

Plug-and-play approach for blind image separation with application to document image restoration

Xhenis Coba, Fangchen Feng, Azeddine Begdadi

Laboratoire de Traitement et Transport de l'Information (L2TI)

Université Sorbonne Paris Nord

Villetaneuse, France

xhenis.coba@univ-paris13.fr, fangchen.feng@univ-paris13.fr, azeddine.beghdadi@univ-paris13.fr

Abstract—We consider the blind image separation problem in the determined scenario. ICA and SCA approaches work well. Instead of looking for other property of image sources, we show that more sophisticated properties can be well exploited by using the plug-and-play approach. In particular, we show that the BM3D and Non-local Mean denoising methods can be well used for blind image separation. Moreover, we show that learning-based denoising approach can also be used. We illustrate the performance of using dictionary learning denoising method for separation. Then we apply the proposed approaches to document image restoration problem and show the advantages of the proposed approaches by numerical evaluations.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Blind Source Separation (BSS) [1] recovers source signals from observed mixtures without knowing the mixing system. The linear mixing model is:

$$\mathbf{x}[i] = A\mathbf{s}[i], \quad (1)$$

where i is the index of the pixel. \mathbf{s} is the concatenation of the source images $\mathbf{s}[i] = [s_1[i], s_2[i], \dots, s_N[i]]^T$ where N is the number of sources. $\mathbf{x}[i] = [x_1[i], x_2[i], \dots, x_M[i]]^T$ where M is the number of the mixtures. In this paper, we consider the determined scenario ($M = N$).

ICA [2] is the classical methods for blind source separation problem. It assumes that the sources are independent and it looks for a demixing matrix such that the separated components are as independent as possible. It is shown that if... then the ICA methods can estimate the sources up to a permutation and a scaling ambiguity. Since ICA methods rely on the high-order statistics for the separation, they can not work if more than one of the sources follows the Gaussian distribution.

Another criteria for image separation is the sparsity [3]–[5]. The sparsity component analysis approaches assume that the sources are sparse in the spatial domain or in some transformed domain. These approaches formulate the separation in an optimization framework and estimate simultaneously the mixing matrix and the source images. One of the advantage of SCA compared to ICA is that it works in the noisy cases. Several works are dedicated for exploiting the links between the ICA and SCA methods [6].

Other criterias have also been investigated for separation. NMF [7] or CCA [8].

Image denoising methods seek to remove perturbations or errors from observed image. The last three decades have seen extensive research devoted to this arena, and as a result, today's denoisers are highly optimized algorithms that effectively remove additive Gaussian noise.

Our contributions are as follows:

In this paper, instead of looking for other criterias for image separation, we show in this paper that the image denoising methods can be used to capture the image properties through the plug-and-play approach in the section ?? . We then introduce the document restoration problem in section ?? . The numerical evaluations are presented in section ?? and we conclude the paper in section ?? .

II. PLUG AND PLAY APPROACH FOR IMAGE SEPARATION

A. Optimization framework

Both ICA and SCA in the determined noiseless cases can be formulated into the optimization framework as follows:

$$\underset{W, \mathbf{s}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2} \|W\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}\|_F^2 + \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{s}) + \mathcal{G}(W) \quad (2)$$

where W is the separation matrix...

Alternating minimization [9]...

B. Plug-and-play

The first sub-problem can be replaced by the image denoisers to capture the image properties. In this paper we show the separation performance of several denoisers wavelet-based method [10], [11] TV-based method [12] BM3D method [13] Non-local Mean method [14]

III. DOCUMENT RESTORATION PROBLEM

Old documents suffer from several degradations.

Based on the local stationary model, this problem can be formulated with the model (1)...

Some of them could very well be Gaussian.

IV. EXPERIMENTS

A. Plug and play in a general case

B. Separation for document image restoration

V. CONCLUSION

For determined blind image separation problem, we show that the denoisers can be used for separation. Further denoiser can also be directly applied for separation task.

REFERENCES

- [1] Pierre Comon and Christian Jutten, *Handbook of Blind Source Separation: Independent component analysis and applications*, Academic press, 2010.
- [2] Aapo Hyvärinen, Juha Karhunen, and Erkki Oja, *Independent component analysis*, vol. 46, John Wiley & Sons, 2004.
- [3] Wided Soudène, Abdeldjalil Aïssa-El-Bey, Karim Abed-Meraim, and Azeddine Beghdadi, “Blind image separation using sparse representation,” in *2007 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing*, IEEE, 2007, vol. 3, pp. III–125.
- [4] Michael Zibulevsky and Barak A Pearlmutter, “Blind source separation by sparse decomposition in a signal dictionary,” *Neural computation*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 863–882, 2001.
- [5] Jérôme Bobin, J-L Starck, Jalal Fadili, and Yassir Moudden, “Sparsity and morphological diversity in blind source separation,” *Image Processing, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 16, no. 11, pp. 2662–2674, 2007.
- [6] Fangchen Feng and Matthieu Kowalski, “Revisiting sparse ICA from a synthesis point of view: Blind source separation for over and underdetermined mixtures,” *Signal Processing*, vol. 152, pp. 165–177, 2018.
- [7] Farnood Merrikh-Bayat, Massoud Babaie-Zadeh, and Christian Jutten, “Using non-negative matrix factorization for removing show-through,” in *International Conference on Latent Variable Analysis and Signal Separation*. Springer, 2010, pp. 482–489.
- [8] Antonio Boccuto, Ivan Gerace, and Valentina Giorgetti, “A blind source separation technique for document restoration,” *SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 1135–1162, 2019.
- [9] Paul Tseng, “Convergence of a block coordinate descent method for nondifferentiable minimization,” *Journal of optimization theory and applications*, vol. 109, no. 3, pp. 475–494, 2001.
- [10] David L Donoho and John M Johnstone, “Ideal spatial adaptation by wavelet shrinkage,” *biometrika*, vol. 81, no. 3, pp. 425–455, 1994.
- [11] S Grace Chang, Bin Yu, and Martin Vetterli, “Adaptive wavelet thresholding for image denoising and compression,” *IEEE transactions on image processing*, vol. 9, no. 9, pp. 1532–1546, 2000.
- [12] Antonin Chambolle, “An algorithm for total variation minimization and applications,” *Journal of Mathematical imaging and vision*, vol. 20, no. 1-2, pp. 89–97, 2004.
- [13] Kostadin Dabov, Alessandro Foi, Vladimir Katkovnik, and Karen Egiazarian, “Image denoising by sparse 3-d transform-domain collaborative filtering,” *IEEE Transactions on image processing*, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 2080–2095, 2007.
- [14] Antoni Buades, Bartomeu Coll, and J-M Morel, “A non-local algorithm for image denoising,” in *2005 IEEE Computer Society Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR’05)*. IEEE, 2005, vol. 2, pp. 60–65.