

Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya  
Facultat de Matemàtiques i Estadística

Master in Advanced Mathematics and Mathematical Engineering  
Master's thesis

# **Finding Partite Graphs Efficiently**

**Ferran Espuña Bertomeu**

Supervised by Richard Lang

June 2025



Thanks to...



## Abstract

## Keywords

hypergraph, algorithm, graph, partite, extremal

# 1. Introduction

TODO: Write introduction

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we introduce some basic definitions and results that will be used throughout the thesis.

**Definition 2.1.** For an integer  $k \geq 2$  a finite  $k$ -graph is a tuple  $G = (V, E)$  where  $V$  is a finite set and  $E \subseteq \binom{V}{k}$ . We call the elements of  $V =: V(G)$  its *vertices* and those of  $E =: E(G)$  its *edges*.

*Remark 2.2.* If we let  $k = 2$  we recover the usual definition of a graph.

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  and  $H = (W, F)$  be  $k$ -graphs. A *homomorphism* from  $G$  to  $H$  is a map  $f : V \rightarrow W$  such that for every edge  $e \in E$  the set  $f(e) := \{f(v) \mid v \in e\}$  is an edge in  $H$  (that is,  $f(e) \in F$ ). If such a homomorphism exists and is injective, we say that  $f$  is an *embedding* of  $G$  on  $H$  and that  $H$  contains  $G$  as a subgraph. If, furthermore,  $f^{-1} : \text{Im}(f) \rightarrow V$  is a homomorphism, we say that  $f$  is an *induced embedding* and that  $H$  contains  $G$  as an *induced subgraph*. We write  $G \subseteq H$ . If, in addition,  $f$  is a bijection, we say that  $f$  is an *isomorphism* and that  $G$  is *isomorphic* to  $H$ . We write  $G \cong H$ .

*Remark 2.4.* It is elementary to check that (induced) inclusion is an order relation and that isomorphism is an equivalence relation. Furthermore, isomorphism preserves (induced) inclusion. Therefore, we can talk about the (induced) subgraph condition up to isomorphism, both in the *host*  $k$ -graph ( $H$ ) and in the *guest*  $k$ -graph ( $G$ ).

*Remark 2.5.* Given a  $k$ -graph  $G = (V, E)$  and a set  $W$  satisfying  $|V| = |W|$ , we can define an edge set  $E'$  on  $W$  such that  $G \cong (W, E')$  by taking any bijection  $f : V \rightarrow W$  and setting  $E' = \{f(e) \mid e \in E\}$ . This frees us, up to isomorphism, to change or reorder the vertices of a  $k$ -graph.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a  $k$ -graph with nonempty edge set and  $n \geq |V|$  be an integer. Then there exists an integer  $M_0 = \text{ex}(n, G) \in [0, \binom{n}{k})$  such that the condition

“All  $k$ -graphs with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges contain  $G$  as a subgraph”

is true for all  $\binom{n}{k} \geq m > M_0$  and false for all  $0 \leq m \leq M_0$ .

*Proof.* Note that, if  $M_0$  exists, clearly it is unique. Also, the condition is clearly false for  $m = 0$  and true for  $m = \binom{n}{k}$  (the only graph  $H$  with vertex set  $W$ ,  $|W| = n$  and  $\binom{|W|}{k}$  vertices is the one having all  $k$ -sets of vertices so any injective map  $f : V \rightarrow W$  is an embedding of  $G$  in  $H$ ). We only need to show that if the condition is true for  $m$  then it is true for all  $m' \geq m$ . Suppose it is true for  $m$  and let  $m' \geq m$ . Let  $H = (W, F)$  be a  $k$ -graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m'$  edges. We can just take  $F' \subseteq F$  with  $|F'| = m$ . By hypothesis, the graph  $H' = (W, F')$  contains  $G$  as a subgraph, and the identity map in  $W$  is an embedding of  $H'$  in  $H$ :

$$G \subseteq H' \subseteq H \implies G \subseteq H \quad \square$$

*Remark 2.7.* We call  $\text{ex}(n, G)$  the *extremal number* of  $G$ . It is clearly invariant under isomorphism.

