

1D wave equation with finite elements

INF5620

Fredrik E Pettersen

November 15, 2012

The purpose of this project is to derive and analyze a finite element method for the 1D wave equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = c^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \Leftrightarrow D_t D_t u = c^2 D_x D_x u$$

$$x \in [0, L], t \in (0, T]$$

with initial and boundary conditions

$$u(0, t) = U_0(t), u_x(L) = 0, u(x, 0) = I(0), u_t(x, 0) = V(x)$$

a)

If we now use a finite difference method on this equation we can formulate a series of spatial problems as follows

$$D_t D_t u = u_{tt} = \frac{u_j^{n+1} - 2u_j^n + u_j^{n-1}}{\Delta t^2}$$

$$\frac{u_j^{n+1} - 2u_j^n + u_j^{n-1}}{\Delta t^2} = c^2 u_{xx}^n$$

$$u_j^{n+1} = \Delta t^2 c^2 u_{xx}^n + 2u_j^n - u_j^{n-1}$$

where $n = 0, 1, \dots, N_x$

b)

Using the Galerkin method we can now transform the series of spatial problems to variational form. I have used the testfunction $v(x)$ which is part of the function space of P1 elements. Assume (for later) that

$$v(x) = \phi_i, (i = 0, 1, \dots, N) \text{ and } u^n \simeq \sum_{j=0}^{N_x} \phi_j c_j^n \quad (1)$$

We want the inner product $(R, v) = 0$ where $R = u_j^{n+1} - \Delta t^2 c^2 u_{xx}^n - 2u_j^n + u_j^{n-1} = 0$. This means that

$$(R, v) = (u_j^{n+1} - \Delta t^2 c^2 u_{xx}^n - 2u_j^n + u_j^{n-1}, v)$$

$$= \int_0^L (u_j^{n+1} - \Delta t^2 c^2 u_{xx}^n - 2u_j^n + u_j^{n-1}) \cdot v dx$$

$$= \int_0^L u_j^{n+1} v dx - 2 \int_0^L u_j^n v dx + \int_0^L u_j^{n-1} v dx - \underbrace{\Delta t^2 c^2 \int_0^L u_{xx}^n v dx}_{= \Delta t^2 c^2 \left([u_x v]_0^L - \int_0^L u_x^n v_x dx \right)}$$

$$= \int_0^L u_j^{n+1} v dx - 2 \int_0^L u_j^n v dx + \int_0^L u_j^{n-1} v dx - C^2 \int_0^L u_x^n v_x dx = 0$$

which leads us to the final variational form

$$(u^{n+1}, v) - 2(u^n, v) + (u^{n-1}, v) - C^2(u_x^n, v_x) = 0, \quad \forall v \in V$$

where

$$C^2 = -\Delta t^2 c^2 \quad (2)$$

c)

Using the approximation of u in (1) we can set up the variational form as a linear system

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^L u_j^{n+1} v dx - 2 \int_0^L u_j^n v dx + \int_0^L u_j^{n-1} v dx + \int_0^L u_x^n v_x dx = \\ & \sum_j^{N_x} \int_0^L (\phi_j \phi_i) c_j^{n+1} dx - 2 \sum_j^{N_x} \int_0^L (\phi_j \phi_i) c_j^n dx + \sum_j^{N_x} \int_0^L (\phi_j \phi_i) c_j^{n-1} dx - C^2 \sum_j^{N_x} \int_0^L (\phi_j' \phi_i') c_j^n dx \end{aligned}$$

If we now set $M_{ij} = \int_0^L (\phi_j \phi_i) dx$ and $K_{ij} = \int_0^L (\phi_j' \phi_i') dx$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_{ii} &= \int_{-1}^1 (\phi_i \phi_i) dx, & M_{ij} &= M_{ji} = \int_{-1}^1 (\phi_j \phi_i) dx \\ K_{ii} &= \int_{-1}^1 (\phi_i' \phi_i') dx, & K_{ij} &= K_{ji} = \int_{-1}^1 (\phi_j' \phi_i') dx \end{aligned}$$

