Numerical methods for stochastic volatility models:

Heston model

A Thesis
Presented to
The Division of Applied Mathematics
Getulio Vargas Foundation

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree
M.Sc. of Mathematics

Fernando O. Teixeira

August 28, 2017

Approved for the Division
(Mathematics)

_____

Hugo Alexander de la Cruz Cancino

Acknowledgements

Any one who considers arithmetical methods of producing random digits is, of course, in a state of sin. - John von Neumann

You get pseudo-order when you seek order; you only get a measure of order and control when you embrace randomness. — Nassim Nicholas Taleb

Table of Contents

List of Tables

List of Figures

Abstract

The preface pretty much says it all.

Second paragraph of abstract starts here.

Dedication

You can have a dedication here if you wish.

Chapter 1

altadvisor: 'Your Other Advisor'

Chapter 2

Literature Review

This chapter presents the concepts of stochastic calculus, from the historic conception of how it first arose through the basic principles and applications in finance. We address with more care the classical Black-Scholes model and its limitations and the Heston model. This model is also well known, it brings the concept of stochastic volatility in it, which brings its results closer to reality.

2.1 Stochastic Calculus

Stochastic calculus arises from stochastic processes and allows the creation of a theory of integration where both the integrand and integrator terms are stochastic processes. Stochastic calculus was created by the Japanese mathematician Kiyosi Itô [1] in the 1940s and 1950s and is used for modeling financial options and in another wide variety of fields [23]. In this chapter we present the historical contexts in which the tools and models used arise, but our focus is introducing the concepts and notations that will be further used in our work.

2.1.1 The Stochastic differential equation - SDE

At first, before introducing stochastic differential equation, it is helpful to start with ordinary differential equation. Let $x(t) = x_t$ denote the population at time t so that the change in the population at time t is given by the following deterministic differential equation:

$$\begin{aligned} dx_t &= f(t, x_t)dt \\ x(0) &= x_0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

[1] There is another important stochastic integral, called the *Stratonovich Integral* that unlike the Itô's integral, respects the conventional calculus chain rule. Also, the integral is evaluated at the interval's midpoint, instead of its left extreme. A Stratonovich integral can be expressed as an Itô integral and vice versa.

We now add a "noise" to this equation:

$$dx_t = \overbrace{\underbrace{f(t,x_t)}_{\text{drift}}\,dt}^{\text{deterministic}} + \overbrace{\underbrace{g(t,x_t)}_{\text{diffusion}}\,dW_t}^{\text{random shock}} \tag{2.2}$$
$$x(0) = x_0$$

This "noise" dW_t is a *random* Wiener process time derivative (which will be clarified below) and X_0 is our initial value.

The $g(t, x_t)$ part of the SDE is often referred as a *diffusion process*. These processes generally have a continuous paths. Before moving on, we must carefully define what the term *random*, means and the best way to begin doing so is to precisely define a probability space:

Definition 2.1.1. (Probability Space) A triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{P})$ is called a *probability space* provided Ω is any set, $\mathcal{U}$ is a σ-algebra of subsets of Ω and $\mathcal{P}$ is a probability measure on $\mathcal{U}$.

2.1.2 Brownian Motion

The Brownian motion is the name given to the irregular motion observed in the motion of pollen particles suspended in fluid resulting from particle collision with atoms or molecules. It is named after Robert Brown, the first to have observed the movement in 1828. He noted two characteristic in the pollen movement [23]:

- the path of a given particle is very irregular, having a tangent at no point
- the motion of two distinct particles appear to be independent

The first quantitative works in brownian motion come from an interest in stock price fluctuation by Bachelier in 1900. Albert Einstein also leaned over the subject and in 1905 derived the transition density for Brownian motion from molecular-kinetic theory of heat [14,23].

In 1923, the Wiener process was coined in honor of Norbert Wiener mathematical proof of existence of the brownian motion and stating its properties.[2]

Definition 2.1.2. (Wiener Process) Given a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{P})$, a stochastic process W_t defined in this space is a *wiener process* if it satisfies the following properties:

- $W_0 = 0$
- The change in W, given by $\Delta W = W_{t+1} - W_t$, is normally distributed with mean zero and standard deviation $\sqrt{\Delta t}$, meaning that $\Delta W = \epsilon\sqrt{\Delta t}$, where ϵ is $N(0, 1)$.
- If the increment Δt_1 does not overlap with the time increment Δt_2, then ΔW_1 and ΔW_2 are independent.

