



Recruitment Stats and Facts

Interim Report
April 2017

Executive Summary

During the summer of 2016, 693 applications were submitted to the UKFPO's Eligibility Office in advance of the national application to the UK Foundation Programme. A total of 501 applicants were deemed eligible to apply for foundation programme places, 452 of which later submitted applications to the Foundation Programme (FP), a further 65 of which also applied to Academic Foundation Programmes (AFP).

In addition to the 501 applicants nominated by the UKFPO's Eligibility Office, 7,400 applicants were nominated by UK medical schools.

At the start of the allocation process, 7,742 applicants applied to the UK Foundation Programme. A total of 1,444 applicants also applied to AFP.

A total of 551 AFP posts were advertised in the Autumn of 2016, 98% of which were filled.

In March 2017, 6,984 (99%) applicants were allocated to the primary list. There was a reserve list of 25 applicants. During the primary list allocation, 94% of applicants were allocated to one of their top five preferences, with over 74% of applicants placed at their first preference foundation school. Over 82% of applicants allocated to the primary list were placed at one of their top two foundation schools.

A total of 6,942 applicants were matched to individual programmes at the beginning of April 2017.

A reserve list batch allocation was carried out shortly after primary list applicants were matched to programme. Following the reserve list batch allocation, there were 22 vacancies remaining across the UK Foundation Programme.

UK Foundation Programme 2017

1) Summary Information	5 – 7
a. Background / Introduction	5
b. Foundation Schools	5
c. Nominations	5
d. Eligibility Office	5
e. Academic Foundation Programme (AFP) 2017	6
f. Foundation Programme (FP) 2017	6
g. Local AFP Applications and FP Preferences	7
2) Foundation Programme (FP) 2017 Allocation Process	8 – 17
a. Applications to FP	8
b. Primary List Allocation	8
c. Reserve List	8
d. Special Circumstances	9
e. Person Specification Criteria	10
f. Additional Educational Achievements	10
g. Local Applications	11
h. FP Programme Preferences	12
i. Total Scores Comparison with FP 2016	13
j. Application Results by Medical School	14
k. Allocation Results by Foundation School	16
l. SJT Scores by Medical School	17
m. Match to Programme Results	18
n. Reserve List Batch Allocation	19
3) Academic Foundation Programme (AFP) 2017 Recruitment	20 – 25
a. Background / Introduction	20
b. Applications to AFP	20
c. Applications by Medical School	21
d. Local Applications	22
e. Ratios and Fill Rates by Academic Unit of Application (AUoA)	24
f. Offer Responses on Oriel	25
4) Eligibility Applications	26 – 28
a. Eligibility Applications	26
b. Clinical Assessment	26
c. Person Specification Criteria	26
d. Right to Work	27
e. Special Circumstances	27
f. Applications to FP and AFP	27
g. Eligibility Office Programme Preferences	28
5) Appendices	28 – 37
a. Appendix 1 – Nominations by UK Medical Schools	
b. Appendix 2 – Indicative Programmes from October 2016	
c. Appendix 3 – Competition Ratios	
d. Appendix 4 – Final FP Places at National Allocation in March 2017	
e. Appendix 5 – Pre-allocations to Individual Foundation Schools	
f. Appendix 6 – Supplementary SJT Information	

Tables Index

Table	Title	Page
1.1	AFP Fill Rates	6
1.2	Local AFP Applications and FP Preferences by Medical School	7
2.1	Applications to FP	8
2.2	Applicant profile comparison 2016 and 2017	8
2.3	Reserve List Applicants by Medical School	9
2.4	Special Circumstances Granted by Criterion	9
2.5	Applicant Attendance at SJT Sitings	10
2.6	Additional Educational Achievements	10
2.7	Local Applications by Medical School	11
2.7	FP Programme Preferences	12
2.8	FP Total Scores by Medical School	13
2.9	Application Results by Medical School	14
2.10	Preference Allocation Results Information by Medical School	15
2.11	FP Application Results by Foundation School	16
2.12	SJT scores by medical school (max. score = 50). SJT scores were scaled according to the distribution of EPM decile scores	17
2.13	Match to Programme Results by Foundation School	18
2.14	Reserve List Batch Allocations by Foundation School	19
3.1	AFP Applications	20
3.2	Number of Applications Submitted by Individual Applicants	20
3.3	Applications by Medical School	21
3.4	Local Applications by Medical School	22
3.5	Applications to AFP	23
3.6	Ratios and Fill Rates by AUoA	24
3.7	Applicant behaviour in response to offers made by each AUoA	25
4.1	Eligibility Office Applicant Profile by Medical School Category	26
4.2	Clinical Assessment Applicant Profile by Medical School Category	26
4.3	Special Circumstances Granted by Criterion for Eligibility Office Applicants	27
4.4	Eligibility Office Pre-Allocations by Foundation School	27
4.5	Eligibility Office Applicant Profile FP to AFP	28
4.6	Eligibility Office Applicant Profile for Applications to Two AUoAs	28
4.7	Eligibility Office Programme Preferences	28
Appendix 1	Nominations by UK Medical Schools	29
Appendix 2	Indicative Programmes from October 2016	30
Appendix 3	Comparison of Competition Ratios for 2016 and 2017	31
Appendix 4	Final FP Places at National Allocation in March 2017	32
Appendix 5	Pre-allocations to Individual Foundation Schools	33
Appendix 6	Supplementary SJT Information	34
a.1	Frequency of errors made in completing the OMR answer sheet	36
a.2	Type of errors made in completing the OMR answer sheet by medical school	37

1) Summary Information

Background / Introduction

In order to apply for the UK Foundation Programme, applicants must be nominated by a UK medical school or the UKFPO's Eligibility Office.

Nominated applicants were invited to register and submit an online application form(s) through the national application system; Oriel. All applicants were required to submit an application to the national foundation programme vacancy. Applicants then had the option to apply to a maximum of two academic foundation programme vacancies managed by individual Academic Units of Application (AUoAs).

In Oriel, the term vacancy is used in reference to the advert to which applicants are invited to apply and preference individual programmes. A single vacancy on Oriel holds available places at A/UoA level, group level and individual programme level. All allocation and recruitment processes are progressed within the vacancy. There were 16 vacancies published for 2017; 1 national FP vacancy and 15 AFP vacancies.

Foundation Schools

For 2017, there is a new foundation school in Health Education East of England. Essex, Bedfordshire and Hertfordshire (EBH) Foundation School has been established to manage repatriated programmes from London. This includes a number of academic programmes.

There is a total of 15 AUoAs and 21 Units of Application (UoAs) across the UK Foundation Programme with 23 Foundation Schools.

Nominations

At the start of the application process, 7,901 individuals were nominated and invited to apply for the 2017 Foundation Programme. A total of 7,400 students were nominated by UK medical schools and 501 applicants were deemed eligible through the eligibility application process and nominated by the UKFPO.

A total of 7,290 (99%) UK nominees and 452 (90%) eligibility office applicants applied to the UK Foundation Programme 2017. There were 159 (2%) applicants nominated who chose not to submit an application to FP; this number comprises 110 UK nominees and 49 eligibility office applicants.

Eligibility Office

Of the total 693 who applied to the Eligibility Office, 239 (34%) were/are students of non-EEA medical schools, 425 (61%) were/are students of EEA medical schools and 29 (4%) were students of UK medical schools who graduated prior to the 2nd August 2015.

Clinical Assessment

Applicants who graduated more than two years prior to the start of the FP / AFP 2017 were required to take the clinical assessment. There were 106 applicants who were required to undertake the clinical assessment, 84 of whom attended the assessment. A total of 66 (79%) applicants passed clinical assessment. 40 applicants were withdrawn on account of not having met the eligibility requirements for passing clinical assessment; 18 applicants on account of not having passed the assessment and a further 22 due to lack of attendance or for other reasons such a failure to pay the fee.

Right to Work

Applicants were required to provide evidence of their right to work in the UK. A total of 39 applicants were unable to demonstrate that they had the right to work by the deadline. These applicants were withdrawn from the process prior to the national allocation to FP.

Academic Foundation Programme (AFP) 2017

A total of 551 AFP posts were uploaded into Oriel at the start of the application process on behalf of the 15 AUoAs across the UK. A total of 2,169 applications were submitted to the Academic Foundation Programme by 1,444 individuals: 723 (50%) of applicants to AFP applied to two AUoAs (please refer to appendix 3 for details of how many applicants applied to each AUoA).

A total of 539 offers were accepted. 11 of the 15 AUoAs filled all of their posts with an overall fill rate of 98% across the UK. There were 12 posts that remained unfilled at the end of the process.

The table below provides the AFP fill rate for each cascade of offers.

Table 1.1: AFP Fill Rates

Offer Stage	Available Programmes	Offers Accepted	Fill Rate
Initial Offers	551	394	72%
First Cascade	157	112	20%
Second Cascade	45	26	5%
Third Cascade	19	6	1%
'Mop Up'	13	1	0%
Overall	12	539	98%

A total of 158 offers were declined across the cohort of applicants. A further 44 offers expired on Oriel, i.e. applicants failed to respond to their offer by the 48-hour deadline.

Foundation Programme (FP) 2017

In addition to the 551 AFP posts, there were 6,984 FP vacancies at the time of the national allocation in March 2017. Applicants were placed on the primary allocation list or the reserve list based on their total application score. At the time of the primary list allocation on Thursday 9th March 2017, 100% of places were filled. FP 2017 was oversubscribed by 26 applicants at this time.

