Finding Partite Hypergraphs Efficiently

Ferran Espuña Bertomeu

Supervisor: Richard Lang

June 2025

k-Graphs

Definition

A *k-graph* is a pair $G = (V, E)$ where V is a finite set of *vertices* and $E \subseteq \binom{V}{k}$ is a set of *edges*.



Figure: A complete 3-graph on 4 vertices: $K_4^{(3)}$.

Partite k-Graphs

Definition

A k-graph $G = (V, E)$ is *r-partite* if there exists a partition $V = V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_r$ such that every edge of G intersects every part V_i in at most one vertex. We write $G = (V_1, \ldots, V_r; E)$.



Figure: A complete 3-partite 2-graph: $K^{(2)}(2, 2, 2)$.

Partite k-Graphs

Remark

We may identify E as a subset of $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{\{i_1,\dots,i_k\}\in\binom{[r]}{k}} V_{i_1} \times \cdots \times V_{i_k}$. If $E = \mathcal{C}$, we say that G is a *complete* r-partite k-graph.



Figure: A complete 3-partite 3-graph: $K^{(3)}(2,2,2)$.

Turán-Type Problems

Definition

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a k-graph and $n \geq |V|$ an integer.
The *Turán number* $\mathrm{ex}(n, G)$ is the maximum number of edges in a k-graph on n vertices that does not contain a copy of G as a subgraph.

Determining $\mathrm{ex}(n, G)$ or estimating it as $n \to \infty$ is known as the *Turán problem* for G.

Theorem

For all k-graphs G there exists a constant $\alpha(G) \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$ex(n, G) = (\alpha(G) + o(1)) \cdot \binom{n}{k} \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Furthermore, $\alpha(G) = 0$ if and only if G is k-partite.

The Kővari–Sós–Turán Theorem

The bound $\mathrm{ex}\,(n, G) = o(n^k)$ can be improved by a lot.

Definition

The *Zarankiewicz number* $z(v_1, \ldots, v_k; t_1, \ldots, t_k)$ is the largest integer z for which There is a k-partite k-graph $H = (V_1, \ldots V_k, F)$ with

- Part sizes $|V_i| = v_i$
- $|F = z|$ edges
- No complete subgraph $K\,(W_1, \ldots, W_k)$ with $W_i \subset V_i$ and $|W_i| = t_i$.

Theorem (Kővari–Sós–Turán)

$$z(u, w; s, t) \leq (s-1)^{1/t}(w-t+1)u^{1-1/t} + (t-1)u$$

By a probabilistic argument, this implies that $\mathrm{ex}\,(n, K(s,t)) = \mathcal{O}\left(n^{2-1/t}\right)$.

Kővari–Sós–Turán: Proof Sketch. $(u, s) = (5, 3); (w, t) = (4, 2)$

This graph has the maximum number of edges ($|E| = 13$) to be $K_{3,2}$-free.



- **Hypothesis:** $H = (U, W; E)$ is a $K(s, t)$-free bipartite k-graph with $z = z(u, w; s, t)$ edges, where $|U| = u$ and $|W| = w$.

Kővari–Sós–Turán: Proof Sketch. $(u, s) = (5, 3); (w, t) = (4, 2)$

This graph has the maximum number of edges ($|E| = 13$) to be $K_{3,2}$-free.



For example, adding the edge $\{U_4, W_3\}$ creates a $K_{3,2}$ on vertices $\{U_1, U_2, U_4\}$ and $\{W_2, W_3\}$.

- **Hypothesis:** $H = (U, W; E)$ is a $K(s, t)$-free bipartite k-graph with $z = z(u, w; s, t)$ edges, where $|U| = u$ and $|W| = w$.

Kővari–Sós–Turán: Proof Sketch. $(u, s) = (5, 3); (w, t) = (4, 2)$

This graph has the maximum number of edges ($|E| = 13$) to be $K_{3,2}$-free.



For $x = U_1$, we count its $\binom{4}{2} = 6$ stars.