**Definition 2.8.** for an integer  $p \geq k$ , a  $k$ -graph  $G = (V, E)$  is  $p$ -*partite* if there exists a partition  $V = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_p$  such that every edge  $e \in E$  intersects every part  $V_i$  in at most one vertex. We may write  $G = (V_1, \dots, V_p; E)$  and say that  $G$  is a *partite  $k$ -graph* on  $V_1, \dots, V_p$ .

*Remark 2.9.* If  $p = k$ , every edge intersects every part in exactly one vertex, so we can identify the edges with a subset of  $V_1 \times \dots \times V_k$ .

**Definition 2.10.** A  $k$ -partite  $k$ -graph  $G = (V_1, \dots, V_k; E)$  is *complete* if every  $k$ -set of vertices  $(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  with  $v_i \in V_i$  satisfies  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \in E$ . We write  $G = K(V_1, \dots, V_k)$ .

*Remark 2.11.*  $V_1, \dots, V_k, W_1, \dots, W_k$  are disjoint sets, and  $|V_i| = |W_i| =: a_i$  for all  $i$  then it is elementary to check that

$$K(V_1, \dots, V_k) \cong K(W_1, \dots, W_k)$$

by a construction very similar to the one in Remark 2.5. This allows us to talk about *the* complete  $k$ -partite  $k$ -graph on  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  vertices, which we denote by  $K(a_1, \dots, a_k)$ .

*Remark 2.12.* All  $k$ -partite  $k$ -graphs with part sizes  $b_1 \leq a_1, \dots, b_k \leq a_k$  are contained in  $K(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  as subgraphs. This lets us follow the exact same argument as in Proposition 2.6 to define the following:

**Definition 2.13.** let  $0 < t_1 \leq n_1, \dots, 0 < t_k \leq n_k$  be integers. Then the *generalized Zarankiewicz number*  $z(n_1, \dots, n_k; t_1, \dots, t_k)$  is the largest integer  $0 \leq z < n_1 \dots n_k$  for which there exists  $k$ -partite  $k$ -graph  $H$  with part sizes  $|V_1| = n_1, \dots, |V_k| = n_k$  and  $z$  edges such that no embedding  $f$  of  $K(W_1, \dots, W_k)$  with  $|W_i| = t_i$  in it exists satisfying  $f(W_i) \subseteq V_i$  for all  $i$ .

*Remark 2.14.* Finding this number can help us upper bound the extremal number of  $K(t_1, \dots, t_k)$  asymptotically: Assume that  $G$  is a  $K(t_1, \dots, t_k)$ -free  $n$ -vertex  $k$ -graph with  $m$  edges. pick  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  such that  $\sum_i n_i = n$  and  $n_i \sim n/k$ . Let  $V_1, \dots, V_k$  be a random partition of  $V(G)$  with  $|V_i| = n_i$ . for an edge  $e \in E(G)$ , the probability that  $e$  is an edge in  $K(V_1, \dots, V_k)$  is greater than

$$k! \prod_i n_i \sim k!(1/k)^k$$

which is independent of  $n$ . Therefore, the expected number of edges satisfying this condition is a positive fraction of  $m$ . Applying the probabilistic method, we can conclude that

$$ex(n, K(t_1, \dots, t_k)) = O(z(n_1, \dots, n_k; t_1, \dots, t_k))$$

The problem on finding the Zarankiewicz number was first posed by K. Zarankiewicz in 1951 for the case of bipartite 2-graphs (that is, finding  $z(m, n; s, t)$ ), in terms of finding all-1 minors in a matrix. An upper bound for it in the case  $m = n, s = t$  was found by Kővari, Sós and Turán in [4] in 1954. This was generalized to arbitrary complete partite 2-graphs by C. Hyltén-Cavallius in [3] in 1958. The result is stated and proved here for completeness:

**Theorem 2.15.** Let  $0 < m \leq s$  and  $0 < n \leq t$  be integers. Then

$$z(m, n; s, t) \leq (s-1)^{1/t}(n-t+1)m^{1-1/t} + (t-1)m$$

*Proof.* Suppose that we have a graph  $G = (V_1, V_2; E)$  with  $|V_1| = m$ ,  $|V_2| = n$  and  $|E| = z$  exceeding the bound. Let us consider the set

$$P = \left\{ (x, Y) \in V_1 \times \binom{V_2}{t} \mid \forall y \in Y : \{x, y\} \in E \right\}$$

