

Finding Partite Hypergraphs Efficiently

Ferran Espuña Bertomeu

June 2025

Abstract

TODO

1 Introduction

Hypergraph Turán problems study how many edges a k -uniform hypergraph $H = (V, E)$ with n vertices can have without containing a specific subgraph G . The maximal such number is known as the *Turán number* $\text{ex}(n, G)$. It is known that $\text{ex}(n, G) = o\left(\binom{n}{k}\right)$ if and only if G is k -partite, i.e., if its vertex set can be partitioned into k disjoint sets such that each edge contains exactly one vertex from each part. Kővári, Sós, and Turán [17] (for $k = 2$) and Erdős [8] (for any $k \geq 2$) established that

$$\text{ex}(n, K(t, \dots, t)) = \mathcal{O}\left(n^{k - \frac{1}{t^{(k-1)}}}\right), \quad (1)$$

where $K(t, \dots, t)$ is the complete balanced k -partite k -graph with k parts of size t . Furthermore, if H is a k -graph with at least $d\binom{n}{k}$ edges for some constant $d > 0$, then it contains a $K(t, \dots, t)$ with $t = c_d \log(n)^{1/(k-1)}$.

This result is non-constructive, meaning it guarantees the existence of such a subgraph but does not provide an efficient way to find it. Note that a simple brute-force search for a $K(t, \dots, t)$ would involve checking all $\binom{n}{kt}$ vertex subsets, which is superpolynomial in n for $t = \Theta((\log n)^{1/(k-1)})$. Mubayi and Turán [19] developed a polynomial-time algorithm for the case $k = 2$, which reaches the stated order of magnitude for the subgraph part size. This paper extends their approach to the general case of k -uniform hypergraphs, reaching analogous results for $k \geq 3$. More concretely, we prove the following.

Theorem 1. *There is a deterministic algorithm that, given a k -graph H with n vertices and $m = dn^k$ edges, finds a complete balanced k -partite subgraph $K(t, \dots, t)$ in polynomial time, where*

$$t = \left\lfloor \left(\frac{\log(n/2^{k-1})}{\log(3/d)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \right\rfloor.$$

This value of t matches the order of magnitude from existence proofs. In fact, a probabilistic argument shows that it is the best possible up to a constant factor.

2 Finding a Balanced k -Partite Subgraph

We present a recursive algorithm, **FindPartite**, that finds a $K(t, \dots, t)$ in a given k -graph H . The core idea is to reduce the uniformity of the problem from k to $k - 1$ in each recursive step.

The algorithm takes a k -graph H as input. It first defines the target part size t , a small set size w , and a threshold edge count s for the recursive call, based on the input graph's parameters

$(n, d = m/n^k, k)$:

$$t(n, d, k) = \left\lceil \left(\frac{\log(n/2^{k-1})}{\log(3/d)} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-1}} \right\rceil$$

$$w(n, d, k) = \left\lceil \frac{2t(n, d, k)}{d} \right\rceil$$

$$s(n, d, k) = \left\lceil d^{t(n, d, k)} n^{k-1} \right\rceil$$

The main steps are:

1. **Base Case** ($k = 1$): A 1-graph is just a collection of vertices. Return the set of all vertices that are "edges".
2. **Select High-Degree Vertices**: Choose a set $W \subset V$ of w vertices with the highest degrees in H . Let $U = V \setminus W$.
3. **Find a Dense Link Graph**: Iterate through all t -subsets $T \subset W$. For each T , consider the set S of all $(k-1)$ -subsets of U that form a hyperedge with *every* vertex in T .
4. **Recurse**: The pigeonhole principle, formalized by the Kővári–Sós–Turán theorem, guarantees that for at least one choice of T , the resulting set S will be large ($|S| \geq s$). We form a new $(k-1)$ -graph $H' = (U, S)$ and make a recursive call: **FindPartite**(H' , $k-1$).
5. **Construct Solution**: The recursive call returns $k-1$ parts V_1, \dots, V_{k-1} of size at least t . By construction, every choice of vertices from these parts forms an edge in H' with T . Thus, (V_1, \dots, V_{k-1}, T) form the desired $K(t, \dots, t)$ in the original graph H .

