

Linear Regression Model:

$$y = X\beta + \epsilon$$

y: n by 1 vector of phenotypes/outcome/responses

X: n by p matrix of covariates/explanatory variables

β : p by 1 vector of coefficients/regression parameters

ϵ : n by 1 vector of residual errors

Ordinary least squares (OLS)

To obtain OLS estimates, we minimize the sum of squared residuals (SSR); also called the error sum of squares (ESS) or residual sum of squares (RSS):

$$SSR = (y - X\beta)^T (y - X\beta) = y^T y - 2\beta^T X^T y + \beta^T X^T X \beta$$

To minimize this quantity, we simply take the first derivative

And set it to be zero:

$$\frac{\partial SSR}{\partial \beta} = 0 - 2X^T y + 2X^T X \beta = 0$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{OLS} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$$

When we make two assumptions: $E(\epsilon)=0$; $V(\epsilon)=\sigma^2 I_{n \times n}$

Then we can derive several key properties of $\hat{\beta}_{OLS}$:

1. Unbiased: $E(\hat{\beta}_{OLS}) = E((X^T X)^{-1} X^T y) = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T E(y) = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T X \beta = \beta$
2. $V(\hat{\beta}_{OLS}) = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T V(y) X (X^T X)^{-1} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \sigma^2 I X (X^T X)^{-1} = \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1}$
3. is the best linear unbiased estimator (BLUE):

$\hat{\beta}_{OLS}$ has the smallest variance among all unbiased estimators

that are linear functions of y (i.e. of the form Cy , where C is p by n matrix)

based on the Gauss-Markov theorem

4. asymptotically normal (by CTL):

$$\hat{\beta}_{OLS} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T (X\beta + \epsilon) = \beta + (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \epsilon$$

Prediction: with X_{new} , we obtain $\hat{y}_{new} = X_{new} \hat{\beta}_{OLS}$

With X , we can obtain $\hat{y} = X\hat{\beta}_{OLS} = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T y = Hy$

Here: $H = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T$ is an n by n matrix called the hat/projection matrix,

which projects to the column space of X

Properties of H :

1. H is idempotent: $HH=H$
2. $I-H$ is also idempotent: $(I-H)(I-H)=I-H$
3. $H(I-H)=0$
4. $HX=X$, $(I-H)X=0$ (X is invariant under H)

Estimate σ^2 :

$$\hat{\sigma}_{OLS}^2 = \frac{SSE}{n-p} = \frac{(y - \hat{y})^T (y - \hat{y})}{n-p} = \frac{(y - Hy)^T (y - Hy)}{n-p} = \frac{y^T (I - H)^T (I - H) y}{n-p} = \frac{y^T (I - H) y}{n-p}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{OLS}^2 = \frac{\epsilon^T (I - H) \epsilon}{n-p}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(\hat{\sigma}_{OLS}^2) &= E\left(\frac{\epsilon^T (I - H) \epsilon}{n-p}\right) = E\left(\frac{\text{trace}(\epsilon^T (I - H) \epsilon)}{n-p}\right) = E\left(\frac{\text{trace}((I - H) \epsilon \epsilon^T)}{n-p}\right) \\ &= \frac{\text{trace}((I - H) E(\epsilon \epsilon^T))}{n-p} = \frac{\text{trace}((I - H) \sigma^2)}{n-p} = \sigma^2 \frac{\text{trace}(I - H)}{n-p} = \sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

Maximum likelihood estimate (MLE)

Assumption: $\epsilon \sim MVN(0, \sigma^2 I_{n \times n})$

$$\text{Likelihood: } L(\beta, \sigma^2) = P(y|X, \beta, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y - X\beta)^T (y - X\beta)}$$

$$\text{Log-likelihood: } l = \log L(\beta, \sigma^2) = -\frac{n}{2} \log 2\pi\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y - X\beta)^T (y - X\beta)$$

To obtain MLE, we obtain first derivatives and set them to 0:

