

# Numerical methods for the Heston model

---

School of Applied Mathematics

A Thesis

Presented to the

Getulio Vargas Foundation

---

In Partial Fulfillment  
of the Requirements for the Degree  
M.Sc. of Applied Mathematics

---

Fernando O. Teixeira

September 08, 2017



Approved for the Division  
(Mathematics)

---

Hugo Alexander de la Cruz Cancino



# Acknowledgements

Any one who considers arithmetical methods of producing random digits is, of course, in a state of sin. - John von Neumann

You get pseudo-order when you seek order; you only get a measure of order and control when you embrace randomness. — Nassim Nicholas Taleb

# Table of Contents

<b>Chapter 1: Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Chapter 2: Literature Review</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1 Stochastic Calculus	3
2.1.1 The Stochastic differential equation - SDE	3
2.1.2 Brownian Motion	4
2.1.3 Itô's Integral	6
2.2 Black-Scholes Model	7
2.2.1 Basics	7
2.2.2 The model	9
2.2.3 Limitations	9
2.3 Stochastic Volatility models	10
2.3.1 Cox-Ingersoll-Ross model	11
2.3.2 Heston Model	11
2.3.3 Other Models	11
2.4 Numerical Methods	12
2.4.1 Convergence	12
2.4.2 Discretization	13
2.4.3 Stability	16
<b>Chapter 3: The Heston Model Implementation</b>	<b>19</b>
3.1 Characteristic Function	19
3.2 Euler Scheme - Full Truncation	20
3.3 Kahl-Jackel	20
3.4 Exact Algorithm	21
<b>Chapter 4: Results</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>Chapter 5: Conclusion</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>Appendix A: The First Appendix</b>	<b>27</b>
A.1 Black-Scholes proof	27
<b>References</b>	<b>29</b>





# List of Tables

2.1	Box calculus . . . . .	7
-----	------------------------	---



# List of Figures

2.1	A Wiener process trajectory path example . . . . .	5
2.2	A GBM trajectory path example . . . . .	8
2.3	Volatility Smile . . . . .	10
2.4	Analytical x Euler solutions . . . . .	14
2.5	Euler's stability whith different timesteps . . . . .	16



# Abstract

The preface pretty much says it all.

Second paragraph of abstract starts here.



# Dedication

You can have a dedication here if you wish.





# Chapter 1

## Introduction

The french mathematician Louis Bachelier was the trail-blazer that brought Brownian motion, previously restricted to the field of botanics where it was firstly observed, to the financial framework. He modeled the stock prices as a Brownian motion with drift. In 1973, Black and Scholes [2] designed a model based on the Geometric Brownian Motion to price options.

Options are derivatives that give their bearers the rights to buy or sell a specific asset in a future date and with a predetermined price. They are, by design, affected by small variations in the underlying assets' components, for example, the variance.

The Black-Scholes model was once the standard way of option pricing, but was replaced by more modern models that are now prevalent. One of the main drawbacks of the Black-Scholes model is the strong assumption that the stock returns' volatility is constant. Thus, the implied model's volatility results in a flat surface when plotted against the option's strike price and maturity. Real world implied volatility varies with the strike price and maturity, forming what is called the 'volatility smile'.

The Heston model is an extension of the Black-Scholes model that tackles this volatility issue replacing the constant volatility with a stochastic process. There are many models that stochastically model volatility, but the Heston has valuable characteristics such as presenting an analytical solution to the option pricing and also having a computationally simple implementation when compared to more sophisticated competitors.

This thesis is divided into five chapters, the first being this introduction. Following, we have a literature review that mainly addresses stochastic calculus, the Black-Scholes models and the Heston model. Thereafter we present the different Heston model known implementations and the implementation improvement we experiment. Chapter 4 brings the results of what was introduced in the previous chapter for different model calibrations.



# Chapter 2

## Literature Review

This chapter presents the concepts of stochastic calculus, from the historic conception of how it first arose through the basic principles and applications in finance. We address with more care the classical Black-Scholes model and its limitations and the Heston model. This model is also well known, it brings the concept of stochastic volatility in it, which brings its results closer to reality.

### 2.1 Stochastic Calculus

Stochastic calculus arises from stochastic processes and allows the creation of a theory of integration where both the integrand and integrator terms are stochastic processes. Stochastic calculus was created by the Japanese mathematician Kiyosi Itô <sup>1</sup> in the 1940s and 1950s and is used for modeling financial options and in another wide variety of fields [31]. In this chapter we present the historical contexts in which the tools and models are used, but our focus is introducing the concepts and notations that will be further used in our work.

#### 2.1.1 The Stochastic differential equation - SDE

At first, before introducing stochastic differential equation, it is helpful to start with ordinary differential equation. Let  $x(t) = x_t$  denote a population at time  $t$  so that the change in the population at time  $t$  is given by the following deterministic differential equation:

$$\begin{aligned} dx_t &= f(t, x_t)dt \\ x(0) &= x_0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>There is another important stochastic integral, called the *Stratonovich Integral* that unlike the Itô's integral, respects the conventional calculus chain rule. Also, the integral is evaluated at the interval's midpoint, instead of its left extreme. A Stratonovich integral can be expressed as an Itô integral and vice versa.

