

# Prime Splitting in Quadratic Fields

Ferran Espuña Bertomeu

November 21, 2023

**Claim 1.** *Let  $m$  be a square-free integer. Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$  and let  $p$  be a prime number. Then,*

$$\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_p[X]/(X^2 - m), & \text{if } p \text{ odd or } p = 2 \text{ and } m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4} \\ \mathbb{F}_2[X]/(X^2 + X), & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } m \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ \mathbb{F}_2[X]/(X^2 + X + 1), & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } m \equiv 5 \pmod{8} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let us deal with  $p = 2$  first. In the first case, we have shown in class that

$$\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}]$$

where  $\sqrt{m}$  is a root of the irreducible polynomial  $f(X) = X^2 - m$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[X]/(f, 2) \cong \mathbb{F}_2[X]/f$ . Paralely, in the second and third cases, we have shown in class that

$$\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1 + \sqrt{m}}{2}\right]$$

where  $\frac{1 + \sqrt{m}}{2}$  is a root of the irreducible polynomial  $f(X) = X^2 - X - \frac{m-1}{4}$ . Modulo 2, in the second case, the polynomial  $f$  is  $X^2 + X$  and in the third case, it is  $X^2 + X + 1$ .

Now, let us deal with  $p$  odd. We still have that  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is generated by either  $\sqrt{m}$  or  $\frac{1 + \sqrt{m}}{2}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . However, 2 is invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  and, in particular, in  $\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K$  is always generated by  $\sqrt{m}$  over  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K \cong \mathbb{F}_p[X]/(X^2 - m)$ . □

**Remark 2.** This lets us know how  $p\mathcal{O}_K$  factorizes in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  in terms of the factorization of a polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$ :

- If the polynomial is irreducible, then  $p\mathcal{O}_K$  is prime because  $\mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K$  is a field. we say that  $p$  is *inert*.
- Otherwise,  $p\mathcal{O}_K$  is of the form  $\mathfrak{p}_1^{e_1} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_g^{e_g}$ , where  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  are prime ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . because the extension is of degree  $2 = n = \sum_i e_i f_i$ , either  $g = 1$  and  $e_1 = 2$  (we say that  $p$  is *ramified*) or  $g = 2$  and  $e_1 = e_2 = 1$  (We say that  $p$  is *completely split*). In the first case,  $f$  factors as a square of an irreducible polynomial, and in the second case,  $f$  factors as

a product of two distinct irreducible polynomials. This is because we can differentiate between a quotient by a square of a prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  and a product of two distinct primes  $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}$ , both in  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$  and in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . In the first case, the class of any element of  $\mathfrak{p}$  squares to zero, whereas in the second case there are no nilpotent elements (by the Chinese Remainder Theorem,  $R/(\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{q}) \cong R/\mathfrak{p} \times R/\mathfrak{q}$ ).

**Proposition 1.** *In the above situation, we get that:*

- $p$  is inert, when  $\left(\frac{m}{p}\right) = -1$ , or  $p = 2$  and  $m \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ .
- $p$  is ramified, when  $\left(\frac{m}{p}\right) = 0$ , or  $p = 2$  and  $m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ .
- $p$  is completely split, when  $\left(\frac{m}{p}\right) = 1$ , or  $p = 2$  and  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ .

*Proof.* For the case of  $p$  odd, we have seen that the factorization corresponds to the factorization of  $X^2 - m$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$ . The polynomial has no roots (is irreducible, so  $p$  is inert) exactly when  $\left(\frac{m}{p}\right) = -1$  ( $m$  is not a square modulo  $p$ ). Otherwise, if  $u$  is a root of  $X^2 - m$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$ , then  $X^2 - m = (X - u)(X + u)$ , so the factors are distinct unless  $u = -u$  (i.e.  $u = 0$ ) and  $m = 0$ . This happens exactly when  $\left(\frac{m}{p}\right) = 0$  ( $m$  is a multiple of  $p$ ).

Modulo 2, we have:

- $X^2 + 0 = X^2$  and  $X^2 + 1 = (X + 1)^2$ , so  $p$  is ramified when  $m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ .
- $X^2 + X$  factors as  $X(X + 1)$ , so  $p$  is completely split when  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ .
- $X^2 + X + 1$  is irreducible, so  $p$  is inert when  $m \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ .

□

**Proposition 2.** *The same proposition, but without using Claim 1.*

*Proof.* We will examine each of the six cases separately, and give appropriate factorizations of  $p\mathcal{O}_K$ . We will start by the inert cases:

- $p$  odd,  $\left(\frac{m}{p}\right) = -1$ : We just need to show that  $p\mathcal{O}_K$  is prime in  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}]$ . Indeed, if

$$p(a + b\sqrt{m}) = (c + d\sqrt{m})(e + f\sqrt{m}) = (ec + mfd) + (ed + fc)\sqrt{m}$$

then  $p \mid ec + mfd$  and  $p \mid ed + fc$  so

$$p \mid d(ec + mfd) - c(ed + fc) = mfd^2 - fc^2 = f(md^2 - c^2)$$

Since  $p$  is prime,  $p \mid f$  or  $p \mid md^2 - c^2$ . The first case implies  $p \mid ec$  and  $p \mid ed$ , so either  $p \mid e$ , in which case  $p \mid (e + f\sqrt{m})$ , or  $p \mid c$  and  $p \mid d$ , in which case  $p \mid (c + d\sqrt{m})$ . In the second case, if  $p \mid d$  we can play the same game as in the case  $p \mid f$ , because  $p \nmid m$ . Otherwise, working modulo  $p$ , let  $x$  be the inverse of  $d$ .  $0 \equiv md^2 - c^2 \equiv m - x^2c^2 \equiv m - (xc)^2$  so  $m$  is a square modulo  $p$ , a contradiction.

- $p = 2, m \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ : We have  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z} \left[ \frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2} \right]$ . We will show that 2 is prime in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Assume that we have a factorization:

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \left( a + b \frac{\sqrt{m}+1}{2} \right) &= \left( c + d \frac{\sqrt{m}+1}{2} \right) \left( e + f \frac{\sqrt{m}+1}{2} \right) \Rightarrow \\ 8a + 4b + 4b\sqrt{m} &= (2c + d\sqrt{m} + d)(2e + f\sqrt{m} + f) = \\ (4ce + 2cf + 2de + (m+1)df) &+ 2(cf + de + df)\sqrt{m} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Because  $m+1$  is even, we can divide by 2 and get

$$4a + 2b = 2ce + cf + de + rdf \quad (2)$$

$$2b = cf + de + df \quad (3)$$

where  $r = \frac{m+1}{2} \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Subtracting (3) from (2), we get

$$4a = 2ce + (r-1)df$$

$r-1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  so  $\frac{r-1}{2}$  is odd. Dividing the equation by 2,  $ce$  and  $df$  must have the same parity. They can't be both odd, because that would imply  $c, d, e, f$  odd, contradicting (3). Therefore, they are both even. If both  $c$  and  $d$  are even, or both  $e$  and  $f$  are even, then we have shown that 2 divides one of the factors on the right hand side of (1), so we are done. By symmetry, we may assume that  $c$  and  $f$  are even. Looking at (3), we realize that now  $de$  is even, so  $d$  or  $e$  must be even, again showing that one of the factors on the right hand side of (1) is divisible by 2.

Because the extension is of degree 2,  $p\mathcal{O}_K$  must have at most two prime factors, by the same argument as before. Therefore, In the cases where  $p\mathcal{O}_K$  is not prime, it is enough to show that a product of two proper ideals (the same one repeated twice, or two different ones, depending on the case) contains in  $p\mathcal{O}_K$ . This will imply equality, and that the ideals are prime.

