

Real Assets, Real Inequality: The Heterogeneous Impact of Inheritance on Wealth Mobility

Antonio Ferraro

Department of Economics, University of Genoa

September 5, 2025

Roadmap

1 Motivation

2 Contribution at a glance

3 Data

4 Method

5 Results

6 Conclusion

Why study inheritances and wealth mobility?

- Wealth inequality has re-accelerated in advanced economies (OECD (2021)).
- Inheritances are frequent, and unevenly distributed (Nolan et al. (2021)).
- Are transfers equalizing or disequalizing? Depends on the metric (absolute vs. relative) (Boserup et al. (2016)).
- This paper: micro panel evidence on how asset type and value change shape mobility.

Key contributions

- 1 Panel analysis (2009–2022) using repetitively surveyed households.
- 2 Two outcomes: **Absolute mobility** Δ Net Wealth; **Relative mobility** Δ rank in national wealth distribution.
- 3 Disaggregate transfers: **Real** vs. **Financial** assets; 10 asset types.
- 4 Identification of heterogeneous effects: by macro-type, specific asset, and below/above median value.

- Four survey waves: 2009–2011, 2013–2015, 2017–2018, 2020–2022 (harmonized by ECB).
- Countries in estimation: Belgium, Cyprus, Germany, Spain.
- Panel sample: $\sim 2,983$ repetitive HHs per wave ($\sim 11,932$ obs. total).
- Imputations: 5 imputates; Rubin's rules for pooled inference.
- Values deflated with HICP; incomes equivalized.

- Receipt dummy: any inheritance/gift by any HH member (outside current HH).
- Total value: sum of up to three reported transfers (net of tax).
- Asset types (10): Money; Dwelling; Dwelling Use; Land; Business; Securities & Shares; Valuables; Life Insurance; Vehicles; Other.
- Grouping: **Financial** (Money, Securities & Shares, Life Insurance) vs. **Real** (others).

Absolute mobility:

$$\Delta W_{i,t} = W_{i,t} - W_{i,t-1}$$

Relative mobility:

$$\Delta R_{i,t} = R_{i,t} - R_{i,t-1}$$

IHS used for monetary variables:

$$\operatorname{arcsinh}(x) = \ln(x + \sqrt{x^2 + 1}).$$

Controls

- Lagged wealth, income, HH size
- Age (& square), education, labor status
- Gender, marital status
- HH FE (α_i), Year FE (λ_t), Country FE (μ_c)

$$\text{Mobility}_{i,t} = \beta' \text{Inheritance}_{i,t} + \gamma' X_{i,t} + \alpha_i + \mu_c + \lambda_t + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$

- FE estimates emphasized; OLS reported for comparison.
- Monetary variables transformed with IHS; interpretation via elasticities.
- Multiply imputed data; robust SEs in OLS and clustered at HH level in FE.

Aggregate inheritance: extensive & intensive effect

Table: Aggregate Effects of Inheritance on Wealth Mobility: Receipt Dummy & Total Value

	Absolute Mobility		Relative Mobility	
	(1) OLS	(2) FE	(3) OLS	(4) FE
Panel A: Received Inheritance Dummy				
Received Inheritance	0.9259*** (0.0872)	0.2722** (0.1125)	25.6295*** (3.4328)	18.3045*** (5.2935)
Panel B: Total Inheritance Value (€)				
Inheritance Value	0.0772*** (0.0070)	0.0232** (0.0097)	2.2989*** (0.3102)	2.0102*** (0.5081)
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	8,904	8,905	8,904	8,905

Notes: Panel A uses the receipt dummy as regressor, while Panel B uses the IHS-transformed total value of transfers. Standard errors are robust (OLS) and clustered at the household level (FE). , , and denote significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels.

Aggregate inheritance: extensive & intensive effect

- **Overall:** significant, positive for both absolute and relative mobility.
- **Extensive (FE):** $\sim 31\%$ increase in absolute mobility; ~ 18 rank positions gained.
- **Intensive (FE):** $\sim 0.23\%$ increase in absolute mobility; ~ 2 rank positions gained.
- **Interpretation:** transfers matter for both levels and distributional position.