We now add a “noise” to this equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 dx_t &= \underbrace{f(t, x_t) dt}_{\text{drift}} + \underbrace{g(t, x_t) dW_t}_{\text{diffusion}} \\
 x(0) &= x_0
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

This “noise”  $dW_t$  is a *random* Wiener process time derivative (which will be clarified below) and  $x_0$  is our initial value.

The  $g(t, x_t)$  part of the SDE is often referred as a *diffusion process*, these usually have a continuous paths. Before moving on, we must carefully define what the term *random* means and the best way to begin doing so is to precisely define a probability space:

**Definition 2.1.1.** (Probability Space) A triple  $(\Omega, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{P})$  is called a *probability space* provided  $\Omega$  is any set,  $\mathcal{U}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $\Omega$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  is a probability measure on  $\mathcal{U}$ .

## 2.1.2 Brownian Motion

The Brownian motion is the name given to the irregular motion observed in the motion of pollen particles suspended in fluid resulting from particle collision with atoms or molecules. It is named after Robert Brown, the first to have observed the movement in 1828. He noted two characteristic in the pollen movement [31]:

- the path of a given particle is very irregular, having a tangent at no point
- the motion of two distinct particles appear to be independent

The first quantitative works in Brownian motion come from an interest in stock price fluctuation by Bachelier in 1900. Albert Einstein also leaned over the subject and in 1905 derived the transition density for Brownian motion from molecular-kinetic theory of heat [19,31].

In 1923, the Wiener process was coined in honor of Norbert Wiener mathematical proof of existence of the Brownian motion and stating its properties.<sup>2</sup>

**Definition 2.1.2.** (Wiener Process) Given a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{P})$ , a stochastic process  $W_t$  defined in this space is a *\*Wiener process\** if it satisfies the following properties:

- $W_0 = 0$
- The change in  $W$ , given by  $\Delta W = W_{t+1} - W_t$ , is normally distributed with mean zero and standard deviation  $\sqrt{\Delta t}$ , meaning that  $\Delta W = \epsilon \sqrt{\Delta t}$ , where  $\epsilon$  is  $N(0, 1)$ .

---

<sup>2</sup>More can be found on [8,12,25].

- If the increment  $\Delta t_1$  does not overlap with the time increment  $\Delta t_2$ , then  $\Delta W_1$  and  $\Delta W_2$  are independent.
- The process is continuous, meaning that there are no jumps in the path.
- The process is a Markov process. This means that the conditional expectation of  $W_{t+1}$  given its entire history is equal to the conditional expectation of  $W_{t+1}$  given today's information. This can be written as:  $E[W_{t+1}|W_1, \dots, W_t] = E[W_{t+1}|W_t]$ .
- Consider the time interval  $[0, t]$  with  $n$  equally spaced intervals given by  $t_i = \frac{it}{n}$ . Then the paths of the Brownian motion have unbounded variation, this means that they are not differentiable and go towards infinity as  $n$  increases. The quadratic variation is given by  $\sum_{i=1}^n (Z_{t_i} - Z_{t_{i-1}})^2 \rightarrow t$ , meaning that when  $n$  increases it stays constant at  $t$ .




Figure 2.1: A Wiener process trajectory path example

### Correlated Brownian Motions

Two independent Brownian motions that are correlated can describe a new process  $Z_t$ . Let  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  be these two *independent* Brownian motions and let  $-1 \leq \rho \leq 1$  be a given number. For  $0 \leq t \leq T$  define the new process  $Z_t$  as [31]:

$$Z_t = \rho W_{1,t} + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} W_{2,t} \quad (2.3)$$

This equation is a linear combination of independent normals at each timestep  $t$ , so  $Z_t$  is normally distributed. It is proven that  $Z$  is a Brownian motion and that  $Z$  and  $W_{1,t}$  have correlation  $\rho$  [31].

### 2.1.3 Itô's Integral

Formally, the SDE presented in equation (2.2) only exists because we can rewrite it in the form [8,16,17,25,28,29]:

$$x_t = x_0 + \int_0^t f(s, x_s)ds + \int_0^t g(s, x_s)dW_s \quad (2.4)$$

for some  $f(s, x_s)$ ,  $g(s, x_s)$  and  $s \in [0, t]$ .

The Itô integral can, as the Riemann integral, be approximated by a finite sum. Also, it has a definition as a certain limit. Itô's lemma 2.1.1 plays the same role as the fundamental theorem of calculus in allowing to evaluate integrals. It is the formal definition and presents an extra term not encountered in the conventional calculus theorem that is due to the non-smoothness characteristics of Brownian motion paths. It is possible, though, to define the integral in a less rigorous way:

$$Y_{\Delta t}(t) \approx \sum_{t_k < t} g(t_k) \Delta W_k \quad (2.5)$$

with the usual notions  $t_k = k\Delta t$ , and  $\Delta W_k = W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k)$ . And in a more rigorous form, if the limit exists, then the Ito integral is:

$$Y(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} Y_{\Delta t}(t) \quad (2.6)$$

It is essential that the *forward difference* is used rather than the backward difference, which would be wrong.

