Gaussian Processes Part 1

Max Kochurov

MSU

2022

Agenda

1. Intro
   - Preliminaries
   - Kernel Math
   - Basic Hyper-Parameters
   - Kernel Types
   - Kernel Math
2. Example
   - Spatial Hierarchy

Non-parametrics

- Assumptions are vague
- Structure (of a function) is your prior.
- Is not only about Gaussian Processes

Non-parametrics

- Assumptions are vague
  - Priors on functions
  - Priors on time or spatial effects
- Structure (of a function) is your prior.

- Is not only about Gaussian Processes

Non-parametrics

- Assumptions are vague
  - Priors on functions
  - Priors on time or spatial effects
- Structure (of a function) is your prior.
  - Does not change much
  - Volatile
  - Can take values from y_0 to y_1
  - Extrapolates periodically
  - And more structural assumptions
- Is not only about Gaussian Processes

Non-parametrics

- Assumptions are vague
  - Priors on functions
  - Priors on time or spatial effects
- Structure (of a function) is your prior.
  - Does not change much
  - Volatile
  - Can take values from y_0 to y_1
  - Extrapolates periodically
  - And more structural assumptions
- Is not only about Gaussian Processes
  - Dirichlet Processes
  - Bayesian Additive Regression Trees
  - Many Others

Notation

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$Y \sim \mathcal{GP}(m(x), k(x, x'))$$

Notation

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$Y \sim \mathcal{GP}(m(x), k(x, x'))$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} m(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ m(x_N) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} k(x_1, x_1) & \dots & k(x_1, x_N) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ k(x_N, x_1) & \dots & k(x_N, x_N) \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

1. $\mathcal{GP}$ Gaussian Process - simply, a normal distribution with special mean $m(x)$ and covariance $k(x, x')$

Notation

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$Y \sim \mathcal{GP}(m(x), k(x, x'))$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} m(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ m(x_N) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} k(x_1, x_1) & \dots & k(x_1, x_N) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ k(x_N, x_1) & \dots & k(x_N, x_N) \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

1. $\mathcal{GP}$ Gaussian Process - simply, a normal distribution with special mean $m(x)$ and covariance $k(x, x')$
2. $m(x)$ - mean function, e.g.
   - Linear regression $m(x) = x^\top \beta$
   - Simply Constant or Zero $m(x) = c$
   - Other custom functions $m(x) = \sin(x)$

Notation

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$Y \sim \mathcal{GP}(m(x), k(x, x'))$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} m(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ m(x_N) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} k(x_1, x_1) & \dots & k(x_1, x_N) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ k(x_N, x_1) & \dots & k(x_N, x_N) \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

1. $\mathcal{GP}$ Gaussian Process - simply, a normal distribution with special mean $m(x)$ and covariance $k(x, x')$
2. $m(x)$ - mean function, e.g.
   - Linear regression $m(x) = x^\top \beta$
   - Simply Constant or Zero $m(x) = c$
   - Other custom functions $m(x) = \sin(x)$
3. $k(x, x')$ - kernel function, simply - measure of similarity for x and x'
   - $[K]_{ij} = k(x_i, x_j)$ is an SPD matrix

Kernel Function

Recall, $\mathcal{GP}(M(x), K(x, x'))$ is a kind of normal distribution. This how a kernel might look like:

$$k(x, x') = RBF(x, x') = \exp(||x - x'||/2L)$$



Figure: RBF kernel (data space)

Kernel Function

Recall, $\mathcal{GP}(M(x), K(x, x'))$ is a kind of normal distribution. This how a kernel might look like:

$$k(x, x') = RBF(x, x') = \exp(||x - x'||/2L)$$

Parameter Interpretation

L - **lengthscale** for x such that y does not change much



Figure: RBF kernel (data space)

Kernel Function

Recall, $\mathcal{GP}(M(x), K(x, x'))$ is a kind of normal distribution. This how a kernel might look like:

$$k(x, x') = RBF(x, x') = \exp(||x - x'||/2L)$$

Parameter Interpretation

L - **lengthscale** for x such that y does not change much



Figure: RBF kernel (covariance matrix)

Kernel Math

Kernels can be combined (read more [2]). If $k_1(x, x')$ and $k_2(x, x')$ are valid kernels, then

