

Definition 26 (Harris recurrence) *A set A is called Harris recurrent if*

$$Q(x, A) = P_x(\eta_A = \infty) = 1, \quad x \in A.$$

A chain Φ is called Harris (recurrent) if it is ψ -irreducible and every set $A \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{X})$ is Harris recurrent.

A standard alternative definition of an Harris recurrence set is that $L(x, A) = 1$ for $x \in A$, however they are equivalent. Nevertheless, using $Q(x, A) = 1$ highlights the strengthening of recurrence to Harris recurrence from an expected infinite number of visits to a set to an almost surely infinite number of visits.

Proposition 17 *Suppose for some one set $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ we have $L(x, A) \equiv 1$ for $x \in A$. Then $Q(x, A) = L(x, A)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and A is Harris recurrent.*

Proof:

The most difficult proof of this section is the following.

Theorem 11 (i) Suppose that $D \rightsquigarrow A$ for any $D, A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$. Let μ be any initial distribution of the chain Φ . Then

$$\{\Phi \in D \text{ i.o.}\} \subseteq \{\Phi \in A \text{ i.o.}\} \quad \text{a.s. } [P_\mu]$$

and $Q(y, D) \leq Q(y, A)$ for all $y \in \mathcal{X}$.

(ii) If $\mathcal{X} \rightsquigarrow A$, then A is Harris recurrent and $Q(x, A) \equiv 1$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

Proof: See Meyn & Tweedie, p. 202. The proof involves Martingales and would take too much time to give the necessary background to show/prove the Martingale Convergence Theorem.

This leads us to the following strengthening of Harris recurrence...

Theorem 12 If Φ is Harris recurrent, then $Q(x, B) = 1$ for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and every $B \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{X})$.

Proof:

Let D be any Harris recurrent set. Let $D^\infty = \{y : L(y, D) = 1\}$. Then $D \subseteq D^\infty$ and D^∞ is absorbing. We call D a maximal absorbing set if $D = D^\infty$.

Definition 27 (Maximal Harris sets) A set H is called maximal Harris if H is a maximal absorbing set such that Φ restricted to H is Harris recurrent.

Recall the following definitions

Definition 28 (Full and Absorbing Sets) A set $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is said to be

- (i) full if $\psi(A^c) = 0$.
- (ii) absorbing if $P(x, A) = 1$ for $x \in A$.

In order to prove Theorem 13 we need the following three lemmas:

Lemma 5 Suppose that Φ is ψ -irreducible. Then

- (i) every absorbing set is full.
- (ii) every full set contains a non-empty absorbing set.

Proof:

Lemma 6 *If Φ is a Markov chain and if $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ satisfies $L(x, A) \leq \epsilon < 1$ for $x \in A$, then $U(x, A) \leq (1 - \epsilon)^{-1}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$.*

Proof:

Lemma 7 *If Φ is ψ -irreducible and $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ with $\psi(A) = 0$, then A is transient.*

Proof: See Meyn & Tweedie, p. 186.

Theorem 13 *If Φ is recurrent, then*

$$\mathcal{X} = H \cup N$$

where H is a non-empty maximal Harris set and N is transient. Furthermore, $\psi(N) = 0$.

Proof:

This theorem states that a recurrent Markov chain and a Harris recurrent Markov chain differ only by the existence of a ψ -null set N on which recurrence does not hold.

Theorem 14 *Suppose that Φ is ψ -irreducible and aperiodic. Then Φ is Harris if and only if each skeleton is Harris.*

Proof:

The existence of a stationary distribution

Now we investigate the conditions necessary for a Markov chain to possess a stationary distribution. This is quite important for the development of MCMC theory—for we want