

## **Example: Matched Cohort Data**

Researchers studied the effect of a new treatment on a fairly frequently occurring skin condition. A total of 79 clinics participated in the study. Each clinic recruited two patients, with one randomized to receive the new treatment, the other receiving placebo. The two patients from a given clinic were matched on various demographic variates, reflecting income, socioeconomic status and baseline general health. Patients evaluated after 30 days post-randomization, then classified based on whether or not their condition improved. Adjustment covariates include age (AGE; recorded in years), SEX, and initial grade of skin condition (1, 2, 3, 4).

(a) The input records are as given below,

1 t f 27 0 1    1 p f 32 0 2

with the matched pair from each center contained in the same record. Read in the data set, then print off the resulting SAS file.

- See the SAS code

(b) Fit a logistic model which ignores the matching by center. Estimate the treatment effect based on this model.

- Model (patient  $i$  in clinic  $k$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \text{logit}(\pi_{ik}) &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 TRT_{ik} + \beta_2 Female_{ik} \\ &+ \beta_3 AGE_{ik} + \beta_4 Grade_{ik} \end{aligned}$$

$$TRT_{ik} = I(NewTreatment)$$

$$Female_{ik} = I(Female)$$

- Estimates:  $\hat{\beta}_1 = 0.8803$ ,  $P - value : 0.0152$

- (c) Why might the unmatched analysis from (b) yield biased parameter estimates?

If the true model is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{logit}(\pi_{ik}) &= \alpha_k + \beta_1 TRT_{ik} + \beta_2 Female_{ik} \\ &+ \beta_3 AGE_{ik} + \beta_4 Grade_{ik} \end{aligned}$$

and at least one  $\alpha_k$  is different from the others, we can have biased results since the model in (b) does not adjust for center (k).

- (d) Under what conditions would the parameter estimates from the unmatched analysis be valid?
- If  $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_K$
- (e) Fit a model which adjusts for center. Examine the log file, then comment.
- Warning messages. Parameter estimating

procedure is not stable, so the validity of the results is questionable.

- $\hat{\beta}_1 = 1.4049$ ,  $P - value : 0.0058$

(f) Fit the a logistic model using the conditional likelihood from matched pairs described for cohort studies in the lecture notes.

- $\hat{\beta}_1 = 0.7024$ ,  $P - value : 0.0511$

(g) Re-fit the conditional logistic regression model using the strata statement. Compare your results with those obtained previously.

- Results are the same.

(h) Why can't we estimate the center effects in this set-up?

- We used the conditional logistic regression, which factors out the center effect (  $\alpha_k$  ).
- Even if we try other methods, the small sample size in each center (sample size=2) does not allow to stably estimate the center effect.