1. $k_*(x, x') = a \cdot k_1(x, x') + b \cdot k_2(x, x')$ is a valid kernel
   - sum rule
   - $a, b > 0$
2. $k_*(x, x') = k_1(x, x')^a \cdot k_2(x, x')^b$ is a valid kernel
   - product rule
   - $a, b > 0$

Kernel Math

Kernels can be combined (read more [2]). If $k_1(x, x')$ and $k_2(x, x')$ are valid kernels, then

1. $k_*(x, x') = a \cdot k_1(x, x') + b \cdot k_2(x, x')$ is a valid kernel
   - sum rule
   - $a, b > 0$
2. $k_*(x, x') = k_1(x, x')^a \cdot k_2(x, x')^b$ is a valid kernel
   - product rule
   - $a, b > 0$

Basic parametrisation often includes the following

- White Noise ε
- Amplitude σ
- Lengthscale L

$$k(x, x') \cdot \sigma + \varepsilon$$

Understanding the lengthscale

- How **quickly** y is changed
- Not the magnitude!
- Often known up to a good value
- Hard to infer in practice

$$k(x, x') \cdot \sigma + \varepsilon$$



Figure: Lenthscales comparison

Educated guess on lenthscales

- **Granularity** of time series data
  - If data is yearly, 1y lenthscale is a good fit
  - Interpolate missing observations
  - Interpolate higher granularity (months)



Figure: Russian GDP (tradingeconomics.com)

Educated guess on lenthscales

- **Granularity** of time series data
  - If data is yearly, 1y lenthscale is a good fit
  - Interpolate missing observations
  - Interpolate higher granularity (months)
- **Other**
  - Spatial distance (km, m, cm)
  - Age
  - Education duration



Figure: Russian GDP (tradingeconomics.com)

Understanding Amplitude

$$k(x, x') \cdot \sigma + \varepsilon$$

- How variable are the outcomes
- Not the standard deviation (aka white noise)
- Prior can be set with prior predictive checks



Figure: Amplitude (σ) comparison

Amplitude vs White Noise

$$k(x, x') \cdot \sigma + \varepsilon$$

- White Noise is separate thing from amplitude



Figure: White Noise (ε) comparison

Putting All Together

$$k(x, x') = RBF(x, x') \cdot \sigma + \varepsilon$$
$$= \exp(||x - x'||/2L) \cdot \sigma + \varepsilon$$

Putting All Together

- L lengthscale is input measurement unit

$$k(x, x') = RBF(x, x') \cdot \sigma + \varepsilon$$
$$= \exp(||x - x'||/2L) \cdot \sigma + \varepsilon$$

Putting All Together

- L lengthscale is input measurement unit
- σ amplitude is output variablity

$$k(x, x') = RBF(x, x') \cdot \sigma + \varepsilon$$
$$= \exp(||x - x'||/2L) \cdot \sigma + \varepsilon$$

Putting All Together

- L lengthscale is input measurement unit
- σ amplitude is output variablity
- ε white noise is output noise

$$k(x, x') = RBF(x, x') \cdot \sigma + \varepsilon$$
$$= \exp(||x - x'||/2L) \cdot \sigma + \varepsilon$$

Putting All Together

- L lengthscale is input measurement unit
- σ amplitude is output variablity
- ε white noise is output noise

$$k(x, x') = RBF(x, x') \cdot \sigma + \varepsilon$$
$$= \exp(||x - x'||/2L) \cdot \sigma + \varepsilon$$

Note

Lengthscales can be put out of the kernel and are not their intrinsic property (for most of them)

$$\exp(||x - x'||/2L) = \exp(||x/L - x'/L||/2)$$

Kernel Types

Every kernel is a structural assumption

- Stationary
- Periodic/Circular
- Linear/Polynomial (non stationary)

Kernel Types

Every kernel is a structural assumption

- Stationary
  - "If I extrapolate, I go back to prior"
- Periodic/Circular
- Linear/Polynomial (non stationary)



Kernel Types

Every kernel is a structural assumption

- Stationary
  - "If I extrapolate, I go back to prior"
- Periodic/Circular
  - "Things are similar over time"
- Linear/Polynomial (non stationary)



Kernel Types

Every kernel is a structural assumption

- Stationary
  - "If I extrapolate, I go back to prior"
- Periodic/Circular
  - "Things are similar over time"
- Linear/Polynomial (non stationary)
  - Linear Regression
  - Polynomial Regression



Kernel Types

Every kernel is a structural assumption

- Stationary
  - "If I extrapolate, I go back to prior"
- Periodic/Circular
  - "Things are similar over time"
- Linear/Polynomial (non stationary)
  - Linear Regression
  - Polynomial Regression



Kernel math power

You can combine basic properties of the kernels together. Examples here. Combining kernels is art. Art is for the seminar.