- **Hypothesis:** $H = (U, W; E)$ is a $K(s, t)$-free bipartite k-graph with $z = z(u, w; s, t)$ edges, where $|U| = u$ and $|W| = w$.
- **Counting Stars:** For each $x \in U$, there are $\binom{d_H(x)}{t}$ sets $T \subset W$ of t neighbors of x.

Kővari–Sós–Turán: Proof Sketch. $(u, s) = (5, 3); (w, t) = (4, 2)$

This graph has the maximum number of edges ($|E| = 13$) to be $K_{3,2}$-free.



For $x = U_1$, we count its $\binom{4}{2} = 6$ stars.

- **Hypothesis:** $H = (U, W; E)$ is a $K(s, t)$-free bipartite k-graph with $z = z(u, w; s, t)$ edges, where $|U| = u$ and $|W| = w$.
- **Counting Stars:** For each $x \in U$, there are $\binom{d_H(x)}{t}$ sets $T \subset W$ of t neighbors of x.

Kővari–Sós–Turán: Proof Sketch. $(u, s) = (5, 3); (w, t) = (4, 2)$

This graph has the maximum number of edges ($|E| = 13$) to be $K_{3,2}$-free.



For $x = U_1$, we count its $\binom{4}{2} = 6$ stars.

- **Hypothesis:** $H = (U, W; E)$ is a $K(s, t)$-free bipartite k-graph with $z = z(u, w; s, t)$ edges, where $|U| = u$ and $|W| = w$.
- **Counting Stars:** For each $x \in U$, there are $\binom{d_H(x)}{t}$ sets $T \subset W$ of t neighbors of x.

Kővari–Sós–Turán: Proof Sketch. $(u, s) = (5, 3); (w, t) = (4, 2)$

This graph has the maximum number of edges ($|E| = 13$) to be $K_{3,2}$-free.



For $x = U_1$, we count its $\binom{4}{2} = 6$ stars.

- **Hypothesis:** $H = (U, W; E)$ is a $K(s, t)$-free bipartite k-graph with $z = z(u, w; s, t)$ edges, where $|U| = u$ and $|W| = w$.
- **Counting Stars:** For each $x \in U$, there are $\binom{d_H(x)}{t}$ sets $T \subset W$ of t neighbors of x.

Kővari–Sós–Turán: Proof Sketch. $(u, s) = (5, 3); (w, t) = (4, 2)$

This graph has the maximum number of edges ($|E| = 13$) to be $K_{3,2}$-free.



For $x = U_1$, we count its $\binom{4}{2} = 6$ stars.

- **Hypothesis:** $H = (U, W; E)$ is a $K(s, t)$-free bipartite k-graph with $z = z(u, w; s, t)$ edges, where $|U| = u$ and $|W| = w$.
- **Counting Stars:** For each $x \in U$, there are $\binom{d_H(x)}{t}$ sets $T \subset W$ of t neighbors of x.

Kővari–Sós–Turán: Proof Sketch. $(u, s) = (5, 3); (w, t) = (4, 2)$

This graph has the maximum number of edges ($|E| = 13$) to be $K_{3,2}$-free.



For $x = U_1$, we count its $\binom{4}{2} = 6$ stars.

- **Hypothesis:** $H = (U, W; E)$ is a $K(s, t)$-free bipartite k-graph with $z = z(u, w; s, t)$ edges, where $|U| = u$ and $|W| = w$.
- **Counting Stars:** For each $x \in U$, there are $\binom{d_H(x)}{t}$ sets $T \subset W$ of t neighbors of x.

Kővari–Sós–Turán: Proof Sketch. $(u, s) = (5, 3); (w, t) = (4, 2)$

This graph has the maximum number of edges ($|E| = 13$) to be $K_{3,2}$-free.



In the example, there are at least $5\binom{13/5}{2} = 10.4$ stars (there are actually 12)

- **Hypothesis:** $H = (U, W; E)$ is a $K(s, t)$-free bipartite k-graph with $z = z(u, w; s, t)$ edges, where $|U| = u$ and $|W| = w$.
- **Counting Stars:** For each $x \in U$, there are $\binom{d_H(x)}{t}$ sets $T \subset W$ of t neighbors of x.
- **Averaging:** By a convexity argument, the number of stars is at least $u\binom{z/u}{t}$.

