- 1. ′
- 1. Which aspect of the UI is the least favourite? Why?
- 2. Are there any parts of the UI that are not self-explanatory to you as a user? Which parts? Why?
- 3. Is the interface missing important information you would like to see there? Which?
- 4. Please rate the overall style of the interface and describe your rating (++/+/0/-/--)
- 5. How much would you trust the information provided in the interface (++/+/0/-/--)? Why?/Why not?
- 6. How comfortable would you feel using ths interface to make decisions (++/+/0/---)? Why?/Why not? ++

1. Intro

question: Who predicts? The Application? Information on the overview does not fit to the task. Could be more fitting. Introduction is not motivating enough to go on. Maybe add links Linking main page?

2. Data exploration

feature explanations should be after the exploration task. Feature explanations should be below the data itself Hint that there are filtering options on the main page? Use same color for sideboard and features (both red?) explain the percentiles values better in the data exploration page change term "race" to "ethnicity" explain the "999"-filter remove unneeded filter options

3. model information maybe merge with model explanations page

4. model explanations:

MLP classifier histogram should be explained better. What does it show? MLP classification-report: explain values. What is better? Small or big values "Confusion matrix explained" – dropdown: explaining information should be presented in the same

→ equal format for all model attributes: Maybe introduce a "Information"-button for each presented result??

Fairness: maybe add some interpretations (textual) regarding the models fairness

selection of H1N1 vs. seasonal vaccine should done more separated. Maybe intended, maybe by colors (both??)