

**Exercise 1:** Let  $\#\text{CLIQUE}$  be the problem of counting how many  $k$ -cliques exist in a given graph for a given positive integer  $k$ . Show that  $\#\text{CLIQUE} \in \mathbf{P}^{\#\text{SAT}}$ .

**Solution:** I proceed by direct reduction. Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an undirected graph with  $n$  vertices and  $1 \leq k \leq n$  an integer. I now claim that the number  $N'$  of *ordered*  $k$ -cliques can be found in polynomial time with access to a  $\#\text{SAT}$  oracle. This is enough because given the number of ordered  $k$ -cliques is  $N' = k!N$  and  $N' \mapsto N'/k! \in \mathbf{FP}$ .

Let  $T = (u_1, \dots, u_k) \in V^k$  be a  $k$ -tuple of vertices. The elements of  $T$  form a  $k$ -clique in  $G$  if and only if  $\{u_i, u_j\} \in E$  for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$  (note that this implies that all the elements are different, since I am assuming that  $G$  is a simple graph, with no loops). To encode this information into a boolean formula I consider the variables  $x_i^u$  (for  $u \in V$  and  $i \in [k]$ ), representing whether  $u_i = u$ . Define

$$F := \{(u, w) \in V^2 \mid \{u, w\} \notin E\}.$$

Note that this includes the diagonal entries  $(u, u)$ . The clique condition can then be expressed as

$$\mathcal{C} := \bigwedge_{(u,w) \in F, (i,j) \in \binom{[k]}{2}} (\neg x_i^u \vee \neg x_j^w),$$

which is in CNF. An assignment  $V \times [k] \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  represents a  $k$ -tuple of vertices if and only if exactly one vertex is selected for each entry of  $T$ . I do this in two steps. First I introduce a formula that ensures *at most* one vertex is selected for each entry:

$$\mathcal{B} := \bigwedge_{\{u,w\} \in \binom{V}{2}, i \in [k]} (\neg x_i^u \vee \neg x_i^w).$$

Then, I introduce the following other formula, which ensures *at least* one vertex is selected for each entry.

$$\mathcal{A} := \bigwedge_{i \in [k]} \left( \bigvee_{u \in V} x_i^u \right).$$

Formulas  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  can clearly be obtained from  $G$  (represented, for example, as an adjacency matrix) in polynomial time. Furthermore, there is a bijection between assignments  $V \times [k] \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  satisfying  $\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{B} \wedge \mathcal{C}$  and ordered  $k$ -cliques in  $G$ . Therefore, a single query to a  $\#\text{SAT}$  oracle is enough to count the number  $N'$  of ordered  $k$ -cliques in  $G$  in polynomial time. Then computing  $N = N'/k!$  yields the actual number of  $k$ -cliques.

**Exercise 2:** We know that  $\mathbf{PH} \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{\#\mathbf{P}}$  (Toda's theorem). What would be the consequences of the reverse inclusion  $\mathbf{P}^{\#\mathbf{P}} \subseteq \mathbf{PH}$ ?

**Solution:** Suppose that  $\mathbf{P}^{\#\mathbf{P}} \subseteq \mathbf{PH}$ . I will argue that the polynomial hierarchy collapses to a finite level. consider the language

$$L = \{\langle \mathcal{F}, k \rangle \mid \mathcal{F} \text{ is a formula in CNF with less than } k \text{ solutions}\}.$$

Clearly,  $L \in \mathbf{P}^{\#\mathbf{P}}$ , since given  $\langle \mathcal{F}, k \rangle$  one can count the number of solutions to  $\mathcal{F}$  with a  $\#\mathbf{SAT}$  oracle call and then check whether this number is less than  $k$ , all in polynomial time. By assumption,  $L \in \mathbf{PH}$  and in particular  $L \in \Sigma_i^p$  for some  $i \geq 0$ . Consider now another language  $A \in \mathbf{PH}$ . By Toda's theorem,  $A \in \mathbf{P}^{\#\mathbf{P}} = \mathbf{P}^{\#\mathbf{SAT}}$ . Suppose that a machine  $M$  decides  $A$  in polynomial time with access to a  $\#\mathbf{SAT}$  oracle. I now argue that each call to the  $\#\mathbf{SAT}$  oracle can be replaced by a polynomial-time computation with access to an oracle for  $L$ , obtaining a machine  $M'$  that decides  $A$  in polynomial time with access to an oracle for  $L$ . Therefore,  $A \in \mathbf{P}^L \subseteq \mathbf{P}^{\Sigma_i^p} = \Delta_{i+1}^p$ , proving that  $\mathbf{PH} = \Delta_{i+1}^p$ .

Let us now see the promised reduction. Suppose the computation of  $M$  on input  $x$  takes  $p(|x|)$  steps, where  $p$  is a polynomial. The number of variables in the formula  $\mathcal{F}$  of an oracle call in such a computation is at most  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq |x| + p(|x|) = p'(|x|)$ , since the formula has to be written on the tape of the machine. The number of solutions to  $\mathcal{F}$  is at most  $2^{p'(|x|)}$ . The exact number of solutions to  $\mathcal{F}$  can be computed by running binary search on the range  $[0, 2^{p'(|x|)}]$ , taking  $\mathcal{O}(\log(2^{p'(|x|)})) = \mathcal{O}(p'(|x|))$  iterations and making a call to the oracle for  $L$  in each iteration. The number of steps of each iteration is in the order of the size of the integers that are being compared and operated on, which is also  $\mathcal{O}(\log(2^{p'(|x|)})) = \mathcal{O}(p'(|x|))$ . All in all, the call to the oracle for  $\#\mathbf{SAT}$  can be replaced by a computation with access to an oracle for  $L$  that takes  $\mathcal{O}(p'(|x|)^2)$  steps, and  $M'$  runs in time  $\mathcal{O}(p(|x|)p'(|x|)^2)$ , justifying the claim that  $A \in \mathbf{P}^L$ .