

Algorithms II Cheat Sheet

Tips

Apply an algorithm you know in a clever way, don't write a new algorithm.

Notation

$A \in [10] \equiv A \in [1..10]$
 $\{a, b, c\}$ is a set of vertices
 $G\{a, b, c\}$ is a graph

Big O

Notation	Intuitive meaning	Analogue
$f(n) \in O(g(n))$	f grows at most as fast as g	\leq
$f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$	f grows at least as fast as g	\geq
$f(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$	f at the same rate as g	$=$
$f(n) \in o(g(n))$	f grows strictly less fast than g	$<$
$f(n) \in \omega(g(n))$	f grows strictly faster than g	$>$

Notation	Formal definition
$f(n) \in O(g(n))$	$\exists C, n_0: \forall n \geq n_0: f(n) \leq C \cdot g(n)$
$f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$	$\exists c, n_0: \forall n \geq n_0: f(n) \geq c \cdot g(n)$
$f(n) \in \Theta(g(n))$	$\exists c, C, n_0: \forall n \geq n_0: c \cdot g(n) \leq f(n) \leq C \cdot g(n)$
$f(n) \in o(g(n))$	$\forall C: \exists n_0: \forall n \geq n_0: f(n) \leq C \cdot g(n)$
$f(n) \in \omega(g(n))$	$\forall c: \exists n_0: \forall n \geq n_0: f(n) \geq c \cdot g(n)$

Interval Scheduling

A **request** is a pair of integers (s, f) with $0 \leq s \leq f$.
 We call s the **start time** and f the **finish time**.

A set A of requests is **compatible** if for all distinct $(s, f), (s', f') \in A$, either $s' \geq f$ or $s \geq f'$ — that is, the requests' time intervals don't overlap.

Interval Scheduling Problem

Input: An array \mathcal{R} of n requests $(s_1, f_1), \dots, (s_n, f_n)$.

Desired Output: A compatible subset of \mathcal{R} of maximum possible size.

Algorithm: GREEDYSCHEDULE

Input: An array \mathcal{R} of n requests.

Output: A maximum compatible subset of \mathcal{R} .

```

1 begin
2   Sort  $\mathcal{R}$ 's entries so that  $\mathcal{R} \leftarrow [(s_1, f_1), \dots, (s_n, f_n)]$  where  $f_1 \leq \dots \leq f_n$ .
3   Initialise  $A \leftarrow []$ , lastf  $\leftarrow 0$ .
4   foreach  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  do
5     if  $s_i \geq \text{lastf}$  then
6       Append  $(s_i, f_i)$  to  $A$  and update lastf  $\leftarrow f_i$ .
7   Return  $A$ .
```

Complexity:

Step 2 takes $O(n \log n)$

Steps 3–6 all take $O(1)$ time and are executed at most n times.

$\therefore \text{totalrunningtime} = O(n \log n) + O(n)O(1) = O(n \log n)$.

Interval Scheduling

Formal GreedySchedule

$A^+ := \text{argmin} \{f : (s, f) \in R, A \cup \{(s, f)\} \text{ is compatible}\}$ for all $A \subseteq R$,

$A_0 := \emptyset, \quad A_{i+1} := A_i \cup \{A_i^+\}$

$t := \max\{i: A_i \text{ is defined}\}$

Interval Scheduling Proofs

Lemma: Greedy Schedule always outputs A_t

Proof: By induction form the following loop invariant. At the start of the i 'th iteration of 4-7:

- A is equal to $A_t \cap \{(s_1, f_1), \dots, (s_{i-1}, f_{i-1})\}$
- lastf is equal to the latest finish time of any request in A (or 0 if $A = []$)

Lemma: A_t is a compatible set

Proof: Instant by induction; A_0 is compatible, and if A_i is compatible then so is $A_{i+1} = A_i \cup A^+$ by the definition of A_i^+

Lemma: A_t is a maximum compatible subset of the Array R (look in pseudocode)

Proof:

Base case for $i = 1$: A_0^+ is the fastest finishing request in R by definition

Inductive step: Suppose A_i finishes faster than B_i .