Primary List

A total of 6,984 (99%) applicants were allocated to the primary list, 94% of which were allocated to one of their top five foundation schools. The cut off score for allocation to the primary list was 62. In line with previous years, 74% of applicants allocated to the primary list were allocated to their first preference, with 82% of applicants receiving one of their top two foundation schools.

Of the 6,984 applicants allocated to the primary list, 6,664 (95%) were nominated by UK medical schools and 320 (5%) applied through the eligibility process.

Reserve List

A total of 25 applicants were placed on the reserve list, including 21 UK students and 4 applicants who applied through the Eligibility Office.

Person Specification Criteria

A total of 42 applicants were withdrawn on account of not having met the minimum requirements of the Situational Judgement Test (SJT). Applicants were invited to attend a face-to-face review of their SJT outcomes, to which 27 applicants attended. 2 applicants were reinstated to the allocation process.

Special Circumstances

At the time of the national allocation to FP, total of 199 applicants were pre-allocated to a particular foundation school based on special circumstances, 198 of which were pre-allocated to foundation schools as part of the primary list allocation.

Local AFP Applications and FP Preferences

For AFP 2017, 57% of UK students who applied for an academic programme applied to the AUoA most local to their medical school for one of their AFP applications.

Regarding FP, 42% of UK students selected the foundation school associated with their medical school as first preference for their FP application, excluding applicants who accepted an AFP offer.

The table shows the percentage of applicants from each UK medical school who applied to their most local AUoA if they applied for an AFP and the percentage of applicants who selected the foundation school associated with their medical school as first preference for their FP applications. Those applicants who accepted an AFP offer are excluded from the percentage for FP applications.

Table: 1.2: Local AFP Applications and FP Preferences by Medical School

Medical School	Number Applied to Local AUoA	% Applied to Local AUoA	Number Preferred Home UoA	% Preferred Home UoA
Brighton and Sussex Medical School	20	74%	44	35%
Cardiff University	11	26%	156	53%
EEA Med School	N / A	N / A	N / A	N / A
Hull and York Medical School	22	67%	53	44%
Imperial College London	86	69%	89	25%
Keele University	8	36%	33	24%
King's College London	64	83%	151	38%
Newcastle University	28	60%	202	60%
Non-EEA/UK Med School	N / A	N / A	N / A	N / A
Norwich Medical School	30	53%	45	31%
Peninsula College of Medicine and Dentistry	12	41%	46	24%
Queen Mary University of London	45	66%	67	24%
Queen's University Belfast	9	69%	184	76%
St George's University of London	35	74%	95	32%
The University of Edinburgh	33	66%	136	58%
The University of Sheffield	36	69%	160	63%
The University of Warwick	8	25%	41	24%
UK Med School	N / A	N / A	N / A	N / A
University College London	53	76%	130	39%
University of Aberdeen	23	79%	149	79%
University of Birmingham	19	36%	86	25%
University of Bristol	13	30%	59	26%
University of Cambridge	17	25%	46	26%
University of Dundee	15	83%	140	77%
University of Glasgow	30	75%	215	78%
University of Lancaster	1	50%	20	44%
University of Leeds	15	45%	128	52%
University of Leicester	10	45%	44	25%
University of Liverpool	42	66%	157	57%
University of Manchester	56	70%	231	55%
University of Nottingham	17	55%	153	46%
University of Oxford	19	28%	26	17%
University of Southampton	8	27%	97	38%
University of Swansea	3	43%	42	61%
Totals	788	55%	3,225	42%

**Note: Each medical school is associated with a single 'local' foundation school for FP applications. For example, Keele University does not include those applicants from Keele University who selected other foundation schools in the West Midlands as their first preference.*

2) Foundation Programme (FP) 2017 Allocation Process

2a) Applications to FP

A total of 7,889 applicants were nominated to apply for the 2017 UK Foundation Programme. This number comprised of 7,405 nominations by UK medical school nominations. A further 484 applicants were deemed eligible to apply to the UK Foundation Programme by the Eligibility Office. A total of 7,742 applications were submitted to the national FP vacancy on Oriel.

The table below shows the position of the FP vacancy on Oriel at the close of the application period for the 2017 UK Foundation Programme.

Table 2.1: Applications to FP

Number of Applicants	7,742
Verification Required	5,247
Verification Not Required	2,495
Withdrawn Applicants	1
In Progress*	13
Total	7,756

**The number reported under the category of 'In Progress' denotes the number of incomplete applications pending, i.e. the applicants had started their applications and not submitted by the deadline.*

Table 2.2: Applicant profile comparison 2016 and 2017

	2016	2017
Nominated applicants*	7,909	7,889
Applications submitted	7,858	7,742
% enrolled who submitted applications	99%	98%

*Please refer to appendix 1 for the number of applicants nominated by UK medical school.

2b) Primary List Allocation

On Thursday 9th March 2017, 99% of applicants included in the national allocation run were allocated to the primary list. Of the total number of UK medical school graduates included in the final allocation run, 99% were allocated to the primary list.

The primary list comprised of 6,664 (95%) UK nominees, 212 (3%) applicants from EEA medical schools, 91 (1%) applicants from non-UK/EEA medical schools, and 17 (0.2%) UK graduates who qualified more than two years prior to the start of the programme, i.e. those who applied through the eligibility process.

A total of 492 applicants allocated to the primary list were linked; 246 linked pairs.

2c) Reserve List

At the time of the national allocation, 25 applicants were placed on the reserve list; 21 (84%) were nominated by UK medical schools, 1 (4%) applicant was from an EEA medical school and 3 (12%) applicants were from non-UK/EEA medical schools.

Reserve list applicants will be allocated to vacancies which arise due to applicant withdrawals and failed medical school finals. Reserve list allocations will be confirmed on Friday 8th April 2017, shortly after match to programme allocations have been finalised for primary list applicants on Thursday 6th April 2017.

The table below shows the number of applicants placed on the reserve list by medical school or medical school category for applicants who applied through the eligibility process.

Table: 2.3: Reserve List Applicants by Medical School

Medical School	Number of Applicants Placed on Reserve List
Cardiff University	1
EEA Med School	1
Keele University	2
King's College London	2
Non-EEA/UK Med School	3
Norwich Medical School	1
Peninsula College of Medicine and Dentistry	1
St George's University of London	1
The University of Warwick	1
University of Aberdeen	2
University of Dundee	1
University of Glasgow	1
University of Leeds	1
University of Liverpool	1
University of Manchester	1
University of Nottingham	1
University of Southampton	4
Total	25

Medical students from 15 of the 34 UK medical schools were placed on the reserve list.

As in previous years, foundation schools were notified of UK medical students from their linked medical schools by the UKFPO the day before allocations were released. Mechanisms were put in place for pastoral support for UK graduates placed on the reserve list to be provided by the medical schools.

3d) Special Circumstances

A total of 199 (3%) applicants approved for pre-allocation to a foundation on the grounds of special circumstances were included in the national allocation run, 198 of which were allocated to the primary list.

The following table shows the distribution of applicants approved for pre-allocation based on special circumstances across the four criteria.

Table 2.4: Special Circumstances Granted by Criterion

Criterion	Description	Number of Applicants Approved	Percentage of Applicants Approved
1	Parent / legal guardian of child under 18	126	63%
2	Primary carer for disabled person	17	9%
3	Medical condition requiring local treatment	48	24%
4	Educational needs	8	4%

A total of 116 applicants were pre-allocated to the foundation school linked to their medical school. There were 83 applicants approved for pre-allocation on the ground of special circumstances by an external panel outside of the home UoA. A total of 19 applicants who applied through the eligibility process were pre-allocated based on special circumstances during national allocation.

For pre-allocations based on special circumstances by foundation school please refer to appendix 5.

2e) Person Specification Criteria

A total of 7,713 applicants sat the SJT as part of the 2017 allocation process.

The table below shows the distribution of applicants at each of the SJT sittings.

Table 2.5: Applicant Attendance at SJT Sitings

SJT Date	Number of Applicants
December 2016	5,594
January 2017	2,114
Contingency Date	5
Total	7,713

A total of 41 applicants failed to attend the SJT and were subsequently withdrawn from the application process: 14 applicants from UK medical schools and 27 from medical schools outside of the UK.

In accordance with the process for managing exceptionally low SJT scores, 42 applicants were identified as having an SJT score of more than 3.5 standard deviations below the mean: 16 from UK medical schools and 26 from medical schools outside of the UK. 3 of the 42 applicants had already been withdrawn from the process for other reasons. The remaining 39 affected applicants were withdrawn from the process prior to the FP allocation for failing to meet the national person specification. For UK students, the heads of schools and pastoral care contacts at the medical schools were informed prior to the applicants being notified.

Applicants were invited to attend a face-to-face review of their SJT outcome on Thursday 2nd March 2017. A total of 27 applicants attended a review of their score. 1 applicant was unable to attend their review on Thursday 2nd March 2017 due to extenuating circumstances. This applicant attended a review on the contingency day on Wednesday 8th March 2017. Following the outcomes of the reviews, 2 applicants were reinstated to the application process ahead of the national allocation to FP on Thursday 9th March 2017.

2f) Additional Educational Achievements

The Educational Performance Measure (EPM) is a measure of clinical and non-clinical skills, knowledge and performance up to the point of application to the Foundation Programme. All applicants to the Foundation Programme, including the Academic Foundation Programme, will be awarded an EPM score.

