
Cryptography and Network Security Principles and Practice yand Network Security

Seventh Edition by William Stallings



Chapter 4

Block Ciphers and the Data Encryption
Standard

Stream Cipher

Encrypts a digital data stream one bit or one byte at a time

Examples:

- Autokeyed Vigenère cipher
- Vernam cipher

In the ideal case, a one-time pad version of the Vernam cipher would be used, in which the keystream is as long as the plaintext bit stream

If the cryptographic keystream is random, then this cipher is unbreakable by any means other than acquiring the keystream

- Keystream must be provided to both users in advance via some independent and secure channel
- This introduces insurmountable logistical problems if the intended data traffic is very large

For practical reasons
the bit-stream
generator must be
implemented as an
algorithmic procedure
so that the
cryptographic bit
stream can be
produced by both

It must be computationally impractical to predict future portions of the bit stream based on previous portions of the bit stream

The two users need only share the generating key and each can produce the keystream

© 2017 Pearson Education, Inc., Hoboken, NJ. All rights reserved.


Block Cipher

A block of plaintext is treated as a whole and used to produce a ciphertext block of equal length


Typically a block size of 64 or 128 bits is used

As with a stream cipher, the two users share a symmetric encryption key

The majority of network-based symmetric cryptographic applications make use of block ciphers



(a) Stream Cipher Using Algorithmic Bit Stream Generator



(b) Block Cipher

Figure 4.1 Stream Cipher and Block Cipher




Figure 4.2 General n-bit-n-bit Block Substitution (shown with n=4)

Table 4.1

Encryption and Decryption Tables for Substitution Cipher of Figure 4.2

Plaintext	Ciphertext	
0000	1110	
0001	0100	
0010	1101	
0011	0001	
0100	0010	
0101	1111	
0110	1011	
0111	1000	
1000	0011	
1001	1010	
1010	0110	
1011	1100	
1100	0101	
1101	1001	
1110	0000	
1111	0111	

Ciphertext	Plaintext	
0000	1110	
0001	0011	
0010	0100	
0011	1000	
0100	0001	
0101	1100	
0110	1010	
0111	1111	
1000	0111	
1001	1101	
1010	1001	
1011	0110	
1100	1011	
1101	0010	
1110	0000	
1111	0101	

Feistel Cipher

 Feistel proposed the use of a cipher that alternates substitutions and permutations

Substitutio ns

 Each plaintext element or group of elements is uniquely replaced by a corresponding ciphertext element or group of elements

Permutatio

 No elements are added or deleted or replaced in the sequence, rather the order in which the elements appear in cthe requence is phanpeds at by

Claude Shannon to develop a product cipher that alternates confusion and diffusion functions

Is the structure used by many significant symmetric block ciphers currently in use

Diffusion and Confusion

- Terms introduced by Claude Shannon to capture the two basic building blocks for any cryptographic system
 - Shannon's concern was to thwart cryptanalysis based on statistical analysis

Diffusion

- The statistical structure of the plaintext is dissipated into long-range statistics of the ciphertext
- · This is achieved by having each plaintext digit affect the value of many ciphertext digits

Confusion

- Seeks to make the relationship between the statistics of the ciphertext and the value of the encryption key as complex as possible
- Even if the attacker can get some handle on the statistics of the ciphertext, the way in which the key was used to produce that ciphertext is so complex as to make it difficult to deduce the key




Figure 4.3 Feistel Encryption and Decryption (16 rounds)

Feistel Cipher Design Features

Block size

 Larger block sizes mean greater security but reduced encryption/decryption speed for a given algorithm

Key size

 Larger key size means greater security but may decrease encryption/decryption speeds

Number of rounds

- The essence of the Feistel cipher is that a single round offers inadequate security but that multiple rounds offer increasing security
- Subkey generation algorithm
 - Greater complexity in this algorithm should lead to greater difficulty of cryptanalysis

Round function F

- Greater complexity generally means greater resistance to cryptanalysis
- Fast software encryption/decryption
 - In many cases, encrypting is embedded in applications or utility functions in such a way as to preclude a hardware implementation; accordingly, the speed of execution of the algorithm becomes a concern

Ease of analysis

 If the algorithm can be concisely and clearly explained, it is easier to analyze that algorithm for cryptanalytic vulnerabilities and therefore develop a higher level of assurance as to its strength

Questions

• What is a product cipher?