Kővari–Sós–Turán: Proof Sketch. $(u, s) = (5, 3); (w, t) = (4, 2)$

This graph has the maximum number of edges ($|E| = 13$) to be $K_{3,2}$-free.



Each set $T \subset W$ (in this case, $T = \{W_1, W_2\}$) is in at most $s - 1 = 3 - 1 = 2$ stars. In total, at most $2\binom{4}{2} = 12$ stars.

- **Hypothesis:** $H = (U, W; E)$ is a $K(s, t)$-free bipartite k-graph with $z = z(u, w; s, t)$ edges, where $|U| = u$ and $|W| = w$.
- **Counting Stars:** For each $x \in U$, there are $\binom{d_H(x)}{t}$ sets $T \subset W$ of t neighbors of x.
- **Averaging:** By a convexity argument, the number of stars is at least $u\binom{z/u}{t}$.
- **Bounding:** Because H is $K(s, t)$-free, each set $T \subset W$ is the right component of at most $(s - 1)$ stars.

Kővari–Sós–Turán: Proof Sketch. $(u, s) = (5, 3); (w, t) = (4, 2)$

This graph has the maximum number of edges ($|E| = 13$) to be $K_{3,2}$-free.



Each set $T \subset W$ (in this case, $T = \{W_1, W_2\}$) is in at most $s - 1 = 3 - 1 = 2$ stars. In total, at most $2\binom{4}{2} = 12$ stars.

- **Hypothesis:** $H = (U, W; E)$ is a $K(s, t)$-free bipartite k-graph with $z = z(u, w; s, t)$ edges, where $|U| = u$ and $|W| = w$.
- **Counting Stars:** For each $x \in U$, there are $\binom{d_H(x)}{t}$ sets $T \subset W$ of t neighbors of x.
- **Averaging:** By a convexity argument, the number of stars is at least $u\binom{z/u}{t}$.
- **Bounding:** Because H is $K(s, t)$-free, each set $T \subset W$ is the right component of at most $(s - 1)$ stars.

Kővari–Sós–Turán: Proof Sketch. $(u, s) = (5, 3); (w, t) = (4, 2)$

This graph has the maximum number of edges ($|E| = 13$) to be $K_{3,2}$-free.



Each set $T \subset W$ (in this case, $T = \{W_1, W_2\}$) is in at most $s - 1 = 3 - 1 = 2$ stars. In total, at most $2\binom{4}{2} = 12$ stars.

- **Hypothesis:** $H = (U, W; E)$ is a $K(s, t)$-free bipartite k-graph with $z = z(u, w; s, t)$ edges, where $|U| = u$ and $|W| = w$.
- **Counting Stars:** For each $x \in U$, there are $\binom{d_H(x)}{t}$ sets $T \subset W$ of t neighbors of x.
- **Averaging:** By a convexity argument, the number of stars is at least $u\binom{z/u}{t}$.
- **Bounding:** Because H is $K(s, t)$-free, each set $T \subset W$ is the right component of at most $(s - 1)$ stars.

Kővari–Sós–Turán: Proof Sketch. $(u, s) = (5, 3); (w, t) = (4, 2)$

This graph has the maximum number of edges ($|E| = 13$) to be $K_{3,2}$-free.



In the example, we conclude that $10.4 \leq 12$, which is true. For bigger values of z this would fail, leading to contradiction and therefore upper bounding z.

- **Hypothesis:** $H = (U, W; E)$ is a $K(s, t)$-free bipartite k-graph with $z = z(u, w; s, t)$ edges, where $|U| = u$ and $|W| = w$.
- **Counting Stars:** For each $x \in U$, there are $\binom{d_H(x)}{t}$ sets $T \subset W$ of t neighbors of x.
- **Averaging:** By a convexity argument, the number of stars is at least $u\binom{z/u}{t}$.
- **Bounding:** Because H is $K(s, t)$-free, each set $T \subset W$ is the right component of at most $(s - 1)$ stars.
- **Conclusion:** $u\binom{z/u}{t} \leq (s - 1)\binom{w}{t}$, from which the theorem follows.

