

Plug-and-play approach for blind image separation with application to document image restoration

Xhenis Coba, Fangchen Feng, Azeddine Begdadi

Laboratoire de Traitement et Transport de l'Information (L2TI)

Université Sorbonne Paris Nord

Villetaneuse, France

xhenis.coba@univ-paris13.fr, fangchen.feng@univ-paris13.fr, azeddine.beghdadi@univ-paris13.fr

Abstract—We consider the blind image separation problem in the determined scenario. The Independent Component Analysis (ICA) and the Sparse Component Analysis (SCA) are two classic approaches. Instead of looking for other properties of source images, we show that more sophisticated properties can be well exploited by using the plug-and-play approach for separation. In particular, we show that the BM3D and Non-local Means denoising methods lead to good image separation. We then apply the proposed approaches to document the image restoration problems and show the advantages of the proposed approaches by numerical evaluations.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Blind Source Separation (BSS) [1] recovers source signals from observed mixtures without knowing the mixing system. The linear mixing model for image sources is:

$$\mathbf{x}[i] = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{s}[i], \quad (1)$$

where i is the index of the image pixels. \mathbf{s} is the concatenation of the source images $\mathbf{s}[i] = [s_1[i], s_2[i], \dots, s_N[i]]^T$ where N is the number of sources. $\mathbf{x}[i] = [x_1[i], x_2[i], \dots, x_M[i]]^T$ with M being the number of the mixtures. In this paper, we consider the determined scenario ($M = N$).

ICA [2] is a classical method for BSS. It assumes that the sources are independent and looks for a demixing matrix such that the separated components are as independent as possible. Despite the good results, since ICA methods rely on the higher-order statistics for the separation, they can not work if more than one of the sources follows the Gaussian distribution [3]. Sparsity Component Analysis (SCA) is another well studied approach for BSS [4]–[6]. This approach assumes that the sources are sparse in the spatial or a transformed domain. The separation problem is then formulated in an optimization framework and the estimation of the mixing matrix and the source images are performed simultaneously. One of the advantages of the SCA compared to the ICA is that it leads to better results if the mixtures are degraded with additive noise [6]. It's also important to notice that several works are dedicated to exploiting the links between the ICA and SCA methods [7]–[9].

Besides the independent and sparsity properties of the source images, other criteria have also been investigated for separation such as Non-negative Matrix Factorization

(NMF) [10] and Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) [11] for the document restoration application.

For blind image separation, in the determined scenario, the different characteristics of the source images are used to constrain the demixing matrix. On the other hand, image denoising methods seek to remove perturbations or errors from the observed images based on the characteristics of images. Extensive research has been carried out on image denoising over the past three decades, which has led to highly optimized algorithms. In this paper, instead of searching for other characteristics for blind image separation, we propose to use the existing denoising approaches in a plug-and-play way for the separation. In particular, we show that the BM3D [12] and the Nonlocal Mean [13] denoising methods lead to better separation than the existing approaches in terms of ?? It's the first time, to the best of our knowledge, that the BM3D and Nonlocal mean are used for image separation.

The reminder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we describe the proposed separation approach with plug-and-play. We then apply the approach for the document restoration problem in Section III. The numerical evaluations are performed in Section IV and we conclude the paper in Section V.

II. PLUG AND PLAY APPROACH FOR IMAGE SEPARATION

A. Optimization framework

Both ICA and SCA in the determined case can be formulated into the optimization framework [7] as follows:

$$\underset{W, \mathbf{s}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{W}\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}\|_F^2 + \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{s}) + \mathcal{G}(W) \quad (2)$$

where W is the demixing matrix. $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{s})$ is the penalty term based on the characteristics of the source images. $\mathcal{G}(W)$ is the constraint of the demixing matrix. For ICA approaches, $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{s})$ is the independence measure for the sources and $\mathcal{G}(W)$ is the unitary constraint. It's important to notice that the unitary constraint of the demixing matrix, along with the whitening pre-processing, lead to the decorrelation constraint of the sources. For SCA, $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{s})$ is the sparse penalty in the spatial or a transformed domain while $\mathcal{G}(W)$ is the unit-norm constraint of the estimated mixing matrix.