[2] More can be found on [5,8,18].

- The process is continuous, meaning that there are no jumps in the process.
- The process is a Markov process. This means that the conditional expectation of W_{t+1} given its entire history is equal to the conditional expectation of W_{t+1} given today's information. This can be written as: $E[W_{t+1}|W_1, ..., W_t] = E[W_{t+1}|W_t]$.
- Consider the time interval $[0, t]$ with n equally spaced intervals given by $t_i = \frac{it}{n}$. Then the paths of the Brownian motion have unbounded variation, this means that they are not differentiable and go towards infinity as n increases. The quadratic variation is given by $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Z_{t_i} - Z_{t_{i-1}})^2 \to t$, meaning that when n increases it stays constant at t.



Figure 2.1: A Wiener process trajectory path example

Correlated Brownian Motions

Two independent brownian motions that are correlated can describe a new process Z_t. Let W_1 and W_2 be these two *independent* Brownian motions and let $-1 \leq \rho \leq 1$ be a given number. For $0 \leq t \leq T$ define the new process Z_t as [23]:

$$Z_t = \rho W_{1,t} + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} W_{2,t} \tag{2.3}$$

This equation is a linear combination of independent normals at each timestep t, so Z_t is normally distributed. It is proven that Z is a Brownian motion and that Z and $W_{1,t}$ are correlated [23].

2.1.3 Itô's Integral

Formally, the SDE presented in equation 2.4 only exists because we can rewrite it in the form [5,12,13,18,20,21]:

$$x_t = x_0 + \int_0^t f(s, x_s)ds + \int_0^t g(s, x_s)dW_s \tag{2.4}$$

for some $f(s, x_s)$, $g(s, x_s)$ and $s \in [0, t]$.

The Itô integral can, as the Riemann integral, be approximated by a finite sum. Also, it has a definition as a certain limit. Itô's lemma 2.1.1 plays the same role as the fundamental theorem of calculus in allowing to evaluate integrals. It is the formal definition and presents an extra term not encountered in the conventional calculus theorem that is due to the non-smoothness characteristics of Brownian motion paths. It is possible, though, to define the integral in a less rigorous way:

$$Y_{\Delta t}(t) \approx \sum_{t_k < t} g(t_k)\Delta W_k \tag{2.5}$$

with the usual notions $t_k = k\Delta t$, and $\Delta W_k = W(t_{k+1}) - W(t_k))$. And in a more rigorous form, if the limit exists, then the Ito integral is:

$$Y(t) = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} Y_{\Delta t}(t) \tag{2.6}$$

It is essential that the *forward difference* is used rather than the backward difference, which would be **wrong**.

Theorem 2.1.1 (Itô's Lemma)**.** *Assume that S_t has a stochastic differential given by:*

$$dS_t = \mu_t dt + \sigma_t dW_t \tag{2.7}$$

for μ_t, σ_t and $t \in [0, T]$. Assume $u : \mathbb{R} \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$, $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$ exist and are continuous.

$$Y_t := u(S_t, t)$$

Then Y has the following stochastic differential:

$$\begin{aligned} dY_t &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}dt + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}dS_t + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}\sigma_t^2 dt \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \mu_t\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}\sigma_t^2\right)dt + \sigma_t\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}dW_t \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where the argument of u, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$ above is (S_t, t) .

Equation (2.8) is the stochastic equivalent to the chain rule, also known as Itô's formula or Itô's chain rule. The proof to this theorem is based on the Taylor expansion of the function $f(S_t, t)$ [5,21]. For practical uses you should write out a second-order Taylor expansion for the function to be analyzed and apply the 2.1 multiplication table [23].

