Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya Facultat de Matemàtiques i Estadística

Master in Advanced Mathematics and Mathematical Engineering Master's thesis

Finding Partite Graphs Efficiently

Ferran Espuña Bertomeu

Supervised by Richard Lang
June 2025

Thanks to...

Abstract

Keywords

hypergraph, algorithm, graph, partite, extremal

1. Introduction

TODO: Write introduction

2. Preliminaries

In this section we introduce some basic definitions and results that will be used throughout the thesis.

Definition 2.1. For an integer $k \ge 2$ a finite k-graph is a tuple G = (V, E) where V is a finite set and $E \subseteq \binom{V}{k}$. We call the elements of V =: V(G) its vertices and those of E =: E(G) its edges.

Remark 2.2. If we let k = 2 we recover the usual definition of a graph.

Definition 2.3. Let G = (V, E) and H = (W, F) be k-graphs. A homomorphism from G to H is a map $f: V \to W$ such that for every edge $e \in E$ the set $f(e) := \{f(v) \mid v \in e\}$ is an edge in H (that is, $f(e) \in F$). If such a homomorphism exists and is injective, we say that f is an embedding of G on G and that G contains G as a subgraph. If, furthermore, $f^{-1}: Im(f) \to V$ is a homomorphism, we say that G is an induced embedding and that G contains G as an induced subgraph. We write $G \subseteq G$. If, in addition, G is a bijection, we say that G is an isomorphism and that G is isomorphic to G. We write $G \subseteq G$.

Remark 2.4. It is elementary to check that (induced) inclusion is an order relation and that isomorphism is an equivalence relation. Furthermore, isomorphism preserves (induced) inclusion. Therefore, we can talk about the (induced) subgraph condition up to isomorphism, both in the host k-graph (H) and in the guest k-graph (G).

Remark 2.5. Given a k-graph G = (V, E) and a set W satisfying |V| = |W|, we can define an edge set E' on W such that $G \cong (W, E')$ by taking any bijection $f : V \to W$ and setting $E' = \{f(e) \mid e \in E\}$. This frees us, up to isomorphism, to change or reorder the vertices of a k-graph.

Proposition 2.6. Let G = (V, E) be a k-graph with nonempty edge set and $n \ge |V|$ be an integer. Then there exists an integer $M_0 = ex(n, G) \in [0, \binom{n}{k})$ such that the condition

"All k-graphs with n vertices and m edges contain G as a subgraph"

is true for all $\binom{n}{k} \ge m > M_0$ and false for all $0 \le m \le M_0$.

Proof. Note that, if M_0 exists, clearly it is unique. Also, the condition is clearly false for m=0 and true for $m=\binom{n}{k}$ (the only graph H with vertex set W, |W|=n and $\binom{|V|}{k}$ vertices is the one having all k-sets of vertices so any injective map $f:V\to W$ is an embedding of G in H). We only need to show that if the condition is true for m then it is true for all $m'\geq m$. Suppose it is true for m and let $m'\geq m$. Let H=(W,F) be a k-graph with n vertices and m' edges. We can just take $F'\subseteq F$ with |F'|=m. By hypothesis, the graph H'=(W,F') contains G as a subgraph, and the identity map in W is an embedding of H' in H:

$$G \subseteq H' \subseteq H \implies G \subseteq H$$

Remark 2.7. We call ex(n, G) the extremal number of G. It is clearly invariant under isomorphism.

Definition 2.8. for an integer $p \ge k$, a k-graph G = (V, E) is p-partite if there exists a partition $V = V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_p$ such that every edge $e \in E$ intersects every part V_i in at most one vertex. We may write $G = (V_1, \dots, V_p; E)$ and say that G is a partite k-graph on V_1, \dots, V_p .

Remark 2.9. If p = k, every edge intersects every part in exactly one vertex, so we can identify the edges with a subset of $V_1 \times \cdots \times V_k$.

Definition 2.10. A k-partite k-graph $G = (V_1, ..., V_k; E)$ is complete if every k-set of vertices $(v_1, ..., v_k)$ with $v_i \in V_i$ satisfies $\{v_1, ..., v_k\} \in E$. We write $G = K(V_1, ..., V_k)$.

Remark 2.11. $V_1, ..., V_k, W_1, ..., W_k$ are disjoint sets, and $|V_i| = |W_i| =: a_i$ for all i then it is elementary to check that

$$K(V_1,\ldots,V_k)\cong K(W_1,\ldots,W_k)$$

by a construction very similar to the one in Remark 2.5. This allows us to talk about *the* complete k-partite k-graph on a_1, \ldots, a_k vertices, which we denote by $K(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$.

Remark 2.12. All k-partite k-graphs with part sizes $b_1 \le a_1, \ldots, b_k \le a_k$ are contained in $K(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$ as subgraphs. This lets us follow the exact same argument as in Proposition 2.6 to define the following:

Definition 2.13. let $0 < t_1 \le n_1, ..., 0 < t_k \le n_k$ be integers. Then the *generalized Zarankiewicz number* $z(n_1, ..., n_k; t_1, ..., t_k)$ is the largest integer $0 \le z < n_1 ... n_k$ for which there exists k-partite k-graph H with part sizes $|V_1| = n_1, ..., |V_k| = n_k$ and z edges such that no embedding f of $K(W_1, ..., W_k)$ with $|W_i| = t_i$ in it exists satisfying $f(W_i) \subseteq V_i$ for all i.