The pseudocode is given in Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1 Finding a balanced partite k -graph

```

1: function FIND_PARTITE( $H, k$ )
2:   if  $k = 1$  then
3:     return ( $\{x: \{x\} \in E(H)\}$ )
4:   end if
5:    $n \leftarrow |V(H)|, m \leftarrow |E(H)|, d \leftarrow m/n^k$ 
6:    $t \leftarrow t(n, d, k), w \leftarrow w(n, d, k), s \leftarrow s(n, d, k)$ 
7:   assert  $t \geq 2$ 
8:    $W \leftarrow$  a set of  $w$  vertices with highest degree in  $H$ 
9:   for all  $T \in \binom{W}{t}$  do
10:     $S \leftarrow \{y \in \binom{V \setminus W}{k-1} : \forall x \in T, \{x\} \cup y \in E(H)\}$ 
11:    if  $|S| \geq s$  then
12:       $H' \leftarrow (V \setminus W, S)$   $\triangleright H'$  is a  $(k-1)$ -graph
13:       $(V_1, \dots, V_{k-1}) \leftarrow \text{FIND\_PARTITE}(H', k-1)$ 
14:      return  $(V_1, \dots, V_{k-1}, T)$ 
15:    end if
16:  end for
17: end function

```

3 Analysis

We briefly sketch the proof of correctness and polynomial runtime for our algorithm. The full, detailed proofs can be found in the first author's master's thesis [?]. We assume $t \geq 2$, which holds if d is not too small (e.g., $d = \Omega(n^{-1/2^{(k-1)}})$).

3.1 Correctness

The correctness of the algorithm hinges on two key lemmas, which we state here without proof.

1. There always exists a t -subset $T \subseteq W$ for which the set S of common neighbors satisfies $|S| \geq s$.
2. The recursive call $\text{Find_Partite}(H', k-1)$ is guaranteed to find parts of size at least t .

The first claim follows from a double-counting argument akin to the proof of the Kővári-Sós-Turán theorem. We define a bipartite graph between the $(k-1)$ -subsets of $V \setminus W$ and the vertices in W . By showing this graph is dense, we can guarantee the existence of a complete bipartite subgraph $K(s, t)$, which corresponds to finding the desired set T and a large corresponding set S .

For the second claim, we show that the new hypergraph $H' = (V \setminus W, S)$ is sufficiently dense. Its density d' satisfies $d' \geq d^t$. We then prove that the target part size for the recursive call, $t' = t(n - w, d', k - 1)$, satisfies $t' \geq t$. This ensures that the part sizes do not shrink during recursion. For the base case $k = 2 \rightarrow k = 1$, we show directly that $|S| \geq t$.

3.2 Complexity

The algorithm runs in polynomial time in n . The dominant cost at each recursive level comes from the loop over all t -subsets of W . The number of iterations is $\binom{w}{t}$. Since $w = \lceil 2t/d \rceil$ and $t = \Theta((\log n)^{1/(k-1)})$, the number of iterations can be bounded:

$$\binom{w}{t} \leq \left(\frac{ew}{t}\right)^t \leq \left(\frac{e(2t/d+1)}{t}\right)^t \approx \left(\frac{3e}{d}\right)^t.$$

Substituting the definition of t , this expression is polynomial in n (e.g., $\mathcal{O}(n^c)$ for some constant c). Inside the loop, constructing the set S and the new hypergraph H' takes polynomial time. Since the recursion depth is fixed at $k - 1$, the total runtime is a nested polynomial, which remains polynomial in n . A detailed analysis shows the complexity is roughly $\mathcal{O}(n^{2k+3})$.

4 Conclusion and Future Work

We have presented a deterministic, polynomial-time algorithm to find a large complete balanced k -partite subgraph in any sufficiently dense k -uniform hypergraph. This provides a constructive counterpart to a classical existence result by Erdős in extremal hypergraph theory.

Several avenues for future research remain open.