Erdős's Bound for Hypergraphs (1964)

Theorem (Erdős '64)

For integers $k \geq 2, t \geq 2$, $ex\left(n, K\left(t, \overset{k}{\ldots}, t\right)\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(n^{k-\frac{1}{t^{k-1}}}\right)$.

This generalizes the Kővari–Sós–Turán theorem to k-graphs.

It follows from a similar bound on the corresponding generalized Zarankiewicz number, obtained by induction.

Suppose that $H = (V_1, \ldots, V_k; F)$ is a k-graph with $|W_i| = w$. Let H have z edges and no copy of $K\left(t, \overset{k}{\ldots}, t\right)$.

We set up a bipartite k-graph $H' = (U, W; F')$ with

$$\begin{aligned} U &= W_1 \times \cdots \times W_{k-1} \\ W &= W_k \\ F' &= \{(X, y) \in U \times W \mid X \cup \{y\} \in F\}. \end{aligned}$$

Erdős's Bound: Proof Sketch ($k = 3, t = 2$)





Erdős's Bound: Proof Sketch ($k = 3, t = 2$)





Erdős's Bound: Proof Sketch ($k = 3, t = 2$)





Erdős's Bound: Proof Sketch ($k = 3, t = 2$)





Erdős's Bound: Proof Sketch ($k = 3, t = 2$)





Erdős's Bound: Proof Sketch ($k = 3, t = 2$)





Erdős's Bound: Proof Sketch ($k = 3, t = 2$)





Erdős's Bound: Proof Sketch ($k = 3, t = 2$)



Erdős's Bound: Proof Sketch ($k = 3, t = 2$)





Erdős's Bound: Proof Sketch ($k = 3, t = 2$)





Erdős's Bound: Proof Sketch ($k = 3, t = 2$)





Implications of Erdős's Bound

Doing the calculations more carefully, we can show:

Theorem

Let $k \geq 2$ and $d > 0$. Then there exists a constant $\delta = \delta(k, d) > 0$ such that every k-graph G with n vertices and dn^k edges contains $K\left(t, \overset{k}{\ldots}, t\right)$ with

$$t \geq \delta \cdot (\log n)^{1/(k-1)}.$$

Implications of Erdős's Bound

Doing the calculations more carefully, we can show:

Theorem

Let $k \geq 2$ and $d > 0$. Then there exists a constant $\delta = \delta(k, d) > 0$ such that every k-graph G with n vertices and dn^k edges contains $K\left(t, \overset{k}{\ldots}, t\right)$ with

$$t \geq \delta \cdot (\log n)^{1/(k-1)}.$$

That is, k-graphs of constant density contain complete balanced k-partite k-subgraphs of **growing** part size.

Implications of Erdős's Bound

Doing the calculations more carefully, we can show:

Theorem

Let $k \geq 2$ and $d > 0$. Then there exists a constant $\delta = \delta(k, d) > 0$ such that every k-graph G with n vertices and dn^k edges contains $K\left(t, \overset{k}{\ldots}, t\right)$ with

$$t \geq \delta \cdot (\log n)^{1/(k-1)}.$$

That is, k-graphs of constant density contain complete balanced k-partite k-subgraphs of **growing** part size.

A probabilistic argument shows that this is tight up to the constant $\delta(k, d)$.

The Algorithmic problem

The proofs shown are **non-constructive**.

The Algorithmic problem

The proofs shown are **non-constructive**.
We want to **find** $K\left(t, \overset{k}{\ldots}, t\right)$ in $H = (V, E)$ of constant density $d = m/n^k$.

The Algorithmic problem

The proofs shown are **non-constructive**.
We want to **find** $K\left(t, \overset{k}{\ldots}, t\right)$ in $H = (V, E)$ of constant density $d = m/n^k$.
Naïve approach: enumerate all k-partite k-subgraphs of H.

The Algorithmic problem

The proofs shown are **non-constructive**.
We want to **find** $K\left(t, \overset{k}{\dots}, t\right)$ in $H = (V, E)$ of constant density $d = m/n^k$.
Naïve approach: enumerate all k-partite k-subgraphs of H.
Problem: There are on the order of

$$\binom{n}{t} \geq \binom{n}{\delta(\log n)^{1/(k-1)}}$$

such sets, which is **not** polynomial in n.

