Digital Transformation in the Shipping Industry: a Network-Based Systematic Review

Andreas Pittas[a], Yannes Filippopoulos[a], Zoran Lajic[b], Luca Ferrarini[a,*]

[a]*Department of Information Technologies, University of Limassol, Limassol, Cyprus*
[b]*Department of Energy Efficiency, Angelicoussis Group, Athens, Greece*

Abstract

The shipping industry is undergoing a profound digital transformation, driven by advancements in automation, artificial intelligence, blockchain, and the Internet of Things (IoT). These technologies enhance operational efficiency, optimize supply chain management, and improve sustainability by reducing emissions and fuel consumption. However, navigating this digital revolution requires a structured understanding of emerging trends, challenges, and opportunities. A network-based systematic review serves as a crucial methodological approach for researchers, enabling them to synthesize existing knowledge, identify research gaps, and develop informed strategies to leverage digital transformation effectively. By critically analyzing co-citation and co-authorship networks, modeling topics over time, and performing trend analysis, we gain insights on the current status of digital transformation within the shipping industry, ultimately guiding industry stakeholders and researchers ....XXXXXXXXXXXXXx Our results show that XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

Keywords: digital transformation, shipping industry, systematic literature review, complex networks

1. Introduction

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

---

*Corresponding author

2. Literature Review

3. Methodology

In this section we describe the methodology we followed for the data collection and analysis. Fig. [XXX] shows the overall methodology discussed in this section. Results and implications are discussed in further sections.

3.1. Keyword identification and data collection

We asked experts in the shipping industry to identify the most relevant keywords related to the industry itself and to digital technologies and digital transformation. Their analysis resulted in 35 keywords, listed in Table 1.

[Table 1 about here.]

Data was collected from three research engines: EBSCO (Vaughan, 2011), ProQuest (Cooke, 2017), and IEEE eXplore (Wilde, 2016). The search was performed on October the 22nd 2024. For each engine, we retrieved scientific articles containing any of the digital transformation related keywords and any of the shipping industry related keywords, in either their title or abstract. The exact query for each engine are available on request. We limited our results using the following criteria: a only English literature, and b only scientific contributions published in peer-reviewed journals. Table 2 shows the results.

[Table 2 about here.]

All search engines provided the digital object identifier for the articles. This allowed us to screen the resulting set and identify 2324 unique articles for the subsequent analysis. One challenge of using different data engines is the variety of attributes they return for each article. In order to have the same information for each article, we queried a fourth search engine for all the 2324 articles. We chose OpenAlex (Priem et al., 2022), which has been shown to be suitable for bibliometric analysis (Alperin et al., 2024). Our final result set comprised 2293 scientific publications.

3.2. Descriptive Statistics

We started our analysis evaluating descriptive statistics across our article set. More specifically, we calculated:

1. the distribution of the number of publications per year;
2. the distribution of publications across authors, identifying the most prolific authors;
3. the distribution of publications across institutions, identifying the research centers with the highest number of publications;
4. the distribution of publications across countries.

3.3. Co-authorship network analysis

As a second step, we built and analyzed the network of co-authorship. Network analysis was performed in Python, using the NetworkX package (Hagberg et al., 2008). We identified 7723 distinct authors. We built the network using authors as nodes, and setting bi-directional links between them if there existed at least one publication that they co-authored. For each link, we stored within the graph object information about the authors institutes and countries for further analysis.

Figure XXX shows the degree distribution of the co-authorship network. The graph suggested a power-law distribution. To confirm on our assumption, we run statistical tests comparing the likelihood of power-law distribution against the exponential distribution, the log-normal distribution, and the truncated power-law distribution.

Next, we focused on the largest connected component of the network, made of 883 authors and 2753 links between them. The choice of focusing on the largest component was dictated mostly by computational limitations.

Working on the largest component, we applied the Louvein community (Blondel et al., 2008) algorithm to identify the major communities of authors and investigated the distribution of institutions and countries across communities (see Fig. [XXX]).

To conclude, we analyzed the network for small-world behavior. More specifically, we calculated both the clustering coefficient and the average path length and compared them to random networks of equivalent size.

3.4. Co-citation network analysis

We built a co-citation network of nodes (i.e., articles) and links (i.e. co-citation between two articles). The resulting graph had 1298 nodes. The degree distribution was tested for power-law characteristics against other plausible distributions (exponential, log-normal, and truncated power-law).

Next, we identified the most influential articles (i.e., the top 10 in terms of received citations). Our goal was to check if the most cited articles were literature reviews. As presented in the following section, this turned out not to be the case, allowing us to draw relevant considerations over the demand of SLRs at the conjunction of digital transformation and shipping industry.

We then moved our attention to the top 20% cited papers and analyzed their topics. To achieve this, we create a sub-network using only the top 20% cited papers and applied the Louvein community algorithm (Blondel et al., 2008). Next, for each community collected the titles and applied natural language processing (NLP) to model their topics (BERTTopic (Paul et al.)).

