

# Support Vector Machines

Fangfang Song

## 1 Introduction

Informally, given a training set (assume it's linearly separable), we manage to find a decision boundary that allows us to separate the positive examples and negative examples. A good choice will be put the decision boundary at the middle of the gap/street between the positive and negative examples, and we want to maximize the width of the street. To make a prediction on an example  $x^{(i)}$ , assume  $\vec{w}$  is the normal vector of the decision boundary, we can project  $x^{(i)}$  on to  $\vec{w}$ , which is  $x^{(i)} \cdot \vec{w}$ , if pass the decision boundary, it will be positive, else, it will be negative. Mathematically:

if  $x^{(i)} \cdot \vec{w} > c$  or  $x^{(i)} \cdot \vec{w} + b > 0$ , positive example

if  $x^{(i)} \cdot \vec{w} < c$  or  $x^{(i)} \cdot \vec{w} + b < 0$ , negative example

Furthermore, we will add some constrain and let the street has a width, we will say,

if  $x^{(i)} \cdot \vec{w} + b > 1$ , positive example

if  $x^{(i)} \cdot \vec{w} + b < -1$ , negative example

## 2 Derivation of SVM

For mathematical convenience, we introduce a function  $y^{(i)}(x^{(i)} \cdot \vec{w} + b)$ , where  $y \in \{1, -1\}$ , so the decision rule becomes:  $y^{(i)}(x^{(i)} \cdot \vec{w} + b) \geq 1$ . And for the examples on the gutter:

$$y^{(i)}(x^{(i)} \cdot \vec{w} + b) = 1 \quad (1)$$

Then let's find the width of the street:

$$\text{width} = (x^+ - x^-) \cdot \frac{\vec{w}}{\|w\|} \quad (2)$$

Combing with equation (1):  $\text{width} = \frac{2}{\|w\|}$  we want to maximize the the width, which is equivalent to minimize  $\|w\|$ , which is equivalent to minimize  $\frac{1}{2}\|w\|^2$  So the optimization problem are:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\gamma, w, b} \quad & \frac{1}{2}\|w\|^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & y^{(i)}(w^T x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1, i = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

More concretely ,we introduce the concept of functional margin and geometric margin. Given a training example  $(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$ , we define the functional margin of the decision boundary  $(w, b)$  with respect to the training example

$$\hat{\gamma}^{(i)} = y^{(i)}(w^T x^{(i)} + b) \quad (3)$$

The function margin of  $(w, b)$  with respect to  $S$  is defined to be the smallest of the functional margin of the individual training examples.

Functional margin can be thought as a testing function that will tell you whether a particular point is properly classified or not: the result would be positive for properly classified points. To maximize the margin you need more than just the sign, you need to have a notion of magnitude, the functional margin would give you a number but without a reference you can't tell if the point is actually far away or close to the decision plane. **Also, by scaling  $w$  and  $b$ , we can make the functional margin arbitrarily large without really changing anything meaningfully.** Thus we introduce the idea of **geometric margin**. The geometric margin is telling you not only if the point is properly classified (geometric margin has a sign) or not, but the magnitude of that distance in term of units of  $\|w\|$ . The geometric margin is the distance from the training example to the separating hyper plane, it is the functional margin scaled by  $\|w\|$ . **And it is robust to the scaling of  $w$  and  $b$ .** Mathematically, the geometric margin of a separating hyper-plane/decision boundary with respect to a training example  $(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$  to be

$$\gamma^{(i)} = y^{(i)} \left( \frac{w}{\|w\|}^T x^{(i)} + \frac{b}{\|w\|} \right)$$

$$\text{geometric margin} = \frac{\text{functional margin}}{\|w\|}$$

We want to find a separating hyper-plane which achieves the maximum geometric margin. Thus we pose the following optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\gamma, w, b} \quad & \gamma \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & y^{(i)}(w^T x^{(i)} + b) \geq \gamma, i = 1, \dots, m \\ & \|w\| = 1 \end{aligned}$$