The EPM score constitutes the decile score and up to a maximum of 7 points for additional achievements. Applicants can earn up to 5 points for additional degrees awarded by the time of application (prior to medical school or an intercalated degree). Applicants can earn a maximum of 2 points for educational research papers published in a peer-reviewed journal with a PubMed ID number (1 point per paper).

The table below shows the number of applicants who submitted evidence of additional educational achievements as part of their FP application for 2017 and the types of evidence included.

Table 2.6: Additional Educational Achievements

Number of applications requiring verification	5,247
Number of applications not requiring verification	2,495
Number of applicants who submitted evidence of an additional degree	4,134
Number of applicants who submitted evidence of one publication	109
Number of applicants who submitted evidence of two publications	25
Number of applicants who submitted evidence of an additional degree and one publication	624
Number of applicants who submitted evidence of an additional degree and two publications	355

Independent verifying panels considered the evidence submitted. A total of 216 scores were amended.

2g) Local Applications

The table below shows the number and percentage of applicants who preferred their local Unit of Application (UoA) first by medical school.

Table 2.7: Local Applications by Medical School

Medical School	Number Applied	Number who Preferred Home UoA	% who Preferred Home UoA
Brighton and Sussex Medical School	124	44	35%
Cardiff University	297	156	53%
EEA Med School	292	N / A	N / A
Hull and York Medical School	120	53	44%
Imperial College London	351	89	25%
Keele University	138	33	24%
King's College London	398	151	38%
Newcastle University	339	202	60%
Non-EEA/UK Med School	135	N / A	N / A
Norwich Medical School	144	45	31%
Peninsula College of Medicine and Dentistry	189	46	24%
Queen Mary University of London	285	67	24%
Queen's University Belfast	241	184	76%
St George's University of London	297	95	32%
The University of Edinburgh	235	136	58%
The University of Sheffield	256	160	63%
The University of Warwick	170	41	24%
UK Med School*	25	N / A	N / A
University College London	332	130	39%
University of Aberdeen	189	149	79%
University of Birmingham	349	86	25%
University of Bristol	226	59	26%
University of Cambridge	180	46	26%
University of Dundee	181	140	77%
University of Glasgow	275	215	78%
University of Lancaster	45	20	44%
University of Leeds	248	128	52%
University of Leicester	176	44	25%
University of Liverpool	276	157	57%
University of Manchester	420	231	55%
University of Nottingham	333	153	46%
University of Oxford	152	26	17%
University of Southampton	255	97	38%
University of Swansea	69	42	61%
Totals	7,742	3,225	42%

* UK Med School for UK graduates applying through the Eligibility Office.

2h) FP Programme Preferences

The table below shows the number of applicants who preferred each Unit of Application (UoA) as their first, second and third choice.

Table 2.8: FP Programme Preferences

Unit of Application (UoA)	Number of Applicants who Preferred UoA First	Number of Applicants who Preferred UoA Second	Number of Applicants who Preferred UoA Third
East Anglia	152	150	152
Essex, Bedfordshire & Hertfordshire (EBH)	88	166	213
Leicestershire, Northamptonshire & Rutland (LNR)	92	136	190
North Central Thames	629	953	578
North East Thames	316	451	699
North West London	724	632	533
North West of England	847	644	617
Northern	319	430	409
Northern Ireland	248	112	85
Peninsula	155	205	286
Scotland	865	331	233
Severn	412	563	494
South Thames	795	600	688
Oxford	259	351	529
Trent	237	240	266
Wales	288	182	208
Wessex	310	364	286
West Midlands Central	265	276	286
West Midlands North	92	204	186
West Midlands South	91	143	283
Yorkshire and Humber	558	606	433

2i) Total Scores Comparison with FP 2016

The table below shows the average, standard deviation, maximum and minimum total FP score (SJT + EPM) for applicants from each medical school.

Table 2.9: FP Total Scores by Medical School

Medical School	FP 2016: SJT + EPM (deciles) (Max. score: 100)				FP 2017: SJT + EPM (deciles) (Max. score: 100)			
	Ave.	Std. Dev.	Max.	Min.	Ave.	Std. Dev.	Max.	Min.
Brighton and Sussex Medical School	79.99	5.58	91.45	66.09	77.74	5.64	90.46	66.18
Cardiff University	80.09	6.01	92.77	65.01	78.44	5.22	89.25	64.23
EEA Med School	76.43	5.89	89.70	64.09	74.49	5.47	87.54	62.25
Hull and York Medical School	79.90	5.74	94.49	65.05	78.41	5.76	90.16	64.30
Imperial College London	81.48	5.55	95.40	66.98	78.66	5.23	90.92	62.37
Keele University	78.12	8.03	92.57	67.35	77.54	5.23	89.13	65.86
King's College London	82.01	5.56	95.22	66.66	78.51	5.48	90.83	63.91
Newcastle University	80.55	5.12	93.49	68.67	79.26	5.25	91.24	62.07
Non-EEA/UK Med School	74.12	5.08	88.04	64.22	73.30	5.04	85.73	62.00
Norwich Medical School	77.94	5.31	92.16	65.22	76.88	5.75	90.00	62.52
Peninsula College of Medicine and Dentistry	78.88	5.72	92.33	65.65	77.78	5.56	88.59	64.37
Queen Mary University of London	81.33	5.31	95.09	68.34	77.87	5.33	89.13	62.34
Queen's University Belfast	79.81	5.95	92.85	64.3	78.01	5.38	88.52	65.00
St George's University of London	80.36	6.06	94.33	64.42	77.82	5.26	88.59	62.75
The University of Edinburgh	81.11	6.06	94.96	64.30	79.13	4.61	89.65	67.42
The University of Sheffield	80.01	5.96	94.82	65.64	78.89	4.83	91.62	64.21
The University of Warwick	82.55	5.46	94.48	65.01	79.17	5.39	88.82	61.18
UK Med School	75.36	6.35	83.42	66.15	71.95	4.66	79.05	62.11
University College London	83.49	5.08	95.58	66.79	79.85	4.92	92.08	67.55
University of Aberdeen	78.76	6.21	91.21	65.61	77.59	5.38	87.37	64.44
University of Birmingham	80.03	5.81	92.63	64.02	78.50	5.02	90.18	63.19
University of Bristol	80.29	5.87	95.56	65.55	78.35	5.25	87.80	63.45
University of Cambridge	82.99	4.54	94.37	71.56	79.93	4.30	89.43	64.91
University of Dundee	80.40	5.34	93.08	64.64	78.01	5.68	89.55	62.80
University of Glasgow	79.68	6.12	95.15	65.54	78.20	5.31	88.06	63.59
University of Lancaster	77.39	5.45	86.60	66.31	78.06	4.94	85.47	66.86
University of Leeds	80.40	6.13	92.49	65.81	79.39	5.28	89.65	63.19
University of Leicester	80.19	5.27	92.44	66.14	78.34	4.97	90.52	64.58
University of Liverpool	78.83	5.99	92.46	64.18	77.21	5.57	89.65	61.15
University of Manchester	79.59	5.83	92.52	64.60	78.37	5.35	91.69	62.11
University of Nottingham	80.71	7.38	94.79	64.14	78.68	5.23	90.11	62.88
University of Oxford	83.28	5.12	95.50	70.27	79.73	4.60	89.43	69.74
University of Southampton	79.92	6.55	92.56	65.02	78.43	5.21	88.59	63.19
University of Swansea	81.96	5.16	93.35	68.17	78.39	4.82	90.48	68.98
Totals	80.31	5.96	95.58	64.02	77.97	5.20	89.10	64.05

*This table includes FP applicants not withdrawn at the time of the national allocation on 09 March 2017. Applicants who accepted an AFP offer earlier in the process are not included. *UK Med School used in FP 2016 & 2017 for UK graduates applying through the Eligibility Office.*

2j) Application Results by Medical School

The table below shows the number of final year medical students from each UK medical school who submitted an application, the number and percentage of FP applications (excluding those who had accepted an AFP offer) and the number and percentage of applicants allocated to the primary list.

Table 2.10: Application Results by Medical School

Medical School	Number Applied	Number Applied to FP (excl. AFP Offers)	Percentage of Total Applicants in FP Allocation	Allocated to Primary List	Percentage Allocated to Primary List
Brighton and Sussex Medical School	124	116	94%	116	100%
Cardiff University	297	282	95%	279	99%
EEA Med School	292	288	99%	212	74%
Hull and York Medical School	120	109	91%	109	100%
Imperial College London	351	314	89%	308	98%
Keele University	138	129	93%	127	98%
King's College London	398	376	94%	373	99%
Newcastle University	339	319	94%	318	100%
Non-EEA/UK Med School	135	133	99%	91	68%
Norwich Medical School	144	115	80%	113	98%
Peninsula College of Medicine and Dentistry	189	176	93%	174	99%
Queen Mary University of London	285	266	93%	264	99%
Queen's University Belfast	241	234	97%	233	100%
St George's University of London	297	281	95%	275	98%
The University of Edinburgh	235	210	89%	207	99%
The University of Sheffield	256	239	93%	233	97%
The University of Warwick	170	157	92%	155	99%
UK Med School*	25	24	96%	17	71%
University College London	332	305	92%	300	98%
University of Aberdeen	189	176	93%	172	98%
University of Birmingham	349	324	93%	322	99%
University of Bristol	226	211	93%	211	100%
University of Cambridge	180	149	83%	143	96%
University of Dundee	181	173	96%	171	99%
University of Glasgow	275	256	93%	254	99%
University of Lancaster	45	44	98%	40	91%
University of Leeds	248	233	94%	232	100%
University of Leicester	176	162	92%	161	99%
University of Liverpool	276	258	93%	255	99%
University of Manchester	420	390	93%	381	98%
University of Nottingham	333	320	96%	313	98%
University of Oxford	152	123	81%	121	98%
University of Southampton	255	243	95%	236	97%
University of Swansea	69	68	99%	68	100%
Totals	7,742	7,203	93%	6,984	97%

*This table includes FP applicants not withdrawn at the time of allocation on 09 March 2017. Applicants who accepted an AFP offer earlier in the process are not included. *UK Med School denotes those who graduated from UK medical schools who applied through the eligibility.*

The table below shows the number and percentage of applicants from each medical school allocated to their first preference, one of their top five preferences and those allocated to a preference lower than their top five choices.