•

• What is the difference between diffusion and confusion?

•

Questions

- Given that A is an n-bit string of bits
- What is
 - A EXOR A = ?
 - A EXOR 0 = ?
 - A EXOR 1 = ?

(where and 1 are n bit strings)

 If A is the 16-bit string F0E5 what is the value of A EXOR FFFF ?




Figure 4.3 Feistel Encryption and Decryption (16 rounds)

Feistel Example




Figure 4.4 Feistel Example

Data Encryption Standard (DES)

- Issued in 1977 by the National Bureau of Standards (now NIST) as Federal Information Processing Standard 46
- Was the most widely used encryption scheme until the introduction of the Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) in 2001
- Algorithm itself is referred to as the Data Encryption Algorithm (DEA)
 - Data are encrypted in 64-bit blocks using a 56-bit key
 - The algorithm transforms 64-bit input in a series of steps into a 64-bit output
 - The same steps, with the same key, are used to reverse the encryption




Figure 4.5 General Depiction of DES Encryption Algorithm

Table 4.2

DES Exampl

e

(Table can be found on page 114 in textbook)

Round	Ki	Li	Ri	
IP	IP		3cf03c0f	
1 1e030f03080d2930		3cf03c0f	bad22845	
2	0a31293432242318	bad22845	99e9b723	
3	23072318201d0c1d	99e9b723	0bae3b9e	
4	05261d3824311a20	0bae3b9e	42415649	
5	3325340136002c25	42415649	18b3fa41	
6	123a2d0d04262a1c	18b3fa41	9616fe23	
7	021f120b1c130611	9616fe23	67117cf2	
8	1c10372a2832002b	67117cf2	c11bfc09	
9	04292a380c341f03	c11bfc09	887fbc6c	
10	2703212607280403	887fbc6c	600f7e8b	
11 2826390c312615		600f7e8b	f596506e	
12	12 12071c241a0a0f08		738538b8	
13	300935393c0d100b	738538b8	c6a62c4e	
14	311e09231321182a	c6a62c4e	56b0bd75	
15	283d3e0227072528	56b0bd75	75e8fd8f	
16	16 2921080b13143025		25896490	
IP-1		da02ce3a	89ecac3b	

Note: DES subkeys are shown as eight 6-bit values in hex format

Round		δ	Round		δ
	02468aceeca86420	1	9	c11bfc09887fbc6c	32
	12468aceeca86420			99f911532eed7d94	
1	3cf03c0fbad22845	1	10	887fbc6c600f7e8b	34
	3cf03c0fbad32845			2eed7d94d0f23094	
2	bad2284599e9b723	5	11	600f7e8bf596506e	37
	bad3284539a9b7a3			d0f23094455da9c4	
3	99e9b7230bae3b9e	18	12	f596506e738538b8	31
	39a9b7a3171cb8b3			455da9c47f6e3cf3	
4	0bae3b9e42415649	34	13	738538b8c6a62c4e	29
	171cb8b3ccaca55e			7f6e3cf34bc1a8d9	
5	4241564918b3fa41	37	14	c6a62c4e56b0bd75	33
	ccaca55ed16c3653			4bc1a8d91e07d409	
6	18b3fa419616fe23	33	15	56b0bd7575e8fd8f	31
	d16c3653cf402c68			1e07d4091ce2e6dc	
7	9616fe2367117cf2	32	16	75e8fd8f25896490	32
	cf402c682b2cefbc			1ce2e6dc365e5f59	
8	67117cf2c11bfc09	33	IP -1	da02ce3a89ecac3b	32
	2b2cefbc99f91153			057cde97d7683f2a	