**Theorem 2.1.1** (Itô's Lemma). *Assume that  $S_t$  has a stochastic differential given by:*

$$dS_t = \mu_t dt + \sigma_t dW_t \quad (2.7)$$

*for  $\mu_t$ ,  $\sigma_t$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ . Assume  $u : \mathbb{R} \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and that  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ ,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ ,  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$  exist and are continuous.*

$$Y_t := u(S_t, t)$$

*Then  $Y$  has the following stochastic differential:*

$$\begin{aligned} dY_t &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dt + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} dS_t + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \sigma_t^2 dt \\ &= \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \mu_t \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} \sigma_t^2 \right) dt + \sigma_t \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} dW_t \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

*where the argument of  $u$ ,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$  and  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$  above is  $(S_t, t)$ .*

Equation (2.8) is the stochastic equivalent to the chain rule, also known as Itô's formula or Itô's chain rule. The proof to this theorem is based on the Taylor expansion of the function  $f(S_t, t)$  [8,29]. For practical use you should write out a second-order Taylor expansion for the function to be analyzed and apply the Table 2.1 multiplication table [31].

Table 2.1: Box calculus

	$dt$	$dW_t$
$dt$	0	0
$dW_t$	0	$dt$

### Itô's Integral Properties

Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{V}$  and let  $0 \leq t_0 < u < T$ . Then

$$(i) \int_{t_0}^T f dB_t = \int_{t_0}^u f dB_t + \int_u^T f dB_t$$

$$(ii) \int_{t_0}^T (\alpha f + \beta g) dB_t = \alpha \int_{t_0}^T f dB_t + \int_{t_0}^T \beta g dB_t$$

$$(iii) \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{t_0}^T f dB_t \right] = 0$$

$$(iv) \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \int_0^t H_s dB_s \right)^2 \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t H_s^2 ds \right] \text{ (Isometry)}$$

$$(v) \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{t_0}^T f dB_t \mid \mathcal{F}_s \right] = \int_{t_0}^s f dB_t, \quad \text{for } s < T. \text{ (Martingale}^3\text{)}$$

## 2.2 Black-Scholes Model

### 2.2.1 Basics

The Black-Scholes (B-S) model arises from the need to price european options in the derivative markets. Derivatives are financial instruments traded in the market, stock exchange or over-the-counter (OTC) market, whose values depend on the values of an underlying asset. [2,26,33]

- A call option is a derivative that gives its bearer the right, but not the obligation, to purchase a specific asset by a fixed price before or on a given date.
- A put option is a derivative that gives its bearer the right, but not the obligation, to sell a specific asset by a fixed price before or on a given date.

The trading price of the option is called the option *premium* and the asset from which the option derives is called the *underlying asset*. This asset may be interest rates, exchange rates, stock exchanges indices, commodities or stocks. The fixed price in contract in which the underlying asset might to be bought or sold is the *strick price*. The option expiration date is called the *maturity*. [2,26]

There are two major different option types: European and American. The difference between these two is that the bearer of the first may exercise it only at the end of

---

<sup>3</sup>A martingale is a stochastic process with certain characteristics. The main one is that the expected value in time  $t + 1$  for  $X$  is the  $X$  value in  $t$ . This means there are no winning strategies when we are dealing with martingales (unlike when we play poker, for example). A Wiener process is a martingale.

its life, at its maturity while the latter can be exercised at any given time until its maturity. [2,22]

**Definition 2.2.1.** (Intrinsic value) The intrinsic value of a call is the difference between the underlying asset price and the strike price. The put's intrinsic value operates the other way around, being the difference between the strike and the underlying asset prices.

### Geometric Brownian Motion

A stochastic process  $S_t$  is a Geometric Brownian Motion<sup>4</sup> if it is described by the solution of the following stochastic differential equation [29–31].

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t \quad (2.9)$$

for given constants  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma > 0$ . Also, the assumed initial value is positive,  $S_0 > 0$ .

Figure 2.2 shows the GBM,<sup>5</sup> which is quite often applied to model the dynamics of some financial assets because of its properties [15]. Equation (2.10) shows the formula to generate a GBM and we provide proof of this solution in appendix A.1<sup>6</sup>

$$S_t = S_0 \times \exp\left(\left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)t + \sigma W_t\right), \quad t > 0 \quad (2.10)$$




Figure 2.2: A GBM trajectory path example

<sup>4</sup>There is an Arithmetic Brownian Motion:  $dS_t = \mu dt + \sigma dB_t$ . More information can be obtained at [31].

<sup>5</sup>Also known as exponential Brownian motion.

<sup>6</sup>An intuitive proof can be found at [21].



### 2.2.2 The model

The Black-Scholes model provides analytical solution to the price of a European call at time  $t$  and can be described as follows [2,12,33]:

$$C(S_t, t) = N(d_1)S_t - N(d_2)Ke^{-r(T-t)} \quad (2.11)$$

$$d_1 = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}} \left[ \ln\left(\frac{S_t}{K}\right) + \left(r + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)(T-t) \right] \quad (2.12)$$

$$d_2 = d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{T-t} \quad (2.13)$$

Where:

- $S_t$  is the spot price of the underlying asset at time  $t$
- $r$  is the risk free rate (generally an annual rate)<sup>7</sup>
- $\sigma$  is the volatility of returns of the underlying asset <sup>8</sup>
- $N(\cdot)$  is the cumulative distribution function of the standard Gaussian distribution
- $K$  is the strike price
- $T - t$  is the time to maturity

Also, the stock price path is a Geometric Brownian Motion as previously stated, and is under the risk-neutral measure with the following dynamics [10,12]:

$$dS_t = (r - q)S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t \quad (2.14)$$

Where  $dW_t$  is a Wiener process [2,10],  $r$  is the risk free rate and  $q$  is the dividend yield<sup>9</sup> and  $t$  denotes the current point in time.

