compact domain must be uniformly continuous, the restriction of F to [a,b] is uniformly continuous. Hence there must exist $\delta > 0$ so that

$$|F(x) - F(y)| < \epsilon/2, \quad \forall x, y \in [a, b], |x - y| < \delta.$$

It then follows that

$$|F(x) - F(y)| < \epsilon, \qquad \forall |x - y| < \delta.$$

For instance, if $x \le b < y$ with $|x - y| < \delta$

$$|F(x) - F(y)| \le |F(a) - F(x)| + |F(y) - F(a)| < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon.$$

2.23: (a) With k = 2, this asserts that (a, b] is

$$(-\infty,b]\setminus\Big((-\infty,(a_1,b_2)]\cup(-\infty,(b_1,a_2)]\Big).$$

A point x lies in this set iff $x \le b$ and $x_1 > a_1$ and $x_2 > a_2$. (b) The sets in the generating class are Borel, and using part (a) the σ -field contains all rectangles. (c) This follows because

$$(-\infty, a] \bigcap (-\infty, b] = (-\infty, (\min\{a_1, b_1\}, \min\{a_2, b_2\})].$$

(d) This follows by Corollary 2.2.1 with \mathcal{P} the π -system in part (c). (e) (1) If $x_1 \to \infty$ and $x_2 \to \infty$, then $(-\infty, x] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ and $F(x) = P((-\infty, x]) \to P(\mathbb{R}^2) = 1$. (2) If $x_1 \to -\infty$ or $x_2 \to -\infty$, then $(-\infty, x] \to \emptyset$ and $F(x) = P((-\infty, x]) \to \emptyset$

 $P(\emptyset) = 0$. (3) By the addition law (inclusion-exclusion with two events), for a < b,

$$P\left((-\infty,(a_1,b_2)]\bigcup(-\infty,(b_1,a_2)]\right) = F(a_1,b_2) + F(b_1,a_2) - F(a_1,b_2)$$

and since $(-\infty, b]$ is the disjoint union of

$$(-\infty, (a_1, b_2)] \bigcup (-\infty, (b_1, a_2)]$$
 and $(a, b],$

we have

$$P((a,b]) = F(b) - F(a_1,b_2) - F(b_1,a_2) + F(a).$$

(f) If $x \downarrow a$, then $(-\infty x] \to (-\infty, a]$, and so $F(x) = P((-\infty, x]) \to P((-\infty, a]) = F(a).$

(g) Take
$$S = \{(a, b] : a, b \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$$
, let $H(a, b) = F(b) - F(a_1, b_2) - F(b_1, a_2) + F(a)$.

and define P on S by P(a,b] = H(a,b). By the extension theorem, if P is σ -additive on S is can be extended uniquely to a probability measure on the Borel sets. To establish this we can proceed as in class. L1: If I_1, I_2, \ldots are disjoint in S, and $\bigcup I_n \subset I \in S$, then $P(I) \geq \sum_n P(I_n)$. Proof. Chopping I into disjoint rectangles it is not hard to see that $\sum_{j=1}^n P(I_j) \leq P(I)$, and L1 follows letting $n \to \infty$. L2: If $[a,b] \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n (a_i,b_i)$, then $H(a,b) \leq H(a_i,b_i)$. Proof. This also follows by chopping the region into disjoint rectangles and using the fact that H is nonnegative. L3: If $(a,b] \subset (a_n,b_n]$, then $P(a,b] \leq \sum_n P(a_n,b_n]$. Proof. Fix $\epsilon > 0$, let $\mathbf{1} = \binom{1}{1}$, and choose $\delta_k, k \geq 1$ so that

$$H(a_k, b_k + \delta_k \mathbf{1}) - H(a_k, b_k) \le \epsilon/2^k, \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Then

$$[a+\epsilon,b]\subset\bigcup_{n}(a_k,b_k+\delta_k).$$

By compactness, for some N,

$$[a+\epsilon,b]\subset\bigcup_{n=1}^N(a_k,b_k+\delta_k).$$

So by L2,

$$H(a+\epsilon,b) \le \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(H(a_n,b_n) + \frac{\epsilon}{2^n} \right) \le \epsilon + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H(a_n,b_n).$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary and F is continuous from above,

$$H(a,b) \le \sum_{n} H(a_n,b_n).$$

Combining L1 and L3, P is σ -additive.