The Algorithmic problem

The proofs shown are **non-constructive**.
We want to **find** $K\left(t, \overset{k}{\ldots}, t\right)$ in $H = (V, E)$ of constant density $d = m/n^k$.
Naïve approach: enumerate all k-partite k-subgraphs of H.
Problem: There are on the order of

$$\binom{n}{t} \geq \binom{n}{\delta(\log n)^{1/(k-1)}}$$

such sets, which is **not** polynomial in n.
Can we do it in polynomial time?

An Algorithm for $k = 2$ (D. Mubayi and G. Turán)

Idea: Mimick the proof the Kővari–Sós–Turán theorem:

An Algorithm for $k = 2$ (D. Mubayi and G. Turán)

Idea: Mimick the proof the Kővari–Sós–Turán theorem:

- Find a bipartite subgraph $(U, W; E)$ of H with many edges.

An Algorithm for $k = 2$ (D. Mubayi and G. Turán)

Idea: Mimick the proof the Kővari–Sós–Turán theorem:

- Find a bipartite subgraph $(U, W; E)$ of H with many edges.
- For each subset $T \in \binom{W}{t}$, calculate the common neighborhood. For some T it has size $s = t$.

An Algorithm for $k = 2$ (D. Mubayi and G. Turán)

Idea: Mimick the proof the Kővari–Sós–Turán theorem:

- Find a bipartite subgraph $(U, W; E)$ of H with many edges.
- For each subset $T \in \binom{W}{t}$, calculate the common neighborhood. For some T it has size $s = t$.

Problems:

An Algorithm for $k = 2$ (D. Mubayi and G. Turán)

Idea: Mimick the proof the Kővari–Sós–Turán theorem:

- Find a bipartite subgraph $(U, W; E)$ of H with many edges.
- For each subset $T \in \binom{W}{t}$, calculate the common neighborhood. For some T it has size $s = t$.

Problems:

- Finding a dense bipartite subgraph.

An Algorithm for $k = 2$ (D. Mubayi and G. Turán)

Idea: Mimick the proof the Kővari–Sós–Turán theorem:

- Find a bipartite subgraph $(U, W; E)$ of H with many edges.
- For each subset $T \in \binom{W}{t}$, calculate the common neighborhood. For some T it has size $s = t$.

Problems:

- Finding a dense bipartite subgraph.
- If we are not careful, the search space $\binom{W}{t}$ might still be too large . . .

An Algorithm for $k = 2$ (D. Mubayi and G. Turán)

Idea: Mimick the proof the Kővari–Sós–Turán theorem:

- Find a bipartite subgraph $(U, W; E)$ of H with many edges.
- For each subset $T \in \binom{W}{t}$, calculate the common neighborhood. For some T it has size $s = t$.

Problems:

- Finding a dense bipartite subgraph.
- If we are not careful, the search space $\binom{W}{t}$ might still be too large ...

Solution: W are the $\mathbf{w} = \lfloor \mathbf{t}/\mathbf{d} \rfloor$ vertices of **maximum degree**. $U = V \setminus W$.

An Algorithm for $k = 2$ (D. Mubayi and G. Turán)

Idea: Mimick the proof the Kővari–Sós–Turán theorem:

- Find a bipartite subgraph $(U, W; E)$ of H with many edges.
- For each subset $T \in \binom{W}{t}$, calculate the common neighborhood. For some T it has size $s = t$.

Problems:

- Finding a dense bipartite subgraph.
- If we are not careful, the search space $\binom{W}{t}$ might still be too large ...

Solution: W are the $\mathbf{w} = \lfloor \mathbf{t}/\mathbf{d} \rfloor$ vertices of **maximum degree**. $U = V \setminus W$.

- Max degree $\implies$ The bipartite subgraph has many edges.

An Algorithm for $k = 2$ (D. Mubayi and G. Turán)

Idea: Mimick the proof the Kővari–Sós–Turán theorem:

- Find a bipartite subgraph $(U, W; E)$ of H with many edges.
- For each subset $T \in \binom{W}{t}$, calculate the common neighborhood. For some T it has size $s = t$.