Remark 2.14. Finding this number can help us upper bound the extremal number of $K(t_1, ..., t_k)$ asymptotically: Assume that G is a $K(t_1, ..., t_k)$ -free n-vertex k-graph with m edges. pick $n_1, ..., n_k$ such that $\sum_i n_i = n$ and $n_i \sim n/k$. Let $V_1, ..., V_k$ be a random partition of V(G) with $|V_i| = n_i$. for an edge $e \in E(G)$, the probability that e is an edge in $K(V_1, ..., V_k)$ is greater than

$$k!\prod_i n_i \sim k!(1/k)^k$$

which is independent of n. Therefore, the expected number of edges satisfying this condition is a positive fraction of m. Applying the probabilistic method, we can conclude that

$$ex(n, K(t_1, ..., t_k)) = O(z(n_1, ..., n_k; t_1, ..., t_k))$$

The problem on finding the Zarankiewicz number was first posed by K. Zarankiewicz in 1951 for the case of bipartite 2-graphs (that is, finding z(m, n; s, t)), in terms of finding all-1 minors in a matrix. An upper bound for it in the case m = n, s = t was found by Kővari, Sós and Turán in [4] in 1954. This was generalized to arbitrary complete partite 2-graphs by C. Hyltén-Cavallius in [3] in 1958. The result is stated and proved here for completeness:

Theorem 2.15. Let $0 < m \le s$ and $0 < n \le t$ be integers. Then

$$z(m, n; s, t) \le (s-1)^{1/t}(n-t+1)m^{1-1/t} + (t-1)m$$

Proof. Suppose that we have a graph $G = (V_1, V_2; E)$ with $|V_1| = m$, $|V_2| = n$ and |E| = z exceeding the bound. Let us consider the set

$$P = \left\{ (x, Y) \in V_1 \times {V_2 \choose t} \middle| \forall y \in Y : \{x, y\} \in E \right\}$$

Counting on the first coordinate, and using Jensen's inequality, we get

$$|P| = \sum_{x \in V_1} {d_G(x) \choose t} = \sum_{x \in V_1} f(d_G(x)) \ge m \sum_{x \in V_1} f(z/m) = m {z/m \choose t}$$

Where we define

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} \binom{x}{t}, & \text{if } x \ge t - 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Which is convex, meaning we get the inequality as Jensen's inequality. The other equalities come from the fact that f(d) agrees with $\binom{d}{t}$ for all integers $d \geq 0$; and that by our bound on z, $z \geq (t-1)m \implies z/m \geq t-1$.

If we had s different elements of P with the same second coordinate T, they would all necessarily have different first coordinates (say $S = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\}$). But now, by definition of P, for all $a \in S$, $b \in T$, we have $\{a, b\} \in E$. This would mean that the inclusion map from $S \cup T$ to $V_1 \cup V_2$ is an embedding of K(s, t) in G, as described in Definition 2.13. Supposing that this is not the case, by the pigeonhole principle, we have:

$$|P| \leq (s-1)\binom{n}{t}$$

Putting the two inequalities together, we get:

$$m \binom{z/m}{t} \leq (s-1) \binom{n}{t}$$

Now, because we can see E as a subset of $V_1 \times V_2$, we get $z \le mn \implies z/m \le n$. In particular, we have:

$$\frac{(z/m-(t-1))^t}{\binom{z/m}{t}} \leq \frac{(n-(t-1))^t}{\binom{n}{t}}$$

which is true for each factor when expanding the denominators. Multiplying the two inequalities, we get:

$$m(z/m-(t-1))^t \leq (s-1)(n-(t-1))^t$$

which, by algebraic manipulation, gives

$$z \le (s-1)^{1/t}(n-t+1)m^{1-1/t} + (t-1)m$$

In contradiction with our assumption.

Remark 2.16. Following Remark 2.14, we can use this bound to get an upper bound on the extremal number of K(s, t):

$$ex(n, K(s, t)) = O\left((s-1)^{1/t}(n-t+1)n^{1-1/t} + (t-1)n\right) = O\left(n^{2-1/t}\right)$$

Note that if s < t, we get the better bound $O\left(n^{2-1/s}\right)$ by interchanging the roles of s and t.

In 1964, Erdős [2] generalized this result to arbitrary complete partite k-graphs in the following theorem:

Theorem 2.17.
$$ex(n, K(t, ..., t)) = O\left(n^{k - \frac{1}{t^{k-1}}}\right)$$

A more modern proof of this result can be found in [1], which also generalizes it to arbitrary complete k-partite k-graphs (not necessarily with equal part sizes).

3. Our Algorithm

4. Bibliography

References

- [1] Matías Azócar Carvajal, Giovanne Santos, and Mathias Schacht. Canonical ramsey numbers for partite hypergraphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.16218*, 2024.
- [2] P. Erdös. On extremal problems of graphs and generalized graphs. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 2(3):183–190, September 1964.
- [3] C. Hyltén-Cavallius. On a combinatorical problem. Colloquium Mathematicae, 6(1):61-65, 1958.
- [4] T. Kővari, V. Sós, and Turán P. On a problem of k. zarankiewicz. *Colloquium Mathematicae*, 3(1):50–57, 1954.