

$Bioinformatics\ 1$

Assignment 1

Henrique Manuel Martins Ferrolho s1683857 - henrique.ferrolho@gmail.com

October 27, 2016

Contents

1	Que	estion	3
	1.1	What is the name of the disease you have selected?	3
	1.2	Explain why it is thought there is a genetic basis for this disease.	3
	1.3	What is the human name for the gene that is thought to be involved?	3
	1.4	Is this gene known by any other names? Whether yes or no,	
		explain how you investigated this.	3
	1.5	Is this gene present in a model organism such as the mouse or the	
		fruit fly?	3
2	011/	estion	4
4	2.1	Now investigate the structure of the gene. Find the gene in a	4
	2.1	database. Which chromosome is it located on, and at which	
		position along the chromosome?	4
	2.2	What is the structure of the gene, how many exons and introns	1
	2.2	does it have?	4
	2.3	Where did you get this sequence from and what was the unique	-
		identifier used so that someone else could be sure they were looking	
		at the same sequence?	4
	2.4	How long is the transcript, and what proportion is coding?	4
	_		
3	•	estion	5
	3.1	Translate your cDNA sequence into protein/amino acid sequence.	_
	3.2	How many amino acids does your protein contain?	5 5
	3.3	Of the 64 possible codons available, how many are used? What is the most common amino acid in the protein?	5 5
	3.4	How many codons for this amino acid exist and how often is each	3
	5.4	used?	5
		used.	9
4	Que	estion	7
	0		0
5	Q uo 5.1	estion Sequences 1 and 2 differ slightly. How does the resulting protein	9
	5.1	differ? Could this have functional implications?	9
	5.2	Now use the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm to compare sequence	9
	0.4	1 to sequences 3 and 4. Use the scoring: match +2, mismatch -1,	
		indel -1. Perform at least one of these on paper (or both if you	
		wish). On paper, use the first three codons only.	9
	5.3	Comparing the bare sequences, what can you conclude about the	-
		relatedness of the species?	11
	5.4	Extra mark	11
	~		
6	Sou	irces	12

1.1 What is the name of the disease you have selected?

I have selected *Huntington Disease*, also known as *Huntington Chorea*.

HD is a genetic brain disorder which causes jerky movements, emotional problems, and loss of cognition.

1.2 Explain why it is thought there is a genetic basis for this disease.

A DNA segment known as a CAG trinucleotide repeat has been consistently detected in people who have been subjected to *Molecular Genetic Testing* and suffer from *HD*.

It is believed that an increase of the *CAG segment* length causes *huntingtin* proteins to be longer. Furthermore, these abnormal proteins get split into toxic segments which accumulate in neurons. This compromises neurons' normal behaviour, and might lead to their death.

The manifestation of these events damage areas of the brain, thus originating the symptoms of a person with HD.

1.3 What is the human name for the gene that is thought to be involved?

The official symbol is *HTT*. It's official full name is *huntingtin*. Furthermore, it's gene ID in the NCBI Gene Database is 3064.

1.4 Is this gene known by any other names? Whether yes or no, explain how you investigated this.

As one can see in the NCBI huntingtin page, the gene is also known as HD, and IT15.

1.5 Is this gene present in a model organism such as the mouse or the fruit fly?

Yes, it is. The *NCBI* database contains orthologs of numerous species, including Mus musculus and Drosophila melanogaster.

2.1 Now investigate the structure of the gene. Find the gene in a database. Which chromosome is it located on, and at which position along the chromosome?

NCBI page: HTT huntingtin [Homo sapiens (human)]

It is located in **Chromosome 4 - NC_000004.12**, from position 3074510 to 3243960.

2.2 What is the structure of the gene, how many exons and introns does it have?

It has 67 exons, and therefore 66 introns (67 - 1).

Download the gene transcript and the coding sequences for your gene - if multiple are listed, choose the main one, at the top of the list.

2.3 Where did you get this sequence from and what was the unique identifier used so that someone else could be sure they were looking at the same sequence?

I downloaded both the *Complete Record FASTA* and *Coding Sequences FASTA nucleotide* files from the NCBI Gene DB.

The sequence unique identifier is NC 000004.12, from GRCh38.p7.

2.4 How long is the transcript, and what proportion is coding?

The Complete Record file has a length of 169451 nucleotides. The Coding Sequences file is 9429 nucleotides long. Therefore, we can say that only 5.56% of the entire gene correspond to coding sequences:

$$\frac{9429}{169451} = 0.05564$$

3.1 Translate your cDNA sequence into protein/amino acid sequence. How many amino acids does your protein contain?

The translation of the cDNA contains **3143** amino acids, which makes sense given the $Coding\ Sequence$ is **9429** nucleotides long:

$$9429/3 = 3143$$

3.2 Of the 64 possible codons available, how many are used?

Out of the 64 possible codons available, **62** codons are used. **UAA** and **UAG** are not used.

3.3 What is the most common amino acid in the protein?

The most common amino acid in the *huntingtin* protein is **Leucine** (Leu/L) - see figure 1.

3.4 How many codons for this amino acid exist and how often is each used?

Leucine has 6 coding amino acids. Below is a table with their respective frequencies in the huntingtin protein.

Codon	Frequency
UUA	70
UUG	156
CUU	168
CUC	178
CUA	68
CUG	295




Figure 1: Histogram obtained with the Jupyter Notebook.

Now look at the following database:

Kazusa - Codon Usage Database

The Codon Usage Database lists the frequency which each codon is used in a species (different species prefer different codons). Sequences which have too many rarer codons result in slowing down transcription and inhibition of protein expression - in extreme cases, rare codons are thought to introduce transcription errors when the rare tRNA is not available.

