Real Assets, Real Inequality: The Heterogeneous Impact of Inheritance on Wealth Mobility

Antonio Ferraro

August 27, 2025

Department of Economics, University of Genoa

Roadmap

Motivation

Contribution at a glance

Data

Method

Results

Robustness & Interpretation

Conclusion

Motivation

Why study inheritances and wealth mobility?

- Wealth inequality has re-accelerated in advanced economies.
- Inheritances/gifts are large, frequent, and unevenly distributed.
- Are transfers equalizing or disequalizing? Depends on the metric (absolute vs. relative).
- This paper: micro panel evidence on how asset type and value bracket shape mobility.

Contribution at a glance

Key contributions

- 1. Panel analysis (2009–2022) using HFCS repetitive households (4 waves).
- 2. Two outcomes: Absolute mobility Δ Net Wealth; Relative mobility Δ rank in national wealth distribution.
- 3. Disaggregate transfers: Real vs. Financial assets; 10 HFCS asset categories.
- 4. Identification of heterogeneous effects: by macro-type, specific asset, and below/above median value.

Data

HFCS panel overview

- Waves: 2009–2011, 2013–2015, 2017–2018, 2020–2022 (harmonized by ECB).
- Countries in estimation: Belgium, Cyprus, Germany, Spain.
- Panel sample: \sim 2,983 repetitive HHs per wave (\sim 11,932 obs. total).
- Imputations: 5 implicates; Rubin's rules for pooled inference.
- Values deflated with HICP; incomes equivalized.

Inheritance variables

- Receipt dummy: any inheritance/gift by any HH member (outside current HH).
- Total value: sum of up to three reported transfers (net of tax).
- Asset types (10): Money; Dwelling; Dwelling Use; Land; Business; Securities & Shares; Valuables; Life Insurance; Vehicles; Other.
- Grouping: Financial (Money, Securities&Shares, Life Insurance) vs. Real (others).

Method

Outcome definitions

Absolute mobility:

$$\Delta W_{i,t} = W_{i,t} - W_{i,t-1}$$

Relative mobility:

$$\Delta R_{i,t} = R_{i,t} - R_{i,t-1}$$

IHS used for monetary variables:

$$\operatorname{arcsinh}(x) = \ln\left(x + \sqrt{x^2 + 1}\right).$$

Controls

- Lagged wealth, income, HH size
- Age (& square), education, labor status
- Gender, marital status
- HH FE (α_i) , Year FE (λ_t) , Country FE (μ_c)

Baseline specification

$$\mathsf{Mobility}_{i,t} = \boldsymbol{\beta}' \, \mathsf{Inheritance}_{i,t} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}' \, \mathbf{X}_{i,t} + \alpha_i + \mu_c + \lambda_t + \varepsilon_{i,t}$$

- FE estimates emphasized; OLS reported for comparison.
- Monetary variables transformed with IHS; interpretation via elasticities.
- Multiply imputed data; robust SEs clustered at HH level in FE.

Results

Aggregate effect of inheritance

- Receipt dummy: positive for both absolute and relative mobility.
- Magnitude (FE): 31% increase in absolute mobility; 18 rank positions gained.
- Interpretation: transfers matter for both levels and distributional position.

Real vs. Financial assets

- Real assets drive the results: significant across specs; larger marginal effects.
- Financial assets: generally insignificant in FE at means.
- Median split:
 - Real > median (80k): strong, significant gains (both absolute/relative).
 - Real ≤ median: no measurable FE effect.
 - Financial \leq median (25k): positive and sizable; > median: weak.

Which asset types matter?

- Among 10 categories, Dwelling is consistently significant across models.
- Dwelling effects:
 - Receipt: 58% increase in absolute mobility; sizable rank gains.
 - Value increments: 3.7 rank positions per IHS unit (FE).
 - Effects concentrated in *above-median* dwellings; for relative mobility, gains taper at very high values.
- **Money**: positive for absolute mobility on receipt; value effects concentrated <u>below</u> the median.
- Land: some significance in OLS, mixed in FE.

Heterogeneity by value bracket

- Real assets: effects come entirely from > median values
 - *⇒ favorsalreadywealthyheirs*. **Financial assets** : <u>small</u> transferspackapunchforpoorerhouseholds.
- Policy implication: liquidity matters; high-value real assets entrench inequality.

Robustness & Interpretation

Robustness and identification notes

- FE controls for time-invariant unobservables; focus on changes (mitigates reverse causality from wealth levels).
- Year and country FEs absorb macro shocks and structural cross-country differences.
- Results robust to restricting to single-asset households for value regressions.

Policy discussion

- Rebalance taxation toward <u>high-value inherited real property</u>.
- Consider targeted <u>liquidity transfers</u> to low-wealth households; strong marginal impacts observed for small financial inheritances.
- Housing centrality suggests spillovers via collateral and credit access.

Conclusion

Takeaways

- Inheritances increase wealth mobility on average, but who benefits hinges on asset type and value.
- Real assets, especially dwellings above the median, drive gains—skewed toward richer heirs.
- Small financial transfers materially lift poorer households.
- Absent policy, patterns likely exacerbate inequality over time.



Appendix: Specification details

- Transformations: IHS on wealth, inheritance values; interpretation per semi-elasticities.
- Inference: Rubin's rules across 5 implicates; SEs clustered at HH in FE.
- Sample: repetitive households in BE, CY, DE, ES; period 2009–2022.

Appendix: Tables (placeholders)

- Table: Effects of receipt (dummy) on absolute/relative mobility.
- Table: Effects of total value (IHS) on absolute/relative mobility.
- Table: Real vs. Financial; by median bracket.
- Table: Individual asset types; value brackets.

Appendix: Notation and elasticities

- IHS back-of-the-envelope for dummy in IHS dependent variable: $ar{R} pprox e^{\hat{eta} 0.5\,\widehat{Var}(\hat{eta})}.$
- Rank effects reported as positions in national net wealth distribution.

Thanks! Questions?