# Finding Partite Hypergraphs Efficiently

Ferran Espuña Bertomeu

June 2025

Abstract

TODO

### 1 Introduction

Hypergraph Turán problems study how many edges a k-uniform hypergraph H = (V, E) with n vertices can have without containing a specific subgraph G. The maximal such number is known as the  $Turán\ number\ ex(n,G)$ . It is known [3] that  $ex(n,G) = o\left(\binom{n}{k}\right)$  if and only if G is k-partite, i.e., if its vertex set can be partitioned into k disjoint sets such that each edge contains exactly one vertex from each part. Kővári, Sós, and Turán [4] (for k=2) and Erdős [2] (for any  $k \geq 2$ ) established that

$$\operatorname{ex}(n, K(t, \overset{k}{\dots}, t)) = \mathcal{O}\left(n^{k - \frac{1}{t^{(k-1)}}}\right),$$

where  $K(t, \cdot k, t)$  is the complete balanced k-partite k-graph with k parts of size t. Furthermore, if H is a k-graph with at least  $d\binom{n}{k}$  edges for some constant d > 0, then it contains a  $K(t, \cdot k, t)$  with  $t = c_d \log(n)^{1/(k-1)}$ .

This result is non-constructive, meaning it guarantees the existence of such a subgraph but does not provide an efficient way to find it. Note that a simple brute-force search for a  $K(t, .^k.., t)$  would involve checking all  $\binom{n}{kt}$  vertex subsets, which is superpolynomial in n for  $t = \Theta((\log n)^{1/(k-1)})$ . Mubayi and Turán [5] developed a polynomial-time algorithm for the case k = 2, which reaches the stated order of magnitude for the subgraph part size. This paper extends their approach to the general case of k-uniform hypergraphs, reaching analogous results for  $k \geq 3$ . More concretely, we prove the following.

**Theorem 1.** There is a deterministic algorithm that, given a k-graph H with n vertices and  $m = d\binom{n}{k}$  edges, finds a complete balanced k-partite subgraph  $K(t, \cdot k, t)$  in polynomial time, where

$$t = t(n, d, k) = \left| \left( \frac{\log n}{\log(16/d)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \right|$$

This value of t matches the order of magnitude from existence proofs. In fact, a probabilistic argument shows that it is the best possible up to a constant factor.

## 2 The algorithm

We present a recursive algorithm, FindPartite, that finds a K(t, .k., t) in a given k-graph H. The core idea is to reduce the uniformity of the problem from k to k-1 in each recursive step. The algorithm takes a k-graph H with n vertices and m edges as input. It first defines the target part size t, a small set size w, and a threshold edge count s for the recursive call, based on the input graph's parameters:

$$t = t(n, d, k) = \left[ \left( \frac{\log n}{\log(16/d)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \right],$$

$$w = w(n, d, k) = \left[ \frac{4t}{d} \right], \text{ and}$$

$$s = s(n, d, k) = \left[ \left( \frac{d}{4} \right)^t \binom{n}{k-1} \right],$$

where  $d = \frac{m}{\binom{n}{k}}$  is the edge density of H. The main steps are:

- 1. Base Case (k = 1): The edge set of a 1-graph is just a collection of vertices. Return the set of all vertices that are "edges".
- 2. Select High-Degree Vertices: Choose a set  $W \subset V$  of w vertices with the highest degrees in H.
- 3. Find a Dense Link Graph: Iterate through all t-subsets  $T \subset W$ . For each T, consider the set S of all (k-1)-subsets of V that form a hyperedge with every vertex in T.
- 4. **Recurse:** As we prove further along using the Kővári–Sós–Turán theorem, for at least one choice of T, the resulting set S will be large  $(|S| \ge s)$ . We form a new (k-1)-graph H' = (V, S) and make a recursive call: FindPartite(H', k-1).
- 5. Construct Solution: The recursive call returns k-1 parts  $V_1, \ldots, V_{k-1}$  of size at least t. By construction, every choice of vertices from these parts forms an edge in H' with every vertex of T. Thus,  $(T_1, \ldots, T_{k-1}, T)$  form the desired  $K(t, \cdot, \cdot, t)$  in the original graph H.

The pseudocode is given in Algorithm 1.

