

Extending Mubayi and Turán's Algorithm to k -graphs

Ferran Espuña

Let G be a k -graph with n vertices and $m = dn^k$ edges. A polynomial time algorithm is given to find a $K_{q, \dots, q} \subset G$ for

$$q(k, d) = \left\lfloor \left(\frac{\log(n/2^k)}{\log(2^{k+1}/d)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \right\rfloor$$

As long as $d \geq 4^k n^{-\frac{1}{2^{k-1}}}$.

Remark 1. This minimum density requirement is not a big restriction.

This result is a generalization of the result in 2-graphs by [2], and algorithm will be analogous to the one given there. This algorithm, referred to as **FIND_PARTITE**(k, \cdot), is the one described in [2] when $k = 2$, and for $k \geq 3$ involves the following steps:

1. Choose parameters q, r, s depending on n, k and d .
2. Find the set R of r vertices with the highest degree in G .
3. find a subset $Q \subset R$ with q vertices and a $S \subset T := \binom{[n] \setminus Q}{k-1}$ with s edges satisfying

$$\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\} \in E(G) \forall \{x_2, \dots, x_k\} \in S, x_1 \in Q$$

4. The set S induces a $(k-1)$ -graph G' on T . Evaluate **FIND_PARTITE**($k-1, G'$) to find a $K_{q', \dots, q'}$ in G' (say, $H' = \{U_1, \dots, U_{k-1}\}$). It will turn out that $q' \geq q$, and because of the condition for S the k -partite subgraph $H = \{Q, V_1, \dots, V_{k-1}\}$ is complete in G , where $V_i \subset U_i$ is a subset of size q . Return H .

For step 1, we will use the following formulas:

$$q(k, d) = \left\lfloor \left(\frac{\log(n/2^k)}{\log(2^{k+1}/d)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \right\rfloor, r(k, d) = \left\lceil \frac{2q(k, d)}{d} \right\rceil, s(k, d) = \lfloor d^{q(k, d)} n^{k-1} \rfloor$$

The goal is to prove that the algorithm is successful and runs in polynomial time.

Lemma 2. *This selection of parameters, for $k \geq 3$, is sound in the sense that $q \leq r \leq n$, $k - 1 \leq n - r$ and $s \leq \binom{n-r}{k-1}$.*

Proof. $q \leq r$ is clear from the definition of r . We will show that in fact $r < \frac{n}{2}$. Suppose not:

$$\frac{n}{2} \leq r \leq 1 + \frac{2q}{d} \leq 1 + \frac{2 \log(n/2)}{2^{k+1}n^{-\frac{1}{2^{k-1}}}} \leq 1 + \frac{\log n \cdot \sqrt{n}}{8} \leq 1 + \frac{n}{8} \implies n < 3$$

Which is a contradiction, as there cannot be any edges and thus $d = 0$. In particular, $r \leq n$.

We can similarly show that $k \leq \frac{n}{2}$. Suppose not:

$$1 \geq d \geq 2^{\frac{n}{2}} n^{-\frac{1}{2^{n/2-1}}} = n^{\frac{\log(2)n}{2} - \frac{1}{2^{n/2-1}}} \implies \frac{\log(2)n}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2^{n/2-1}} \implies n < 3$$

Therefore, $k + r \leq n < n + 1 \implies k - 1 \leq n - r$.

Finally, suppose that $s > \binom{n-r}{k-1}$. Then,

$$\left(\frac{n}{2k}\right)^{k-1} \leq \left(\frac{n-r}{k-1}\right)^{k-1} \leq \binom{n-r}{k-1} < s \leq d^q n^{k-1} \implies \left(\frac{1}{2k}\right)^{k-1} < d^q \leq \left(\frac{1}{k!}\right)^2$$

Where in the last inequality we use that $q \geq 2$ and there are at most $\binom{n}{k} \leq \frac{n^k}{k!}$ edges in G .

We can show that $k!^2 \geq (2k)^{k-1}$ for all k , which means we have reached a contradiction. \square

Lemma 3. *With the above restrictions and choice of parameters, for $k \geq 3$, there are at least $\frac{3}{2}drn^{k-1}$ edges with exactly one vertex in R .*

Proof. The degree sum over $V(G)$ is kdn^k . Thus, by the pigeonhole principle, the degree sum over R is at least $\frac{r}{n}kdn^k = rkdn^{k-1}$. For $2 \leq j \leq n$, consider the contribution to this sum by edges with exactly j vertices in R . Each such edge contributes j to the sum, and there are at most $\binom{r}{j} \binom{n-r}{k-j} \leq \frac{r^j n^{k-j}}{j!} \leq \frac{r^j n^{k-j}}{j}$ of them. Thus, the total contribution of these edges is at most $r^j n^{k-j} \leq r^2 n^{k-2}$. The number of edges we want is then at least

$$rkdn^{k-1} - (k-1)r^2n^{k-2} = drn^{k-1} \left(k - \frac{(k-1)r}{nd} \right)$$

Suppose, by way of contradiction, that $k - \frac{(k-1)r}{nd} < \frac{3}{2}$. Using that $\frac{k-1}{k-3/2} \leq 2$ for $k \geq 2$, we arrive at

$$d < 2rn^{-1} \leq \frac{3q}{nd} \implies nd^2 < 3 \log n$$

Applying our minimum density, this means

$$\sqrt{n} \leq 2^{2k+1} n^{1-\frac{1}{2^{k-2}}} < 3 \log n$$

which is false for all n . □

Lemma 4. *For this selection of parameters, there exist sets Q, S as described in step 3 of the algorithm.*

