✔ Peer-graded Assignment: Project Scenario 1 Reviews 12 complete You've finished your peer reviews Well done! You sent 12 peers feedback that will help them. If you have time, please review one or two more. Every review you do helps another peer complete the course! Your fellow learner has submitted their assignment anonymously and your review will be anonymous to them. All names are still visible to course instructors. Zenith Healthcare product by Anonymous Learner April 17, 2020 ♡ Like 🏳 Flag this submission PROMPT RUBRIC What software development methodology would you suggest for this situation and why? Did the learner identify "Known User Needs" or "Known Requirements" (or something similar) as Step 1: Start analyzing the scenario by one of the characteristics and specified the correct identifying the characteristics of this situation and **specify the logic** behind the selection of characteristics. For example, you may identify "User Needs Unknown" as a characteristic Didn't identify this characteristic based on statement X, Y and Z in the scenario. 1 pt Step 2: Select a model that best fits the Identified the characteristic but the logic / characteristics you identified in step 1. **Justify** reference statement used to support the **your choice** by providing by the logic behind your selection. For example, you may say that characteristic was incorrect. The correct logic / reference statement to support this characteristic since the scenario has characteristics X and Y, is "...with the exact same functionality. Thus, models A and B are potential candidates. Additionally, since the scenario has the requirements from client perspective are very well known and do not need to change" characteristic Z, model A is the best option. 2 pts Based on the information in the situation which has Identified the characteristic and specified the been assigned to me above, I would like to correct logic recommend the project manager should apply iterative and incremental approach to develop the software. There are some reasons why I recommended that: Did the learner identify "Known Solution" (or something similar) as one of the characteristics? 1. Because the current system architecture cannot support the rising demand of the **product** which is loved by clients and is Didn't identify this characteristic growing in popularity, it's needed to be rearchitect but still provide the same functionality. It means that the requirements Identified the characteristic but the logic / from client perspective are really well known reference statement to support this characteristic and they don't need to change, and the was incorrect. The correct logic / reference change requirement to support the growing statement to support this characteristic is "What demand is clearly understood. With that needs to be changed in the system to support information, a predictive model is suitable for the growing demand is also well understood" the situation. Some of them are Waterfall, V-Model, Incremental, Iterative, Incremental and Iterative, and etc. Identified the characteristic and specified the 2. The product has been launched 2 years ago, it correct logic is working and to keep the growth of the product, Zenith Healthcare must cover the problem of growing support fast enough to Did the learner identify "Benefit in deploying part prevent losing of market shares. Therefore, of the product" (or something similar) as one of the Waterfall model and V-Model are not characteristics? suitable. The others are more appropriate than them. 3. The product has 4, faily independent Didn't identify this characteristic components, and all of them need to be rearchitected, so we can organize the development team so that we can develop 4 of Identified the characteristic but the logic / them **in parallel**. Moreover, out of the 4, one reference statement to support this characteristic of them has caused the most pain and the was incorrect. The correct logic / reference organization could benefit greatly if the statement to support this characteristic is "Out of the 4, one of them has caused the most pain component could be replaced with a **new**, and organization could benefit greatly if that highly scalable architecture. Thus, we can set the priority to re-architect for the component could be replaced first with a new, highly scalable architecture." component earlier than others after rearchitecting for the whole system. 4. The technical architect and project manager Identified the characteristic and specified the work from the corporate headquater in correct logic Germany, but most of the team who will do the coding for the migration will be in Belarus. The testing team will also be in Belarus. It means that they are working in distributed model, Did the learner select the right model for the scenario hence, they need a formal way to and provide the correct logic? communicate with each other e.g. with 0 pts documents. On the other hand, The work of migration is a tedious job and the deployment Learner selected a model that is ill-suited to this situation like the Spiral Model, the V-Model, of a new system will involve a lot of external Sashimi, or the Waterfall method communication, managing customer expectation, etc. Thus, we need a more 1 pt interactive approach and rich Learner selected a model that will work but is not communication including documents in development life-cycle. the preferred model (e.g. "Unified Process") 2 pts Learner selected the right model: the Incremental Model 3 pts Learner selected the right model and the right variation of it: the most basic incremental model -- all phases are completed in each increment. This allows us to replace the most pain-inducing component as fast as we can. 4 pts Learner selects the right model and specifies the right logic behind the selection: "Out of the 4, one of them has caused the most pain and the organization could benefit greatly if that component could be replaced first with a new, highly scalable architecture." What is the overall quality and detail of the response and the facts supporting the response. 