Table 2.11: Preference Allocation Results Information by Medical School

Medical School	Number Allocated to First Preference	% Allocated to First Preference	Number Allocated to Top 5 Preference	Percentage Allocated to Top 5 Preference	Percentage Allocated Lower than Top 5 Preference
Brighton and Sussex Medical School	81	70%	108	93%	7%
Cardiff University	231	83%	267	96%	4%
EEA Med School	99	47%	169	80%	20%
Hull and York Medical School	85	78%	105	96%	4%
Imperial College London	192	62%	285	93%	7%
Keele University	103	81%	122	96%	4%
King's College London	229	61%	328	88%	12%
Newcastle University	271	85%	308	97%	3%
Non-EEA/UK Med School	36	40%	62	68%	32%
Norwich Medical School	78	69%	102	90%	10%
Peninsula College of Medicine and Dentistry	97	56%	146	84%	16%
Queen Mary University of London	147	56%	239	91%	9%
Queen's University Belfast	212	91%	225	97%	3%
St George's University of London	162	59%	240	87%	13%
The University of Edinburgh	182	88%	200	97%	3%
The University of Sheffield	205	88%	223	96%	4%
The University of Warwick	131	85%	152	98%	2%
UK Med School*	7	41%	15	88%	12%
University College London	186	62%	287	96%	4%
University of Aberdeen	148	86%	166	97%	3%
University of Birmingham	243	75%	311	97%	3%
University of Bristol	132	63%	190	90%	10%
University of Cambridge	116	81%	143	100%	0%
University of Dundee	149	87%	166	97%	3%
University of Glasgow	215	85%	244	96%	4%
University of Lancaster	32	80%	38	95%	5%
University of Leeds	190	82%	228	98%	2%
University of Leicester	138	86%	158	98%	2%
University of Liverpool	187	73%	238	93%	7%
University of Manchester	291	76%	363	95%	5%
University of Nottingham	266	85%	306	98%	2%
University of Oxford	86	71%	117	97%	3%
University of Southampton	180	76%	223	94%	6%
University of Swansea	64	94%	68	100%	0%
Totals	5,171	74%	6,542	94%	6%

*This table includes FP applicants not withdrawn at the time of allocation on 09 March 2017. Applicants who accepted an AFP offer earlier in the process are not included. *UK Med School denotes those who graduated from UK medical schools who applied through the eligibility.*

2k) Allocation Results by Foundation School

FP 2017 Allocation Results by Foundation School

The information below provides the number and percentage of applicants who selected each foundation school as their first preference, the fill rates, the number and percentage of applicants allocated to one of their top five preferences, the number and percentage of applicants allocated to their first preference and the lowest scores for allocated applicants. The lowest scores have been provided with the inclusion of applicants pre-allocated based on special circumstances and without. This table includes FP applicants not withdrawn at the time of the national allocation. Applicants who accepted an AFP offer earlier in the process are not included.

Table 2.12: FP Application Results by Foundation School

Unit of Application	Places	1st pref apps	% 1st pref apps	Non-1st pref spaces	Fill rate	No. allocated via spec circs	% allocated via spec circs	No. allocated to 1 st pref	No. allocated to top 5 prefs	% allocated to 1st pref	% allocated to top 5 prefs	Lowest pref allocated	Lowest allocated score (excl. s/c)	Lowest s/c allocated score
East Anglia	257	121	47%	136	100%	4	2%	118	184	46%	72%	11	69.80	69.25
EBH	304	75	25%	229	100%	4	1%	57	253	19%	83%	9	73.67	75.44
LNR	153	82	54%	71	100%	2	1%	81	121	53%	79%	10	69.77	83.69
North Central Thames	160	556	348%	-396	100%	14	9%	160	160	100%	100%	1	86.57	73.53
North East Thames	178	292	164%	-114	100%	9	5%	111	178	62%	100%	3	83.25	74.07
North West London	237	627	265%	-390	100%	15	6%	206	237	87%	100%	2	84.24	62.45
North West of England	786	778	99%	8	100%	16	2%	679	772	86%	98%	10	72.66	63.05
Northern	381	293	77%	88	100%	8	2%	289	344	76%	90%	19	65.68	69.21
Northern Ireland	240	239	100%	1	100%	12	5%	223	236	93%	98%	16	68.71	66.22
Oxford	215	212	99%	3	100%	5	2%	150	215	70%	100%	4	78.36	76.38
Peninsula	189	145	77%	44	100%	6	3%	130	181	69%	96%	9	72.94	75.75
Scotland	792	785	99%	7	100%	11	1%	740	778	93%	98%	11	70.74	70.53
Severn	261	384	147%	-123	100%	3	1%	251	261	96%	100%	3	80.66	79.51
South Thames	781	742	95%	39	100%	40	5%	500	781	64%	100%	5	78.10	64.58
Trent	294	220	75%	74	100%	7	2%	215	257	73%	87%	15	66.83	72.71
Wales	322	270	84%	52	100%	6	2%	258	306	80%	95%	13	68.65	71.00
Wessex	292	291	100%	1	100%	8	3%	219	281	75%	96%	7	76.22	65.24
West Midlands Central	176	230	131%	-54	100%	5	3%	144	171	82%	97%	7	76.41	66.18
West Midlands North	250	81	32%	169	100%	1	0%	79	147	32%	59%	21	62.00	83.80
West Midlands South	159	86	54%	73	100%	7	4%	85	134	53%	84%	13	69.04	74.46
Yorkshire and Humber	557	500	90%	57	100%	15	3%	476	545	85%	98%	12	69.79	63.71
Total / Overall	6,984	7,009	100%	-25	100%	198	3%	5,171	6,542	74%	94%	21	62.00	62.45

Key	Over-subscribed	Over-Subscribed by Less than 20%	Under-Subscribed	Under-Subscribed by Less than 20%
------------	-----------------	----------------------------------	------------------	-----------------------------------

2I) SJT Scores by Medical School (all applicants including those subsequently withdrawn, excluding military)

The table below shows the average (Ave), standard deviation (SD), maximum and minimum SJT scores achieved by ALL applicants by medical school.

Table 2.13: SJT scores by medical school (max. score = 50). SJT scores were scaled according to the distribution of EPM decile scores