Table 2.1: Box calculus

	dt	dW_t
dt	0	0
dW_t	0	dt

Itô's Integral Properties

Let f, $g \in \mathcal{V}$ and let $0 \leq t_0 < u < T$. Then

(i) $\int_{t_0}^{T} f dB_t = \int_{t_0}^{u} f dB_t + \int_{u}^{T} f dB_t$

(ii) $\int_{t_0}^{T} (\alpha f + \beta g) dB_t = \alpha \int_{t_0}^{T} f dB_t + \int_{t_0}^{T} \beta g dB_t$

(iii) $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_0}^{T} f dB_t\right] = 0$

(iv) $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\int_0^t H_s \, dB_s\right)^2\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^t H_s^2 \, ds\right]$ (Isometry)

(v) $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t_0}^{T} f dB_t \mid \mathcal{F}_s\right] = \int_{t_0}^{s} f dB_t, \qquad for\ s < T.$ (Martingale[3])

2.2 Black-Scholes Model

2.2.1 Basics

The Black-Scholes (B-S) model arises from the need to price european options in the derivative markets. Derivatives are financial instruments traded in the market, stock exchange or over-the-counter (OTC) market, whose values depend on the values of an underlying asset. [1,19,24]

- A call option is a derivative that gives its bearer the right, but not the obligation, to purchase a specific asset by a fixed price before or on a given date.
- A put option is a derivative that gives its bearer the right, but not the obligation, to sell a specific asset by a fixed price before or on a given date.

The trading price of the option is called the option *premium* and the asset from which the option derives is called the *underlying asset*. This asset may be the interest rate, exchange rates, stock exchanges rates, commodities or stocks. The fixed price in contract in which the underlying asset might to be bought or sold is the *strick price*. The option expiration date is called the *maturity*. [1,19]

There are two major different option types: the European and the American. The difference between these two is that the bearer of the first may exercise it only at the

[3]A martingale is a stochastic process with certain characteristics. The main one is that the expected value in time $t+1$ for X is the X value in t. This means there are no winning strategies when we are dealing with martingales (unlike when we play poker, for example). A Wiener process is a martingale.

end of its life, at its maturity while the latter can be exercised at any given time until its maturity. [1,16]

Definition 2.2.1. (Implicit volatility) Given all the option's parameters in a precification model and its market price, the option's volatility is called the *implicit volatility*.

Definition 2.2.2. (Intrinsic value) The intrinsic value of a call is the difference between the underlying asset price and the strike price. The put's intrinsic value operates the other way around, being the difference between the strike and the underling asset prices.

Geometric Brownian Motion

A stochastic process S_t is a geometric brownian motion[4] if its solution is described by the solution of the following stochastic differential equation [21–23].

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t \tag{2.9}$$

for given constants $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma > 0$. Also, the assumed initial value is positive, $S_0 > 0$.

This process[5] (Figure 2.2) is used quite often in finance to model the dynamics of some assets because of its properties. It has independent multiplicative increments and is the process used to price options in the Black-Scholes model [11]:

$$S_t = S_0 \times exp\left(\mu - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) t + \sigma W_t, \quad t > 0 \tag{2.10}$$

[4]There is an arithmetic brownian motion, whose equation is: $dS_t = \mu dt + \sigma dB_t$. More information can be obatined about this process looking at [23].

[5]Also known as exponential brownian motion.



Figure 2.2: A GBM trajectory path example

2.2.2 The model

The Black-Scholes model provides analytical solution to the price of a European call at time t and can be described as follows [1,8,24]:

$$C(S_t, t) = N(d_1)S_t - N(d_2)Ke^{-r(T-t)} \tag{2.11}$$

$$d_1 = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}}\left[\ln\left(\frac{S_t}{K}\right) + \left(r + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)(T-t)\right] \tag{2.12}$$

$$d_2 = d_1 - \sigma\sqrt{T-t} \tag{2.13}$$

Where:

- S_t is the spot price of the underlying asset at time t
- r is the risk free rate (generally an annual rate)[6]
- σ is the volatility of returns of the underlying asset [7]
- $N(\cdot)$ is the cumulative distribution function of the standard Gaussian distribution
- K is the strike price
- $T - t$ is the time to maturity

Also, the stock price path is a Geometric Brownian Motion as previously stated, and is under the risk-neutral measure with the following dynamics [6,8]:

$$dS_t = (r - q)S_t d_t + \sigma S_t dW_t \tag{2.14}$$

[6]Assumed to be constant.
[7]See footnote 1.