Let B_i^+ be the $(i+1)$ 'st fastest-finishing element of B . Since A_i finishes faster than B_i , $A_i \cup \{B_i^+\}$ is compatible. Hence by definition, A_i^+ exists and finishes no later than B_i^+

Theorem: GreedySchedule outputs A_t , which is a maximum compatible set.

Proof: putting all of the above proofs together, we prove the theorem.

Graph Theory

Definitions:

Graph: $G = (V, E)$

Edge: $E = E(G)$ is a set of edges contained in $\{\{u, v\} : u, v \in V, u \neq v\}$

Vertex: $V = V(G)$ is a set of vertices

Subgraph: $H = (V_H, E_H)$ of G is a graph with $V_H \subseteq V$ and $E_H \subseteq E$

Induced Subgraph: is a subgraph if $E_H = \{e \in E : e \subseteq V_H\}$

Component: H of G is a maximal connected induced subgraph of G .

Degree: $d(v) = |N(v)|$

Neighbourhood: $N(v) = \{w \in V : \{v, w\} \in E\}$

Walk: sequence of vertices $v_0 \dots v_k$ such that $\{v_i, v_{i+1}\} \in E$ for all $i \leq k-1$

Length: the value of k (see above walk definition)

Euler Walk: a walk that contains every edge in G exactly once.

Isomorphism: two graphs are isomorphic if there is a bijection $f: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ such that $\{f(u), f(v)\} \in E_2$ if and only if $\{u, v\} \in E_1$

Path: is a walk in which no vertices repeat

Connected: A graph is connected if any two vertices are joined by a path

Digraph: is a pair $G = (V, E)$, V is a set of vertices and E is a set of edges contained in $\{(u, v) : u, v \in V, u \neq v\}$

Strongly connected: G is .. if for all $u, v \in V$, there is a path from u to v and a path from v to u .

Weakly connected:

In-Neighbourhood: $N^-(v) = \{u \in V(G) : (u, v) \in E(G)\}$

Out-Neighbourhood: $N^+(v) = \{w \in V(G) : (v, w) \in E(G)\}$

Cycle: is a walk $W = w_0 \dots w_k$ with $w_0 = w_k$ and $k \geq 3$, in which every vertex appears at most once except for w_0 and w_k (which appear twice)

Hamilton cycle: is a cycle containing every vertex in the graph

k-regular: a graph is .. if every vertex has degree k

Bijection:

Graph Theory

Theorem: If G has an Euler walk, then either:

- every vertex of G has even degree; or
- all but two vertices v_0 and v_k have even degree, and any Euler walk must have v_0 and v_k as endpoints

Theorem: let $G = (V, E)$ be a digraph with no isolated vertices, and let $u, v \in V$. Then G has an Euler walk from u to v if and only if G is weakly connected and either:

- $u = v$ and every vertex of G has equal in- and out-degrees; or
- $u \neq v, d^+(u) = d^-(u) + 1, d^-(v) = d^+(v) + 1$ and every other vertex of G has equal in- and out-degrees

Dirac's Theorem: Let $n \geq 3$. Then any n -vertex graph G with minimum degree at least $\frac{n}{2}$ has a Hamilton cycle.

Handshake lemma: For any graph

$G = (V, E), \sum_{v \in V} d(v) = 2|E|$

Proof: All edges contain two vertices, and each vertex v is in $d(v)$ edges. Count the number of vertex-edge pairs: Let $X = \{(v, e) \in V \times E : v \in e\}$. Then $|X| = 2|E|$ and $|X| = \sum_{v \in V} d(v)$, so we're done.

Directed Handshake lemma: For any graph

$G = (V, E), \sum_{v \in V} d^+(v) = \sum_{v \in V} d^-(v) = 2|E|$

Proof: TODO. Instead of counting vertex-edge pairs, we count tail-edge pairs. Each edge has one tail so $|X| = |E|$