We can transform the problem into a nicer one by getting rid of the nasty " $\|w\| = 1$ " constraint:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\hat{\gamma}, w, b} \quad & \hat{\gamma} \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & y^{(i)}(w^T x^{(i)} + b) \geq \hat{\gamma}, i = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

Since geometric margin are functional margin measured in units of  $\vec{w}$ , also we can add an arbitrary scaling constraint on  $w$  and  $b$  without changing anything, we introduce the scaling constraint that the functional margin of  $w, b$  with respect to the training set must be 1:

$$\hat{\gamma} = 1$$

Now, the optimization problem becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\gamma, w, b} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & y^{(i)}(w^T x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1, i = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

## 2.1 Lagrange duality

Consider the following **primal** optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_w \quad & f(w) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & g_i(w) \leq 0, i = 1, \dots, k \\ & h_i(w) = 0, i = 1, \dots, l \end{aligned}$$

Define the **Generalized Lagrangian**

$$L(w, \alpha, \beta) = f(w) + \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i g_i(w) + \sum_{i=1}^l \beta_i h_i(w)$$

The **primal** quantity:

$$\theta_p(w) = \max_{\alpha, \beta: \alpha_i \geq 0} L(w, \alpha, \beta)$$

If  $w$  violates any of the primal constraints, then we can verify that  $\theta_p(w) = \infty$   
Hence,

$$\theta_p(w) = \begin{cases} f(w) & \text{if } w \text{ satisfies primal constraints} \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Define the minimization problem

$$\min_w \theta_p(w) = \min_w \max_{\alpha, \beta: \alpha_i \geq 0} L(w, \alpha, \beta)$$

And, this is the same problem as our original primal problem.

Now, lets consider the **dual optimization problem**, We define

$$\theta_D(\alpha, \beta) = \min_w L(w, \alpha, \beta)$$

The **Dual optimization problem** is  $\max_{\alpha} \min_{w, b} L(w, b, \alpha)$

$$\max_{\alpha, \beta: \alpha_i \geq 0} \theta_D(\alpha, \beta) = \max_{\alpha, \beta: \alpha_i \geq 0} \min_w L(w, \alpha, \beta)$$

$$\min_w \max_{\alpha, \beta: \alpha_i \geq 0} L(w, \alpha, \beta) \geq \max_{\alpha, \beta: \alpha_i \geq 0} \min_w L(w, \alpha, \beta)$$

Under certain conditions, we will have the value of the primal problem = the value of the dual problem. The conditions are:

1.  $f$  and  $g_i$  are convex (Hessian  $\geq 0$ )  $h_i$  are affine ( $h_i(w) = \alpha_i^T w + b$ )
2.  $g_i$  are strictly feasible; this means that there exists some  $w$  so that  $g_i(w) < 0$  for all  $i$ .

Under these conditions, there must exist  $w^*, \alpha^*, \beta^*$  so that  $w^*$  is the solution to the primal problem,  $\alpha^*, \beta^*$  are the solution to the dual problem, and  $w^*, \alpha^*, \beta^*$  satisfy the KKT conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} L(w^*, \alpha^*, \beta^*) &= 0, & i = 1, \dots, n \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_i} L(w^*, \alpha^*, \beta^*) &= 0, & i = 1, 2, \dots, l \\ \alpha^* g_i(w) &= 0, & i = 1, \dots, k \\ g_i(w) &\leq 0, & i = 1, \dots, k \\ \alpha^* &\geq 0, & i = 1, \dots, k \end{aligned}$$

### 3 Optimal margin classifier

To find the optimal margin classifier, the primal optimization problem is:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\gamma, w, b} \quad & \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & y^{(i)}(w^T x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

Construct the Lagrangian for our optimization problem:

$$L(w, b, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i [y^{(i)}(w^T x^{(i)} + b) - 1] \quad (4)$$

The **dual** form of this problem is  $\max_{\alpha} \min_{w, b} L(w, b, \alpha)$ .