Where dW_t is a Wiener process [1,6], r is the risk free rate and q is the dividend yield[8] and t denotes the current point in time.

2.2.3 Limitations

Although the Black-Scholes is very popular and the *de facto* standard in the market there are implications to the B-S model assumptions that affect the results and that are unrealistic. The main assumption that does not hold up is the deterministic (constant) volatility, that can more accurately be described as a stochastic process since we observe that small moves usually are followed by small moves and large moves by large moves. [8,24]

Other assumptions that are critical to the B-S model and are not always observed in practice refer to the asset's continuity through time (no jumps), being allowed to perform continuous hedge without transactions costs and normal (Gaussian) returns.

Most models focus on the volatility problem because transaction costs often translate to rises in volatility and fat-tails (abnormal) returns can be simulated by stochastic volatility and market or volatility jumps.

2.3 Stochastic Volatility models

Introducing stochastic volatility to models brings complexity, but enables modeling some features observed in reality that are crucial, like the randomic market volatility effects, skewness (market returns are more realistically modeled) and volatility smile[9] (see Figure 2.3). This kind of model is applied highly succesfully in foreign exchange and credit markets.

Definition 2.3.1. (Volatility Smile) Volatility smiles are implied volatility patterns that arise in pricing financial options. In particular for a given expiration, options whose strike price differs substantially from the underlying asset's price command higher prices (and thus implied volatilities) than what is suggested by standard option pricing models. These options are said to be either deep in-the-money or out-of-the-money.

Furthermore, stochastic volatility models use statistical methods as foundations to price and forecast options' behaviors and the underlying's security volatility is arbitrary. The Heston, the 3/2 and other models, like the GARCH[10] and SABR,[11] are considered standard smile models.

[8] r and q are assumed to be constant.

[9] The name derives from the concave shape of the graph, which resembles a smile.

[10] generalized autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity.

[11] stochastic alpha, beta, rho.



Figure 2.3: Volatility Smile

2.3.1 Cox-Ingersoll-Ross model

The Cox-Ingersoll-Ross (CIR) model is a well-known short-rate model that describes the interest rate movements driven by one source of market risk. The dynamics are described as follows[4,9]:

$$dr_t = k(\theta - r_t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{r_t}dB_t \tag{2.15}$$

Where, r_t is the short rate interest described by parameters κ the speed of mean reversion, θ the long-run mean variance and σ the volatility of the variance process.

This model has been widely used to describe the dynamics of the short rate interest because it has some fundamental features like intuitive parametrization, nonnegativity and pricing formulas. Besides, it takes account of anticipations, risk aversion, investment alternatives and preferences about consumption timing and allows for detailed predictions about how changes in a wide range of underlying variables affect the term structure[4]. Furthermore, this equation constitutes one of the two Heston model equations with the volatility taking the short rate interest place.

2.3.2 Heston Model

Heston model was introduced in 1993 by Steven Heston to solve the deterministic volatility problems. It was designed to analize bond and currency options and it introduced the following equations, which represent the dynamics of the stock price

and the variance processes under the risk-neutral measure [7,9]:

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sqrt{V_t} S_t dW_t^* \tag{2.16}$$

$$dV_t = \kappa(\theta - V_t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{V_t} dB_t \tag{2.17}$$

The second equation, as described in Section 2.3.1, is the CIR model equation. The first equation states the asset price process. μ is the asset's rate of return, $dW_{t,1}$ and $dW_{t,2}$ are two correlated wiener processes with correlation coefficient of ρ.

