

BIOSTAT 651
Notes #12:
Multinomial Data

- Lecture Topics:
 - Models for multinomial data
 - Interpretation of parameters
 - Examples
- Text (Dobson & Barnett, 3rd Ed.): Chapter 8

Nominal, Ordinal Scales

- *Nominal* data:
 - state of residence after graduation:
MI, OH, WI, IL, NC
 - political affiliation:
Republican, Independent, Democrat
 - T.V. channel preferences:
Fox, CNN, MSNBC, do-not-watch-TV
- *Ordinal* data:
 - pain:
slight, moderate, severe
 - grade:
A+, A, A-, B+, B, B-

Multinomial Data

- Often in practice, the response is multinomial (> 2 categories)
- Simplification: reduce to 2 categories; employ logistic regression
 - may be met with skepticism by investigators and/or reviewers
 - how such grouping affected the results will be an issue
 - may result in a considerably less informative analysis

Multinomial : Exponential Family

- Suppose $\mathbf{Y} \sim \text{Multinomial}(n, \boldsymbol{\pi})$ with $J + 1$ categories.

$$\mathbf{Y} = (Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_J)'$$

$$\boldsymbol{\pi} = (\pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_J)'$$

Y_j : number of subjects with $Y = j$

π_j : probability of $Y = j$

- Moments:

$$E[Y_j] =$$

$$V(Y_j) =$$

$$\text{cov}(Y_j, Y_k) =$$

- Probability function:

$$p(\mathbf{Y}; \boldsymbol{\pi}) = \binom{n}{Y_0 \ Y_1 \ \dots \ Y_J} \prod_{j=0}^J \pi_j^{Y_j}$$

Multinomial : Exponential Family (continued)

- Write as an exponential family:

$$p(\mathbf{Y}; \boldsymbol{\pi}) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^J Y_j \log \pi_j + \log C(n; \mathbf{Y}) \right\}$$

- Consider the constraints:

$$\begin{aligned} - \sum_{j=0}^J Y_j &= n \\ - \sum_{j=0}^J \pi_j &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Multinomial : Exponential Family (cont'd)

- Incorporating these constraints, we re-write

$$p(\mathbf{Y}; \boldsymbol{\pi}) =$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp \left\{ Y_0 \log \pi_0 + \sum_{j=1}^J Y_j \log \pi_j + \log C(n; \mathbf{Y}) \right\} \\ = & \exp \left\{ \left(n - \sum_{j=1}^J Y_j \right) \log \pi_0 + \sum_{j=1}^J Y_j \log \pi_j \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \log C(n; \mathbf{Y}) \right\} \\ = & \exp \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^J Y_j \log \left(\frac{\pi_j}{\pi_0} \right) + n \log \pi_0 + \log C(n; \mathbf{Y}) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

- This is an exponential family with:

$$t(\mathbf{Y}) =$$

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} =$$

Deriving Multinomial Parameters

- Examining the natural parameter:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\pi_1}{\pi_0} &= e^{\theta_1} \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ \frac{\pi_J}{\pi_0} &= e^{\theta_J}\end{aligned}$$

- Therefore, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^J e^{\theta_j} = \frac{1}{\pi_0} \sum_{j=1}^J \pi_j = \frac{1}{\pi_0} (1 - \pi_0)$$

such that

$$\pi_0 =$$

$$\pi_j =$$

Properties of Multinomial

- We then have

$$\begin{aligned} b(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= -n \log \pi_0 \\ &= n \log \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^J e^{\theta_j} \right) \end{aligned}$$

- The canonical link: since $\theta_j = \log(\pi_j/\pi_0)$

$$\log \frac{\pi_{ij}}{\pi_{i0}} = \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}_j$$

for $j = 1, \dots, J$

Multinomial: Regression Framework

- We now consider regression modeling of multinomial outcomes
- General set-up:

Y_i = response, subject i

set $Y_{ij} = I(Y_i = j) \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, J$

such that $Y_i = \sum_{j=0}^J j \times Y_{ij}$

covariate: $\mathbf{x}_i = (1, x_{i1}, \dots, x_{iq})'$

- Outcome probabilities:

$$\pi_{ij} = \pi_j(\mathbf{x}_i) = P(Y_i = j | \mathbf{x}_i)$$

Generalized Logits Model

- Generalized logits model:

$$\log \left(\frac{\pi_{ij}}{\pi_{i0}} \right) = \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}_j$$

- one category defined as the *reference*
 - distinct intercepts and regression coefficients
- Response probabilities:

$$P(Y_i = j | \mathbf{x}_i) = \pi_{ij} = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}_j)}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^J \exp(\mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}_j)}$$

$$P(Y_i = 0 | \mathbf{x}_i) = \pi_{i0} = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{j=1}^J \exp(\mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}_j)}$$

