

to be able to sample from the posterior distribution, which is stationary. It is quite obvious that if we construct a Markov chain that does not possess a stationary distribution, we will not be sampling from the posterior. More importantly, we need to also show that the  $n$ -step transition kernels converge to this stationary distribution, no matter what the initial distribution, and will do so in the next section. Thus once  $P^n(\mu, A) = \pi(A)$  we are guaranteed that  $P^{n+1}(\mu, A) = \pi(A)$  as well (once we start sampling from the posterior distribution, we will always be sampling from the posterior).

**Definition 29 (Invariant measure)** *If a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\pi$  on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$  has the property*

$$\pi(A) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \pi(dx)P(x, A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}),$$

*then we call  $\pi$  invariant.*

If an invariant measure is finite, then we can renormalize it to be a probability measure. Obviously, this is the situation in which we are most interested.

**Definition 30 (Positive and null chains)** *If  $\Phi$  is  $\psi$ -irreducible and admits an invariant probability measure  $\pi$ , then we call  $\Phi$  a positive chain. If  $\Phi$  does not admit such a measure, we call it null.*

Recall that a process is stationary if for any  $k$ , the marginal distribution of  $\{\Phi_n, \dots, \Phi_{n+k}\}$  does not change as  $n$  varies. In general, Markov chains are not stationary (e.g. consider the a chain with initial distribution  $\delta_x$ ). However, with an appropriate choice for the initial distribution for  $\Phi_0$  we can produce a stationary process  $\{\Phi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}_+\}$ .

For Markov chains we only need to consider first step stationarity to generate an entire stationary process. Suppose  $\pi$  is the initial invariant measure (initial distribution of the chain) with

$$\pi(A) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \pi(dw)P(w, A).$$

Now, by iterating and the Chapman-Kolmogorov equations we have all  $n$  and  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi(A) &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \pi(dw) P(w, A) \\
 &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \left[ \int_{\mathcal{X}} \pi(dx) P(x, dw) \right] P(w, A) \\
 &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \pi(dx) \int_{\mathcal{X}} P(x, dw) P(w, A) \\
 &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \pi(dx) P^2(x, A) \\
 &= \vdots \\
 &= \int_{\mathcal{X}} \pi(dx) P^n(x, A) = P_{\pi}(\Phi_n \in A).
 \end{aligned}$$

From the Markov property  $\Phi$  is stationary if and only if the distribution of  $\Phi_n$  does not depend on  $n$  (time).

**Lemma 8** *Let  $\Phi$  be a Markov chain and if  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$  is uniformly transient with  $U(x, A) \leq M$  for  $x \in A$ , then  $U(x, A) \leq 1 + M$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ .*

Proof:

**Proposition 18** *If the chain  $\Phi$  is positive, then it is recurrent.*

Proof:

Positive chains are often referred to as positive recurrent to reinforce the fact that they are recurrent.

**Definition 31 (Positive Harris chains)** *If  $\Phi$  is Harris recurrent and positive, then  $\Phi$  is called a positive Harris (recurrent) chain.*

Now we set out to show that an invariant probability measure exists and that it is unique, up to a multiplicative constant, for certain chains. We will begin by showing that chains that admit atoms are positive, and then extend to strongly aperiodic chains and then to recurrent chains.

**Definition 32 (Subinvariant measures)** *if  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and satisfies*

$$\mu(A) \geq \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu(dw) P(w, A), \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}) \quad (11)$$

*then  $\mu$  is called subinvariant.*

Iterating we get

$$\mu(A) \geq \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu(dx) P^n(x, A). \quad (12)$$

Multiplying by  $a(n)$ , where  $a$  is a sampling distribution on  $\mathbb{N}_+$ , and then summing we get

$$\mu(A) \geq \int_{\mathcal{X}} \mu(dx) K_a(x, A). \quad (13)$$

Equations (12) and (13) tell us, respectively, that if  $\mu$  is a subinvariant measure for  $\Phi$  is it also a subinvariant measure for any  $m$ -skeleton and for any sampled chain.

**Proposition 19** *If  $\Phi$  is  $\psi$ -irreducible and if  $\mu$  is any measure satisfying (11) with  $\mu(A) < \infty$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{X})$ , then*

- (i)  $\mu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite and thus  $\mu$  is a subinvariant measure;
- (ii)  $\psi \prec \mu$ ;
- (iii) if  $C$  is  $\nu_a$ -petite, then  $\mu(C) < \infty$ ;
- (iv) if  $\mu(\mathcal{X}) < \infty$ , then  $\mu$  is invariant.

Proof:

