Prob. 1	Prob. 2	Prob. 3

Problem 1.

Stack is capable of inserting and deleting at the end of a list but queue accepts elements from one end and elements are removed from the other end so they have quite different operational semantics. However, a queue can easily be simulated by two stacks, namely inbox, S_i and outbox, S_o .

We will use S_i for Insert-Queue and S_o for Delete-Queue operation. Therefore, we push the element into S_i if we want to insert a new element into queue. Delete operation contains two scenarios according to state of S_o . If it isn't empty, we pop its top element; otherwise, we transfer all elements from S_i to S_o respectively by popping from S_i and pushing into S_o . Then, we have the required element at the top of S_o so we just pop it.

For analysis, we define potential function: $\Phi = 2n_i$, where n_i is the number of elements in stack S_i . There is a multiplier 2 since when *outbox* is empty, we transfer elements from *inbox* by pop and push, where each one has a cost of 1 unit, totally 2. Then, we have the following amortized cost for each Insert-Queue operation:

actual
$$cost + \Delta \Phi = stack \ push + change \ of \ inbox = 1 + 2 < O(1)$$

For each Delete-Queue operation, we have to consider two cases. First, easier one, when S_o is not empty, the amortized cost is:

$$actual cost + \Delta \Phi = stack \ pop + change \ of \ inbox = 1 + 0 \le O(1)$$

When S_o is empty, the amortized cost is:

actual
$$cost + \Delta \Phi = n \operatorname{stack} \operatorname{pop} + (n-1) \operatorname{stack} \operatorname{push} + \operatorname{change} \operatorname{of inbox}$$

= $(2n_i - 1) + (0 - 2n_i) \le O(1)$

As a result, amortized cost of each Insert-Queue and Delete-Queue operations is constant.

Problem 2.

Problem 3.