#### **Algorithm 1** Finding a balanced partite k-graph

```
1: function FINDPARTITE(H, k)
 2:
          if k = 1 then
               return (\{x \colon \{x\} \in E(H)\})
 3:
 4:
          n \leftarrow |V(H)|, m \leftarrow |E(H)|, d \leftarrow \frac{m}{\binom{n}{k}}
 5:
          t \leftarrow t(n, d, k), \ w \leftarrow w(n, d, k), \ s \leftarrow s(n, d, k)
 6:
          assert t \ge 2
 7:
          W \leftarrow a set of w vertices with highest degree in H
 8:
          for all T \in \binom{W}{t} do
S \leftarrow \{ y \in \binom{V}{k-1} : \forall x \in T, \{x\} \cup y \in E(H) \}
 9:
10:
               if |S| \ge s then
11:
                    H' \leftarrow (V, S)
                                                                                                          \triangleright H' is a (k-1)-graph
12.
                    (V_1, \ldots, V_{k-1}) \leftarrow \text{FINDPARTITE}(H', k-1)
13:
                    return (V_1, \ldots, V_{k-1}, T)
14:
               end if
15:
          end for
16:
17: end function
```

## 3 Analysis

We now present the proof of correctness and polynomial runtime for our algorithm. We assume  $t \ge 2$  for our estimates to be easier. If t < 2, we may just return the vertices of any single edge in H.

#### 3.1 Correctness

First, we will prove that in step 3 of the algorithm we indeed find a set  $T \in {W \choose t}$  such that the associated set  $S \subset {V \choose k-1}$  has size at least s. For this, consider the bipartite graph B with parts  ${V \choose k-1}$  and W with edge set

$$\left\{ (x,y) \in \binom{V}{k-1} \times W \middle| x \cup \{y\} \in E \right\}.$$

The edges of B correspond to the edges containing each vertex in W, so there are

$$z = \sum_{y \in W} d_H(y) \ge k \cdot m \cdot \frac{w}{n} = \frac{k \cdot w \cdot d \cdot \binom{n}{k}}{n} = w \cdot d \cdot \binom{n-1}{k-1}$$

of them, where the inequality follows from the fact that we have picked a set of w vertices with highest degree in H. The existence of a set  $T \subset W$  as desired is equivalent to there being  $T \subset W$  of size t and a set  $S \subset \binom{V}{k-1}$  of size s such that the induced bipartite subgraph B[S,T] is complete. To prove that this is the case, we use a version the Kővári–Sós–Turán theorem [4], which we state and prove here for completeness.

**Lemma 2.** Let u, w, s, t be positive integers with  $u \ge s$ ,  $w \ge t$ , and let B be a bipartite graph with parts W and U such that |U| = u, |W| = w. If B has more than

$$(s-1)^{1/t}(w-t+1)u^{1-1/t}+(t-1)u$$

edges, then there are  $T \subset W$  of size t and  $S \subset U$  of size s such that the induced bipartite subgraph B[T,S] is complete.

We apply this lemma with  $u = \binom{n}{k-1}$ . It can be checked that our parameter satisfy the requirements  $u \ge s$  and  $w \ge t$ . Furthermore,  $w \le n$  so the set W is well-defined. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that

$$w \cdot d \cdot \binom{n-1}{k-1} \le (s-1)^{1/t} (w-t+1) \binom{n}{k-1}^{1-1/t} + (t-1) \binom{n}{k-1}.$$

Algebraic manipulation then shows that

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot w \cdot d \le w \cdot d \cdot \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right) \le w \left(\frac{s-1}{\binom{n}{k-1}}\right)^{1/t} + (t-1),$$

where the first inequality follows from  $n \geq 2k$ , which follows from  $t \geq 2$ . Finally, since  $t \leq \frac{w \cdot d}{4}$  by the definition of w, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{d}{4}\right)^t \binom{n}{k-1} < s-1,$$

against the definition of s. We are now ready to prove that the algorithm returns a K(t, ..., t). More precisely, we show the following.

**Theorem 3.** For  $k \geq 2$ , if  $t \geq 2$ , Algorithm 1 returns a tuple  $(V_1, \ldots, V_k)$  of disjoint sets  $V_i \subset V(H)$  such that  $|V_i| \geq t$  and  $H[V_1, \ldots, V_k]$  is complete.

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on k. For k=2, the recursive call returns the common neighborhood  $V_1$  of the vertices in T, which is obviously disjoint from T, so it only remains to check that  $|V_1| \geq t$ . Now, since by construction  $|V_1| = |S| \geq s$ , it is enough that

$$s = \left\lceil \left(\frac{d}{4}\right)^t n \right\rceil \ge \left(\frac{d}{4}\right)^{\frac{\log n}{\log(16/d)}} n = \frac{1}{n} \cdot 4^{\frac{\log n}{\log(16/d)}} \cdot n \ge 4^t > t.$$

For  $k \geq 3$ , we assume the inductive hypothesis holds for k-1. If d' is the edge density of the (k-1)-graph H' and t' = t(n, d', k-1), as long as  $t' \geq 2$ , the recursive call returns a tuple  $(V_1, \ldots, V_{k-1})$  of disjoint sets  $V_i \subset V(H)$  such that  $|V_i| \geq t'$  and  $H'[V_1, \ldots, V_{k-1}]$  is complete.