Remember that for every M_{ii} and K_{ii} entry there are two possible function combinations because within each element there are defined two functions say $\phi_0 = \frac{1}{2}(1-x)$ and $\phi_1 = \frac{1}{2}(1+x)$. This means that for every diagonal entry we need to calculate the sum of two integrals (or just multiply by 2 since they are equal). The integrals become

$$\begin{aligned} M_{ii} &= \int_{-1}^1 (\phi_i \phi_i) dX = 2 \frac{h}{8} \int_{-1}^1 (1-X)^2 dX = 2 \frac{h}{8} \cdot \frac{8}{3} = \frac{2h}{3} \\ M_{ij} &= \int_{-1}^1 (\phi_i \phi_j) dX = \frac{h}{8} \int_{-1}^1 (1-X)(1+X) dX = \frac{h}{8} \cdot \frac{4}{3} = \frac{h}{6} \\ K_{ii} &= 2 \int_{-1}^1 \phi_i' \phi_i' dX = 2 \int_{-1}^1 \left(\frac{2}{h} \phi_i' \frac{2}{h} \phi_i' \right) \frac{h}{2} dX = 2 \frac{2}{h} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{-1}{2} \frac{-1}{2} dX = 2 \frac{1}{h} \\ K_{ij} &= \int_{-1}^1 \phi_i' \phi_j' dX = \frac{2}{h} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{-1}{2} \frac{1}{2} dX = \frac{-1}{h} \end{aligned}$$

This makes our matrices

$$M = \frac{h}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & & \dots & 0 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad K = \frac{1}{h} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & & \dots & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

And thus naming $\sum_{j=0}^{N_x} c_j^n = \mathbf{c}^n$ we arrive at a linear system to be solved for each timestep

$$M \mathbf{c}^{n+1} - 2M \mathbf{c}^n + M \mathbf{c}^{n-1} - C^2 K \mathbf{c}^n = 0 \quad (3)$$

d)

Say we now (for some well defined reason) would like to compare the i 'th equation in (3) with the numerical scheme

$$\left[D_t D_t \left(u + \frac{1}{6} D_x D_x \Delta x^2 u \right) = c^2 D_x D_x u \right]_i^n \quad (4)$$

All we need to do is to find the i 'th equation and do some algebra. The i 'th equation is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{h}{6} (c_{i+1}^{n+1} + 4c_i^{n+1} + c_{i-1}^{n+1}) - \frac{2h}{6} (c_{i+1}^n + 4c_i^n + c_{i-1}^n) + \frac{h}{6} (c_{i+1}^{n-1} + 4c_i^{n-1} + c_{i-1}^{n-1}) \\ &= \frac{-C^2}{h} (c_{i+1}^n - 2c_i^n + c_{i-1}^n) \\ & \frac{h}{6} (c_{i+1}^{n+1} - 2c_{i+1}^n + c_{i+1}^{n-1}) + \frac{2h}{3} (c_i^{n+1} - 2c_i^n + c_i^{n-1}) + \frac{h}{6} (c_{i-1}^{n+1} - 2c_{i-1}^n + c_{i-1}^{n-1}) \\ &= \frac{-C^2}{h} (c_{i+1}^n - 2c_i^n + c_{i-1}^n) \end{aligned}$$

Having done the calculation of (4) quite a few times now, I can easily recognize the left-hand-side of the animal above as the left-hand-side of (4) times h . If we simply divide by h and recognize C^2 from (2) we can set $h = \Delta x$ and arrive at the very scheme we were comparing with. This means that the finite element approach will use more points in the calculation of the next timestep than a normal finite difference scheme.

e)