- 2.24: (a) If B is the boundary, then $B^c = (0,1)^2$ and for any $\epsilon > 0$, $P(B) = 1 P(B^c) \le 1 P((\epsilon, 1 \epsilon)^2) = 1 (1 2\epsilon)^2$. Letting $\epsilon \downarrow 0$, P(B) = 0. (b) 1/9. (c) $2(x \land y) (x \land y)^2$, $x \land y \in [0, 1]$.
 - 3.2: The σ -fields are

$$\sigma(X_1) = \{\emptyset, (0, 1]\}, \qquad \sigma(X_2) = \{\emptyset, (0, 1], \{1/2\}, (0, 1] \setminus \{1/2\}\},$$

and

$$\sigma(X_3) = \{ \emptyset, (0,1], (0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}, (0,1] \setminus \mathbb{Q} \}.$$

3.6: Since the events $[|X| < K] \uparrow [|X| < \infty]$, by continuity $P[|X| < K] \uparrow P[|X| < \infty] = 1$.

Hence given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists K > 0 with $P[|X| < K] > 1 - \epsilon$. If we define $Y = X1_{\left[|X| < K\right]}$, then $\left[|X| < K\right]$ implies $\left[X = Y\right]$ and so

$$P[X = Y] \ge P[|X| < K] > 1 - \epsilon,$$

and thus $P[X \neq Y] < \epsilon$.

3.8: If $B \in \mathcal{B}$, then $[X = B] \in \mathcal{B}$, $[Y \in B] \in \mathcal{B}$, and

$$[Z \in B] = A[X \in B] \bigcup A^c[Y \in B]$$

lies in \mathcal{B} as $A \in \mathcal{B}$ and \mathcal{B} is closed under intersections and unions.

3.13: (a) Let $C = \{(a, b]; a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$, a countable class. For any c < d,

$$[c,d] \bigcap_{a,b \in \mathbb{Q}, a < c < d < b} (a,b],$$

and so $[c, d] \in \sigma(\mathcal{C})$. Then, since

$$(c,d] = \bigcap_{n \ge 1} [c+1/n,d],$$

we have $(c,d] \in \sigma(\mathcal{C})$. So

$$\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \supset \sigma((c,d] : c \leq d) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}).$$

But all of the sets in \mathcal{C} are Borel sets, so $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, and hence $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. By Proposition 3.1.2, if X is a random variable

$$\sigma(X) = X^{-1}(\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})) = X^{-1}(\sigma(\mathcal{C})) = \sigma(X^{-1}(\mathcal{C})).$$

Thus $\sigma(X)$ is countably generated because $X^{-1}(\mathcal{C})$ is countable. **(b)** If $\mathcal{B} = \sigma(B_n, n \ge 1)$ we can define

$$X = \sum_{n>1} 3^{-n} 1_{B_n}.$$

Them X is measurable by Proposition 3.2.6 because

$$X = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} 3^{-k} 1_{B_k},$$

and the partial sums are measurable functions. For any $j \geq 1$,

$$0 \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 3^{-k} 1_{B_{k+j}} \le \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 3^{-k} = 2/3 < 1,$$

and we have

$$\lfloor 3X \rfloor = \left| 1_{B_1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 3^{-k} 1_{B_{k+1}} \right| = 1_{B_1}.$$

So $B_1 = [3X \in [1,2)] = [X \in [1/3,2/3)]$. Thus $B_1 \in \sigma(X)$. Also, $B_1^c = [X \in [0,1/3)]$. Next note that on B_1 ,

$$9X = 3 + 1_{B_2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 3^{-k} 1_{B_{k+2}}$$

and $1_{B_2} = \lfloor 9X - 3 \rfloor$. Similarly, on B_1^c we have $1_{B_2} = \lfloor 9X \rfloor$. So $B_2 = B_1 B_2 \cup B_1^c B_2 = \left[X \in [1/9, 2/9) \right] \cup \left[X \in [4/9, 5/9) \right]$.

Thus $B_2 \in \sigma(X)$. Continuing in this fashion $B_n \in \sigma(X)$ for all $n \geq 1$. Thus $\mathcal{B} = \sigma(X)$, as desired.