### 2.2.3 Limitations

Although the Black-Scholes is very popular and the *de facto* standard in the market there are implications to the B-S model assumptions that affect the results and that are unrealistic. The main assumption that does not hold up is the deterministic (constant) volatility, that can more accurately be described as a stochastic process since we observe that small moves usually are followed by small moves and large moves by large moves. [12,33]

Other assumptions that are critical to the B-S model and are not always observed in practice refer to the asset's continuity through time (no jumps), being allowed to perform continuous hedge without transactions costs and normal (Gaussian) returns.

Most models focus on the volatility problem because transaction costs often translate to rises in volatility and fat-tails (abnormal) returns can be simulated by stochastic volatility and market or volatility jumps.

---

<sup>7</sup>Assumed to be constant.

<sup>8</sup>See footnote 1.

<sup>9</sup> $r$  and  $q$  are assumed to be constant.

## 2.3 Stochastic Volatility models

Introducing stochastic volatility to models brings complexity, but enables modeling some features observed in reality that are crucial, like the random market volatility effects, skewness (market returns are more realistically modeled) and volatility smile<sup>10</sup> (see Figure 2.3). This kind of model is applied highly successfully in foreign exchange and credit markets.

**Definition 2.3.1.** (Volatility Smile) Volatility smiles are implied volatility patterns that arise in pricing financial options. In particular for a given expiration, options whose strike price differs substantially from the underlying asset's price command higher prices (and thus implied volatilities) than what is suggested by standard option pricing models. These options are said to be either deep in-the-money or out-of-the-money.

Furthermore, stochastic volatility models use statistical methods as foundations to price and forecast options' behaviors and the underlying's security volatility is arbitrary. The Heston, the 3/2 and other models, like the GARCH<sup>11</sup> and SABR,<sup>12</sup> are considered standard smile models.




Figure 2.3: Volatility Smile

<sup>10</sup>The name derives from the concave shape of the graph, which resembles a smile.

<sup>11</sup>generalized autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity.

<sup>12</sup>stochastic alpha, beta, rho.

### 2.3.1 Cox-Ingersoll-Ross model

The Cox-Ingersoll-Ross (CIR) model is a well-known short-rate model that describes the interest rate movements driven by one source of market risk. The dynamics are described as follows[6,13]:

$$dr_t = k(\theta - r_t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{r_t}dB_t \quad (2.15)$$

Where,  $r_t$  is the short rate interest described by parameters  $\kappa$  the speed of mean reversion,  $\theta$  the long-run mean variance and  $\sigma$  the volatility of the variance process.

This model has been widely used to describe the dynamics of the short rate interest because it has some fundamental features like intuitive parametrization, nonnegativity and pricing formulas. Besides, it takes account of anticipations, risk aversion, investment alternatives and preferences about consumption timing and allows for detailed predictions about how changes in a wide range of underlying variables affect the term structure[6]. Furthermore, this equation constitutes one of the two Heston model equations with the volatility taking the short rate interest place.

### 2.3.2 Heston Model

Heston model was introduced in 1993 by Steven Heston to solve the deterministic volatility problems. It was designed to analyze bond and currency options and it introduced the following equations, which represent the dynamics of the stock price and the variance processes under the risk-neutral measure [11,13]:

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sqrt{V_t} S_t dW_t^* \quad (2.16)$$

$$dV_t = \kappa(\theta - V_t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{V_t}dB_t \quad (2.17)$$

The second equation, as described in Section 2.3.1, is the CIR model equation. The first equation states the asset price process.  $\mu$  is the asset's rate of return,  $dW_{t,1}$  and  $dW_{t,2}$  are two correlated wiener processes with correlation coefficient of  $\rho$ .

### 2.3.3 Other Models

#### Ornstein-Uhlenbeck

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck is the earliest recorded SDE. Named after Leonard Ornstein and George Eugene Uhlenbeck, it is a stochastic process that describes the acceleration of a pollen particle in a liquid subject to bombardments by molecules [31]. As we can observe in equation (2.18),  $x_t$  represents the one dimension velocity of the particle, thus  $dx_t$  is the *change* in velocity, in other words, its acceleration. The  $-\theta x_t$  component slows down the acceleration and is to be understood as frictional force. Besides, we add a noise  $W_t$  with intensity  $\sigma$  that models the random bombardment by the molecules.

$$dx_t = -\theta x_t dt + \sigma dW_t \quad (2.18)$$

With  $\theta$  and  $\sigma$  being positive constants. Expressing in terms of  $x_t$  we get:

$$x_t = e^{-\theta t} \times \left[ x_0 + \sigma \int_{t=0}^T e^{\theta s} dW_s \right]. \quad (2.19)$$

## Langevin

The Langevin equation describes a system that consists of the molecular bombardment of a speck of dust on a water surface. We know that the intensity of the bombardment does not depend on the state variables [9,20].

$$m \frac{dv}{dt} = -\zeta v + \delta F(t) \quad (2.20)$$

$m$  is the mass of the particle,  $v$  it's velocity,  $-\zeta v$  is the frictional force, which is proportional to the velocity, and  $\delta F(t)$  is a *fluctuating* force (random) to the frictional force.