0 pts Little detail Enough detail Enough detail with additional, out-of-thebox/creative thinking Any other open feedback for this question? PROMPT For the selected model, take us through a simulated / fictitious journey on how this project will be Does the story supports the model selected by the completed all the way from defining requirements to deployment. You are free to make up characters as the video on" Model Selection" to get an idea. The The story does not supports the model selected video stays at high level, but you can go in further by the learner details as you feel necessary. In your story, please make sure to talk about artifact and practices followed by the team on this project. Identified the characteristics but logic / reference statement to support this characteristic were By apply iterative and incremental development incorrect. The correct logic / reference statement model, at the beginning, the project team will to support this characteristics is "Also, college perform requirement analysis activity first to create leadership has some idea on what to build but requirement document and a meeting to get not sure what exactly are college needs in agreement on the requirement document will be terms of automation" hold before moving to re-architect activity. After rearchitecting the whole system, architect and 2 pts development team will work on re-architecting the The story was very detailed and complete (covers most pain component first, then re-architect three all artifacts and ceremonies of the model other components in parallel. Then the team will develop and test those components simultaneously. 4 pts When each component is completed, it will be integrated and deploy into the system immediately. The story was very creative and covers things that weren't taught in the course but applicable to this Integration test activity will be performed each time a component is integrated into the system. After all components were integrated completely, the Testing team in Belarus will do the system test for the whole system. After that, the system will be tested at the site of project manager and architect with some client representatives as an alpha acceptance testing. At last step of development process, the system will be tested at clients' site in a beta acceptance testing phase in a duration of several months. PROMPT RUBRIC What kind of testing would you suggest the team to do? Be sure to justify your answer. Are the types of testing suggested by the submission appropriate for the example? As I describe above, the team should perform following testing levels: + Component Testing There are no suggested types of testing. + Integration Testing + System Testing 5 pts + Alpha Acceptance Testing Some types of testing are listed, but no attempt is + Beta Acceptance Testing made to justify them, right or wrong. And the team must perform following type of testing: • 6 pts Some types of testing are listed, some are right 1. Functional Testing - to make sure the efficiency and completeness of the functionality of the system. but most are wrong. The justification does not do 2. Performance Testing - to make sure that the a good job of explaining why these types of architecture of whole system and each components testing are needed. satisfies practical requirement. 3. Scalability Testing - to make sure that the 7 pts organization could get the benefits from the Types of testing listed make sense for the project popularity growth of the product. (with at most one exception), but the justification 4. Usability Testing - to make sure that users are able does not do a good job of explaining why they are to learn and easy to use the system. necessary. 8 pts Types of testing listed make sense for the project (with at most one exception), but the justification only does a mediocre job of explaining why they are necessary. 9 pts Types of testing listed make sense for the project (with at most one exception), and the justification provided makes sense (with at most one exception). • 10 pts The types of testing listed are perfectly applicable to the project, and these types of testing are fully PROMPT RUBRIC Write a few example of test cases or a descriptive Do the test cases or narrative provided make sense narrative for what you expect the testing team to use relative to the project at hand? when testing this product. 0 pts There are no test cases or narrative Testing team should check following aspects: • 5 pts 1. Do the system have all of functionality as the previous version? Are they completed and efficient? Test cases or a narrative exist, but is either not at 2. Is there any defects in those functionality? all clear or completely misses the point of the 3. Is the system able to scale up to meet the growth assignment. demand? 6 pts Some test cases or a brief narrative appears, but only applies to the project in a tangential way (is mostly off-topic, hardly related, etc.) 7 pts Test cases provided are not fully described (e.g. they are missing expected output); the narrative merely lists ways of testing which are generic or the definition of the type does not apply them to this specific project. 8 pts Test cases exist but are not considered comprehensive; Narrative only applies to the project partially, or is incorrect in some major way • 9 pts Test cases exist and are nearly comprehensively descriptive; Narrative applies but has mistakes which affect applicability/understandability 10 pts Test cases included are excellent; Narrative clearly explains the how the testing should be approached.

Submit Review

Comments left for the learner are visible only to that learner and the person who left the comment.

Comments

Waterfall Models
Incremental Models
Iterative Models

8 min

13 min

Applying traditional software development models

**Video:** Applying Software Development Models

Quiz: Traditional Software Development Models 11 questions

Peer-graded Assignment: Project

Review Your Peers: Project Scenario

Video: Phase Gates / Stage Gates