The above problem (2) can be solved with the alternating minimization [14]. In each iteration, we solve the two following sub-problems:

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{s}} \frac{1}{2} \|W\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}\|_F^2 + \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{s}), \quad (3)$$

and

$$\operatorname{argmin}_W \frac{1}{2} \|W\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}\|_F^2 + \mathcal{G}(W), \quad (4)$$

and these two sub-problems are solved in an alternating way until convergence. The sub-problem (3) is directly related to the classical denoising problem for additive Gaussian noise. The plug-and-play [15]–[17] approach consists of replacing the denoising formulation by existing denoising approaches even the denoising algorithms do not necessarily corresponds to any penalty term. In this paper, we illustrate the separation results using the Wavelet-based [18], [19], Total-Variation (TV) minimization denoising [20], BM3D denoising [12], Nonlocal mean [13], and dictionary-learning [21] based denoising approaches.

The proposed algorithm is resumed as follows:

B. Related methods

The proposed framework englobes several existing separation approaches. When the wavelet-based denoiser is used, the proposed approach is closely related to the method proposed in [22] and [23]. When the TV denoiser is used, the proposed approach is related to the existing method in [4]. The approach is closely related to the methods discussed in [24] if the dictionary-learning approach is used as the denoiser.

The difference between the proposed framework and the existing methods is that...

III. DOCUMENT RESTORATION PROBLEM

A. Linear mixing model

Old documents often suffer from the problem of the show-through and bleed-through effect. Show-through is a front-to-back interference, mainly due to the scanning process and the transparency of the paper, which causes the text in the verso side of the document to appear also in the recto side (and vice versa) [25]. Bleed-through is an intrinsic front-to-back physical deterioration due to ink seeping and produces an effect similar to that of show-through [11]. Although the physical model of the process is very complex which depends on the features of the paper, the transmittance parameters, the reflectance of the verso, and the spreading of light in the paper [26]–[29], it's shown in [11] that a nonstationary locally linear model as in (1) is well adapted to these effects.

As a classical approach, ICA was initially used for this problem of document restoration in [30]. However, as we show in the experiment sections, the approach is limited due to the Gaussian distribution of certain source images. Sparsity property of document images is also considered in [31] in the framework of image inpainting.

B. Extra constraints on the mixing matrix

the mixing matrix should be symmetric or not [32]?

IV. EXPERIMENTS

A. Plug and play in a general case

B. Separation for document image restoration

V. CONCLUSION

For determined blind image separation problem, we show that the denoisers can be used for separation. Further denoiser can also be directly applied for separation task.