2.3.3 Other Models

Ornstein-Uhlenbeck

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck is the earliest recorded SDE. Named after Leonard Ornstein and George Eugene Uhlenbeck, it is a stochastic process that describes the acceleration of a pollen particle in a liquid subject to bombardments by molecules [23]. As we can observe in equation (2.18), x_t represents the one dimension velocity of the particle, thus dx_t is the *change* in velocity, in other words, its acceleration. The $-\theta x_t$ component slows down the acceleration and is to be understood as frictional force. Besides, we add a noise W_t with intensity σ that models the random bombardment by the molecules.

$$dx_t = -\theta x_t dt + \sigma dW_t \tag{2.18}$$

With θ and σ being positive constants. Expressing in terms of x_t we get:

$$x_t = e^{-\theta t} \times \left[x_0 + \sigma \int_{t=0}^{T} e^{\theta t} dW_s \right] . \tag{2.19}$$

Langevin

The Langevin equation describes a system that consists of the molecular bombardment of a speck of dust on a water surface. We know that the intensity of the bombardement does not depend on the state variables [15].

$$m\frac{dv}{dt} = -\zeta v + \delta F(t) \tag{2.20}$$

m is the mass of the particle, v it's velocity, $-\zeta v$ is the frictional force, which is proportional to the velocity, and $\delta F(t)$ is a *fluctuating* force (random) to the frictional force.

2.4 Numerical Methods

Numerical methods are tools that are often applied to solve stochastic differential equations because most of these do not have explicit solution. This means that we are not able to solve these equations using symbolic computation. Although we are

unable to find an analitical solution, when facing real problems, the approximation given by a numerical method is often sufficient. Alongside the analytical issue, the need to calculate the SDE's trajectory through time is the main reason why studying numerical methods is so important. An implementation of a numerical method is called a numerical algorithm.

We will simulate sample paths of time discrete approximations implemented in the R programming language [17] that we base on a finite discretization of a time interval $[t_0, T]$. We shall generate approximate values of the sample path for each step contained in the discretized interval [15].

In the fixed step methods, the distance between two contiguous points is the distance $d_i = t_i - t_{i-1} = \frac{T-t_0}{N} \quad \forall i \mid 1 \leq i \leq N \in \mathbb{N}$. N being the time interval partition number.

According to Kloeden [15], in the stochastic realm, simulated sample paths can be statistically analysed to find how good an approximation is compared to an exact solution. Moreover, the computational costs suach as time and memory increases polynomially with the problem's dimension, which is good, and it is possible to apply variance reduction methods that allow a considerable decrease in the required sample size.

2.4.1 Convergence

As soon as we talk about numerical methods we are required to approach the topic of approximations and how to handle them. Methods efficiency receive the name of *convergence order*. In the SDE domain there are two main methods of convergency, that are classified according to their criteria. Firstly, we present the *strong order of convergence*. A method is said to have strong convergence δ to Y if a time discretized Y_δ of a continous-time process Y, with δ being the maximum time increment of the discretization, and for any fixed time horizon T holds true that [11]:

$$\mathbb{E} \mid Y_\delta(T) - Y(T) \mid \leq C\delta^\gamma, \ \ \forall \delta < \delta_0$$

with $\delta_0 > 0$ and $\mathcal{C}$ a constant not depending on δ. Strong convergence addresses the problem of solutions' trajectories. For specific conditions, the Euler method has strong convergence order $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$. Furthermore, there is the *weak order of convergence*. The weak convergence

$$\mid \mathbb{E}p(Y_n) - \mathbb{E}p(Y(\tau)) \mid \leq C\Delta t^\gamma$$

For a more detailed and rigorous explanation of convergence we recommend consulting Higham [10].

Em específico, quando tratamos de modelos estocásticos, os dois principais tipos de convergência são a convergência forte, que tange aproximações das trajetórias de soluções de EDEs em um intervalo de tempo, e a convergência fraca, que diz respeito à aproximações de EDEs à distribuições correspondentes. Para definir convergência forte e fraca, vamos primeiro definir os padrões de erro utilizados.

2.4.2 Discretization

We know that convergence is an important feature to a numerical method and studies have found not all time discrete possible approximations of an SDE converge in a useful sense to the solution process as the step size adopted tends toward zero [2,3]. Moreover, particularly for SDEs, some of the more rapidly convergent methods available for ordinary differential equations (ODE) do not work, such as higher order Runge-Kutta methods.[12]

One of the methods that do work for ODEs and SDEs is the Euler method, named after the Swiss mathematician Leonhard Euler. Figure 2.4 shows an example of an implementation for the Newton's cooling law with timestep of 2 seconds compared to its analytical solution. This method (*a.k.a.* forward Euler method) is a first-order numerical procedure. It is the most basic explicit method[13] for numerical integration.