Problems:

- Finding a dense bipartite subgraph.
- If we are not careful, the search space $\binom{W}{t}$ might still be too large ...

Solution: W are the $\mathbf{w} = \lfloor \mathbf{t}/\mathbf{d} \rfloor$ vertices of **maximum degree**. $U = V \setminus W$.

- Max degree $\implies$ The bipartite subgraph has many edges.
- w is "just right". If too small, the extra term in the KST bound is too large; if too big, the search space $\binom{W}{t}$ is too large.

Our Contribution: Extending to k-Graphs

We present a polynomial algorithm that finds a $K\left(t, \overset{k}{\dots}, t\right)$ in a k-graph $H = (V, E)$ with dn^k edges, where

$$t = \left\lfloor \left(\frac{\log\left(n/2^{(k-1)}\right)}{\log(3/d)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \right\rfloor$$

- **First (failed) attempt**: Try to find a k-partite subgraph of H with logarithmically sized parts except one large part.

Our Contribution: Extending to k-Graphs

We present a polynomial algorithm that finds a $K\left(t, \overset{k}{\ldots}, t\right)$ in a k-graph $H = (V, E)$ with dn^k edges, where

$$t = \left\lfloor \left(\frac{\log\left(n/2^{(k-1)}\right)}{\log(3/d)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \right\rfloor$$

- **First (failed) attempt**: Try to find a k-partite subgraph of H with logarithmically sized parts except one large part.
- **Actual solution:** Use a **recursive** approach from the beginning.

Our Contribution: Extending to k-Graphs

We present a polynomial algorithm that finds a $K\left(t, \overset{k}{\ldots}, t\right)$ in a k-graph $H = (V, E)$ with dn^k edges, where

$$t = \left\lfloor \left(\frac{\log\left(n/2^{(k-1)}\right)}{\log(3/d)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \right\rfloor$$

- **First (failed) attempt**: Try to find a k-partite subgraph of H with logarithmically sized parts except one large part.
- **Actual solution:** Use a **recursive** approach from the beginning.
  - Find a set W of $\mathbf{w} = \lfloor \mathbf{2t}/\mathbf{d} \rfloor$ vertices of maximum degree.

Our Contribution: Extending to k-Graphs

We present a polynomial algorithm that finds a $K\left(t, \overset{k}{\ldots}, t\right)$ in a k-graph $H = (V, E)$ with dn^k edges, where

$$t = \left\lfloor \left(\frac{\log\left(n/2^{(k-1)}\right)}{\log(3/d)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \right\rfloor$$

- **First (failed) attempt**: Try to find a k-partite subgraph of H with logarithmically sized parts except one large part.
- **Actual solution:** Use a **recursive** approach from the beginning.
  - Find a set W of $\mathbf{w} = \lfloor \mathbf{2t}/\mathbf{d} \rfloor$ vertices of maximum degree.
  - There are many edges with exactly 1 vertex in W (by averaging).

Our Contribution: Extending to k-Graphs

We present a polynomial algorithm that finds a $K\left(t, \overset{k}{\ldots}, t\right)$ in a k-graph $H = (V, E)$ with dn^k edges, where

$$t = \left\lfloor \left(\frac{\log\left(n/2^{(k-1)}\right)}{\log(3/d)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \right\rfloor$$

- **First (failed) attempt**: Try to find a k-partite subgraph of H with logarithmically sized parts except one large part.
- **Actual solution:** Use a **recursive** approach from the beginning.
  - Find a set W of $\mathbf{w} = \lfloor \mathbf{2t}/\mathbf{d} \rfloor$ vertices of maximum degree.
  - There are many edges with exactly 1 vertex in W (by averaging).
  - By KST, for some $T \subset W$ of size t, the set L_T of $(k-1)$-sets of $V \setminus W$ forming an edge with all vertices in T has size at least $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{d^t n^{k-1}}$.