Let us do some analysis on the finite difference scheme (4) we are actually using for each timestep. Say that the solution u is some sine-cosine combination represented by the complex exponential $u(x, t) = e^{i(kp\Delta x - \tilde{\omega}n\Delta t)}$. We start off by doing all the derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} D_t D_t u &= \frac{e^{ikp\Delta x}}{\Delta t^2} \left(e^{-i\tilde{\omega}(n+1)\Delta t} - 2e^{-i\tilde{\omega}n\Delta t} + e^{-i\tilde{\omega}(n-1)\Delta t} \right) \\ &= \frac{e^{ikp\Delta x}}{\Delta t^2} \left(-2e^{-i\tilde{\omega}n\Delta t} + e^{-i\tilde{\omega}n\Delta t} \left(\underbrace{e^{i\tilde{\omega}\Delta t} + e^{-i\tilde{\omega}\Delta t}}_{2-4\sin^2(\frac{\tilde{\omega}\Delta t}{2})} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{-4}{\Delta t^2} e^{i(kp\Delta x - \tilde{\omega}n\Delta t)} \sin^2 \left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}\Delta t}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_x D_x u &= \frac{e^{-in\tilde{\omega}\Delta t}}{\Delta x^2} \left(e^{ik(p+1)\Delta x} - 2e^{ikp\Delta x} + e^{ik(p-1)\Delta x} \right) \\ &= \frac{e^{-in\tilde{\omega}\Delta t}}{\Delta x^2} \left(-2e^{ikp\Delta x} + e^{ikp\Delta x} \left(\underbrace{e^{ik\Delta x} + e^{-ik\Delta x}}_{2-4\sin^2(\frac{k\Delta x}{2})} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{-4}{\Delta x^2} e^{i(kp\Delta x - \tilde{\omega}n\Delta t)} \sin^2 \left(\frac{k\Delta x}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and finally for the “cross” term we have already done the necessary calculations for the time derivative and we are left with

$$\begin{aligned} D_t D_t \left(\frac{\Delta x^2}{6} D_x D_x u \right) &= D_t D_t \left(\frac{-4}{6} e^{i(kp\Delta x - \tilde{\omega}n\Delta t)} \sin^2 \left(\frac{k\Delta x}{2} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{-4}{6} e^{ikp\Delta x} \sin^2 \left(\frac{k\Delta x}{2} \right) D_t D_t e^{-i\tilde{\omega}n\Delta t} \\ &= \frac{16}{6\Delta t^2} e^{i(kp\Delta x - \tilde{\omega}n\Delta t)} \sin^2 \left(\frac{k\Delta x}{2} \right) \sin^2 \left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}\Delta t}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

so if we insert all the derivatives in the scheme (4) we get the following animal

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{-4}{\Delta t^2} e^{i(kp\Delta x - \tilde{\omega}n\Delta t)} \sin^2\left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}\Delta t}{2}\right) + \frac{16}{6\Delta t^2} e^{i(kp\Delta x - \tilde{\omega}n\Delta t)} \sin^2\left(\frac{k\Delta x}{2}\right) \sin^2\left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}\Delta t}{2}\right) \\
& = c^2 \frac{-4}{\Delta x^2} e^{i(kp\Delta x - \tilde{\omega}n\Delta t)} \sin^2\left(\frac{k\Delta x}{2}\right) \\
& \sin^2\left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}\Delta t}{2}\right) - \frac{2}{3} \sin^2\left(\frac{k\Delta x}{2}\right) \sin^2\left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}\Delta t}{2}\right) = C^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{k\Delta x}{2}\right) \\
& \sin^2\left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}\Delta t}{2}\right) \left(1 - \sin^2\left(\frac{k\Delta x}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{3} \sin^2\left(\frac{k\Delta x}{2}\right)\right) = C^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{k\Delta x}{2}\right) \\
& C^2 = \underbrace{\sin^2\left(\frac{\tilde{\omega}\Delta t}{2}\right) \cot\left(\frac{k\Delta x}{2}\right)}_{\simeq 0} + \frac{1}{3} \sin^2\left(\frac{k\Delta x}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

The maximum value the sine term can be is 1 therefore

$$C^2 \leq \frac{1}{3} \implies C \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$$