If you were to try and express your human cDNA sequence in yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*), which codons in your sequence might cause problems for expression?

Note there is no hard threshold, but generally codons with 1% usage or less are considered rare.

According to the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* DB page, yeast have **6534504** codons. Below is a table with the frequency of each codon in the format *[triplet] [frequency: per thousand] ([number])*.

UUU 26.1 (170666)	UCU 23.5 (153557)	UAU 18.8 (122728)	UGU 8.1 (52903)
UUC 18.4 (120510)	UCC 14.2 (92923)	UAC 14.8 (96596)	UGC 4.8 (31095)
UUA 26.2 (170884)	UCA 18.7 (122028)	UAA 1.1 (6913)	UGA 0.7 (4447)
UUG 27.2 (177573)	UCG 8.6 (55951)	UAG 0.5 (3312)	UGG 10.4 (67789)
CUU 12.3 (80076)	CCU 13.5 (88263)	CAU 13.6 (89007)	CGU 6.4 (41791)
CUC 5.4 (35545)	CCC 6.8 (44309)	CAC 7.8 (50785)	CGC 2.6 (16993)
CUA 13.4 (87619)	CCA 18.3 (119641)	CAA 27.3 (178251)	CGA 3.0 (19562)
CUG 10.5 (68494)	CCG 5.3 (34597)	CAG 12.1 (79121)	CGG 1.7 (11351)
AUU 30.1 (196893)	ACU 20.3 (132522)	AAU 35.7 (233124)	AGU 14.2 (92466)
AUC 17.2 (112176)	ACC 12.7 (83207)	AAC 24.8 (162199)	AGC 9.8 (63726)
AUA 17.8 (116254)	ACA 17.8 (116084)	AAA 41.9 (273618)	AGA 21.3 (139081)
AUG 20.9 (136805)	ACG 8.0 (52045)	AAG 30.8 (201361)	AGG 9.2 (60289)
GUU 22.1 (144243)	GCU 21.2 (138358)	GAU 37.6 (245641)	GGU 23.9 (156109)
GUC 11.8 (76947)	GCC 12.6 (82357)	GAC 20.2 (132048)	GGC 9.8 (63903)
GUA 11.8 (76927)	GCA 16.2 (105910)	GAA 45.6 (297944)	GGA 10.9 (71216)
GUG 10.8 (70337)	GCG 6.2 (40358)	GAG 19.2 (125717)	GGG 6.0 (39359)

By examining the table, one can see that \mathbf{UAA} (1.1%), \mathbf{UAG} (0.5%), and \mathbf{UGA} (0.7%) are somewhat rare triplets (for yeast). All these three codons correspond to the **termination** codon - see RNA codon table.

This is not a problem however, because despite the low percentage of these codons, there are thousands of each available, which by far cover the needs of the protein expression.

Another codon which might be considered *rare* is **CGG**, but again that should not be a problem because the expression does not demand a number of that triplet greater than its frequency in yeast.

Having said that, suppose the frequency of \mathbf{CGG} was much lower-so low that it could introduce transcription errors. One possible work around is to reverse engineer the mRNA. \mathbf{CGG} codes Arginine, which is also coded by \mathbf{CGU} , \mathbf{CGC} , and \mathbf{CGA} - which have a much higher frequency (check table above). One could replace \mathbf{CGG} occurences in the mRNA with one of those other three Arginine encoding codons, and that should solve the transcription errors.

We now turn to sequence comparison and alignment. You are given the following coding sequence fragments. They encode a homologous proteins in different species, sequence 2 is human (1 extra mark if you can give the gene name and the most likely species for the other sequences). The sequences are aligned to the correct reading frame:

- 1. CTGAAGCGGGAGGCTGAGACGCTGCGGGAGCGGGAGGGC
- 2. CTCAAGCGTGAGGCCGAGACCCTACGGGAGCGGGAAGGC
- GAAGAGCTGAAGAGAGGGCTGACAATTTAAAGGACAGA
- 4. AACGAGGAGCTCAAGCGAGAAGCTGATACGCTGAAGGAC
- 5.1 Sequences 1 and 2 differ slightly. How does the resulting protein differ? Could this have functional implications?

The resulting protein does not differ, it is the same: LKREAETLREREG. Since the encoded amino acids do not change, the protein has exactly the same functionality.

One might theorise that this amino acid encoding redundancy is a biological safeguard for small mutations not to change the functionality of a protein.

5.2 Now use the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm to compare sequence 1 to sequences 3 and 4. Use the scoring: $\operatorname{match} +2$, $\operatorname{mismatch} -1$, $\operatorname{indel} -1$. Perform at least one of these on paper (or both if you wish). On paper, use the first three codons only.




Figure 2: Needleman-Wunsch algorithm result on sequence 1 and 3.

Sequence 1										
	0	C1.	T -2	6	A - 40	A -5.	6	C -7	6	6 -9
A A C G A G G A G	1-22/3/4/5/6/4/8/9	1-1-2-0-1-1-2-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-		2-3 2-3 2-2 100 1-1-2-3	-1 -1 -2 0 3 22 1 1 0	2-2-1	-3 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 5	2 - 4 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	33 3 5 5	3 3 5
Final score: 6 (with 2 possible paths)										

Figure 3: Needleman-Wunsch algorithm result on sequence 1 and 4.

5.3 Comparing the bare sequences, what can you conclude about the relatedness of the species?

TODO

5.4 Extra mark

TODO

Sequence	Gene name	Species		
1	?	?		
2	?	human		
3	?	?		
4	?	?		

6 Sources

Genetics Home Reference

https://ghr.nlm.nih.gov/condition/huntington-disease

Wikipedia

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Huntington%27s_disease