To conclude, we applied different centrality measures to the top 20% graph to identify the 5 most relevant articles. These were analyzed more in details in terms of covered research area, as a preliminary trend analysis, further developed in our next and last analysis section.

3.5. Thematic analysis

Working on the entire set of articles (2290) we performed a thematic analysis to identify the major topic of research. We pre-processed the titles with the following steps:

1. lemmatization to transform words into their root forms;

2. removal of stop-words;

3. removal of non alpha-numeric text.

Next, we applied tokenization and embedded each title using BERT (Devlin et al., 2018). The resulting vectors were analyzed for unsupervised clustering. More specifically, we adopted three method to identify the ideal number of clusters: the Elbow index (Cui et al., 2020), the Calinski-Harabasz index (Caliński and Harabasz, 1974), and the Davies-Bouldin index (Davies and Bouldin, 1979).

Having identified the best number of cluster, we applied the unsupervised K-means algorithm and calculated the centroid for each cluster. Next, we identified for each cluster the 10 articles closest to the corresponding centroid and applied BERTTopic to extract the common themes.

We concluded our thematic analysis building two word clouds. Using both titles and abstracts from all articles, we applied the TF-IDF algorithm to each word and use it as weight to build the clouds. The first cloud was built over the entire set of words in titles and abstracts, while the second cloud was obtained removing first all shipping related terms (hence focusing on the digital technologies only).

4. Results

Present any results, tables, or figures.

5. Discussion

6. Conclusion

Summarize key findings and future work.

References

Alperin, J.P., Portenoy, J., Demes, K., Larivière, V., Haustein, S., 2024. An analysis of the suitability of openalex for bibliometric analyses. arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.17663 .

Blondel, V.D., Guillaume, J.L., Lambiotte, R., Lefebvre, E., 2008. Fast unfolding of communities in large networks. Journal of statistical mechanics: theory and experiment 2008, P10008.

Caliński, T., Harabasz, J., 1974. A dendrite method for cluster analysis. Communications in Statistics-theory and Methods 3, 1–27.

Cooke, R., 2017. Proquest ebook central. The Charleston Advisor 19, 39–43.

Cui, M., et al., 2020. Introduction to the k-means clustering algorithm based on the elbow method. Accounting, Auditing and Finance 1, 5–8.

Davies, D.L., Bouldin, D.W., 1979. A cluster separation measure. IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence , 224–227.

Devlin, J., Chang, M.W., Lee, K., Toutanova, K., 2018. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805 .

Hagberg, A., Swart, P.J., Schult, D.A., 2008. Exploring network structure, dynamics, and function using NetworkX. Technical Report. Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), Los Alamos, NM (United States).

Paul, P.C., Rahman, M., Begum, A., Ahmed, M.T., Chakraborty, D., Rahman, M.S., . Combining bert with lda: Improved topic modeling in bengali language .

Priem, J., Piwowar, H., Orr, R., 2022. Openalex: A fully-open index of scholarly works, authors, venues, institutions, and concepts. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.01833 .

Vaughan, J., 2011. Ebsco discovery services. Library Technology Reports 47, 30–38.

Wilde, M., 2016. Ieee xplore digital library. The Charleston Advisor 17, 24–30.

List of Tables

Table 1: List of keywords identified by experts.

Keyword	Type (Digit. Trans. or Shipping)
Digital transformation	Digit. Trans.
Digital innovation	Digit. Trans.
Digital ecosystems	Digit. Trans.
Digitization	Digit. Trans.
Digitalization	Digit. Trans.
Digital platforms	Digit. Trans.
Industry 4.0	Digit. Trans.
Smart technologies	Digit. Trans.
Data-driven transformation	Digit. Trans.
Automation	Digit. Trans.
Internet of Things	Digit. Trans.
Blockchain	Digit. Trans.
Data analysis	Digit. Trans.
Artificial intelligence	Digit. Trans.
Machine learning	Digit. Trans.
Big data	Digit. Trans.
Cloud computing	Digit. Trans.
Cyber-physical systems	Digit. Trans.
Digital twins	Digit. Trans.
Edge computing	Digit. Trans.
5G networks	Digit. Trans.
Predictive analytics	Digit. Trans.
Cybersecurity	Digit. Trans.
Supply chain integration	Digit. Trans.
shipping	Shipping
maritime	Shipping
Sea freight	Shipping
Smart ports	Shipping
Autonomous ships	Shipping
Fleet management	Shipping
Cargo tracking	Shipping
Digital shipyards	Shipping
Port digitalization	Shipping
Port automation	Shipping
Vessel performance	Shipping

Table 2: Number of retrieved articles per research engine.

Engine	No. of scientific articles
EBSCO	1904
ProQuest	2011
IEEE eXplore	300