Medical School	FP 2014: SJT Score (only)				FP 2015: SJT Score (only)				FP 2016: SJT Score (only)				FP 2017: SJT Score (only)*			
	Ave	SD	Max	Min	Ave	SD	Max	Min	Ave	SD	Max	Min	Ave	SD	Max	Min
Brighton and Sussex Medical School	38.90	4.06	48.45	25.65	39.39	3.25	45.96	29.36	39.57	4.08	46.39	31.09	39.34	3.71	47.456	29.089
Cardiff University	38.60	4.80	48.14	6.81	38.76	3.91	45.82	13.70	39.80	3.85	47.75	21.98	40.08	3.48	48.252	25.634
EEA Med School	33.26	7.79	44.54	0.00	34.00	5.60	43.39	1.87	34.06	4.33	47.11	0.00	33.50	9.25	45.774	0.000
Hull and York Medical School	38.46	3.94	47.37	29.47	37.62	3.33	45.28	28.14	39.15	5.21	46.89	26.11	40.16	3.78	47.162	29.316
Imperial College London	39.51	4.24	48.14	0.31	38.93	3.17	46.63	26.66	39.86	7.70	48.50	30.43	40.47	3.43	49.886	28.366
Keele University	38.60	4.89	49.07	12.50	37.93	4.01	45.42	22.61	39.23	5.43	45.34	28.71	39.06	3.60	47.205	26.714
King's College London	39.15	4.63	50.00	1.24	39.08	3.44	47.98	27.74	40.55	3.40	50.00	27.64	39.85	3.99	48.827	16.555
Newcastle University	39.51	3.60	49.38	29.01	38.65	3.78	50.00	16.87	40.25	8.28	49.08	23.05	40.90	3.41	48.242	27.068
Non-EEA/UK Med School	31.34	6.11	41.03	13.39	31.83	5.55	41.84	9.02	32.44	6.80	42.78	16.27	30.82	9.82	45.728	0.000
Norwich Medical School	37.30	3.77	44.75	25.93	37.74	4.17	46.09	22.61	38.71	8.83	47.87	31.22	38.78	4.54	48.002	10.211
Peninsula College of Medicine and Dentistry	38.27	3.98	47.53	23.15	38.58	3.60	46.36	28.01	39.62	7.36	46.33	26.80	39.47	3.86	48.252	25.850
Queen Mary, University of London	38.81	4.07	46.91	0.00	38.46	3.31	48.12	27.20	39.80	6.75	47.70	25.70	39.57	3.66	46.134	28.345
Queen's University Belfast	39.99	3.86	49.07	23.46	38.41	3.86	46.50	19.91	39.73	6.85	47.25	26.36	39.72	3.59	47.518	27.872
St George's, University of London	39.14	3.65	47.06	26.13	38.64	4.43	48.25	1.15	39.23	2.58	48.33	25.44	39.35	4.13	46.849	9.997
The University of Edinburgh	40.08	3.46	49.07	26.70	39.47	3.33	47.17	29.90	40.89	7.77	47.57	27.30	41.19	3.63	48.662	15.026
The University of Sheffield	39.06	3.78	46.60	20.37	39.18	3.42	47.71	28.28	39.99	3.75	48.03	28.59	40.78	3.43	49.620	30.212
The University of Warwick	39.47	3.45	46.76	31.48	38.93	4.01	47.31	19.24	40.58	7.49	49.47	24.07	41.11	3.63	47.635	26.209
UK Med School**					36.08	4.82	43.66	25.79	35.91	7.19	43.14	22.25	36.65	4.43	44.489	25.877
University College London	40.50	3.14	48.14	28.58	39.87	3.34	47.71	27.74	41.66	3.21	48.58	29.79	41.49	3.10	49.076	30.878
University of Aberdeen	38.31	4.16	45.98	19.60	38.23	4.18	46.09	12.22	39.06	2.86	47.22	28.94	39.07	4.33	46.444	24.071
University of Birmingham	39.07	3.92	49.30	26.92	39.53	3.11	46.90	28.40	39.95	4.16	47.49	23.58	40.24	3.22	47.713	29.186
University of Bristol	39.46	3.49	47.20	27.25	38.53	3.79	46.52	24.65	39.85	5.05	47.75	25.04	40.00	3.38	47.977	29.450
University of Cambridge	40.25	3.93	48.76	13.58	40.61	3.07	47.98	32.60	41.20	3.24	47.44	34.46	41.60	3.19	48.298	24.873
University of Dundee	39.36	3.39	47.06	29.78	39.01	3.56	46.09	28.55	39.46	2.86	47.35	26.50	39.54	3.90	47.549	25.745
University of Glasgow	38.93	3.82	47.22	26.08	38.56	3.31	48.25	25.17	39.59	4.36	49.15	26.92	39.77	3.70	48.017	26.142
University of Lancaster	39.24	3.62	46.60	28.70	38.35	2.92	44.20	30.03	38.44	4.62	44.60	30.31	38.42	5.00	45.471	12.002
University of Leeds	39.00	3.57	47.53	26.47	38.34	4.48	48.28	11.30	39.35	2.75	46.96	23.24	40.61	3.56	47.977	27.995
University of Leicester	38.33	4.47	48.30	16.05	38.38	3.58	45.82	23.96	39.86	4.35	47.02	26.70	40.31	3.26	47.518	29.578
University of Liverpool	38.52	4.13	47.68	19.91	37.43	4.20	46.90	23.42	38.65	3.57	47.28	14.24	38.64	3.89	47.440	24.747
University of Manchester	39.00	3.72	48.45	28.24	38.23	3.92	45.55	16.54	39.56	3.63	46.86	26.58	40.01	3.71	49.694	23.353
University of Nottingham	39.03	3.96	48.92	6.18	38.50	3.69	46.36	21.80	40.14	5.26	47.33	0.00	40.19	3.47	48.017	26.565
University of Oxford	41.03	3.21	49.38	31.48	40.88	2.75	48.39	30.17	41.72	5.65	47.80	33.11	41.53	2.94	48.943	33.799
University of Southampton	38.49	3.99	48.37	19.60	37.40	4.41	46.36	10.21	38.51	4.21	48.28	18.8	39.54	3.95	47.448	18.996
University of Swansea	38.31	3.89	45.52	29.47	38.93	3.44	46.77	28.14	39.63	3.74	47.54	22.37	39.56	3.59	47.475	32.167
Totals	38.95	4.25	50.00	0.00	38.48	4.02	50.00	1.15	39.59	4.04	50.00	0.00	39.45	4.05	49.886	0.000

* SJT scores recorded to three decimal places with effect from 2017, i.e. applicants applying in 2016. **UK Med School used in FP 2015, 2016 & 2017 for UK graduates applying through the Eligibility Office.

2m) Match to Programme Results

The table below shows the number of applicants matched to individual programmes by foundation school.

Table 2.14: Match to Programme Results by Foundation School

Unit of Application (UoA)	Number of FP Places	Number of Applicants Matched to Programme	Unfilled Places
East Anglia	257	253	4
Essex, Bedfordshire & Hertfordshire (EBH)	304	302	2
Leicestershire, Northamptonshire & Rutland (LNR)	153	153	0
North Central Thames	160	160	0
North East Thames	178	178	0
North West London	237	235	2
North West of England	786	782	4
Northern	381	378	3
Northern Ireland	240	239	1
Oxford	215	214	1
Peninsula	189	189	0
Scotland	792	787	5
Severn	261	260	1
South Thames	781	776	5
Trent	294	294	0
Wales	322	319	3
Wessex	292	289	3
West Midlands Central	176	175	1
West Midlands North	250	245	5
West Midlands South	159	159	0
Yorkshire and Humber	557	555	2
Totals	6,984	6,942	42

Figures cited in this table include applicants who had not withdrawn until after the release of match to programme results on 06 April 2017.

2n) Reserve List Batch Allocation

The table below shows the number of applicants allocated to each foundation school following the first reserve list batch allocation carried out on Friday 07 April 2017.

Table 2.14: Reserve List Batch Allocations by Foundation School

Unit of Application (UoA)	Unfilled Places after Match to Programme*	Number of Reserve List Allocations	Unfilled Places
East Anglia	4	0	4
Essex, Bedfordshire & Hertfordshire (EBH)	2	1	1
Leicestershire, Northamptonshire & Rutland (LNR)	0	0	0
North Central Thames	0	0	0
North East Thames	3	1	2
North West London	2	2	0
North West of England	4	4	0
Northern	3	1	2
Northern Ireland	3	1	2
Oxford	1	0	1
Peninsula	0	0	0
Scotland	5	4	1
Severn	1	0	1
South Thames	5	5	0
Trent	0	0	0
Wales	3	0	3
Wessex	3	3	0
West Midlands Central	1	1	0
West Midlands North	5	2	3
West Midlands South	0	0	0
Yorkshire and Humber	2	0	2
Totals	47	25	22

**The number of unfilled places after the release of match to programme results includes places that had arisen due to applicant withdrawals prior to the reserve list batch allocation on 07 April 2017, i.e. five withdrawals were carried out following the release of match to programme allocations on the 06 April 2017, and prior to the reserve list allocation on 07 April 2017.*

3) Academic Foundation Programme (AFP) 2017 Recruitment

3a) Background / Introduction

For 2017, there was a change in the business process for the academic selection process largely on account of the transition to the new online application system. In previous years' applicants completed one application form with the option to answer additional questions for AFP. For 2017, applicants were required to submit separate applications to each vacancy held within Oriel.

All applicants were required to submit an application to the national FP vacancy before submitting applications to AFP. Applicants could apply to a maximum of three vacancies for foundation; one for FP and two for AFP.

Each AUoA set up a vacancy on Oriel. The content of the vacancies was standardised. There were fifteen AUoA vacancies advertised in total. Applicants had the option to apply to a maximum of two AUoAs. Individual programmes were advertised as part of each AUoA vacancy. Applicants were invited to preference programmes using a drag and drop functionality as part of each AFP application.

In previous years, the AFP match ranking score comprised of the Total Educational Performance Measure (EPM) Score + AUoA Score. For 2017, the AFP match ranking score comprised of the EPM Decile Score + AUoA Score. The AUoA score was determined locally as in previous years.

Applicants were not asked to provide a Curriculum Vitae (CV) in support of AFP applications this year.

For 2017, Essex, Bedfordshire and Hertfordshire (EBH) has been established as a new Academic Foundation School with the inclusion of 14 academic programmes.

3b) Applications to AFP

A total of 551 AFP places were advertised across the UK for 2017; 461 in England, 9 in Northern Ireland, 60 in Scotland and 21 in Wales.

A total of 2,169 applications submitted across the 15 AUoA vacancies on Oriel, with 1,444 individuals submitted AFP applications, 723 (50%) of which applied to two AUoAs.

The table below shows a comparison of the number of applicants who applied to AFP and the total number of AFP applications submitted for 2016 and 2017.

Table 3.1: AFP Applications

Applications to AFP	2016	2017
Number of AFP applications submitted	2,252	2,169
Number of individuals who applied for AFP	1,490	1,444

The table below details the number and percentage of applicants who submitted one and two AFP applications for 2016 and 2017.

Table 3.2: Number of Applications Submitted by Individual Applicants

Applications to AFP	2016		2017	
Applicants who submitted one AFP application	728	49%	721	50%
Applicants who submitted two AFP applications	762	51%	723	50%

The number of applicants choosing to apply to two academic foundation schools remains relatively static at approximately fifty percent of the total number of AFP applicants.

3c) Applications by Medical School

The table below provides details of the number of applicants to AFP and the number and percentage of applicants who subsequently received and accepted offers by medical school.

Table 3.3: Applications by Medical School

Medical School	Number Applied for AFP	Number Offered AFP	% Offered AFP	Number Accepted Offer	% Accepted Offer
Brighton and Sussex Medical School	27	10	37%	8	80%
Cardiff University	43	21	49%	15	71%
EEA Med School	38	4	11%	4	100%
Hull and York Medical School	33	14	42%	11	79%
Imperial College London	124	53	43%	37	70%
Keele University	22	16	73%	9	56%
King's College London	77	33	43%	22	67%
Newcastle University	47	33	70%	20	61%
Non-EEA/UK Med School	21	4	19%	2	50%
Norwich Medical School	57	35	61%	29	83%
Peninsula College of Medicine and Dentistry	29	15	52%	13	87%
Queen Mary University of London	68	27	40%	19	70%
Queen's University Belfast	13	9	69%	7	78%
St George's University of London	47	22	47%	16	73%
The University of Edinburgh	50	29	58%	25	86%
The University of Sheffield	52	23	44%	17	74%
The University of Warwick	32	22	69%	13	59%
UK Med School*	5	1	20%	1	100%
University College London	70	39	56%	27	69%
University of Aberdeen	29	17	59%	13	76%
University of Birmingham	53	37	70%	25	68%
University of Bristol	44	23	52%	15	65%
University of Cambridge	68	41	60%	31	76%
University of Dundee	18	11	61%	8	73%
University of Glasgow	40	25	63%	19	76%
University of Lancaster	2	1	50%	1	100%
University of Leeds	33	19	58%	15	79%
University of Leicester	22	16	73%	14	88%
University of Liverpool	64	28	44%	18	64%
University of Manchester	80	42	53%	30	71%
University of Nottingham	31	19	61%	13	68%
University of Oxford	68	36	53%	29	81%
University of Southampton	30	16	53%	12	75%
University of Swansea	7	2	29%	1	50%
Totals	1,444	743	51%	539	73%

* UK Med School used for UK graduates applying through the Eligibility Office.

3d) Local Applications

The table below shows the number and percentage of UK nominees who applied their local AUoA by medical school.

Table 3.4: Local Applications by Medical School

Medical School	Number Applied for AFP	% Applied to AFP	Number Applied to Local AUoA	% AFP Applied to Local AUoA
Brighton and Sussex Medical School	27	22%	20	74%
Cardiff University	43	14%	11	26%
Hull and York Medical School	33	28%	22	67%
Imperial College London	124	35%	86	69%
Keele University	22	16%	8	36%
King's College London	77	19%	64	83%
Newcastle University	47	14%	28	60%
Norwich Medical School	57	40%	30	53%
Peninsula College of Medicine and Dentistry	29	15%	12	41%
Queen Mary University of London	68	24%	45	66%
Queen's University Belfast	13	5%	9	69%
St George's University of London	47	16%	35	74%
The University of Edinburgh	50	21%	33	66%
The University of Sheffield	52	20%	36	69%
The University of Warwick	32	19%	8	25%
University College London	70	21%	53	76%
University of Aberdeen	29	15%	23	79%
University of Birmingham	53	15%	19	36%
University of Bristol	44	19%	13	30%
University of Cambridge	68	38%	17	25%
University of Dundee	18	10%	15	83%
University of Glasgow	40	14%	30	75%
University of Lancaster	2	4%	1	50%
University of Leeds	33	13%	15	45%
University of Leicester	22	13%	10	45%
University of Liverpool	64	23%	42	66%
University of Manchester	80	19%	56	70%
University of Nottingham	31	9%	17	55%
University of Oxford	68	45%	19	28%
University of Southampton	30	12%	8	27%
University of Swansea	7	10%	3	43%
Totals	1,380	19%	788	57%

The table below shows the overall number and percentage of applicants to AFP and the details of applicants who applied to two AUoAs.

Table 3.5: Applications to AFP

Medical School	Number Applied for AFP	% Applied to AFP	Number Applied to Two AUoAs	% AFP Applicants Applied to Two AUoAs
Brighton and Sussex Medical School	27	22%	18	67%
Cardiff University	43	14%	22	51%
EEA Med School	38	9%	26	68%
Hull and York Medical School	33	28%	16	48%
Imperial College London	124	35%	50	40%
Keele University	22	16%	12	55%
King's College London	77	19%	39	51%
Newcastle University	47	14%	25	53%
Non-EEA/UK Med School	21	9%	13	62%
Norwich Medical School	57	40%	32	56%
Peninsula College of Medicine and Dentistry	29	15%	12	41%
Queen Mary University of London	68	24%	38	56%
Queen's University Belfast	13	5%	6	46%
St George's University of London	47	16%	27	57%
The University of Edinburgh	50	21%	21	42%
The University of Sheffield	52	20%	21	40%
The University of Warwick	32	19%	22	69%
UK Med School*	5	17%	3	60%
University College London	70	21%	31	44%
University of Aberdeen	29	15%	10	34%
University of Birmingham	53	15%	29	55%
University of Bristol	44	19%	23	52%
University of Cambridge	68	38%	34	50%
University of Dundee	18	10%	8	44%
University of Glasgow	40	14%	15	38%
University of Lancaster	2	4%	1	50%
University of Leeds	33	13%	17	52%
University of Leicester	22	13%	14	64%
University of Liverpool	64	23%	36	56%
University of Manchester	80	19%	36	45%
University of Nottingham	31	9%	16	52%
University of Oxford	68	45%	36	53%
University of Southampton	30	12%	12	40%
University of Swansea	7	10%	3	43%
Totals	1,444	19%	724	50%

* UK Med School used for UK graduates applying through the Eligibility Office.

3e) Ratios and Fill Rates by Academic Unit of Application (AUoA)

The online application forms for AFP are standardised with some local variation. AUoAs constructed the evidence and supporting sections of the application form. The evidence section invites applicants to provide details of additional achievements such as additional degrees, publications, presentations and prizes. Applicants may also be asked to complete a maximum of six white space questions as part of the supporting information section.

After completing the online application form(s), applicants followed local selection processes depending on which AUoA(s) they applied to. There was a single national offers date, followed by three cascade offers dates. After the third cascade, AUoAs were at liberty to contact applicants directly in attempt to fill any outstanding places; this is referred to as the 'mop-up' process.

There was the option for AFP programmes that were left unfilled to be converted to FP programmes for inclusion in the FP allocation process.

The table below shows the number of AFP vacancies, the number of applications received, the ratio of vacancies to application and the fill rate for each AUoA.

Table 3.6: Ratios and Fill Rates by AUoA

Academic Unit of Application (AUoA)	Number of Vacancies	Number of Applications	Vacancies: Applications Ratio	Fill Rate
East Anglia: Cambridge	24	99	8:33	100%
East Anglia: Norfolk and Norwich	12	44	3:11	100%
Essex, Bedfordshire & Hertfordshire (EBH)	14	36	7:18	100%
East Midlands	36	71	36:71	97%
London and South East	127	649	127:649	100%
North West of England	57	249	19:83	100%
Northern	21	56	3:8	90%
Northern Ireland	9	17	9:17	100%
Oxford	24	182	12:91	100%
Scotland	60	188	15:47	93%
South West	25	174	25:174	100%
Wales	21	52	21:52	76%
Wessex	13	57	13:57	100%
West Midlands	42	102	7:17	100%
Yorkshire and Humber	66	193	66:193	100%
Totals	551	2,169	51:269	98%

3f) Offer Responses on Oriel

Applicants were given a 48-hour window to respond to any AFP offers made. If an applicant failed to respond by the deadline, the offer would be automatically declined by the system, i.e. offer expired. Offers are automatically declined if an applicant accepts an offer from another AUoA.

The table below shows the total number of offers that were accepted, declined and expired throughout the first four offers rounds, excluding 'mop up' offers, which is a manual process.

Table 3.7: Applicant behaviour in response to offers made by each AUoA

Academic Unit of Application (AUoA)	Offers Declined	Offers Expired	Totals
East Anglia: Cambridge	6	0	6
East Anglia: Norfolk and Norwich	5	1	6
Essex, Bedfordshire & Hertfordshire (EBH)	6	2	8
East Midlands	7	3	10
London and South East	23	9	32
North West of England	17	7	24
Northern	10	0	10
Northern Ireland	1	0	1
Oxford	7	0	7
Scotland	19	7	26
South West	10	2	12
Wales	8	4	12
Wessex	2	0	2
West Midlands	15	6	21
Yorkshire and Humber	22	3	25
Totals	158	44	202

4) Eligibility Office Applications

4a) Eligibility Applications

Applicants were invited to apply through the eligibility application process during the summer of 2016 from 10th July to the 11th August 2016. A total of 693 applications were submitted through the online application system, 501 of which were deemed eligible to apply for FP.

The table below provides the profile of eligibility office applicants by medical school category.

Table 4.1: Eligibility Office Applicant Profile by Medical School Category

Medical School Category	Number of Applicants	% of Total Eligibility Office Applicants
EEA Med School	425	61%
Non-EEA/UK Med School	239	34%
UK Med School	29	4%
Total	693	

In the autumn of 2016, 452 (90%) eligibility office applicants submitted an application to the national FP vacancy, a further 65 of which also applied to AFP through local AUoA vacancies.

4b) Clinical Assessment

There were 106 applicants required to undertake the assessment as part of the 2017 eligibility process. The clinical assessment was delivered by the University Hospital of South Manchester at a cost of £850 per assessment. Each applicant paid the fee directly to the clinical assessment provider.

The table below shows the profile of applicants required to undertake the clinical assessment.