## 2.4 Numerical Methods

Numerical methods are tools that are often applied to solve stochastic differential equations because most of these do not have explicit solution. This means that we are not able to solve these equations using symbolic computation. Although we are unable to find an analitical solution, when facing real problems, the approximation given by a numerical method is often sufficient. Alongside the analytical issue, the need to calculate the SDE's trajectory through time is the main reason why studying numerical methods is so important. An implementation of a numerical method is called a numerical algorithm.

We will simulate sample paths of time discrete approximations implemented in the R programming language [23] that we base on a finite discretization of a time interval  $[t_0, T]$ . We shall generate approximate values of the sample path for each step contained in the discretized interval [20].

In the fixed step methods, the distance between two contiguous points is the distance  $d_i = t_i - t_{i-1} = \frac{T-t_0}{N} \quad \forall i \mid 1 \leq i \leq N \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $N$  being the time interval partition number.

According to Kloeden [20], in the stochastic realm, simulated sample paths can be statistically analysed to find how good an approximation is compared to an exact solution. Moreover, the computational costs such as time and memory increases polynomially with the problem's dimension, which is good, and it is possible to apply variance reduction methods that allow a considerable decrease in the required sample size.

### 2.4.1 Convergence

As soon as we talk about numerical methods we are required to approach the topic of approximations and how to handle them. Methods efficiency receive the name of

*convergence order*. In the SDE domain there are two main methods of convergency, that are classified according to their criteria. Firstly, we present the *strong order of convergence*. A method is said to have strong convergence  $\delta$  to  $Y$  if a time discretized  $Y_\delta$  of a continuous-time process  $Y$ , with  $\delta$  being the maximum time increment of the discretization, and for any fixed time horizon  $T$  holds true that [15]:

$$\mathbb{E} | Y_\delta(T) - Y(T) | \leq C\delta^\gamma, \quad \forall \delta < \delta_0$$

with  $\delta_0 > 0$  and  $C$  a constant not depending on  $\delta$ . Strong convergence addresses the problem of solutions' trajectories. For specific conditions, the Euler method has strong convergence order  $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ . Furthermore, there is the *weak order of convergence*. The weak convergence

$$| \mathbb{E} p(Y_n) - \mathbb{E} p(Y(\tau)) | \leq C\Delta t^\gamma$$

For a more detailed and rigorous explanation of convergence we recommend consulting Higham [14].

Em específico, quando tratamos de modelos estocásticos, os dois principais tipos de convergência são a convergência forte, que tangue aproximações das trajetórias de soluções de EDEs em um intervalo de tempo, e a convergência fraca, que diz respeito à aproximações de EDEs à distribuições correspondentes. Para definir convergência forte e fraca, vamos primeiro definir os padrões de erro utilizados.

### 2.4.2 Discretization

We know that convergence is an important feature to a numerical method and studies have found not all time discrete possible approximations of an SDE converge in a useful sense to the solution process as the step size adopted tends toward zero [4,5]. Moreover, particularly for SDEs, some of the more rapidly convergent methods available for ordinary differential equations (ODE) do not work, such as higher order Runge-Kutta methods.<sup>13</sup>

One of the methods that do work for ODEs and SDEs is the Euler method, named after the Swiss mathematician Leonhard Euler. Figure 2.4 shows an example of an implementation for the Newton's cooling law with timestep of 2 seconds compared to its analytical solution. This method (*a.k.a.* forward Euler method) is a first-order numerical procedure. It is the most basic explicit method<sup>14</sup> for numerical integration.

<sup>13</sup>The euler method is the simplest Runge-Kutta method.

<sup>14</sup>Explicit methods calculate the state of a system at a later time from the state of the system at the current time. Mathematically we have something like  $Y(t + \Delta t) = F(Y(t))$ .




Figure 2.4: Analytical x Euler solutions

The method is first-order, as stated above, this means that the error in each step is a proportion of the square of the step size. Also, the global error at a given time is a function of the step size. We proceed to apply the Euler method to SDEs. Consider the equation:

$$dS_t = \mu(S_t, t)dt + \sigma(S_t, t)dW_t \quad (2.21)$$

$dW_t$  is the Brownian motion,  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  are functions depending on  $S_t$  and  $t$ , over an interval  $[0, T]$ , and we want to discretize it as  $0 = t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_m = T$  with increments equally spaced  $dt$ .