Combining Kernels



Figure: Exponential and Periodic kernel

Combining Kernels



Figure: Multiple Periodic kernels

Combining Kernels



Figure: Linear and Periodic kernels

Summary

- Kernels represent structural patterns
- Patterns can be learned from data
- Combining kernels you combine patterns that can be learned

Motivation

There are cases where GP is a sharp knife to solve the problem. They look like

- My parameter changes over time [3]
- I have a time series [1]
- I have spatial data
- I have spatial data and time series

Our Example

The favorite 8 schools

$$\mu \sim \text{Normal}(0, 5)$$
$$\tau \sim \text{HalfCauchy}(5)$$
$$\theta_i \sim \text{Normal}(\mu, \tau)$$
$$y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\theta_i, \sigma_i)$$

Where data are pairs $\{(y_i, \sigma_i)\}$

Our Example

The favorite 8 schools

$$\mu \sim \text{Normal}(0, 5)$$
$$\tau \sim \text{HalfCauchy}(5)$$
$$\theta_i \sim \text{Normal}(\mu, \tau)$$
$$y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\theta_i, \sigma_i)$$

Where data are pairs $\{(y_i, \sigma_i)\}$

But:

- What if we have additional information?
- Coordinates of schools?



Our Example

The favorite 8 schools

$$\mu \sim \text{Normal}(0, 5)$$
$$\tau \sim \text{HalfCauchy}(5)$$
$$\theta_i \sim \text{Normal}(\mu, \tau)$$
$$y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\theta_i, \sigma_i)$$

Where data are pairs $\{(y_i, \sigma_i)\}$

But:

- What if we have additional information?
- Coordinates of schools?



Assumption

Neighboring schools are similar

Spatial Gaussian Process

- A smooth function over 2d space
- Lengthscale is important but can be inferred



Spatial Gaussian Process

- A smooth function over 2d space
- Lengthscale is important but can be inferred



Idea

Instead of independent hierarchy, use GP hierarchy!

The GP Hierarchy

Before:
(centered)

$$\mu \sim \text{Normal}(0, 5)$$
$$\tau \sim \text{HalfCauchy}(5)$$
$$\theta_i \sim \text{Normal}(\mu, \tau)$$
$$y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\theta_i, \sigma_i)$$

(noncentered)

$$\mu \sim \text{Normal}(0, 5)$$
$$\tau \sim \text{HalfCauchy}(5)$$
$$\bar{\theta}_i \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1)$$
$$\theta_i = \mu + \tau \cdot \bar{\theta}_i$$
$$y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\theta_i, \sigma_i)$$

After:
(noncentered + GP)

$$\mu \sim \text{Normal}(0, 5)$$
$$\tau \sim \text{HalfCauchy}(5)$$
$$\bar{\theta}_i \sim \mathcal{GP}(x_i)$$
$$\theta_i = \mu + \tau \cdot \bar{\theta}_i$$
$$y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\theta_i, \sigma_i)$$

The GP Hierarchy

Before:
(centered)

$$\mu \sim \text{Normal}(0, 5)$$
$$\tau \sim \text{HalfCauchy}(5)$$
$$\theta_i \sim \text{Normal}(\mu, \tau)$$
$$y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\theta_i, \sigma_i)$$

(noncentered)

$$\mu \sim \text{Normal}(0, 5)$$
$$\tau \sim \text{HalfCauchy}(5)$$
$$\bar{\theta}_i \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1)$$
$$\theta_i = \mu + \tau \cdot \bar{\theta}_i$$
$$y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\theta_i, \sigma_i)$$