Table 4.2: Clinical Assessment Applicant Profile by Medical School Category

Medical School Category	Number of Applicants Required to Pass Clinical Assessment	Number of Applicants Who Sat the Clinical Assessment
EEA Med School	21	18
Non-EEA/UK Med School	60	45
UK Med School	24	21
Total	106	84

Of the 106 applicants required to pass the clinical assessment, 84 (79%) sat the assessment in Manchester. Of the 84 applicants who sat the clinical assessment, 66 (79%) passed, 18 (21%) applicants failed the assessment and 2 did not attend. There were 22 applicants who were withdrawn from the process for other reasons, namely on account of not paying the fee.

4c) Person Specification Criteria

A total of 407 eligibility office applicants sat the SJT as part of the FP 2017 allocation process; 225 in December 2016 and 181 in January 2017. 1 applicant took the SJT on the contingency date.

Of the 42 applicants identified as not having met the minimum requirements of the SJT, 26 (62%) had applied through the eligibility office, 18 (69%) of which attended a face-to-face review of their SJT outcome. There were 8 eligibility office applicants who attend the review via Skype. A total of 2 applicants were reinstated to the allocation process following the outcomes of the face-to-face reviews, 1 (50%) of which had applied through the eligibility process.

4d) Right to Work

A total of 39 applicants were unable to provide evidence of their right to work in the UK by the deadline. As the Foundation Programme was once again oversubscribed at the time of the national allocation to FP, these applications were not considered and the applicants were withdrawn from the allocation process.

4e) Special Circumstances

A total of 18 applicants who applied through the eligibility process were pre-allocated to the primary list based on special circumstances. This is 9% of the total number of applicants who were pre-allocated on the grounds of special circumstances.

The table below shows the distribution of pre-allocations on behalf of eligibility office applicants by each of the four criteria.

Table 4.3: Special Circumstances Granted by Criterion for Eligibility Office Applicants

Criterion	Description	Number of Applicants Approved
1	Parent / legal guardian of child under 18	15
2	Primary carer for disabled person	1
3	Medical condition requiring local treatment	1
4	Educational needs	1

The table below shows the number of applicants who applied through the eligibility process who were pre-allocated to a particular foundation school based on special circumstances during the primary list allocation.

Table 4.4: Eligibility Office Pre-Allocations by Foundation School

Unit of Application	Number of Applicants Pre-Allocated by the UKFPO's Eligibility Office
North Central Thames	1
North West London	2
North West of England	4
Northern Ireland	1
Peninsula	1
Scotland	1
South Thames	3
Wales	1
West Midlands Central	2
West Midlands South	1
Yorkshire and Humber	1
Totals	18

There was 1 applicant who had been approved for pre-allocation on the grounds of special circumstances by the UKFPO's Eligibility Office who was placed on the reserve list.

4f) Applications to FP and AFP

The table below shows the number of Eligibility Office applicants who applied to FP, the number of AFP applications submitted on behalf of Eligibility Office applicants, the number of Eligibility Office applicants who applied to AFP and the percentage of Eligibility Office applicants who applied to AFP under each of the three medical school categories.

Table 4.5: Eligibility Office Applicant Profile FP to AFP

Medical School Category	Total Number of Applicants to FP	Number of AFP Applications Submitted	Number of Applicants to AFP	% of Eligibility Office who Applicants Applied to AFP
EEA Med School	292	64	38	13%
Non-EEA/UK Med School	135	34	21	16%
UK Med School	25	8	5	20%
Totals	452	106	64	14%

There were 106 AFP applications submitted on behalf of 64 Eligibility Office applicants, 42 (66%) of which applied to two AUoA vacancies on Oriol.

The following table provides the applicant profile of eligibility office applicants who applied to two AUoAs.

Table 4.6: Eligibility Office Applicant Profile for Applications to Two AUoAs

Medical School	Number Applied to Two AUoAs	% AFP Applicants Applied to Two AUoAs
EEA Med School	26	68%
Non-EEA/UK Med School	13	62%
UK Med School	3	60%
Totals	42	66%

4g) Eligibility Office Programme Preferences

The table below shows the distribution of programme preference amongst Eligibility Office applicants.

Table 4.7: Eligibility Office Programme Preferences

Unit of Application (UoA)	Number of Eligibility Office Applicants who Preferred UoA First	% of Eligibility Office Applicants who Preferred UoA First
East Anglia	9	2%
Essex, Bedfordshire & Hertfordshire (EBH)	10	2%
Leicestershire, Northamptonshire & Rutland (LNR)	8	2%
North Central Thames	37	8%
North East Thames	14	3%
North West London	65	14%
North West of England	40	9%
Northern	11	2%
Northern Ireland	20	4%
Peninsula	7	2%
Scotland	41	9%
Severn	8	2%
South Thames	58	13%
Oxford	34	8%
Trent	6	1%
Wales	12	3%
Wessex	28	6%
West Midlands Central	19	4%
West Midlands North	10	2%
West Midlands South	4	1%
Yorkshire and Humber	11	2%
Totals	452	

APPENDIX 1 – UK Medical School Nominations

The table below shows the distribution of nominations from UK Medical Schools.

Medical School	Nominations
Aberdeen (University of), School of Medicine	194
Barts and The London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary, University of London	288
Birmingham (University of), School of Medicine	352
Brighton and Sussex Medical School	125
Bristol (University of), Faculty of Medicine	227
Cambridge (University of), School of Clinical Medicine	183
Cardiff University, School of Medicine	300
Dundee (University of), Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Nursing	186
Edinburgh (The University of), College of Medicine and Veterinary Medicine	237
Exeter (University of), Medical School	0
Glasgow (University of), College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences	279
Hull York Medical School	122
Imperial College School of Medicine, London	358
Keele University, School of Medicine	139
King's College London School of Medicine (Guy's, King's College and St Thomas' Hospital)	407
Lancaster University, Faculty of Health & Medicine	45
Leeds (University of), School of Medicine	251
Leicester (University of), Leicester Medical School	179
Liverpool (University of), Faculty of Health and Life Sciences	284
London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (Postgraduate Medical School)	0
Manchester (University of), Faculty of Medical and Human Sciences	419
Newcastle University Medical School	344
Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia	148
Nottingham (The University of), Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences	336
Oxford (University of), Medical Sciences Division	155
Plymouth University Peninsula Schools of Medicine and Dentistry	192
Queen's University Belfast, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences	242
Sheffield (The University of), School of Medicine	258
Southampton (University of), School of Medicine	260
St Andrews (University of), Faculty of Medical Sciences	0
St George's, University of London	305
Swansea University, School of Medicine	70
University College London, University College Medical School	344
Warwick (The University of), Warwick Medical School	171
Total	7,400

APPENDIX 2 – Indicative Programmes from October 2016

The table below shows the number of AFP and FP vacancies uploaded to Oriel at the start of the application process for 2017.

AUoA / UoA	AFP Places	FP Places	Total Programmes
East Anglia – Cambridge	24	261	297
East Anglia – Norfolk & Norwich	12		
EBH	14	307	321
LNR	36	153	495
Trent		306	
North Central Thames	127	164	1,492
North East Thames		180	
North West London		221	
South Thames		800	
North West of England	57	813	870
Northern	21	385	406
Northern Ireland	9	258	267
Oxford	24	219	243
Peninsula	25	189	479
Severn		265	
Scotland	60	789	849
Wales	21	318	339
Wessex	13	295	308
West Midlands Central	42	180	647
West Midlands North		257	
West Midlands South		168	
Yorkshire and Humber - NYEC	66	151	631
Yorkshire and Humber – SY		148	
Yorkshire and Humber – WY		266	
Totals	551	7,073	7,644

APPENDIX 3 – Competition Ratios

The table below shows a comparison in first choice preferences and competition ratios for 2016 and 2017 by Unit of Application (UoA).

Comparison of Competition Ratios for 2016 and 2017

Unit of Application (UoA)	Number of Programmes		First Choice Preference		Competition Ratio	
	2016	2017	2016	2017	2016	2017
East Anglia	274	257	178	121	0.65	0.47
Essex, Bedfordshire & Hertfordshire (EBH)	N / A	304	N / A	75	N / A	0.25
Leicestershire, Northamptonshire & Rutland (LNR)	151	153	114	82	0.75	0.54
Trent	304	294	215	220	0.71	0.75
North Central Thames	318	160	514	556	1.62	3.48
North East Thames	301	178	306	292	1.02	1.64
North West London	256	237	831	627	3.25	2.65
South Thames	796	781	844	742	1.06	0.95
North West of England	818	786	880	778	1.08	0.99
Northern	391	381	327	293	0.84	0.77
Northern Ireland	258	240	251	239	0.97	1.00
Oxford	219	215	324	212	1.48	0.99
Peninsula	189	189	185	145	0.98	0.77
Severn	263	261	405	384	1.54	1.47
Scotland	790	792	884	785	1.12	0.99
Wales	319	322	296	270	0.93	0.84
Wessex	295	292	297	291	1.01	1.00
West Midlands Central	186	176	294	230	1.58	1.31
West Midlands North	254	250	118	81	0.46	0.32
West Midlands South	162	159	84	86	0.52	0.54
Yorkshire and Humber	568	557	511	500	0.90	0.90

Figures cited are taken from the number of FP places at the time of the national allocation to foundation schools and the number of applicants remaining in the process, i.e. applicants who accepted an AFP offer earlier in the process are not included.

APPENDIX 4 – Final Programmes at the time of the National Allocation in March 2017

The table below shows the number of FP places at the time of the national allocation to FP in March 2017.