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \beta} = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (2X^T X \beta - 2X^T y) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{n}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2(\sigma^2)^2} (y - X\beta)^T (y - X\beta) = 0$$

$$\hat{\beta}_{MLE} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y \sim MVN(\beta, \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1})$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{MLE}^2 = \frac{(y - X\hat{\beta}_{MLE})^T (y - X\hat{\beta}_{MLE})}{n} \sim \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \chi_{n-p}^2$$

REML: restricted/residual maximum likelihood

One approach to derive REML estimator is to integrate out β

$$\begin{aligned} L_r &= \int_{\beta} L(\beta, \sigma^2) d\beta = \int_{\beta} \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(y-X\beta)^T(y-X\beta)} d\beta = \int_{\beta} \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\beta^T X^T X \beta - 2\beta^T X^T y + y^T y)} d\beta \\ &= \int_{\beta} \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\{(\beta - \hat{\beta})^T X^T X (\beta - \hat{\beta}) + y^T y - \hat{\beta}^T X^T X \hat{\beta}\}} d\beta \quad (\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} (2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} |X^T X|^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\{y^T y - \hat{\beta}^T X^T X \hat{\beta}\}} \\ &= |X^T X|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{n-p}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\{y^T y - \hat{\beta}^T X^T X \hat{\beta}\}} = |X^T X|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{n-p}{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\{y^T (I-H)y\}} \end{aligned}$$

To obtain REML estimate for σ^2 , we can take the first derivative on the $\log(L_r)$ and we will set derivative to be zero

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \log L_r}{\partial \sigma^2} &= -\frac{n-p}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{y^T (I-H)y}{2(\sigma^2)^2} = 0 \\ \hat{\sigma}_{REML}^2 &= \frac{y^T (I-H)y}{n-p} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $E(\hat{\sigma}_{REML}^2) = \sigma^2$.

For β estimation, we simply plug in the $\hat{\sigma}_{REML}^2$, and obtain $\hat{\beta} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T y$

Hypothesis Test: $H_0: \theta = \theta_0, H_1: \theta \neq \theta_0$. Here, $\theta = L\beta$ is an r by 1 vector of transformed parameters (a.k.a. secondary parameters). θ is defined by L , which is called the contrast matrix. θ_0 is usually a vector of zeros.

Wald Test

If σ^2 is known

$$\hat{\beta} \sim MVN(\beta, \sigma^2 (X^T X)^{-1})$$

Therefore, $\hat{\theta} = L\hat{\beta} \sim MVN(L\beta, \sigma^2 L(X^T X)^{-1} L^T)$

To test $H_0: \theta = 0$, we just need to compute the following Wald statistic that follows exactly a chi-square distribution: $W^2 = (L\hat{\beta})^T (\sigma^2 L(X^T X)^{-1} L^T)^{-1} (L\hat{\beta}) \sim \chi_r^2$

If σ^2 is not known, to test $H_0: \theta = 0$, we just need to compute the following Wald statistic that follows asymptotically a chi-square distribution: $W^2 = (L\hat{\beta})^T (\hat{\sigma}^2 L(X^T X)^{-1} L^T)^{-1} (L\hat{\beta}) \sim \chi_r^2$

Likelihood Ratio Test

$$G^2 = 2(\log(L_{full}) - \log(L_{null}))$$

L_{full} is the maximized likelihood under unrestricted model

L_{null} is the maximized likelihood under H_0

$G^2 \sim \chi_r^2$ asymptotically

Score Test

Define the score function: $U(\theta) = \frac{\partial \log L}{\partial \theta}$, which is an r-vector

And information matrix: $I(\theta) = E(-\frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \theta^2})$, which is an r by r matrix

$S = U^T(\theta)I(\theta)^{-1}U(\theta)$ is the score statistics evaluated at θ_0 under the null, and $S \sim \chi_r^2$ asymptotically

All three tests are asymptotically equivalent

In linear models, Wald statistics \geq Likelihood ratio statistics \geq score statistics

The likelihood ratio test often times has the best small-sample properties