

Biostatistics 682: Applied Bayesian Inference

Lecture 5: More on single parameter models

Jian Kang

Department of Biostatistics
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

Noninformative priors

- Let the data speak for themselves
- How to guarantee to prior distributions to play a minimal role in the posterior distribution?
- Flat or diffuse improper priors that lead to proper posterior distributions
 - $y \sim N(\mu, 1)$ with $\pi(\mu) \propto 1$
 - $y \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ with $\pi(\sigma^2) \propto 1/\sigma^2$
- Other approaches?
 - Jeffrey's prior
 - Prior for location/scale parameters
 - Weakly informative priors

Jeffrey's invariance principle

- One approach is based on Jeffrey's invariance principle
- Consider one-to-one transformations of the parameter $\phi = h(\theta)$.
- By transformation of variables, the prior density $\pi(\theta)$ is equivalent, in terms of expressing the same beliefs, to the following prior density on ϕ :

$$\pi(\phi) = \pi(\theta)|h'(\theta)|^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

- The Jeffrey's general principle:
Any rule for determining the prior density $\pi(\theta)$ should yield an equivalent result if applied to the transformed parameter $\phi = h(\theta)$ for any one-to-one transformation h . That is,
 - According the rule, determine $\pi(\theta)$ using $\pi(y | \theta)$.
 - According the rule, determine $\pi(\phi)$ using $\pi(y | \phi)$.
 - (1) should be satisfied.

The Jeffrey's Prior

- The Jeffrey's prior is given by

$$\pi(\theta) \propto \{I(\theta)\}^{1/2},$$

where $I(\theta)$ is the Fisher information for θ :

$$I(\theta) = E \left[\left\{ \frac{d \log \pi(y | \theta)}{d\theta} \right\}^2 \middle| \theta \right] = -E \left\{ \frac{d^2 \log \pi(y | \theta)}{d\theta^2} \middle| \theta \right\}$$

- Can we verify the Jeffrey's principle?

Since Jeffrey's prior for ϕ is $\pi(\phi) \propto \{I(\phi)\}^{1/2}$. To verify the Jeffrey's principle, we need to show $\{I(\phi)\}^{1/2} \propto \{I(\theta)\}^{1/2} |h'(\theta)|^{-1}$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} I(\phi) &= E \left[\left\{ \frac{d \log \tilde{\pi}(y | \phi)}{d\phi} \right\}^2 \middle| \phi = h(\theta) \right] \\ &= E \left[\left\{ \frac{d \log \pi(y | \theta = h^{-1}(\phi))}{d\theta} \frac{d\theta}{d\phi} \right\}^2 \middle| \theta \right] = E \left[\left\{ \frac{d \log \pi(y | \theta)}{d\theta} \right\}^2 \middle| \theta \right] \left(\frac{d\theta}{d\phi} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\frac{d\theta}{d\phi} = \frac{1}{h'(\theta)}.$$

Example: Normal model

Suppose

$$y \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

- When σ^2 is known, the Jeffrey's prior for μ is

$$\pi(\mu) \propto \sqrt{I(\mu)} = \sqrt{E \left\{ \left(\frac{x - \mu}{\sigma^2} \right)^2 \right\}} \propto 1$$

It's an improper prior but leads to proper posterior.

- How about the Jeffrey's prior for μ^3 ? $\pi(\mu^3) \propto \frac{1}{\mu^2}$
- When μ is known, the Jeffrey's prior for σ^2 is

$$\pi(\sigma^2) \propto \sqrt{I(\sigma^2)} \propto \sqrt{E \left\{ \left(\frac{(x - \mu)^2 - \sigma^2}{\sigma^4} \right)^2 \right\}} \propto \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$$

- How about the Jeffrey's prior for $\log(\sigma^2)$? $\pi\{\log(\sigma^2)\} \propto 1$.