Integrating it from  $t$  to  $t+dt$  we have the starting point for our (and any) discretization scheme:

$$S_{t+dt} = S_t + \int_t^{t+dt} \mu(S_u, u)du + \int_t^{t+dt} \sigma(S_u, u)dW_u \quad (2.22)$$

To use the Euler discretization is the equivalent of approximating integrals using the left-point rule, we then have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{t+dt} \mu(S_u, u)dW_u &\approx \mu(S_t, t) \int_t^{t+dt} dW_u \\ &= \mu(S_t, t)(W_{t+dt} - W_t) \\ \int_t^{t+dt} \sigma(S_u, u)dW_u &\approx \sigma(S_t, t) \int_t^{t+dt} dW_u \\ &= \sigma(S_t, t)(W_{t+dt} - W_t) \\ &= \sigma(S_t, t)\sqrt{dt}Z \end{aligned}$$

$W_{t+dt} - W_t$  and  $\sqrt{dt}Z$  have identical distribution,  $Z$  being a standard gaussian variable. The Euler discretization of equation (2.22) is then:

$$S_{t+dt} = S_t + \mu(S_t, t)dt + \sigma(S_t, t)\sqrt{dt}Z \quad (2.23)$$

### Euler method - Heston model

We now proceed to apply the method to our model of interest. We retake the equations (2.16) and (2.17). We begin showing how to discretize the latter [14,15]:

$$V_{t+dt} = V_t + \int_t^{t+dt} \kappa(\theta - V_u)du + \int_t^{t+dt} \sigma\sqrt{V_u}dB_u \quad (2.24)$$

Which discretized turns out as:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{t+dt} \kappa(\theta - V_u)du &\approx \kappa(\theta - V_t)dt \\ \int_t^{t+dt} \sigma\sqrt{V_u}dB_u &\approx \sigma\sqrt{V_t}(W_{t+dt} - W_t) \\ &= \sigma\sqrt{V_t}dtZ_v \end{aligned}$$

And leaves us with:

$$V_{t+dt} = V_t + \kappa(\theta - V_t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{V_t}dtZ_v \quad (2.25)$$

$Z_v$  is a standard normal variable. To avoid problems with negative values in  $\sqrt{V_t}$  we apply the *full truncation* scheme, which substitutes  $V_t$  with  $V_t^+ = \max(0, V_t)$ .<sup>15</sup>

For the  $S_t$  SDE we proceed similarly:

$$S_{t+dt} = S_t + \mu \int_t^{t+dt} S_u du + \int_t^{t+dt} \sqrt{V_u}S_u dW_u \quad (2.26)$$

Discretizing we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{t+dt} S_u du &\approx S_t dt \\ \int_t^{t+dt} \sqrt{V_u}S_u dW_u &\approx \sqrt{V_t}S_t(W_{t+dt} - W_t) \\ &= \sqrt{V_t}dtS_tZ_s \end{aligned}$$

$Z_s$  is a standard normal variable with correlation  $\rho$  with  $Z_v$ . We have:

$$S_{t+dt} = S_t + \mu S_t dt + \sqrt{V_t}dtS_tZ_s \quad (2.27)$$

---

<sup>15</sup>Another possible scheme (not used in this work) is the *reflection* scheme where we replace  $V_t$  with  $|V_t|$

### 2.4.3 Stability

Stability studies begin with computers and is associated with numerical methods and approximations. Convergent methods were resulting in bigger errors than what was expected that could not be only due to discretization error. Eventually, scientists discovered that this unexpected problem was caused by accumulation of successive truncation errors.




Figure 2.5: Euler's stability with different timesteps

We know that binary machines like computers are not able to represent all the real numbers, but only a subset of them. Thus, solving these errors is not straightforward since it's not possible to eliminate *all* truncation error when using a computer and dealing with numerical solutions. When faced to an incorrect (not acceptable) solution, we have to evaluate and distinguish between two distinct situations [11]:

- i Rounding errors are considerably amplified by the algorithm. This situation is called numerical instability.
- ii Small perturbations of data generate large changes in the solution. This is termed an ill-conditioned (or sensitive) problem.



[20] Stochastic Stability Most differential equations, deterministic or stochastic, cannot be solved explicitly. Nevertheless we can often deduce a lot of useful information, usually qualitative, about the behaviour of their solutions from the functional form of their coefficients.

Of particular interest in applications is the long term asymptotic behaviour and sensitivity of the solutions to small changes, for example measurement errors, in the initial values. From existence and uniqueness theory we know that the solutions of a differential equation are continuous in their initial values, at least over a finite time interval. Extending this idea to an infinite time interval leads to the concept of stability.



# Chapter 3

## The Heston Model Implementation

In section 2.3.2 we presented Heston's SDE system in one of its structures. Another common way [1,3,18] to write down the system is using the property presented in subsection 2.1.2 as in equation (3.1).

$$\begin{aligned}dS_t &= \mu S_t dt + \rho \sqrt{V_t} dB_t + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} \sqrt{V_t} S_t dW_t \\dV_t &= k(\theta - V_t) dt + \sigma \sqrt{V_t} dB_t\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

### 3.1 Characteristic Function

The Heston model characteristic function is firstly presented in the 1993 Steven Heston's paper [13] and is described below [7]:

$$f(S_t, V_t, t) = e^{A(T-t) + B(T-t)S_t + C(T-t)V_t + i\phi S_t}\tag{3.2}$$

If we let  $\tau = T - t$ , then the explicit form of the Heston characteristic function is:

$$\begin{aligned}f(i\phi) &= e^{A(\tau) + B(\tau)S_t + C(\tau)V_t + i\phi S_t} \\A(\tau) &= ri\phi\tau + \frac{\kappa\theta}{\sigma^2} \left[ -(\rho\sigma i\phi - \kappa - M)\tau - 2 \ln \left( \frac{1 - Ne^{M\tau}}{1 - N} \right) \right] \\B(\tau) &= 0 \\C(\tau) &= \frac{(e^{M\tau} - 1)(\rho\sigma i\phi - \kappa - M)}{\sigma^2(1 - Ne^{M\tau})}\end{aligned}$$