We claim that  $t' \geq t$ . This implies that  $t' \geq 2$  so we get to apply the inductive hypothesis to H'. Furthermore, the sets  $V_i$  are at least as large as desired. By construction of H', for all  $(x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}, y) \in V_1 \times \cdots \times V_{k-1} \times T$ , we have that  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{k-1}, y\} \in E(H)$ . In particular, because all  $V_i$  are nonempty, this implies that T is disjoint from each of them. Finally,  $H[V_1, \ldots, V_{k-1}, T]$  is complete, finishing the proof.

Let us now prove the claim that  $t' \geq t$ . By the definition of s, we have  $d' \geq \left(\frac{d}{4}\right)^t$ . Therefore,

$$t' \ge \left| \left( \frac{\log n}{\log \left( \frac{16}{(d/4)^t} \right)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-2}} \right| \ge \left| \left( \frac{\log n}{\log 16 - t \log(d/4)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-2}} \right|.$$

Then, we substitute the definition of t, where removing the floor function maintains the inequality because the right hand side is decreasing in t (recall  $d \le 1$ ):

$$t' \ge \left[ \left( \frac{\log n}{\log 16 - \left( \frac{\log n}{\log(16/d)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \log(d/4)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-2}} \right] = \left[ \left( \frac{\left( \log n \right)^{\left( 1 - \frac{1}{k-1} \right)}}{\frac{\log 16}{(\log n)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}} - \frac{\log(d/4)}{\log(16/d)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-2}} \right].$$

If we bound the denominator by showing that

$$\frac{\log 16}{(\log n)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}} - \frac{\log(d/4)}{\log(16/d)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}} \le (\log(16/d))^{\left(1 - \frac{1}{k-1}\right)},\tag{1}$$

then the expression simplifies to

$$t' \ge \left| \left( \frac{(\log n)^{\left(1 - \frac{1}{k-1}\right)}}{(\log(16/d))^{\left(1 - \frac{1}{k-1}\right)}} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-2}} \right| = \left| \left( \frac{\log n}{\log(16/d)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-2}\left(1 - \frac{1}{k-1}\right)} \right| = \left| \left( \frac{\log n}{\log(16/d)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \right| = t,$$

as desired. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that (1) does not hold. We can rewrite

$$(\log(16/d))^{\left(1-\frac{1}{k-1}\right)} = \frac{\log(16/d)}{\log(16/d)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}}$$

and rearrange the inequality to obtain

$$\frac{\log 16}{(\log n)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}} > \frac{\log (16/d) + \log (d/4)}{\log (16/d)^{\frac{1}{k-1}}} = \frac{\log 4}{(\log (16/d))^{\frac{1}{k-1}}}.$$

This implies that

$$t \le \left(\frac{\log n}{\log(16/d)}\right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} < \frac{\log 16}{\log 4} = 2,$$

which contradicts the assumption that  $t \geq 2$ .

### 3.2 Complexity

TODO re-evaluate the complexity analysis.

### 4 Conclusion and Future Work

We have presented a deterministic, polynomial-time algorithm to find a large complete balanced k-partite subgraph in any sufficiently dense k-uniform hypergraph. This provides a constructive counterpart to a classical existence result by Erdős in extremal hypergraph theory.

Several avenues for future research remain open.

- General Blow-ups: Our algorithm finds a blow-up of a single edge,  $K(t, \cdot, t)$ . Can this framework be adapted to find a  $t_n$ -blowup of an arbitrary fixed k-graph G? Existence theorems guarantee such structures, but efficient algorithms are lacking.
- Unbalanced Partite Graphs: The algorithm could be modified to search for unbalanced complete partite graphs  $K(t_1, \ldots, t_k)$ , where the part sizes may grow at different rates.
- Optimality: The bounds on t are asymptotically tight, but the constants can likely be improved with a more refined analysis. For k=2, it is known that in dense graphs one can find a  $t=\Theta(\log n)$  blow-up of any bipartite graph. It is an open question if a constructive proof for this stronger result exists for  $k \geq 2$ .

### References

- [1] B. Bollobás and P. Erdős. On the structure of edge graphs. Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 5(3):317–321, 1973.
- [2] P. Erdős. On extremal problems of graphs and generalized graphs. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 2:183–190, 1964.
- [3] P. Keevash. Hypergraph Turán problems. In Surveys in combinatorics 2011, volume 392 of London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, pages 83–139. Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [4] T. Kővári, V. T. Sós, and P. Turán. On a problem of K. Zarankiewicz. *Colloquium Mathematicum*, 3:50–57, 1954.
- [5] D. Mubayi and G. Turán. Finding bipartite subgraphs efficiently. arXiv preprint arXiv:0905.2527, 2009.