- $p$  odd,  $\left(\frac{m}{p}\right) = 0$ : We have  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}]$  and  $p \mid m$ . we will show that  $p\mathcal{O}_K = (p, \sqrt{m})^2$ . Indeed, let  $m = kp$ ,  $p \nmid k$  because  $m$  is square-free. By the Bezout identity, there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $ap + bk = 1$  so  $p = p(ap + bk) = ap^2 + bm = ap^2 + b(\sqrt{m})^2 \in (p, \sqrt{m})^2$ . The ideal  $(p, \sqrt{m})$  is proper, as its intersection with  $\mathbb{Z}$  is  $p\mathbb{Z} + m\mathbb{Z} = p\mathbb{Z}$ .
- $p = 2, m \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ : We have  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}]$ . If  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , we can do exactly the same as in the previous case. Let us assume that  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . We will show that  $2\mathcal{O}_K = (2, 1 + \sqrt{m})^2$ . An element of this product of ideals is

$$(1 + \sqrt{m})^2 - 2(1 + \sqrt{m}) = 1 + 2\sqrt{m} + m - 2 - \sqrt{m} = m - 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$$

$4 = 2^2$  also belongs here, and therefore so does 2. We can see that the ideal  $(2, 1 + \sqrt{m})$  is proper by trying to find 1 as a combination of 2 and  $1 + \sqrt{m}$ :

$$1 = 2(a + b\sqrt{m}) + (1 + \sqrt{m})(c + d\sqrt{m}) = (2a + c + dm) + (2b + c + d)\sqrt{m}$$

Equating terms, we obtain:

$$2b + c + d = 0$$

$$2a + c + dm = 1$$

Because  $m$  is odd, reducing modulo 2, we get  $c + d \equiv 0$  and  $c + d \equiv 1$ , a contradiction.

- $p$  odd,  $\left(\frac{m}{p}\right) = 1$ : We have  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}]$  and, for some  $n$ ,  $p \mid n^2 - m$ ,  $p \nmid n$ . We will show that  $p\mathcal{O}_K \subset (p, n + \sqrt{m})(p, n - \sqrt{m})$ , and that the two ideals in the product are distinct (by symmetry, this will imply that they are both proper ideals). For the first part, observe that  $2np = p(n + \sqrt{m}) + p(n - \sqrt{m})$  is in the relevant ideal product.  $p \nmid 2n$  so, by the Bezout identity, there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$ap + b(2n) = 1 \Rightarrow p = a(p^2) + b(2np) \in (p, n + \sqrt{m})(p, n - \sqrt{m})$$

We will prove that the ideals are different by contradiction. Without loss of generality, we will assume that  $n - \sqrt{m} \in (p, n + \sqrt{m})$ :

$$n - \sqrt{m} = p(a + b\sqrt{m}) + (n + \sqrt{m})(c + d\sqrt{m}) = (ap + cn + dm) + (bp + nd + c)\sqrt{m}$$

Equating terms, we get

$$\begin{aligned} bp + nd + c &= -1 \\ ap + cn + dm &= n \end{aligned}$$

Now, working modulo  $p$ , and multiplying the first equation by  $n$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} md + nc &\equiv -n \\ cn + dm &\equiv n \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting the first equation from the second, we get  $p \mid 2n \Rightarrow p \mid n$ , against our assumptions.

- $p = 2$ ,  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ : We have  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2}\right]$ . Similarly to the previous case, We will show that  $2\mathcal{O}_K \subset \left(2, \frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2}\right)\left(2, \frac{1-\sqrt{m}}{2}\right)$ , and that the two ideals in this product are distinct. For the first part,

$$2 = 2\frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2} + 2\frac{1-\sqrt{m}}{2} \in \left(2, \frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2}\right)\left(2, \frac{1-\sqrt{m}}{2}\right)$$

For the second, again, by symmetry, we may assume that  $\frac{1-\sqrt{m}}{2} \in \left(2, \frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2}\right)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1-\sqrt{m}}{2} &= 2\left(a + b\frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2}\right) + \frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2}\left(c + d\frac{1+\sqrt{m}}{2}\right) = \\ &\quad \left(2a + b + \frac{c}{2} + \frac{d}{4} + \frac{md}{4}\right) + \left(b + \frac{c}{2} + 2\frac{d}{4}\right)\sqrt{m} \end{aligned}$$

Equating terms and multiplying everything by 4 we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 2 &= 8a + 4b + 2c + (m+1)d \\ -2 &= 4b + 2c + 2d \end{aligned}$$

And subtracting the two equations we obtain:

$$4 = 8a + (m-1)d$$

Which is a contradiction because both terms on the right are multiples of 8.

□