Proof. Consider the bipartite graph with vertex set $(R, \binom{T}{k-1})$ and edges corresponding to edges in G with exactly one vertex in R (and thus all others in T). The sets Q and S we want to find correspond to a complete bipartite subgraph of this graph with parts of size q and s respectively. Suppose that such a subgraph does not exist. [1] tells us then that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{2} dr n^{k-1} &< z \left(\binom{n-r}{k-1}, r; s, q \right) < (s-1)^{1/q} (r-q+1) \binom{n-r}{k-1}^{1-1/q} + (q-1) \binom{n-r}{k-1} \\ &\leq s^{1/q} r \binom{n}{k-1}^{1-1/q} + q \binom{n}{k-1} \leq s^{1/q} r \binom{n}{k-1}^{1-1/q} + \frac{1}{2} dr n^{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

Where the last inequality follows from our choice of r .

Rearranging and approximating the binomial coefficient, we get

$$dr n^{k-1} < s^{1/q} r n^{(k-1)(1-1/q)} \iff d < \left(\frac{s}{n^{k-1}} \right)^{1/q}$$

Which is false for the given choice of s . □

Lemma 5. *For this choice of parameters, the number of edges s in G' is such that we can apply the algorithm to G' . Furthermore, the resulting q' satisfies $q' \geq q$.*

Proof. First we calculate a lower bond for the corresponding edge density d' in G' :

$$d' = \frac{s}{(n-r)^{k-1}} \geq \frac{(n^{k-1} d^q - 1)}{n^{k-1}} \geq d^q - n^{1-k}$$

Note however that because $1 \geq d \geq 2^{k+1} n^{-\frac{1}{2^{k-1}}}$ and $q \geq 2$, we have

$$d^q \geq 2^{q(k+1)} n^{-\frac{q}{2^{k-1}}} \geq 2^{k+1} n^{-\frac{1}{2^{k-1}}} \left(\frac{\log n}{\log(2^{k+1}/d)} \right)^{1/(k-1)} \geq 2^{k+1} n^{-\frac{1}{2^{k-1}}} \left(\frac{\log n}{2^{1-k} \log(n)} \right)^{1/(k-1)} = 2^{k+1} n^{-\frac{1}{2^{k-2}}}$$

Now, clearly this means that $n^{1-k} \leq \frac{1}{2}d^q \implies d' \geq \frac{1}{2}d^q \implies d' \geq 2^k n^{-\frac{1}{2^{k-2}}}$, satisfying our minimum density requirement for $k-1$. Furthermore, we can bound

$$\begin{aligned}
q' &\geq \left\lfloor \left(\frac{\log((n-r)/2^{k-2})}{\log(2 \cdot 2^{(k-1)+1}/d^q)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-2}} \right\rfloor \geq \left\lfloor \left(\frac{\log(n/2^{k-1})}{\log(2 \cdot 2^{(k-1)+1}/d^q)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-2}} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \left(\frac{\log(n/2^{k-1})}{(k+1)\log 2 - q\log d} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-2}} \right\rfloor \\
&\geq \left\lfloor \left(\frac{\log(n/2^{k-1})}{(k+1)\log 2 - \left(\frac{\log(n/2^{k-1})}{\log(2^{k+1}/d)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \log d} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-2}} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \left(\frac{\log(n/2^{k-1})^{1-\frac{1}{k-1}}}{\frac{(k+1)\log 2}{\log(n/2^{k-1})^{\frac{1}{k-1}}} - \frac{\log d}{\log(2^{k+1}/d)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-2}} \right\rfloor \\
&\geq \left\lfloor \frac{(\log n)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}}{\left(\frac{\log(2^{k+1}/d)}{\log(2^{k+1}/d)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-2}}} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{(\log n)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}}{(\log(2^{k+1}/d))^{(1-\frac{1}{k-1})\frac{1}{k-2}}} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \left(\frac{\log n}{\log(2^{k+1}/d)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \right\rfloor = q
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that $n \geq 2^{k+1}/d$, which is a consequence of our minimum density requirement.

□

References

- [1] T. Kővari, V. Sós, and Turán P. On a problem of k. zarankiewicz. *Colloquium Mathematicae*, 3(1):50–57, 1954.
- [2] Dhruv Mubayi and György Turán. Finding bipartite subgraphs efficiently. *Information Processing Letters*, 110(5):174–177, 2010.