REFERENCES

- [1] Pierre Comon and Christian Jutten, *Handbook of Blind Source Separation: Independent component analysis and applications*, Academic press, 2010.
- [2] Aapo Hyvärinen, Juha Karhunen, and Erkki Oja, *Independent component analysis*, vol. 46, John Wiley & Sons, 2004.
- [3] Klaus Nordhausen and Hannu Oja, “Independent component analysis: A statistical perspective,” *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Computational Statistics*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. e1440, 2018.
- [4] Wided Souidène, Abdeldjalil Aïssa-El-Bey, Karim Abed-Meraim, and Azeddine Beghdadi, “Blind image separation using sparse representation,” in *2007 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing*, IEEE, 2007, vol. 3, pp. III–125.
- [5] Michael Zibulevsky and Barak A Pearlmutter, “Blind source separation by sparse decomposition in a signal dictionary,” *Neural computation*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 863–882, 2001.
- [6] Jérôme Bobin, J-L Starck, Jalal Fadili, and Yassir Moudden, “Sparsity and morphological diversity in blind source separation,” *Image Processing, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 16, no. 11, pp. 2662–2674, 2007.
- [7] Fangchen Feng and Matthieu Kowalski, “Revisiting sparse ICA from a synthesis point of view: Blind source separation for over and underdetermined mixtures,” *Signal Processing*, vol. 152, pp. 165–177, 2018.
- [8] Alexander M Bronstein, Michael M Bronstein, Michael Zibulevsky, and Yehoshua Y Zeevi, “Sparse ICA for blind separation of transmitted and reflected images,” *International Journal of Imaging Systems and Technology*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 84–91, 2005.
- [9] Zois Boukouvalas, Yuri Levin-Schwartz, Vince D Calhoun, and Tülay Adalı, “Sparsity and independence: Balancing two objectives in optimization for source separation with application to fmri analysis,” *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, vol. 355, no. 4, pp. 1873–1887, 2018.
- [10] Farnood Merrikh-Bayat, Massoud Babaie-Zadeh, and Christian Jutten, “Using non-negative matrix factorization for removing show-through,” in *International Conference on Latent Variable Analysis and Signal Separation*. Springer, 2010, pp. 482–489.
- [11] Antonio Boccuto, Ivan Gerace, and Valentina Giorgetti, “A blind source separation technique for document restoration,” *SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 1135–1162, 2019.
- [12] Kostadin Dabov, Alessandro Foi, Vladimir Katkovnik, and Karen Egiazarian, “Image denoising by sparse 3-d transform-domain collaborative filtering,” *IEEE Transactions on image processing*, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 2080–2095, 2007.
- [13] Antoni Buades, Bartomeu Coll, and J-M Morel, “A non-local algorithm for image denoising,” in *2005 IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR’05)*. IEEE, 2005, vol. 2, pp. 60–65.
- [14] Paul Tseng, “Convergence of a block coordinate descent method for nondifferentiable minimization,” *Journal of optimization theory and applications*, vol. 109, no. 3, pp. 475–494, 2001.
- [15] Singanallur V Venkatakrishnan, Charles A Bouman, and Brendt Wohlberg, “Plug-and-play priors for model based reconstruction,” in *2013 IEEE Global Conference on Signal and Information Processing*. IEEE, 2013, pp. 945–948.
- [16] Stanley H Chan, Xiran Wang, and Omar A Elgendy, “Plug-and-play ADMM for image restoration: Fixed-point convergence and applications,” *IEEE Transactions on Computational Imaging*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 84–98, 2016.
- [17] Shunsuke Ono, “Primal-dual plug-and-play image restoration,” *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 24, no. 8, pp. 1108–1112, 2017.
- [18] David L Donoho and Jain M Johnstone, “Ideal spatial adaptation by wavelet shrinkage,” *biometrika*, vol. 81, no. 3, pp. 425–455, 1994.
- [19] S Grace Chang, Bin Yu, and Martin Vetterli, “Adaptive wavelet thresholding for image denoising and compression,” *IEEE transactions on image processing*, vol. 9, no. 9, pp. 1532–1546, 2000.
- [20] Antonin Chambolle, “An algorithm for total variation minimization and applications,” *Journal of Mathematical imaging and vision*, vol. 20, no. 1-2, pp. 89–97, 2004.
- [21] Michael Elad and Michal Aharon, “Image denoising via sparse and redundant representations over learned dictionaries,” *IEEE Transactions on Image processing*, vol. 15, no. 12, pp. 3736–3745, 2006.
- [22] Mahieddine M Ichir and Ali Mohammad-Djafari, “Wavelet domain blind image separation,” in *Wavelets: Applications in Signal and Image Processing X*. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2003, vol. 5207, pp. 361–370.
- [23] Gitta Kutyniok and Wang-Q Lim, “Image separation using wavelets and shearlets,” in *International Conference on Curves and Surfaces*. Springer, 2010, pp. 416–430.
- [24] Xiaochen Zhao, Guangyu Zhou, Wei Dai, and Wenwu Wang, “Blind source separation based on dictionary learning: a singularity-aware approach,” in *Blind Source Separation*, pp. 39–59. Springer, 2014.
- [25] Gaurav Sharma, “Show-through cancellation in scans of duplex printed documents,” *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 736–754, 2001.
- [26] Anna Tonazzini, Pasquale Savino, and Emanuele Salerno, “A non-stationary density model to separate overlapped texts in degraded documents,” *Signal, Image and Video Processing*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 155–164, 2015.
- [27] Francesca Martinelli, Emanuele Salerno, Ivan Gerace, and Anna Tonazzini, “Nonlinear model and constrained ml for removing back-to-front interferences from recto-verso documents,” *Pattern Recognition*, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 596–605, 2012.
- [28] Reza Farrahi Moghaddam and Mohamed Cheriet, “Low quality document image modeling and enhancement,” *International Journal of Document Analysis and Recognition (IJ DAR)*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 183–201, 2009.
- [29] Mariana SC Almeida and Luís B Almeida, “Wavelet-based separation of nonlinear show-through and bleed-through image mixtures,” *Neuro-computing*, vol. 72, no. 1-3, pp. 57–70, 2008.
- [30] Anna Tonazzini, Luigi Bedini, and Emanuele Salerno, “Independent component analysis for document restoration,” *Document Analysis and Recognition*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 17–27, 2004.
- [31] Muhammad Hanif, Anna Tonazzini, Pasquale Savino, and Emanuele Salerno, “Non-local sparse image inpainting for document bleed-through removal,” *Journal of Imaging*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 68, 2018.
- [32] Anna Tonazzini, Emanuele Salerno, and Luigi Bedini, “Fast correction of bleed-through distortion in grayscale documents by a blind source separation technique,” *International Journal of Document Analysis and Recognition (IJ DAR)*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 17–25, 2007.