## PATA MINING - HMW2 - Julen Ferro

1.1) Ex 6:

It we minimize RSS we get the simple linear regressions parameters.

$$\vec{\beta}_{L} = \vec{\Sigma}_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \hat{x}) (y_{i} - \hat{r}) \qquad \vec{\beta}_{o} = \vec{y} - \vec{\beta}_{j} \cdot \vec{x}$$

$$\vec{\Sigma}_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \hat{x})^{2}$$

The regression will be  $y_i = \vec{\beta_0} + \vec{\beta_3} \cdot X$ 

Therefore, by operating we get that the regression equation passes through the x and y point coordinates.

$$y = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 \times = (\bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \times) + \hat{\delta}_1 \times = \bar{y}$$

4) When comparing the linear regression model vs the cubic one, I think that the auditoria cubic one will have a lower training BSS due to the pact that pits better the prediction. It takes into account more terms in the regression equation, so it should pit pine the data prediction with less training residuals. But the pinal results will be more or less the same because there is not enough information in the state ment.

AUTHOR: Julen Ferro Bañales