Disaggregate inheritance: real vs. financial assets

Table: Effects of Inherited Real and Financial Assets on Wealth Mobility

	Absolute Mobility		Relative Mobility		N
	(1) OLS	(2) FE	(3) OLS	(4) FE	
Panel A: Inherited Asset Dummy					
Real Asset	0.958*** (0.098)	0.251* (0.134)	28.10*** (3.75)	28.35*** (6.04)	8,905
Financial Asset	0.347*** (0.092)	0.109 (0.108)	6.71 (4.34)	−0.43 (6.52)	8,905
Panel B: Inherited Asset Value (€)					
Real Asset	0.072*** (0.014)	0.039* (0.020)	3.18*** (0.60)	3.12*** (0.92)	2,191
Financial Asset	0.030** (0.014)	0.013 (0.015)	1.34* (0.72)	0.06 (0.91)	1,597
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Notes: Panel A uses the receipt dummies of real or financial assets as regressor. Panel B uses their IHS-transformed value (€). Standard errors are robust (OLS) and clustered at the household level (FE). *, **, and *** indicate significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.

Disaggregate inheritance: real vs. financial assets, by median value

Table: Effects of Inherited Assets on Wealth Mobility, by Median Bracket

Panel	Asset Type	Asset Value	Absolute Mobility		Relative Mobility		N
			(1) OLS	(2) FE	(3) OLS	(4) FE	
Panel A: Inherited Asset Dummies							
	Real Asset	≤ €80,000	0.863*** (0.168)	0.264 (0.247)	20.92*** (6.03)	12.91 (10.04)	7,210
	Real Asset	> €80,000	1.390*** (0.160)	0.505** (0.251)	40.34*** (6.23)	39.50*** (10.42)	7,210
	Financial Asset	≤ €25,000	0.274 (0.257)	0.076 (0.257)	6.63 (8.27)	−14.25 (11.92)	6,619
	Financial Asset	> €25,000	0.524*** (0.092)	0.229 (0.167)	27.01*** (9.33)	4.49 (13.90)	6,619
Panel B: Inherited Asset Value (€)							
	Real Asset	≤ €80,000	0.000 (0.079)	−0.041 (0.091)	1.59 (3.51)	8.83 (8.03)	474
	Real Asset	> €80,000	0.213 (0.205)	0.234*** (0.068)	18.14** (8.70)	26.61* (13.55)	415
	Financial Asset	≤ €25,000	0.633* (0.332)	0.847* (0.486)	19.28** (9.73)	27.81** (13.44)	286
	Financial Asset	> €25,000	0.324** (0.134)	0.123 (0.136)	32.75 (19.57)	16.07 (28.96)	241
Controls			Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Notes: Panel A reports coefficients for dummies of inherited assets, by median bracket. Panel B reports IHS value change effects. Standard errors are robust (OLS) and clustered at the household level (FE). *, **, and *** indicate significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.

Disaggregate inheritance: real vs. financial assets

- **Real assets** drive the results: significant across specifications; larger marginal effects.
- **Financial assets**: generally insignificant in FE at means.
- Median split: large real inheritances matter most; small financial transfers help poorer HHs.