- **General Blow-ups:** Our algorithm finds a blow-up of a single edge, $K(t, \dots, t)$. Can this framework be adapted to find a t_n -blowup of an arbitrary fixed k -graph G ? Existence theorems guarantee such structures, but efficient algorithms are lacking.
- **Unbalanced Partite Graphs:** The algorithm could be modified to search for unbalanced complete partite graphs $K(t_1, \dots, t_k)$, where the part sizes may grow at different rates.
- **Optimality:** The bounds on t are asymptotically tight, but the constants can likely be improved with a more refined analysis. For $k = 2$, it is known that in dense graphs one can find a $t = \Theta(\log n)$ blow-up of any bipartite graph. It is an open question if a constructive proof for this stronger result exists for $k \geq 2$.

References

- [1] R. Baber and J. Talbot. Hypergraphs do jump. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 20(2):161–171, 2011.
- [2] S. Ball and V. Pepe. Asymptotic improvements to the lower bound of certain bipartite Turán numbers. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 21(3):323–329, 2012.
- [3] B. Bollobás and P. Erdős. On the structure of edge graphs. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 5(3):317–321, 1973.
- [4] W. G. Brown. On graphs that do not contain a Thomsen graph. *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 9:281–285, 1966.
- [5] M. A. Carvajal, G. Santos, and M. Schacht. Canonical Ramsey numbers for partite hypergraphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.16218*, 2024.
- [6] D. Conlon, C. Pohoata, and D. Zakharov. Random multilinear maps and the Erdős box problem. *Discrete Analysis*, Paper No. 17, 8, 2021.
- [7] D. De Caen and Z. Füredi. The maximum size of 3-uniform hypergraphs not containing a Fano plane. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 78(2):274–276, 2000.
- [8] P. Erdős. On extremal problems of graphs and generalized graphs. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 2:183–190, 1964.
- [9] P. Erdős and A. H. Stone. On the structure of linear graphs. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 52:1087–1091, 1946.
- [10] P. Erdős, A. Rényi, and V. T. Sós. On a problem of graph theory. *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica*, 1:215–235, 1966.
- [11] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits. Supersaturated graphs and hypergraphs. *Combinatorica*, 3(2):181–192, 1983.
- [12] P. Frankl and Z. Füredi. An exact result for 3-graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 50(2-3):323–328, 1984.
- [13] Z. Füredi. New asymptotics for bipartite Turán numbers. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 75(1):141–144, 1996.
- [14] C. Hyltén-Cavallius. On a combinatorical problem. *Colloquium Mathematicum*, 6:59–65, 1958.
- [15] P. Keevash. Hypergraph Turán problems. In *Surveys in combinatorics 2011*, volume 392 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*, pages 83–139. Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [16] J. Kollár, L. Rónyai, and T. Szabó. Norm-graphs and bipartite Turán numbers. *Combinatorica*, 16(3):399–406, 1996.
- [17] T. Kővári, V. T. Sós, and P. Turán. On a problem of K. Zarankiewicz. *Colloquium Mathematicum*, 3:50–57, 1954.
- [18] M. Krivelevich and B. Sudakov. The chromatic numbers of random hypergraphs. *Random Structures & Algorithms*, 12(4):381–403, 1998.

- [19] D. Mubayi and G. Turán. Finding bipartite subgraphs efficiently. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0905.2527*, 2009.
- [20] A. A. Razborov. Flag algebras. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 72(4):1239–1282, 2007.
- [21] A. A. Razborov. On 3-hypergraphs with forbidden 4-vertex configurations. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 24(3):946–963, 2010.
- [22] E. M. Reingold, J. Nievergelt, and N. Deo. *Combinatorial algorithms: theory and practice*. Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1977.
- [23] V. Rödl and M. Schacht. Complete partite subgraphs in dense hypergraphs. *Random Structures & Algorithms*, 41(4):557–573, 2012.
- [24] P. Turán. Eine Extremalaufgabe aus der Graphentheorie. *Matematikai és Fizikai Lapok*, 48:436–452, 1941.
- [25] K. Zarankiewicz. Problem 101. *Colloquium Mathematicae*, 2(3-4):301, 1951.