

**Exercise 1:** Prove that DIRECTED DOMINATING SET is NP-Hard through a series of Karp reductions starting at 3SAT.

**Solution:** I will first introduce some notation. Let  $F$  be a boolean formula with  $N$  variables and  $M$  CNF clauses with 3 literals each. I will denote the variables as  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$  and the clauses as  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_M$ . For convenience, I will enumerate all possible literals as  $l_j = x_j, l_{N+j} = \bar{x}_j$  (there are  $2N$  of them). I will denote  $c_i = (l_{j_{i,1}}, l_{j_{i,2}}, l_{j_{i,3}}) = (l_1^i, l_2^i, l_3^i) \in \{l_1, \dots, l_{2N}\}^3$ .

I will now construct a directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $G$  contains a dominating set of size at most  $k$  if and only if  $F$  is satisfiable. Furthermore, the construction of the graph will clearly be polynomial in time, thus providing the Karp reduction we need directly.

First, I define the vertices  $V$  of  $G$  as:

- A vertex  $L_j$  for each literal  $l_j$  ( $2N$  in total, which can be created in linear time by scanning  $F$ ). For convenience, I will denote  $L_u^i := L_{j_{i,u}}$ .
- A vertex  $C_i$  for each clause  $c_i$  ( $M$  in total, which similarly can be created in linear time).

Next, I define the edges  $E$  of  $G$  as:

- $(L_u^i, C_i)$  for  $1 \leq u \leq 3, 1 \leq i \leq M$  (each literal points to the clauses it appears in, which we can construct in linear time).
- $(L_s, L_{s+N})$  and  $(L_{s+N}, L_s)$  for  $1 \leq s \leq N$  (each literal points to its negation, which we can construct in linear time).

Finally, I define  $k = N$ . It remains to be proven that  $G$  has a dominating set of size  $k \iff F$  is satisfiable:

$\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose we have an assignment  $x_s = B_s \in \{\text{True}, \text{False}\}$  that satisfies  $F$ . I will show that the set  $S := \{l_s | B_s = \text{True}\} \cup \{l_{s+N} | B_s = \text{False}\}$ , which has size  $N = k$ , is dominating:

- All  $L_j$  are either in  $S$  or pointed to by  $\bar{L}_j := L_{j+N} \in S$ .
- All  $C_i$  are pointed to by their literals, at least one of which is in  $S$ .

$\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose there is a dominating set  $S$  of size at most  $k$ . for each variable  $x_s$ ,  $L_s$  must either be in  $S$  or pointed to by an element of  $S$  (that is, one of  $L_s, L_{s+N}$  is in  $S$ ). In fact, because there are  $N = k$  variables, *exactly* one of them is in  $S$  (otherwise  $S$  would have more than  $k$  elements). Furthermore,  $S$  only contains vertices of the form  $L_j$  (and not  $C_i$ ).

This means that a variable assignment  $x_s = B_s$  where  $B_s = \text{True}$  if  $L_s \in S$  and  $B_s = \text{False}$  if  $L_{s+N} \in S$  can be defined. To show that this assignment satisfies  $F$ , note that for each clause  $c_i$ , there is a literal  $l_j$  such that  $L_j$  points to  $C_i$  and  $L_j \in S$ . If  $j \leq N$ , this means we have assigned  $x_j = \text{True}$  and  $x_j = l_u^i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , satisfying the clause. Otherwise, we have assigned  $x_{j-N} = \text{False}$  and  $\bar{x}_{j-N} = l_u^i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , satisfying the clause as well.

**Remark.** This construction works just as well for arbitrary SAT instances, not just 3SAT.

**Exercise 2:**

## REFERENCES

- [1] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. Attention is all you need. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017.