Where:

$$\begin{aligned}M &= \sqrt{(\rho\sigma i\phi - \kappa)^2 + \sigma^2(i\phi + \phi^2)} \\N &= \frac{\rho\sigma i\phi - \kappa - M}{\rho\sigma i\phi - \kappa + M}\end{aligned}$$

This function is the driving force behind the following formula, that calculates the fair value of a European call option at time  $t$ , given a strike price  $K$ , that expires at

time  $T$  [7]:

$$C = \frac{1}{2}S(t) + \frac{e^{-r(T-t)}}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \Re \left[ \frac{K^{-i\phi} f(i\phi + 1)}{i\phi} \right] d\phi - Ke^{-r(T-t)} \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \Re \left[ \frac{K^{-i\phi} f(i\phi)}{i\phi} \right] d\phi \right) \quad (3.3)$$

## 3.2 Euler Scheme - Full Truncation

We present here the Euler Scheme - Full Truncation algorithm [3] along with some insights on how it was implemented in R. The Euler discretization brings approximation paths to stock prices and variance processes. If we set  $t_0 = 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_M = T$  as partitions of a time interval of  $M$  equal segments of length  $\delta t$ , we have the following discretization for the stock price:

$$S_{t+1} = S_t + rS_t + \sqrt{V_t}S_tZ_s \quad (3.4)$$

And for the variance process:

$$V_{t+1} = V_t + \kappa(\theta - V_t) + \sigma\sqrt{V_t}Z_v \quad (3.5)$$

$Z_s$  being a standard normal random variable, i.e.  $N \sim (0, 1)$ , we set  $Z_t$  and  $Z_v$  as two independent standard normal random variables and  $Z_s$  and  $Z_v$  having correlation  $\rho$ . This means we can write  $Z_s = \rho Z_v + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}Z_t$

The immediate observable problem in the proposed discretization scheme is that  $V$  can become negative with non-zero probability making the computation of  $\sqrt{V_t}$  impossible [1]. There are several proposed fixes that can be used, we chose the Full-Truncation (FT) and rewrite the equations as follows:

$$S_{t+1} = S_t + rS_t + \sqrt{V_t^+}S_tZ_s \quad (3.6)$$

$$V_{t+1} = V_t + \kappa(\theta - V_t^+) + \sigma\sqrt{V_t^+}Z_v \quad (3.7)$$

Where we use the notation  $V_t^+ = \max(V_t, 0)$ .

## 3.3 Kahl-Jackel

Kahl-Jackel propose a discretization method they refer to as the “IJK” method [1,18] that coupled with the implicit Milstein scheme for the variance lands the system of equations (3.8) and (3.9). It is possible to verify that this discretization always results in positive paths for  $V$  if  $4\kappa\theta > \sigma^2$ . Unfortunately, this inequality is rarely satisfied when we plug real market data to calibrate the parameters.

$$\ln \hat{S}(t + \Delta) = \ln \hat{S}(t) - \frac{\Delta}{4} \left( \hat{V}(t + \Delta) + \hat{V}(t) \right) + \rho\sqrt{\hat{V}(t)}Z_v\sqrt{\Delta} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{\hat{V}(t + \Delta)} + \sqrt{\hat{V}(t)} \right) \left( Z_s\sqrt{\Delta} - \rho Z_v\sqrt{\Delta} \right) + \frac{1}{4}\sigma\rho\Delta \left( Z_v^2 - 1 \right) \quad (3.8)$$

$$\hat{V}(t + \Delta) = \frac{\hat{V}(t) + \kappa\theta\Delta + \sigma\sqrt{\hat{V}(t)}Z_v\sqrt{\Delta} + \frac{1}{4}\sigma^2\Delta \left( Z_v^2 - 1 \right)}{1 + \kappa\Delta} \quad (3.9)$$

### 3.4 Exact Algorithm

In 2006, Broadie-Kaya [3] propose a method that has a faster convergence rate,  $\mathcal{O}(s^{-1/2})$  than some of the more famous schemes, such as Euler's and Milstein's discretizations. They build their idea to generate an exact sample from the distribution of the terminal stock price based on numerous papers [13].

The algorithm used to generate the model consists in four steps as follows:

- Step 1.* Generate a sample of  $V_t$  given  $V_0$
- Step 2.* Generate a sample of  $\int_0^t V_s ds$  given  $V_t, V_0$
- Step 3.* Compute  $\int_0^t \sqrt{V_s} dB_s$  given  $V_t, V_0$  and  $\int_0^t V_s ds$
- Step 4.* Generate a sample from the probability distribution of  $S_t$ , given  $\int_0^t \sqrt{V_s} dB_s$  and  $\int_0^t V_s ds$



# Chapter 4

## Results

variables	values
dt	0.05
k	2.00
r	0.05
rho	-0.30
S	100.00
sigma	0.20
t	0.00
tau	1.00
theta	0.09
v	0.09
X	100.00





# Chapter 5

## Conclusion

If we don't want Conclusion to have a chapter number next to it, we can add the `{-}` attribute.