Table 4.3 Avalanche Effect in DES: Change in Plaintext

Round		δ		Round	
	02468aceeca86420	0		9	c11bfc09887fbc6c
	02468aceeca86420		ŽĒ.		548f1de471f64dfd
1	3cf03c0fbad22845	3		10	887fbc6c600f7e8b
	3cf03c0f9ad628c5				71f64dfd4279876c
2	bad2284599e9b723	11		11	600f7e8bf596506e
	9ad628c59939136b		3		4279876c399fdc0d
3	99e9b7230bae3b9e	25		12	f596506e738538b8
	9939136b768067b7				399fdc0d6d208dbb
4	0bae3b9e42415649	29		13	738538b8c6a62c4e
	768067b75a8807c5		P.		6d208dbbb9bdeeaa
5	4241564918b3fa41	26		14	c6a62c4e56b0bd75
	5a8807c5488dbe94				b9bdeeaad2c3a56f
6	18b3fa419616fe23	26		15	56b0bd7575e8fd8f
	488dbe94aba7fe53				d2c3a56f2765c1fb
7	9616fe2367117cf2	27		16	75e8fd8f25896490
	aba7fe53177d21e4				2765c1fb01263dc4
8	67117cf2c11bfc09	32	J.	IP -1	da02ce3a89ecac3b
	177d21e4548f1de4				ee92b50606b62b0b

δ

Table 4.4 Avalanche Effect in DES: Change in Key

lable 4.5

Average Time Required for Exhaustive Key Search

Key Size (bits)	Cipher	Number of Alternative Keys	Time required at 109 decryptions/sec	Time required at 10 ¹³ decryptions/sec
56	DES	2 ⁵⁶ =7.2 x 10 ¹⁶	2 ⁵⁵ ns = 1.125 years	1 hour
128	AES	$2^{128} = 3.4 \times 10^{38}$	2^{127} ns = 5.3 x 10^{21} years	5.3 x 10 ¹⁷ years
168	Triple DES	$2^{168} = 3.7 \times 10^{50}$	2^{167} ns = 5.8 x 10^{33} years	5.8 x 10 ²⁹ years
192	AES	$2^{192} = 6.3 \times 10^{57}$	$2^{191} \text{ ns} = 9.8 \times 10^{40} \text{ years}$	9.8 x 10 ³⁶ years
256	AES	$2^{256} = 1.2 \times 10^{77}$	2^{255} ns = 1.8 x 10^{60} years	1.8 x 10 ⁵⁶ years
26 characters (permutation)		$26! = 4 \times 10^{26}$	$2 \times 10^{26} \text{ ns} = 6.3 \times 10^9$ years	6.3 x 10 ⁶ years

Strength of DES

Timing attacks

- One in which information about the key or the plaintext is obtained by observing how long it takes a given implementation to perform decryptions on various ciphertexts
- Exploits the fact that an encryption or decryption algorithm often takes slightly different amounts of time on different inputs
- So far it appears unlikely that this technique will ever be successful against DES or more powerful symmetric ciphers such as triple DES and AES

Principles: Number of Rounds

The greater the number of rounds, the more difficult it is to perform cryptanalysis

In general, the criterion should be that the number of rounds is chosen so that known cryptanalytic efforts require greater effort than a simple bruteforce key search attack

If DES had 15 or fewer rounds, differential cryptanalysis would require less effort than a brute-force key search

Principles:

Design of Function F

- The heart of a Feistel block cipher is the function F
- The more nonlinear F, the more difficult any type of cryptanalysis will be
- The SAC and BIC criteria appear to strengthen the effectiveness of the confusion function

The algorithm should have good

avalanche

proberties avalanche criterion (SAC)

States that any output bit j of an S-box should change with probability 1/2 when any single input bit i is inverted for all i,

independenc e criterion (BIC)


States that
output bits j
and k should
change
independently
when any single
input bit i is
inverted for all
i, i, and k

Principles: Key Schedule Algorithm

- With any Feistel block cipher, the key is used to generate one subkey for each round
- In general, we would like to select subkeys to maximize the difficulty of deducing individual subkeys and the difficulty of working back to the main key
- It is suggested that, at a minimum, the key schedule should guarantee key/ciphertext Strict Avalanche Criterion and Bit Independence Criterion

Summary

- Traditional Block
 Cipher Structure
 - Stream ciphers
 - Block ciphers
 - Motivation for the Feistel cipher structure
 - Feistel cipher
- The Data Encryption Standard (DES)
 - Encryption
 - Decryption
 - Avalanche effect



- The strength of DES
 - Use of 56-bit keys
 - Nature of the DES algorithm
 - Timing attacks
- Block cipher design principles
 - Number of rounds
 - Design of function F
 - Key schedule algorithm