Disaggregate inheritance: individual asset dummies

Table: Effects of Individual Asset Dummies on Wealth Mobility

	Absolute Mobility		Relative Mobility	
	(1) OLS	(2) FE	(3) OLS	(4) FE
Dwelling	0.6335*** (0.1334)	0.4899** (0.2420)	30.5122*** (8.7438)	37.6366*** (12.6879)
Dwelling Use	0.2701 (0.7141)	-0.7721 (0.6217)	13.7775 (19.7179)	2.5646 (27.3614)
Land	0.9566*** (0.2337)	-0.0278 (0.3026)	24.4425*** (7.9151)	17.1804 (13.6333)
Money	0.2799** (0.1135)	0.3398** (0.1559)	5.0618 (7.2050)	-7.5323 (11.1372)
Business	-0.1164 (0.2579)	-0.6429 (0.7329)	-6.5075 (37.3695)	2.9140 (33.0786)
Securities & Shares	0.0895 (0.1887)	-0.0919 (0.1652)	9.0298 (19.2109)	23.7408 (22.8704)
Valuables	-0.1745 (0.5590)	-0.3377 (0.6049)	-99.3637** (40.5792)	-49.7267 (54.6821)
Life Insurance	0.5209 (0.5584)	-0.0219 (0.8145)	132.5814 (117.1177)	126.0317 (207.3077)
Other Assets	0.8192* (0.4452)	0.8046 (0.8519)	-29.0887 (38.8023)	-25.0100 (38.3840)
Vehicles	-0.6953 (0.7589)	-0.8862 (0.7412)	14.3920 (32.4475)	22.6229 (44.7786)
Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	7,231	7,231	7,231	7,231

Notes: Standard errors are robust (OLS) and clustered at the household level (FE). *, **, and *** indicate significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.

Which asset types matter?

- **Dwelling** consistently significant: $\sim 58\%$ increase in absolute mobility; rank gains.
- **Land**: some significance in OLS, mixed in FE.
- **Money**: positive for absolute mobility on receipt.

Disaggregate inheritance: individual asset value, by median value

Table: Effects of Individual Asset Value (€) on Wealth Mobility, by Median Bracket

Asset Type	Asset Value	Absolute Mobility		Relative Mobility		N
		OLS	FE	OLS	FE	
Dwelling	≤ €70,000	0.68 (0.46)	−0.10 (0.22)	−2.01 (11.13)	−89.56 (54.02)	123
Dwelling	> €70,000	0.64*** (0.22)	0.40*** (0.07)	5.11 (27.96)	6.31 (22.36)	107
Land	≤ €35,000	0.08 (0.25)	0.17* (0.08)	6.49 (7.14)	41.77** (15.73)	74
Land	> €35,000	−0.23 (0.49)	0.10 (0.09)	6.28 (18.46)	19.73 (13.41)	68
Money	≤ €20,000	0.65* (0.39)	1.42* (0.83)	23.28** (9.30)	41.52*** (15.54)	236
Money	> €20,000	0.25 (0.13)	0.01 (0.09)	36.39* (18.03)	23.44 (38.76)	208
Controls		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	

Notes: Each cell reports the effect of IHS-transformed inheritance value, by asset type and median bracket. Standard errors are robust (OLS) and clustered at the household level (FE).

*, **, and *** indicate significance at the 10%, 5%, and 1% levels, respectively.

- **Real assets:** effects from above-median inheritances → favors wealthier heirs.
- **Financial assets:** small transfers strongly affect low-wealth households.

- Rebalance taxation toward high-value real property.
- Given housing centrality and strong marginal impacts of small financial transfers for low-wealth households, this could yield several benefits:
 - Fewer luxury homes bought by foreign investors to park money would sit empty.
 - Lower local land prices and deposits needed to access a mortgage improve housing affordability.
 - Increased savings for low-wealth households to meet daily needs.

- Inheritances increase wealth mobility on average, but **who benefits** hinges on **asset type** and **value**.
- **Real assets**, especially **dwellings above the median**, drive gains skewed toward richer heirs.
- **Small financial transfers** materially lift poorer households.
- Absent policy, patterns likely **exacerbate inequality** over time.

Thanks!

Questions?

- Boserup, S. H., Kopczuk, W., and Kreiner, C. T. (2016). The role of bequests in shaping wealth inequality: Evidence from danish wealth records. American Economic Review: Papers & Proceedings, 106(5):656–661.
- Nolan, B., Palomino, J. C., Van Kerm, P., and Morelli, S. (2021). Intergenerational wealth transfers and wealth inequality in rich countries: What do we learn from gini decomposition? Economics Letters, 199:109701.
- OECD (2021). Inheritance taxation in oecd countries. Technical Report 28, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), Paris.