To minimize  $L(w, b, \alpha)$ , we set the derivatives of  $L$  with respect to  $w$  and  $b$  to zero. We have :

$$\nabla_w L(w, b, \alpha) = w - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i y^{(i)} x^{(i)} = 0$$

This implies:

$$w = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i y^{(i)} x^{(i)} \quad (5)$$

$$\nabla_b L(w, b, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i y^{(i)} = 0 \quad (6)$$

Now ,lets's take equation(5) and plug that back into the Lagrangian:

$$L(w, b, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \alpha_i \alpha_j y^{(i)} y^{(j)} (x^{(i)})^T x^{(j)} = 0$$

Thus, the dual optimization problem is:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\alpha} \quad & W(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^m \alpha_i \alpha_j y^{(i)} y^{(j)} (x^{(i)})^T x^{(j)} = 0 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \alpha_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, m \\ & \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i y^{(i)} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

By using the KKT conditions, we find that  $\alpha_i$  will all be zero except the **support vectors**(the points with the smallest margins)

To find  $\alpha$ , we use **SMO** algorithm, once we have  $\alpha$ , we know that  $w = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i y^{(i)} x^{(i)}$ . To get  $b$ , we consider the primal problems, and get  $b = \frac{\max_{i:y^i=-1} w^* T x^{(i)} + \min_{i:y^i=1} w^* T x^{(i)}}{2}$ . Intuitively, what this equation does is to find the worst positive and negative examples, put the hyper-plane in the middle.

To make a prediction, we will calculate  $w^T x + b$  and predict  $y = 1$  if and only if this quantity is bigger than zero.

$$w^T x + b = \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i y^{(i)} x^{(i)} \right)^T x + b = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i y^{(i)} < x^{(i)} \cdot x > + b$$

We only need to find the inner product between  $x$  and the support vectors.

## 4 SMO algorithm

Repeat till convergence

1. Select some pair of to update next  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_j$
2. Optimize  $W(\alpha)$  wiht respect to  $\alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_j$ , while holding all the other  $\alpha_k$  fixed.

## 5 Kernel

When we have a training set that is not linearly separable, we may want to change perspective and mapping the data to a high dimensional space, which will increase the likelihood that the data is separable and we can use the SVM algorithm. Thus we define the corresponding **Kernel** to be

$$K(x, z) = \langle \phi(x) \cdot \phi(z) \rangle$$

The magic thing about kernel is that we can find the Kernel without knowing the exact mapping function.

One of the popular Kernel are  $K(x, z) = (x^T z + b)^d$ , and  $K(x, z) = \exp(-\frac{\|x-z\|^2}{2\sigma^2})$

## 6 Regulation and the non-separable case

To make the algorithm work for non-linearly separable datasets as well as be less sensitive to outliers, we allow examples to have (functional) margin less than 1, and if an example whole functional margin is  $1 - \zeta_i$ , we would pay a cost of the objective function being increased by  $C\zeta_i$ . The parameter C controls the relative weighting between the twin goal of make the  $\|w\|^2$  large and of ensuring the most examples have functional margin at least 1.

we reformulate our optimization by using  $l_1$  regulation:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\zeta, w, b} \quad & \frac{1}{2}\|w\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m \zeta_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & y^{(i)}(w^T x^{(i)} + b) \geq 1 - \zeta_i, i = 1, \dots, m \\ & \zeta_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned}$$

We form the Lagrangian:

$$L(w, b, \zeta, \alpha, \gamma) = \frac{1}{2}w^T w + C \sum_{i=1}^m \zeta_i - \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (y^{(i)}(w^T x + b) - 1 + \zeta_i) - \sum_{i=1}^m \gamma_i \zeta_i$$

To get the dual form of the optimization problem, we set the derivative with respect to  $w$  and  $b$  to zero, substituting them back in , and simplifying, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\alpha} \quad & W(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^m y^{(i)} y^{(j)} \alpha_i \alpha_j \langle x^{(i)}, x^{(j)} \rangle \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq C, i = 1, \dots, m \\ & \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i y^{(i)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$