Our Contribution: Extending to k-Graphs

We present a polynomial algorithm that finds a $K\left(t, \overset{k}{\ldots}, t\right)$ in a k-graph $H = (V, E)$ with dn^k edges, where

$$t = \left\lfloor \left(\frac{\log\left(n/2^{(k-1)}\right)}{\log(3/d)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \right\rfloor$$

- **First (failed) attempt**: Try to find a k-partite subgraph of H with logarithmically sized parts except one large part.
- **Actual solution:** Use a **recursive** approach from the beginning.
  - Find a set W of $\mathbf{w} = \lfloor \mathbf{2t/d} \rfloor$ vertices of maximum degree.
  - There are many edges with exactly 1 vertex in W (by averaging).
  - By KST, for some $T \subset W$ of size t, the set L_T of $(k-1)$-sets of $V \setminus W$ forming an edge with all vertices in T has size at least $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{d^t n^{k-1}}$.
  - Applying the algorithm to $H' = (V \setminus W, S)$ with $\mathbf{n}' = \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d}' = \mathbf{d^t}$ we get $\mathbf{t}' \geq \mathbf{t}$.

Our Contribution: Extending to k-Graphs

We present a polynomial algorithm that finds a $K\left(t, \overset{k}{\ldots}, t\right)$ in a k-graph $H = (V, E)$ with dn^k edges, where

$$t = \left\lfloor \left(\frac{\log\left(n/2^{(k-1)}\right)}{\log(3/d)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \right\rfloor$$

- **First (failed) attempt**: Try to find a k-partite subgraph of H with logarithmically sized parts except one large part.
- **Actual solution:** Use a **recursive** approach from the beginning.
  - Find a set W of $\mathbf{w} = \lfloor \mathbf{2t/d} \rfloor$ vertices of maximum degree.
  - There are many edges with exactly 1 vertex in W (by averaging).
  - By KST, for some $T \subset W$ of size t, the set L_T of $(k-1)$-sets of $V \setminus W$ forming an edge with all vertices in T has size at least $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{d^t n^{k-1}}$.
  - Applying the algorithm to $H' = (V \setminus W, S)$ with $\mathbf{n}' = \mathbf{n} - \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{d}' = \mathbf{d^t}$ we get $\mathbf{t}' \geq \mathbf{t}$.
  - It finds $\mathbf{T_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{T_{k-1}}$ complete in $H' \implies \mathbf{T_1}, \ldots, \mathbf{T_{k-1}}, \mathbf{T}$ complete in H.

Future Work

Future Work

- **Refine the algorithm:** The current analysis is not tight. The algorithm is not yet practical.

Future Work

- **Refine the algorithm:** The current analysis is not tight. The algorithm is not yet practical.
- **Implement and test:** Evaluate the algorithm on synthetic and real-world hypergraphs.

Future Work

- **Refine the algorithm:** The current analysis is not tight. The algorithm is not yet practical.
- **Implement and test:** Evaluate the algorithm on synthetic and real-world hypergraphs.
- **Generalize the structures found:** The algorithm finds complete balanced k-partite k-graphs. Extending it to unbalanced seems feasible.

Future Work

- **Refine the algorithm:** The current analysis is not tight. The algorithm is not yet practical.
- **Implement and test:** Evaluate the algorithm on synthetic and real-world hypergraphs.
- **Generalize the structures found:** The algorithm finds complete balanced k-partite k-graphs. Extending it to unbalanced seems feasible.
- **Generalize the blow-ups:** The algorithm finds t_n-blowups of a single edge. Obvious way to extend it does not yield optimal t.

Future Work

- **Refine the algorithm:** The current analysis is not tight. The algorithm is not yet practical.
- **Implement and test:** Evaluate the algorithm on synthetic and real-world hypergraphs.
- **Generalize the structures found:** The algorithm finds complete balanced k-partite k-graphs. Extending it to unbalanced seems feasible.
- **Generalize the blow-ups:** The algorithm finds t_n-blowups of a single edge. Obvious way to extend it does not yield optimal t.
- **Extremely dense hypergraphs:** The algorithm does not yield the best order of t for increasing $d \to 1/k!$.

Thank You

Questions?

Ferran Espuña Bertomeu

ferranespuna@gmail.com