After:
(noncentered + GP)

$$\mu \sim \text{Normal}(0, 5)$$
$$\tau \sim \text{HalfCauchy}(5)$$
$$\bar{\theta}_i \sim \mathcal{GP}(x_i)$$
$$\theta_i = \mu + \tau \cdot \bar{\theta}_i$$
$$y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\theta_i, \sigma_i)$$

Comments

Centered parametrization has geometry issues (lecture 2)

The GP Hierarchy

Before:
(centered)

$$\mu \sim \text{Normal}(0, 5)$$
$$\tau \sim \text{HalfCauchy}(5)$$
$$\theta_i \sim \text{Normal}(\mu, \tau)$$
$$y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\theta_i, \sigma_i)$$

(noncentered)

$$\mu \sim \text{Normal}(0, 5)$$
$$\tau \sim \text{HalfCauchy}(5)$$
$$\bar{\theta}_i \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1)$$
$$\theta_i = \mu + \tau \cdot \bar{\theta}_i$$
$$y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\theta_i, \sigma_i)$$

After:
(noncentered + GP)

$$\mu \sim \text{Normal}(0, 5)$$
$$\tau \sim \text{HalfCauchy}(5)$$
$$\bar{\theta}_i \sim \mathcal{GP}(x_i)$$
$$\theta_i = \mu + \tau \cdot \bar{\theta}_i$$
$$y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\theta_i, \sigma_i)$$

Comments

Issues can be resolved with noncentered parametrization

The GP Hierarchy

Before:
(centered)

$$\mu \sim \text{Normal}(0, 5)$$
$$\tau \sim \text{HalfCauchy}(5)$$
$$\theta_i \sim \text{Normal}(\mu, \tau)$$
$$y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\theta_i, \sigma_i)$$

(noncentered)

$$\mu \sim \text{Normal}(0, 5)$$
$$\tau \sim \text{HalfCauchy}(5)$$
$$\bar{\theta}_i \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1)$$
$$\theta_i = \mu + \tau \cdot \bar{\theta}_i$$
$$y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\theta_i, \sigma_i)$$

After:
(noncentered + GP)

$$\mu \sim \text{Normal}(0, 5)$$
$$\tau \sim \text{HalfCauchy}(5)$$
$$\bar{\theta}_i \sim \mathcal{GP}(x_i)$$
$$\theta_i = \mu + \tau \cdot \bar{\theta}_i$$
$$y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\theta_i, \sigma_i)$$

Comments

In the original model, θ_i (or $\bar{\theta}_i$) is independent per school

The GP Hierarchy

Before:
(centered)

$$\mu \sim \text{Normal}(0, 5)$$
$$\tau \sim \text{HalfCauchy}(5)$$
$$\theta_i \sim \text{Normal}(\mu, \tau)$$
$$y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\theta_i, \sigma_i)$$

(noncentered)

$$\mu \sim \text{Normal}(0, 5)$$
$$\tau \sim \text{HalfCauchy}(5)$$
$$\bar{\theta}_i \sim \text{Normal}(0, 1)$$
$$\theta_i = \mu + \tau \cdot \bar{\theta}_i$$
$$y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\theta_i, \sigma_i)$$

After:
(noncentered + GP)

$$\mu \sim \text{Normal}(0, 5)$$
$$\tau \sim \text{HalfCauchy}(5)$$
$$\bar{\theta}_i \sim \mathcal{GP}(x_i)$$
$$\theta_i = \mu + \tau \cdot \bar{\theta}_i$$
$$y_i \sim \text{Normal}(\theta_i, \sigma_i)$$

Comments

Gaussian Process adds dependencies between schools so close ones are similar. $\sigma_{\mathcal{GP}} = 1$

Results and Takeaways

GP Gotchas

1. Flexible structure
2. Smart hierarchy
3. Predictions for new objects



References I

G. Corani, A. Benavoli, and M. Zaffalon.
Time series forecasting with gaussian processes needs priors.
In Y. Dong, N. Kourtellis, B. Hammer, and J. A. Lozano, editors, *Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases. Applied Data Science Track*, pages 103–117, Cham, 2021. Springer International Publishing.

M. Cuturi.
Positive definite kernels in machine learning, 2009.

T. Wiecki.
Rolling regressino in pymc-devs/pymc-examples, Jan. 2022.