UoA	FP Places
East Anglia – Cambridge	257
East Anglia – Norfolk & Norwich	
EBH	304
LNR	153
Trent	294
North Central Thames	160
North East Thames	178
North West London	237
South Thames	781
North West of England	786
Northern	381
Northern Ireland	240
Oxford	215
Peninsula	189
Severn	261
Scotland	792
Wales	322
Wessex	292
West Midlands Central	176
West Midlands North	250
West Midlands South	159
Yorkshire and Humber - NYEC	150
Yorkshire and Humber – SY	144
Yorkshire and Humber – WY	263
Totals	6,984

APPENDX 5 – Pre-allocations to individual foundation schools

The table below details the number of applicants approved for pre-allocation to each of the foundation schools and the breakdown of applicants who qualified from a medical school from within the home UoA or another region.

Foundation School	Number of applicants Pre-allocated to the foundation school	Number of students allocated to the foundation school from within the home UoA	Number of external students allocated to the receiving foundation school by another foundation school
East Anglia	4	3	1
EBH	4	0	4
LNR	2	2	0
North Central Thames	14	1	13
North East Thames	9	4	5
North West London	15	3	12
North West of England	17	11	6
Northern	8	7	1
Northern Ireland	12	10	2
Peninsula	6	4	2
Scotland	11	10	1
Severn	3	0	3
South Thames	40	28	12
Oxford	5	2	3
Trent	7	4	3
Wales	6	4	2
Wessex	8	6	2
West Midlands Central	5	1	4
West Midlands North	1	1	0
West Midlands South	7	6	1
Yorkshire & Humber	15	9	6
Totals	199	116	83

APPENDIX 6 – Supplementary SJT Information

Errors made in completing the OMR answer sheet – SJT

The following section provides information around the errors made in completing the OMR answer sheet during the SJT. A correctly completed answer sheet includes 304 marks (5 per question in part one – 47 ranking questions – plus 3 per question in part Two – 23 multiple choice questions).

The majority (83%) of applicants completed the answer sheet in full and correctly, and of those applicants recording errors, 84% made only one or two errors. Proportionately more errors were made in Part One (86.8% of all errors, compared with 77% of the pencil marks on a correctly completed sheet) than in Part Two (13.2% of errors made compared with 23% of the pencil marks on a correctly completed sheet).

A replica answer sheet is provided alongside the practice SJT paper on the UKFPO website, and applicants are strongly advised to familiarise themselves with how to complete the answer sheet.

The SJT quality controls document sets out the process for checking ALL missing, faint, tied or ambiguous marks at the point of scanning, and the extensive quality checks throughout (all changes are agreed by a panel of two, and a sample of changes made checked by a third person). A sample of answer sheets from each school is checked in full, and the lowest scoring 5% plus a sample from across all scores are checked in full (c. 400 answer sheets).

Notes: -

- Errors in completing the answer sheet could be on live (60) or trial (10) items – only errors made in completing live questions could affect score.
- One error could be one mark missing in one question, it does not mean that an applicant scored 0 for the whole question, only for that part of the question.
- The most common type of error was tying an answer (either answering AACDE or tying the rank i.e. A as both 1st and 2nd rank).

Part One types of error:

Blank Part 1 – whole answer missing

Partial Part 1 – too few answers given but not a full missing answer

Multimark or tie Part 1 – either answering AACDE or tying the rank i.e. A as both 1st and 2nd rank

Blank Part 2 – whole answer missing

Partial Part 2 – too few answers given but not a full missing answer

Too many answers Part 2 – four or more answers selected in a rank 3 question

Examples of common errors made by applicants and the decisions made are included on the next page.

Examples of errors

<p>1 Most Least</p> <p>A 1 2 3 4 5</p> <p>B 1 2 3 4 5</p> <p>C 1 2 3 4 5</p> <p>D 1 2 3 4 5</p> <p>E 1 2 3 4 5</p>	<p>The applicant has ranked A twice. If there is no clear indication that they attempted to rub out one of the marks, this would be left as a multi mark.</p>
<p>2 Most Least</p> <p>A 1 2 3 4 5</p> <p>B 1 2 3 4 5</p> <p>C 1 2 3 4 5</p> <p>D 1 2 3 4 5</p> <p>E 1 2 3 4 5</p>	<p>The applicant has tied the first rank. If there is no clear indication that they attempted to rub out one of the marks, this would be left as a tied answer.</p>
<p>3 Most Least</p> <p>A 1 2 3 4 5</p> <p>B 1 2 3 4 5</p> <p>C 1 2 3 4 5</p> <p>D 1 2 3 4 5</p> <p>E 1 2 3 4 5</p>	<p>The applicant hasn't fully rubbed out their answer for B2, but it would be in the applicant's favour to record this as an answer rather than leave it blank. In this case, the scan log would be amended to mark B2 as an answer.</p>
<p>4 Most Least</p> <p>A 1 2 3 4 5</p> <p>B 1 2 3 4 5</p> <p>C 1 2 3 4 5</p> <p>D 1 2 3 4 5</p> <p>E 1 2 3 4 5</p>	<p>The scanner will pick up multi marks if an applicant fails to clearly rub out an answer. In this case, the applicant hasn't fully rubbed out B3, but it is clear that they intended to. This multi mark would be manually changed to a single answer.</p>

Table a.1: Frequency of errors made in completing the OMR answer sheet by medical school

Medical School	No. of Applicants	Applicants with No Errors – all Qs answered in full		Applicants with Errors in Completing the OMR (total)		Number of applicants		
						1-2 Qs with errors	3-4 Qs with errors	5+ Qs with errors
Brighton and Sussex Medical School	126	107	85%	19	15%	14	4	1
Cardiff University	298	247	83%	51	17%	46	5	0
Eligibility Office	407	298	73%	109	27%	80	12	17
Hull York Medical School	120	98	82%	22	18%	19	2	1
Imperial College London	349	297	85%	52	15%	47	5	0
Keele University	139	112	81%	27	19%	24	3	0
King's College London	400	328	82%	72	18%	59	10	3
Newcastle University	342	288	84%	54	16%	45	6	3
Norwich Medical School	145	109	75%	36	25%	32	1	3
Peninsula College of Medicine and Dentistry	189	157	83%	32	17%	26	6	0
Queen Mary, University of London	286	241	84%	45	16%	37	5	3
Queen's University Belfast	242	218	90%	24	10%	21	2	1
St George's, University of London	298	239	80%	59	20%	47	8	4
The University of Edinburgh	233	187	80%	46	20%	39	5	2
The University of Sheffield	253	205	81%	48	19%	42	5	1
The University of Warwick	169	144	85%	25	15%	24	1	0
University College London	334	294	88%	40	12%	36	3	1
University of Aberdeen	190	157	83%	33	17%	29	4	0
University of Birmingham	349	300	86%	49	14%	43	5	1
University of Bristol	226	199	88%	27	12%	24	3	0
University of Cambridge	178	159	89%	19	11%	17	2	0
University of Dundee	182	157	86%	25	14%	20	4	1
University of Glasgow	275	230	84%	45	16%	36	6	3
University of Lancaster	44	40	91%	4	9%	3	0	1
University of Leeds	249	207	83%	42	17%	36	4	2
University of Leicester	176	153	87%	23	13%	19	4	0
University of Liverpool	278	225	81%	53	19%	43	7	3
University of Manchester	421	355	84%	66	16%	54	8	4
University of Nottingham	334	278	83%	56	17%	49	6	1
University of Oxford	156	128	82%	28	18%	23	4	1
University of Southampton	256	219	86%	37	14%	34	3	0
University of Swansea	69	62	90%	7	10%	6	1	0
Totals	7,713	6,438	83%	1,275	17%	1,074	144	57

Table a.2: Type of errors made in completing the OMR answer sheet by medical school

Medical School	No. of Applicants	Blank Part 1	Partial Part 1	Multimark Part 1	Blank Part 2	Partial Part 2	Too Many Answers Part 2
Brighton and Sussex Medical School	126	0	10	9	2	2	1
Cardiff University	298	0	35	30	2	2	0
Eligibility Office	407	32	61	48	99	15	2
Hull York Medical School	120	5	18	18	0	2	0
Imperial College London	349	1	33	29	0	2	1
Keele University	139	0	19	16	2	3	0
King's College London	400	14	55	52	8	9	0
Newcastle University	342	2	31	27	2	10	0
Norwich Medical School	145	0	29	28	1	1	1
Peninsula College of Medicine and Dentistry	189	0	18	16	5	4	1
Queen Mary, University of London	286	0	34	32	5	2	1
Queen's University Belfast	242	0	16	17	5	1	0
St George's, University of London	298	8	53	36	24	6	0
The University of Edinburgh	233	7	31	29	4	5	1
The University of Sheffield	253	0	35	34	0	4	1
The University of Warwick	169	1	13	11	1	4	0
University College London	334	0	22	20	7	0	1
University of Aberdeen	190	0	15	15	1	0	0
University of Birmingham	349	1	30	29	7	8	0
University of Bristol	226	1	14	15	0	2	1
University of Cambridge	178	0	12	11	0	0	1
University of Dundee	182	7	11	9	7	2	0
University of Glasgow	275	0	39	33	3	2	1
University of Lancaster	44	0	11	11	0	0	0
University of Leeds	249	0	26	25	9	3	0
University of Leicester	176	0	18	18	0	0	0
University of Liverpool	278	3	37	31	2	2	2
University of Manchester	421	4	40	38	18	8	1
University of Nottingham	334	0	33	34	9	3	1
University of Oxford	156	0	19	17	4	0	0
University of Southampton	256	0	23	22	1	3	0
University of Swansea	69	0	5	4	1	0	0
Totals	7,713	86	846	764	229	105	17