Example: Binomial model

Suppose

$$y \sim \text{Binomial}(n, \theta)$$

Then

$$I(\theta) = \frac{n}{\theta(1-\theta)}$$

- The Jeffrey's prior for θ is

$$\pi(\theta) \propto \theta^{-1/2}(1-\theta)^{-1/2}.$$

This is

$$\theta \sim \text{beta}(1/2, 1/2)$$

- **What is the prior for logit(θ)?** Let $\phi = \text{logit}(\theta)$. Then $\theta = 1/\{1 + \exp(-\phi)\}$ and $\frac{d\theta}{d\phi} = \exp(-\phi)/\{1 + \exp(-\phi)\}^2$

$$\pi(\phi) \propto \frac{\exp(-\phi/2)}{1 + \exp(-\phi)} \Rightarrow \pi\{\text{logit}(\theta)\} \propto \frac{\theta}{2}$$

- A function of observation and unknown parameters whose probability distribution does not depend on the unknown parameters.
- Different principles may give (slightly) different noninformative prior distributions.
- But for two cases – location parameters and scale parameters – all principles seem to agree.

Location Parameters

- If a density of y is such that $\pi(y - \theta | \theta)$ is a function that is free of θ and y , say, $f(u)$, where $u = y - \theta$, then $y - \theta$ is a pivotal quantity, and θ is called a location parameter.
- Noninformative prior distribution for θ should ensure that $y - \theta$ also a pivotal quantity (free of the unknown true parameter θ_0) under the posterior distribution of θ .

$$\pi(u | \theta) = \pi(u | y) = f(u).$$

- Note that

$$\pi(\theta | y) \propto \pi(\theta)\pi(y | \theta).$$

- Thus

$$\pi(\theta) \propto 1.$$

- Example: normal distribution, t -distribution, Laplace distribution

Scale Parameters

- If a density of y is such that $\pi(y/\theta \mid \theta)$ is a function that is free of θ and y , say, $g(u)$, where $u = y/\theta$, then y/θ is a pivotal quantity, and θ is called a scale parameter.
- Noninformative prior distribution for θ should ensure that y/θ also a pivotal quantity (free of the unknown true parameter θ_0) under the posterior distribution of θ .

$$\pi(u \mid \theta) = \pi(u \mid y) = g(u)$$

- Note that

$$\pi(y \mid \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} \pi(u \mid \theta)$$

$$\pi(\theta \mid y) = \frac{y}{\theta^2} \pi(u \mid y)$$

$$\pi(\theta \mid y) \propto \pi(y \mid \theta) \pi(\theta).$$

- Thus

$$\pi(\theta) \propto 1/\theta.$$

Difficulties with Noninformative Priors

- Searching for a prior distribution that is always vague seems misguided: If the likelihood is truly dominant in a given problem, then the choice among a range of relatively flat prior densities cannot matter.
- For many problems, there is no clear choice for a vague prior distribution, since a density that is flat or uniform in one parameterization will not be in another. For example, for normal model $y \sim N(\mu, 1)$, we can assume $\pi(\mu) \propto 1$, i.e., a uniform flat prior. How about $\pi\{\exp(\mu)\}$? still uniform?
- Noninformative priors are often useful when it does not seem to be worth the effort to quantify one's real prior knowledge as a probability distribution, as long as one is willing to perform the mathematical work to check that the posterior density is proper

Weakly Informative Priors

- Prior distribution is weakly informative if it is proper but is set up so that the information it does provide is intentionally weaker than whatever actual prior knowledge.
- Examples: $\mu \sim N(0, 10^6)$, $\sigma^2 \sim G^{-1}(0.001, 0.001)$.
- Principles for setting up the weakly informative priors.
- Start with some version of a noninformative prior distribution and then add enough information so that inferences are constrained to be reasonable
- Start with a strong, highly informative prior and broaden it to account for uncertainty in ones' prior beliefs and in the applicability of any historically based prior distribution to new data.