MATH520 Homework 3

Fernando

February 20, 2024

Exercise 10.1

Part 1: The algorithm is well defined

It is enough to prove that $d^{(i)T}Qd^{(i)} \neq 0$ for all i. Since $Q \succ 0$ it is enough to show that $d^{(i)} \neq 0$. We proceed by induction. For the base case we have: $d^{(0)} = p^{(0)}$ which is clearly not 0. For the induction step if we had:

$$0 = d^{(k+1)} = \left(p^{(k+1)} - \sum_{i=0}^{k} \frac{p^{(k+1)T}Qd^{(i)}}{d^{(i)T}Qd^{(i)}}d^{(i)}\right)$$

Then that implies

$$p^{(k+1)} = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{p^{(k+1)T}Qd^{(i)}}{d^{(i)T}Qd^{(i)}}d^{(i)},$$

or in other words $p^{(k+1)} \in \text{span}(d^{(0)}, \dots, d^{(k)})$, but notice that by construction $d^{(i)} \in \text{span}(p^{(0)}, \dots, p^{(i)})$, then $p^{(k+1)} \in \text{span}(p^{(0)}, \dots, p^{(k)})$ which is a contradiction!

conclusion: $d^{(k+1)} \neq 0$, and that finishes the proof.

Part 2: $d^{(0)}, \ldots, d^{(n-1)}$ are Q-conjugate

We can use induction over k. For k = 1 we have

$$d^{(1)} = p^{(1)} - \frac{p^{(1)T}Qd^{(0)}}{d^{(0)T}Qd^{(0)}}d^{(0)},$$

and then:

$$\begin{split} d^{(0)T}Qd^{(1)} &= d^{(0)T}Q\left(p^{(1)} - \frac{p^{(1)T}Qd^{(0)}}{d^{(0)T}Qd^{(0)}}d^{(0)}\right) \\ &= d^{(0)T}Qp^{(1)} - p^{(1)T}Qd^{(0)} \text{ (by I.H.)} \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

Now assuming the result is true for k we prove it for k+1. For $j=1,\ldots,k$ we have:

$$\begin{split} d^{(i)T}Qd^{(k+1)} &= d^{(j)T}Q\left(p^{(k+1)} - \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{p^{(k+1)T}Qd^{(i)}}{d^{(i)T}Qd^{(i)}}d^{(i)}\right) \\ &= d^{(j)T}Qp^{(k+1)} - p^{(k+1)T}Qd^{(j)} \text{ (by I.H.)} \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

Exercise 10.7

$$\begin{split} \phi(a) &= \frac{1}{2}(x_0 + Da)^T Q(x_0 + Da) - (x_0 + Da)^T b \\ &= \frac{1}{2}x_0^T Q x_0 + \frac{1}{2}x_0^T Q Da + \frac{1}{2}(Da)^T Q x_0 + \frac{1}{2}(Da)^T Q Da - x_0^T b - (Da)^T b \\ &= \frac{1}{2}a^T D^T Q x_0 + \frac{1}{2}a^T D^T Q Da - a^T D^T b + \frac{1}{2}x_0^T Q Da + \frac{1}{2}x_0^T Q x_0 - x_0^T b \\ &= \frac{1}{2}a^T D^T Q Da - a^T D^T b + \frac{1}{2}a^T D^T Q x_0 + \frac{1}{2}a^T D^T Q x_0 + \frac{1}{2}x_0^T Q x_0 - x_0^T b \\ &= \frac{1}{2}a^T D^T Q Da - a^T D^T b + a^T D^T Q x_0 + \frac{1}{2}x_0^T Q x_0 - x_0^T b \\ &= \frac{1}{2}a^T \tilde{Q}a^T + a^T \tilde{b} + \tilde{c} \end{split}$$

Where: $\tilde{Q} = D^T Q D$, $\tilde{b} = D^T Q x_0 - D^T b$, $\tilde{c} = \frac{1}{2} x_0^T Q x_0 - x_0^T b$.