### **More info**

And here's some other random info: the first paragraph after a chapter title or section head *shouldn't be* indented, because indents are to tell the reader that you're starting a new paragraph. Since that's obvious after a chapter or section title, proper typesetting doesn't add an indent there.



# Appendix A

## The First Appendix

### A.1 Black-Scholes proof

This first appendix includes all of the R chunks of code that were hidden throughout the document (using the `include = FALSE` chunk tag) to help with readability and/or setup.

**In the main Rmd file**

```
r # This chunk ensures that the thesisdown package is # installed
and loaded. This thesisdown package includes # the template files for
the thesis. if(!require(devtools))      install.packages("devtools",
repos = "http://cran.rstudio.com") if(!require(thesisdown))      devtools::install_
library(thesisdown)
```

**In Chapter ??:**



# References

- [1] L.B. Andersen, Efficient simulation of the heston stochastic volatility model, (2007).
- [2] F. Black, M. Scholes, The pricing of options and corporate liabilities, *Journal of Political Economy*. 81 (1973) 637–654.
- [3] M. Broadie, Ö. Kaya, Exact simulation of stochastic volatility and other affine jump diffusion processes, *Operations Research*. 54 (2006) 217–231.
- [4] J.M. Clark, R. Cameron, The maximum rate of convergence of discrete approximations for stochastic differential equations, in: *Stochastic Differential Systems Filtering and Control*, Springer, 1980: pp. 162–171.
- [5] D. Clements, B. Anderson, Well-behaved itô equations with simulations that always misbehave, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*. 18 (1973) 676–677.
- [6] J.C. Cox, J.E. Ingersoll Jr, S.A. Ross, A theory of the term structure of interest rates, *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*. (1985) 385–407.
- [7] R. Dunn, P. Hauser, T. Seibold, H. Gong, Estimating option prices with heston’s stochastic volatility model, (2014).
- [8] L.C. Evans, *An introduction to stochastic differential equations*, American Mathematical Soc., 2012.
- [9] T.C. Gard, *Introduction to stochastic differential equations*, M. Dekker, 1988.
- [10] M. Gilli, D. Maringer, E. Schumann, *Numerical methods and optimization in finance*, Academic Press, Waltham, MA, USA, 2011.
- [11] M. Gilli, D. Maringer, E. Schumann, *Numerical methods and optimization in finance*, Academic Press, 2011.
- [12] A.D. Helgadóttir, L. Ionescu, Option pricing within the heston model, (2016).
- [13] S.L. Heston, A closed-form solution for options with stochastic volatility with applications to bond and currency options, *Review of Financial Studies*. 6 (1993)

327–343.

- [14] D.J. Higham, An algorithmic introduction to numerical simulation of stochastic differential equations, *SIAM Review*. 43 (2001) 525–546.
- [15] S.M. Iacus, *Simulation and inference for stochastic differential equations: With r examples*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.
- [16] K. Itô, The brownian motion and tensor fields on riemannian manifold, *Proc. Int. Congr. Math.*, Stockholm. 2 (1962).
- [17] K. Itô, *On stochastic differential equations*, American Mathematical Soc., 1951.
- [18] C. Kahl, P. Jäckel, Fast strong approximation monte carlo schemes for stochastic volatility models, *Quantitative Finance*. 6 (2006) 513–536.
- [19] I. Karatzas, S. Shreve, *Brownian motion and stochastic calculus*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [20] P.E. Kloeden, E. Platen, *Numerical solution of stochastic differential equations* springer-verlag, 1992.
- [21] A. Krouglov, Intuitive proof of black-scholes formula based on arbitrage and properties of lognormal distribution, *arXiv Preprint Physics/0612022*. (2006).
- [22] R.C. Merton, Theory of rational option pricing, *The Bell Journal of Economics and Management Science*. (1973) 141–183.
- [23] R Core Team, *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2017.
- [24] M. Romano, N. Touzi, Contingent claims and market completeness in a stochastic volatility model, *Mathematical Finance*. 7 (1997) 399–412.
- [25] J.S. Rosenthal, *A first look at rigorous probability theory*, World Scientific Publishing Co Inc, 2006.
- [26] M. de F. Salomão, Precificação de opções financeiras: Um estudo sobre os modelos de black scholes e garch, (2011).
- [27] L. Scott, Simulating a multi-factor term structure model over relatively long discrete time periods, in: *Proceedings of the Iafe First Annual Computational*

Finance Conference, 1996.

- [28] J.M. Steele, Stochastic calculus and financial applications, Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [29] Z. Tong, Option pricing with long memory stochastic volatility models, PhD thesis, Université d'Ottawa/University of Ottawa, 2012.
- [30] R.S. Tsay, Analysis of financial time series, John Wiley & Sons, 2005.
- [31] U.F. Wiersema, Brownian motion calculus, John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- [32] G.A. Willard, Calculating prices and sensitivities for path-independent derivatives securities in multifactor models, The Journal of Derivatives. 5 (1997) 45–61.
- [33] Y. Yang, Valuing a european option with the heston model, (2013).