method.

```
## Compare the accuracy and runtime for cdf method and rejection sampling
par(mfrow=c(1,2))
n <- 1e5
x.crct <- rnorm(n, mu, sigma)</pre>
x.crct <- x.crct[x.crct >= mu.lo]
## Runtime for the cdf method
print(system.time({
 p <- runif(n, 0, 1)
 x.cdf <- cdf.inv(p, mu, mu.lo, sigma)</pre>
}))
##
      user system elapsed
           0.000 0.008
##
     0.008
qqp.cdf <- qqplot(x.crct, x.cdf, main = "cdf method vs. truncated rnorm")
abline(0,1)
## Accuracy for the cdf method
corr.cdf <- cor(qqp.cdf$x, qqp.cdf$y)</pre>
print(corr.cdf)
## [1] 0.9999782
## Runtime for the rejection sampling method
print(system.time({
 result.rejsamp <- rejsamp(n, mu, mu.lo, sigma)</pre>
}))
      user system elapsed
##
##
     0.020 0.000 0.018
x.rejsamp <- result.rejsamp$x</pre>
qqp.rejsamp <- qqplot(x.crct, x.rejsamp, main = "rejection sampling vs. truncated norm")
abline(0,1)
```