Counting on the first coordinate, and using Jensen's inequality, we get

$$|P| = \sum_{x \in V_1} \binom{d_G(x)}{t} = \sum_{x \in V_1} f(d_G(x)) \geq m \sum_{x \in V_1} f(z/m) = m \binom{z/m}{t}$$



Where we define

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} \binom{x}{t}, & \text{if } x \geq t-1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Which is convex, meaning we get the inequality as Jensen's inequality. The other equalities come from the fact that  $f(d)$  agrees with  $\binom{d}{t}$  for all integers  $d \geq 0$ ; and that by our bound on  $z$ ,  $z \geq (t-1)m \implies z/m \geq t-1$ .

If we had  $s$  different elements of  $P$  with the same second coordinate  $T$ , they would all necessarily have different first coordinates (say  $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$ ). But now, by definition of  $P$ , for all  $a \in S, b \in T$ , we have  $\{a, b\} \in E$ . This would mean that the inclusion map from  $S \cup T$  to  $V_1 \cup V_2$  is an embedding of  $K(s, t)$  in  $G$ , as described in Definition 2.13. Supposing that this is not the case, by the pigeonhole principle, we have:

$$|P| \leq (s-1) \binom{n}{t}$$

Putting the two inequalities together, we get:

$$m \binom{z/m}{t} \leq (s-1) \binom{n}{t}$$

Now, because we can see  $E$  as a subset of  $V_1 \times V_2$ , we get  $z \leq mn \implies z/m \leq n$ . In particular, we have:

$$\frac{(z/m - (t-1))^t}{\binom{z/m}{t}} \leq \frac{(n - (t-1))^t}{\binom{n}{t}}$$

which is true for each factor when expanding the denominators. Multiplying the two inequalities, we get:

$$m(z/m - (t-1))^t \leq (s-1)(n - (t-1))^t$$

which, by algebraic manipulation, gives

$$z \leq (s-1)^{1/t} (n - t + 1) m^{1-1/t} + (t-1)m$$

In contradiction with our assumption. □

*Remark 2.16.* Following Remark 2.14, we can use this bound to get an upper bound on the extremal number of  $K(s, t)$ :

$$ex(n, K(s, t)) = O\left((s-1)^{1/t} (n - t + 1) n^{1-1/t} + (t-1)n\right) = O\left(n^{2-1/t}\right)$$

Note that if  $s < t$ , we get the better bound  $O(n^{2-1/s})$  by interchanging the roles of  $s$  and  $t$ .

In 1964, Erdős [2] generalized this result to arbitrary complete partite  $k$ -graphs in the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.17.**  $ex(n, K(t, \dots, t)) = O\left(n^{k - \frac{1}{t^{k-1}}}\right)$

A more modern proof of this result can be found in [1], which also generalizes it to arbitrary complete  $k$ -partite  $k$ -graphs (not necessarily with equal part sizes).

### 3. Our Algorithm

In this section we present a polynomial-time algorithm to find a balanced partite  $k$ -graph in a given  $k$ -graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges with part size in the same order of magnitude as stated in Theorem 2.17.

*Remark 3.1.* If we let  $q$  be the size of each part in the partite  $k$ -graph we are looking for, we need

$$m \leq \text{ex}(n, K(t, \dots, t)) = O(n^{k-1}q^{k-1}).$$

## 4. Bibliography

### References

- [1] Matías Azócar Carvajal, Giovanne Santos, and Mathias Schacht. Canonical ramsey numbers for partite hypergraphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.16218*, 2024.
- [2] P. Erdős. On extremal problems of graphs and generalized graphs. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 2(3):183–190, September 1964.
- [3] C. Hyltén-Cavallius. On a combinatorical problem. *Colloquium Mathematicae*, 6(1):61–65, 1958.
- [4] T. Kővari, V. Sós, and Turán P. On a problem of k. zarankiewicz. *Colloquium Mathematicae*, 3(1):50–57, 1954.