Figure 2.4: Analytical x Euler solutions

The method is first-order, as stated above, this means that the error in each step is a proportion of the square of the step size. Also, the global error at a given time is a function of the step size. We proceed to apply the Euler methos to SDEs. Consider the equation:

$$dS_t = \mu(S_t, t)dt + \sigma(S_t, t)dW_t \tag{2.21}$$

dW_t is the Brownian motion, μ and σ are functions depending on S_t and t, over an

[12]The euler method is the simplest Runge-Kutta method.

[13]Explicit methods calculate the state of a system at a later time from the state of the system at the current time. Mathematically we have something like $Y(t + \Delta t) = F(Y(t))$.

interval $[0, T]$, and we want to discretize it as $0 = t_1 < t2 < \cdots < t_m = T$ with increments equally spaced d_t.

Integrating it from t to dt we have the starting point for our (and any) discretization scheme:

$$S_{t+dt} = S_t + \int_t^{dt} \mu(S_u, u)du + \int_t^{dt} \sigma(S_u, u)dW_u \tag{2.22}$$

To use the Euler discretization is the equivalent of approximating integrals using the left-point rule, we then have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_t^{t+dt} \mu(S_u, u)dW_u &\approx \mu(S_t, t) \int_t^{t+dt} dW_u \\
&= \mu(S_t, t)(W_{t+dt} - W_t) \\
\int_t^{t+dt} \sigma(S_u, u)dW_u &\approx \sigma(S_t, t) \int_t^{t+dt} dW_u \\
&= \sigma(S_t, t)(W_{t+dt} - W_t) \\
&= \sigma(S_t, t)\sqrt{dt}Z
\end{aligned}$$

$W_{t+dt} - W_t$ and $\sqrt{dt}Z$ have identical distribution, Z being a standard gaussian variable. The Euler discretization of equation (2.22) is then:

$$S_{t+dt} = S_t + \mu(S_t, t)dt + \sigma(S_t, t)\sqrt{dt}Z \tag{2.23}$$

Euler method - Heston model

We now proceed to apply the method to our model of interest. We retake the equations (2.16) and (2.17). We begin showing how to discretize the latter [10,11]:

$$V_{t+dt} = V_t + \int_t^{t+dt} \kappa(\theta - V_u)du + \int_t^{t+dt} \sigma\sqrt{V_u}dB_u \tag{2.24}$$

Which discretized turns out as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_t^{t+dt} \kappa(\theta - V_u)du &\approx \kappa(\theta - V_t)dt \\
\int_t^{t+dt} \sigma\sqrt{V_u}dB_t &\approx \sigma\sqrt{V_t}(W_{t+dt} - W_t) \\
&= \sigma\sqrt{V_t dt}Z_v
\end{aligned}$$

And leaves us with:

$$V_{t+dt} = V_t + \kappa(\theta - V_t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{V_t dt}Z_v \tag{2.25}$$

Z_v is a standard normal variable. To avoid problems with negative values in $\sqrt{V_t}$ we apply the *full truncation* scheme, which substitutes V_t with $V_t^+ = max(0, V_t)$.[14]

[14] Another possible scheme (not used in this work) is the *reflection* scheme where we replace V_t with $\mid V_t \mid$

For the S_t SDE we proceed similarly:

$$S_{t+dt} = S_t + \mu \int_t^{t+dt} S_u du + \int_t^{t+dt} \sqrt{V_u} S_u dW_u \tag{2.26}$$

Discretizing we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{t+dt} S_u du &\approx S_t dt \\ \int_t^{t+dt} \sqrt{V_u} S_u dW_u &\approx \sqrt{V_t} S_t (W_{t+dt} - W_t) \\ &= \sqrt{V_t dt} S_t Z_s \end{aligned}$$

Z_s is a standard normal variable with correlation ρ with Z_v. We have:

$$S_{t+dt} = S_t + \mu S_t dt + \sqrt{V_t dt} S_t Z_s \tag{2.27}$$

2.4.3 Stability

Stability studies begin with computers and is associated with numerical methods and approximations. Convergent methods were resulting in bigger errors than what was expected that could not be only due to discretization error. Eventually, scientists discovered that this unexpected problem was caused by accumulation of successive truncation errors.