- Direct generalization of logistic regression to > 2 response categories

MLE: Generalized Logits Model

- Set $\boldsymbol{\beta} = (\boldsymbol{\beta}'_1, \boldsymbol{\beta}'_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{\beta}'_J)$

dimension = $(q + 1) \times J$

- Likelihood is given by:

$$L(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=0}^J \pi_{ij}^{Y_{ij}}$$

- Subbing in $\boldsymbol{\beta}$, then taking log:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = & \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ -Y_{i0} \log \left(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^J e^{\mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}_\ell} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{j=1}^J Y_{ij} \log \left(\frac{e^{\mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}_j}}{1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^J e^{\mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}_\ell}} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

- Recalling that $Y_{i0} = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^J Y_{ij}$

we then obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell(\boldsymbol{\beta}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ - \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^J Y_{ij} \right) \log \left(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^J e^{\mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}_\ell} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^J Y_{ij} \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}_j - \sum_{j=1}^J Y_{ij} \log \left(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^J e^{\mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}_\ell} \right) \right\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ - \log \left(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^J e^{\mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}_\ell} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^J Y_{ij} \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\beta}_j \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

- The score function is then given by:

$$U(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \begin{pmatrix} U_1(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\ \vdots \\ U_J(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \end{pmatrix}$$

with j th sub-vector:

$$U_j(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_{ij} - \pi_{ij}) \mathbf{x}_i$$

MLE: Generalized Logits Model (continued)

- Information matrix:

dimension of $J(\boldsymbol{\beta})$: $\{J(q+1)\} \times \{J(q+1)\}$

$$J(\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \begin{pmatrix} J_{11}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) & \cdots & J_{1J}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \\ & J_{22}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) & \vdots \\ & \cdots & J_{JJ}(\boldsymbol{\beta}) \end{pmatrix}$$

(j, j) th block: $\sum_{i=1}^n \pi_{ij}(1 - \pi_{ij}) \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_i$

(j, k) th block: $-\sum_{i=1}^n \pi_{ij}\pi_{ik} \mathbf{x}_i^T \mathbf{x}_i$

- Note that $U_j(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ and $J_{jj}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ are analogous to a logistic regression which considers categories j and 0 only

Gen Logit Model: Example

- Example: We reconsider the study of childhood asthma. The objective was to determine the role of gender in pre-school asthma incidence. Children enrolled in the study ($n=100$) were followed prospectively in order to determine whether they were hospitalized for asthma. Suppose now that some children were kept overnight after being admitted ($Y_i = 2$), while others were tested then discharged the same day ($Y_i = 1$). Of course, many children did not suffer asthma ($Y_i = 0$).

Gen Logit Model: Example (continued)

The observed data are summarized by the following table:

	$Y_i=0$	$Y_i=1$	$Y_i=2$	total
$F_i=0$	24	14	22	60
$F_i=1$	21	10	9	40

- The model is given by:

$$\log \left\{ \frac{\pi_{ij}}{\pi_{i0}} \right\} = \beta_{0j} + \beta_{1j} F_i$$

for $j = 1, 2$

- need to estimate 2 intercepts, and 2 differences
- Note: this model is *saturated*

Gen Logit Example: Interpretation)

- Interpretation of parameters:

- e.g., set $j = 1$; intercept:

$$\beta_{01} = \log \left\{ \frac{P(Y_i = 1|F_i = 0)}{P(Y_i = 0|F_i = 0)} \right\}$$

- Q: Does this lead to an odds?

- set $j = 1$; difference:

$$\beta_{01} + \beta_{11} = \log \left\{ \frac{P(Y_i = 1|F_i = 1)}{P(Y_i = 0|F_i = 1)} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{11} &= \log \left\{ \frac{P(Y_i = 1|F_i = 1)}{P(Y_i = 0|F_i = 1)} \right\} \\ &\quad - \log \left\{ \frac{P(Y_i = 1|F_i = 0)}{P(Y_i = 0|F_i = 0)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\exp\{\beta_{11}\} = \frac{P(Y_i = 1|F_i = 1)}{P(Y_i = 0|F_i = 1)} / \frac{P(Y_i = 1|F_i = 0)}{P(Y_i = 0|F_i = 0)}$$

- Q: Is this an odds ratio?

Gen Logit Model: Example (cont'd)

- Estimated intercepts:

$$\hat{\beta}_{01} = \log \left(\frac{14/60}{24/60} \right) = -0.539$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{02} = \log \left(\frac{22}{24} \right) = -0.087$$

- Estimated differences:

$$\hat{\beta}_{01} + \hat{\beta}_{11} = \log \left(\frac{10/40}{21/40} \right) = -0.742$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{02} + \hat{\beta}_{12} = \log \left(\frac{9/40}{21/40} \right) = -0.847$$

