Example: Multinomial Regression

A retrospective cohort study conducted at the University of Massachusetts sought to evaluate the attitudes and characteristics associated with mammography. A total of n=412 women were classified into one of the following categories:

- \circ never had a mammogram $(Y_i = 0)$
- had a mammogram within the past year $(Y_i = 1)$
- had a mammogram, but more than one year ago $(Y_i = 2)$.

Covariates of of interest were based on responses to a questionnaire and included:

SYMP REQ: 1=SA, 2=A, 3=D, 4=SD

"You do not need a mammogram unless you develop symptoms"

PERC BEN: $5, \ldots, 20$

"Score the potential benefit of a mammogram"

FAM HIST: 0=No, 1=Yes

"Do you have a mother or sister with breast cancer?"

TAUGHT BSE: 0=No, 1=Yes

"Were you taught how to do a breast self-exam?"

MAMM DET: 1=NL, 2=SL, 3=VL

"How likely would a mammogram detect a new breast tumor?"

- (a) Recode the response variate such that response value could be treated as ordered.
 - Recode:
 - never had a mammogram $(Y_i = 0)$
 - had a mammogram within the past year $(Y_i = 2)$
 - had a mammogram, but more than one year ago $(Y_i = 1)$.
- (b) To begin, we focus on family history. Print out a cross-classification of mammography experience and family history.
 - See the SAS code
- (c) Write down a generalized logit model pertaining to the 2×3 table.

$$\log\left(\frac{\pi_{ij}}{\pi_{i0}}\right) = \beta_{0j} + \beta_{1j}X_i \quad j = 1, 2$$

 X_i : Family history (1=YES, 0=NO)

$$\pi_{ij} = P(Y_i = j|X_i)$$

(d) Fit the generalized logit model to the table, by hand.

$$\widehat{\beta}_{01} = \log(63/220) = -1.25$$

$$\widehat{\beta}_{11} = \log(11/14) - \log(63/220) = 1.01$$

$$\widehat{\beta}_{02} = \log(85/220) = -0.95$$

$$\widehat{\beta}_{12} = \log(19/14) - \log(85/220) = 1.26$$

- OR: $\exp(\widehat{\beta}_{11}) = 2.74 \quad \exp(\widehat{\beta}_{12}) = 3.51$
- (e) Re-estimate the family history effect parameters, this time using 2×2 table calculations.
 - Table Y = 0 vs Y = 1 OR = (220 * 11)/(63 * 14) = 2.744
 - Table Y = 0 vs Y = 2 OR = (220 * 19)/(85 * 14) = 3.51
 - OR estimates are identical.

(f) Estimate the standard errors of $\widehat{\beta}_{11}$ and $\widehat{\beta}_{12}$. NOTE: standard error of logOR in 2×2 table: $SE = \sqrt{1/n_{11} + 1/n_{10} + 1/n_{01} + 1/n_{00}}$

$$\widehat{SE}(\beta_{11}) = \sqrt{1/220 + 1/63 + 1/14 + 1/11} = 0.4275$$

$$\widehat{SE}(\beta_{12}) = \sqrt{1/220 + 1/85 + 1/19 + 1/11} = 0.3747$$

- (g) Re-fit the GL model, this time using PROC LOGISTIC. Compare your parameter estimates and SEs to those obtained by hand.
 - See the SAS code (Results are identical)

(h) Interpret $\exp\{\widehat{\beta}_{11}\}.$

Odds ratio of having a mammogram comparing with and without family history, given that the women did not have a mammogram within the past year.

(i) Carry out a Wald test of $H_0: \beta_{11} = \beta_{12} = 0$.

Test statistic: $X_w^2 = 12.01$

P-value: 0.0025

Reject H0.

(j) Carry out a likelihood ratio test of the hypothesis listed in (i).

Full model -2 log L: 792.340

Reduced model -2 log L: 805.198

Test statistic: $X_L^2 = 805.198 - 792.340 = 12.858$

 $12.858 > 5.99 = \chi^2_{2,0.95}$

Reject H0.

(k) Write out an appropriate proportional odds model, again focusing only on family history.

$$\log\{\frac{P(Y_i \le j|X_i)}{P(Y_i > j|X_i)}\} = \gamma_{0j} + \gamma_1 X_i \quad j = 0, 1$$

- (ℓ) Fit the PO model using PROC LOGISTIC. See the SAS code
- (m) Interpret $\exp{\{\widehat{\gamma}_1\}}$ based on the PO model.
 - $\exp{\{\hat{\gamma}_1\}} = 0.355$ Odds ratio of being in lower mammogram categories comparing with and without family history is 0.355
- (n) Estimate the probability that a woman with a

family history of breast cancer had a mammogram more than one year ago.

$$P(Y_i \le 0 | X_i = 1) = \frac{\exp(\widehat{\gamma}_{00} + \widehat{\gamma}_1)}{1 + \exp(\widehat{\gamma}_{00} + \widehat{\gamma}_1)} = 0.344$$

$$P(Y_i \le 1 | X_i = 1) = \frac{\exp(\widehat{\gamma}_{01} + \widehat{\gamma}_1)}{1 + \exp(\widehat{\gamma}_{01} + \widehat{\gamma}_1)} = 0.547$$

$$P(Y_i = 1 | X_i = 1) = P(Y_i \le 1 | X_i = 1) - P(Y_i \le 0 | X_i = 1) = 0.203$$

(o) Carry out a score test of the proportionality assumption.

$$X_s^2 = 0.5951 < 3.84 = \chi_{1,0.95}^2$$

Cannot reject H0

There is no strong evidence that the proportionality assumption is not satisfied.

- (p) List the two models being compared in the test for proportionality.
 - H0 (3 parameters)

$$\log\{\frac{P(Y_i \le j|X_i)}{P(Y_i > j|X_i)}\} = \gamma_{0j} + \gamma_1 X_i \quad j = 0, 1$$

• H1 (4 parameters)

$$\log\{\frac{P(Y_i \le j|X_i)}{P(Y_i > j|X_i)}\} = \gamma_{0j} + \gamma_{1j}X_i \quad j = 0, 1$$