Now we need to prove that \tilde{Q} is positive definite (notice that it is symmetric). For this if we take $x \in \mathbb{R}^r$ we get that

$$x^T D^T Q D x = (Dx)^T Q (Dx) \ge 0.$$

So \tilde{Q} is definitely positive semi-definite. For \tilde{Q} to be positive definite we need to ensure that $Dx \neq 0$. Which is true if $r \leq n$ but false if r > n (rank-nullity theorem).

Exercise 10.10

Part a

By comparing terms we get:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2\\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x - x^T \begin{bmatrix} 3\\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Part b

First attempt

$$\begin{split} g^{(0)} = & \nabla f([0,0]^T) = -[3,1]^T \\ d^{(0)} = & [3,1]^T \\ \alpha_0 = & -\frac{g^{(0)T}d^{(0)}}{d^{(0)T}Qd^{(0)}} = \frac{10}{58} \\ x^{(1)} = & \frac{10}{58} \cdot [3,1]^T = [30/58,10/58]^T \\ g^{(1)} = & \nabla f(x^{(1)}) = \frac{2}{29}[-1,3]^T \\ \beta_0 = & \frac{g^{(1)T}Qd^{(0)}}{d^{(0)T}Qd^{(0)}} = \frac{8/29}{58} \\ d^{(1)} = & -[-2/29,6/29]^T + \frac{8/29}{58} \cdot [3,1]^T \\ = & [70/841,-170/841]^T \\ \alpha_1 = & -\frac{g^{(1)T}d^{(1)}}{d^{(1)T}Qd^{(1)}} = \frac{-40/841}{200/24389} = \frac{29}{5} \\ x^{(2)} = & [30/58,10/58]^T - \frac{29}{5}[70/841,-170/841]^T \\ = & [1/29,39/29] \\ g^{(2)} = & [-4/29,12/29] \end{split}$$

There is a mistake in the calculation but I can't find it, this computation is too tedious.

Second attempt

We can solve it with the following python code:

```
import numpy as np
Q=np.array([[5,2],[2,1]])
def grad(vector):
    return np.matmul(Q,vector)-np.array([[3],[1]])

def cgm():
    xk=np.array([[0],[0]])
    gk=grad(xk)
    print(gk)
    dk=-1*gk
    tol=1e-15
    maxiter=100
```

```
for k in range (maxiter):
        print("iter" + str(k))
        ak=-1*(np.matmul(np.transpose(gk),dk))/
        (np.matmul(np.matmul(np.transpose(dk),Q),dk))
        print ("ak")
        print(ak)
        xk1=xk+ak*dk
        print("xk1")
        print(xk1)
        gk1=grad(xk1)
        print ("gk1")
        print(gk1)
        if (np.linalg.norm(gk1) < tol):
             print("Found it!")
             print(xk1)
             return
        bk=\
        (np.matmul(np.matmul(np.transpose(gk1),Q),dk))/\
        (np.matmul(np.matmul(np.transpose(dk),Q),dk))
        print ("bk")
        print(bk)
        dk1=-1*gk1+bk*dk
        print("dk1")
        print (dk1)
        # update stuff
        xk=xk1
        gk=gk1
        dk=dk1
cgm()
Which produces the following output:
[[-3]]
[-1]
i\,t\,e\,r\quad 0
[[0.17241379]]
[[0.51724138]
 [0.17241379]
gk1
[[-0.06896552]
 [0.20689655]
bk
[[0.00475624]]
dk1
[[0.08323424]
```

```
 \begin{array}{c} [-0.20214031]] \\ \text{iter 1} \\ \text{ak} \\ [[5.8]] \\ \text{xk1} \\ [[-1.]] \\ [-1.]] \\ \text{gk1} \\ [[8.8817842\,\text{e}\,\text{-}16]] \\ [4.4408921\,\text{e}\,\text{-}16]] \\ \text{Found it!} \\ [[-1.]] \\ [-1.]] \end{array}
```

So the vector is $[1,-1]^T$ which is found on the second iteration as expected.

Part c

 $\nabla f(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x - \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix},$

then

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Which coincides with the previous part.