- Estimated gender effects:

$$\exp\{\hat{\beta}_{11}\} = 0.81$$

$$\exp\{\hat{\beta}_{12}\} = 0.48$$

Ordinal data: Cumulative Logit Model

- Generalized logit model is applicable when there are > 2 response categories
 - applicable whether or not the response categories are ordered
 - does not exploit the ordering of categories
- Several other modeling options exist for ordinal responses
- Cumulative logit model:

$$\begin{aligned}\log \left\{ \frac{P(Y_i \leq j)}{P(Y_i > j)} \right\} &= \log \left\{ \frac{\pi_0 + \pi_1 + \cdots + \pi_j}{\pi_{j+1} + \cdots + \pi_J} \right\} \\ &= \gamma_{0j} + \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\gamma}_j\end{aligned}$$

for $j = 0, 1, \dots, (J - 1)$

- distinct intercepts and covariate parameters

Ordinal data: Proportional Odds Model

- Cumulative logit model: consider the following setting:
 - $(J + 1)$ response levels
 - \mathbf{x}_i : $q \times 1$ covariate
 - number of parameters: $(q + 1) \times J$
- Proportional odds model:
 - Assumes $\gamma = \gamma_0 = \gamma_1 = \cdots = \gamma_{J-1}$
 - fewer parameters to explain to investigator

$$\log \left\{ \frac{P(Y_i \leq j)}{P(Y_i > j)} \right\} = \gamma_{0j} + \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\gamma}$$

- Trade-off: PO model entails additional assumptions namely, *proportionality*

Ordinal data: Proportional Odds Model

- Proportionality assumption

- Odds:

$$odds(Y_i \leq j | \mathbf{x}_1) = \frac{P(Y_i \leq j | \mathbf{x}_1)}{P(Y_i > j | \mathbf{x}_1)} = \exp(\gamma_{0j}) \exp(\mathbf{x}_1^T \boldsymbol{\gamma})$$

- Odds ratio (independent of j):

$$\frac{odds(Y_i \leq j | \mathbf{x}_1)}{odds(Y_i \leq j | \mathbf{x}_2)} = \exp((\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2)^T \boldsymbol{\gamma})$$

- Number of parameters: $J + q$

Proportional Odds Model: Example

- Example: Consider a study of incomes of students after completing their degree. Information on the student (age, gender, GPA) and program of study (region, level of program) is captured by a covariate, \mathbf{x}_i . The response variate has three levels: low ($Y_i = 0$), medium ($Y_i = 1$) and high ($Y_i = 2$).

Prop Odds Model: Example (continued)

- Suppose the cut-point is $j = 0$:

$$\log \left\{ \frac{P(Y_i \leq 0)}{P(Y_i > 0)} \right\} = \gamma_{00} + \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{10} \quad (1)$$

which separates ‘low’ from ‘medium, high’

- Another choice could be $j = 1$:

$$\log \left\{ \frac{P(Y_i \leq 1)}{P(Y_i > 1)} \right\} = \gamma_{01} + \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{11} \quad (2)$$

which separates ‘high’ from ‘medium, low’

- A proportional odds model would assume that (1) and (2) hold, but with the constraint that $\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{10} = \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{11}$

Prop Odds Model: Example (continued)

- Consider a reduced model, for which $\mathbf{x}_i^T = [1, A_i, G_i]$, where A_i equals age (years) and G_i is an indicator for graduate degree (ref = undergraduate).
 - proportional odds model:

$$\log \left\{ \frac{P(Y_i \leq 0)}{P(Y_i > 0)} \right\} = \gamma_{00} + \gamma_A(A_i - 20) + \gamma_G G_i$$

$$\log \left\{ \frac{P(Y_i \leq 1)}{P(Y_i > 1)} \right\} = \gamma_{01} + \gamma_A(A_i - 20) + \gamma_G G_i$$

- Interpreting the parameters (e.g., $j = 0$):

$$e^{\gamma_{00}}:$$

$$e^{\gamma_G}:$$

Prop Odds Model: Example (cont'd)

- Based on proportional odds model:
 - can estimate odds through

$$\frac{P(Y_i \leq j)}{P(Y_i > j)} = e^{\gamma_{0j} + \mathbf{x}_i^T \gamma_1}$$

- probabilities:

$$P(Y_i \leq j) = \frac{e^{\gamma_{0j} + \mathbf{x}_i^T \gamma_1}}{1 + e^{\gamma_{0j} + \mathbf{x}_i^T \gamma_1}}$$

- cell probabilities:

$$P(Y_i = j) = P(Y_i \leq j) - P(Y_i \leq j - 1)$$

Test for Proportionality

- Compare the models with and without the proportionality assumption
 - H0: $\gamma = \gamma_0 = \gamma_1 = \dots = \gamma_{J-1}$
 - Full model: without the proportionality assumption ($j = 0, \dots, J - 1$)

$$\log \left\{ \frac{P(Y_i \leq j)}{P(Y_i > j)} \right\} = \gamma_{0j} + \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{1j}$$

- Reduced model: with the assumption

$$\log \left\{ \frac{P(Y_i \leq j)}{P(Y_i > j)} \right\} = \gamma_{0j} + \mathbf{x}_i^T \boldsymbol{\gamma}$$

- SAS carries out score test.
- Reference distribution: ...