Figure 2.5: Euler's stability whith different timesteps

We know that binary machines like computers are not able to represent all the real numbers, but only a subset of them. Thus, solving these errors is not straightforward since it's not possible to eliminate *all* truncation error when using a computer and dealing with numerical solutions. When faced to an incorrect (not acceptable) solution, we have to evaluate and distinguish between two distinct situations [7]:

i Rounding errors are considerably amplified by the algorithm. This situation is called numerical instability.

ii Small perturbations of data generate large changes in the solution. This is termed an ill-conditioned (or sensitive) problem.

[15] Stochastic Stability Most differential equations, deterministic or stochastic, cannot be solved explicitly. Nevertheless we can often deduce alot of useful information, usually qualitative, about the behaviour of their solutions from the functional form of their coefficients.

Of particular interest in applications is the long term asymptotic behaviour and sensitivity of the solutions to small changes, for example measurement errors, in the

initial values. From existence and uniqueness theory we know that the solutions of a differential equation are continuous in their initial values, at least over a finite time interval. Extending this idea to an infinite time interval leads to the concept of stability.

Chapter 3

The Heston Model Implementation

Chapter 4

This chunk ensures that the thesisdown package is

Chapter 5

Conclusion

Chapter 6

Placeholder

References

[1] F. Black, M. Scholes, The pricing of options and corporate liabilities, Journal of Political Economy. 81 (1973) 637–654.

[2] J.M. Clark, R. Cameron, The maximum rate of convergence of discrete approximations for stochastic differential equations, in: Stochastic Differential Systems Filtering and Control, Springer, 1980: pp. 162–171.

[3] D. Clements, B. Anderson, Well-behaved itô equations with simulations that always misbehave, IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control. 18 (1973) 676–677.

[4] J.C. Cox, J.E. Ingersoll Jr, S.A. Ross, A theory of the term structure of interest rates, Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society. (1985) 385–407.

[5] L.C. Evans, An introduction to stochastic differential equations, American Mathematical Soc., 2012.

[6] M. Gilli, D. Maringer, E. Schumann, Numerical methods and optimization in finance, Academic Press, Waltham, MA, USA, 2011.

[7] M. Gilli, D. Maringer, E. Schumann, Numerical methods and optimization in finance, Academic Press, 2011.

[8] A.D. Helgadóttir, L. Ionescu, Option pricing within the heston model, (2016).

[9] S.L. Heston, A closed-form solution for options with stochastic volatility with applications to bond and currency options, Review of Financial Studies. 6 (1993) 327–343.

[10] D.J. Higham, An algorithmic introduction to numerical simulation of stochastic differential equations, SIAM Review. 43 (2001) 525–546.

[11] S.M. Iacus, Simulation and inference for stochastic differential equations: With r examples, Springer Science & Business Media, 2009.

[12] K. Itô, The brownian motion and tensor fields on riemannian manifold, Proc. Int. Congr. Math., Stockholm. 2 (1962).

[13] K. Itō, On stochastic differential equations, American Mathematical Soc., 1951.

[14] I. Karatzas, S. Shreve, Brownian motion and stochastic calculus, Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

[15] P.E. Kloeden, E. Platen, Numerical solution of stochastic differential equations springer-verlag, 1992.

[16] R.C. Merton, Theory of rational option pricing, The Bell Journal of Economics and Management Science. (1973) 141–183.

[17] R Core Team, R: A language and environment for statistical computing, R

Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2017.

[18] J.S. Rosenthal, A first look at rigorous probability theory, World Scientific Publishing Co Inc, 2006.

[19] M. de F. Salomão, Precificação de opções financeiras: Um estudo sobre os modelos de black scholes e garch, (2011).

[20] J.M. Steele, Stochastic calculus and financial applications, Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

[21] Z. Tong, Option pricing with long memory stochastic volatility models, PhD thesis, Université d'Ottawa/University of Ottawa, 2012.

[22] R.S. Tsay, Analysis of financial time series, John Wiley & Sons, 2005.

[23] U.F. Wiersema, Brownian motion calculus, John Wiley & Sons, 2008.

[24] Y. Yang, Valuing a european option with the heston model, (2013).