Assignment 4

Index Tuning – Selection

Database Tuning

New Group 8
Frauenschuh Florian, 12109584
Lindner Peter, 12101607
Weilert Alexander, 12119653

May 27, 2024

Notes

- Do not forget to run ANALYZE tablename after creating or changing a table.
- Use EXPLAIN ANALYZE for the query plans that you display in the report.

Experimental Setup

How do you send the queries to the database? How do you measure the execution time for a sequence of queries?

For our experiments we used the following hardware and software:

Component	Specs			
Processor Memory	i7-13700H 3.7-5.0 GHz 32 GiB			

Table 1: Hardware: Dell XPS 15 9530

Software	Version
OS	Ubuntu 22.04
Postgres	2.3.4
postgresql	42.7.3
MariaDB	10.6.16
mariadb-java-client	3.3.3
Java	18

Table 2: Software

Postgres was hosted on localhost, on which we also executed our experiments. The client was implemented in Java and gained access to our databases using the JDBC drivers listed above.

For the queries we used prepared statements, and the performance time was measured by determining the throughput of the queries in a 60-second time frame.

Clustering B⁺ Tree Index

Point Query Repeat the following query multiple times with different conditions for pubID.

```
SELECT * FROM Publ WHERE pubID = ...
```

Which conditions did you use?

For each query a random existing pubID was chosen.

Show the runtime results and compute the throughput.

Executed Queries: 1985347 Queries per Second: 33089.12 Query plan (for one of the queries):

Execution Time: 0.067 ms

An index scan on the pubID is performed, which make use of the B⁺ tree index.

Multipoint Query vs. Multipoint Query IN-Predicate – Low Selectivity Repeat the following query multiple times with different conditions for booktitle.

```
SELECT * FROM Publ WHERE booktitle = ...

SELECT * FROM Publ WHERE publD IN (...)
```

Which conditions did you use?

To maintain low selectivity, we are using random non-empty book titles out of the dataset. Similarly, for the authors, we are choosing three random authors, but do not need to specify the "non-empty" condition, since an author is always given.

Show the runtime results and compute the throughput.

Multipoint Query

Executed Queries: 146054 Queries per Second: 2434.23 Multipoint Query IN-Predicate

Executed Queries: 82317 Queries per Second: 1371.95

Query plan (for one of the queries):

Multipoint Query

Again, the query plan shows an B⁺ tree index scan on the booktitle.

Multipoint Query IN-Predicate

```
(cost=19.16..628.21 rows=72 width=112)
Nested Loop
             (actual time=0.200..4.579 rows=294 loops=1)
  -> HashAggregate (cost=18.73..19.45 rows=72 width=23)
                     (actual time=0.171..0.229 rows=294 loops=1)
        Group Key: (auth.pubid)::text
       Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 77kB
        -> Index Scan using idx_clustering_name on auth
                              (cost=0.43..18.55 rows=72 width=23)
                              (actual time=0.041..0.114 rows=294 loops=1)
               Index Cond: ((name)::text = ANY
                           ('{""William Kent"",""Alfons Kemper"",""Walid G. Aref""}'::text[]))"
  -> Index Scan using idx_clustering_pubid on publ
                           (cost=0.43..8.45 rows=1 width=112)
                           (actual time=0.014..0.014 rows=1 loops=294)
        Index Cond: ((pubid)::text = (auth.pubid)::text)
Planning Time: 0.824 ms
Execution Time: 4.625 ms
```

This query plan is significantly more complex, as it involves a nested loop and a hash aggregate. First, an index scan is performed on the name attribute of the auth table, followed by a hash aggregate operation, due to the use of the IN predicate. Then, an index scan is performed on the pubID attribute of the publ table. Finally, the results are joined using a nested loop.

Multipoint Query – High Selectivity Repeat the following query multiple times with different conditions for year.

```
SELECT * FROM Publ WHERE year = ...
```

Which conditions did you use?

Same as before, we are using random years from the dataset.

Show the runtime results and compute the throughput.

```
Executed Queries: 2463
Queries per Second: 41.05
```

Query plan (for one of the queries):

Planning Time: 0.054 ms Execution Time: 7.923 ms

Similar to the previous query plans, an index scan is performed on the year attribute.

Non-Clustering B⁺ Tree Index

Note: Make sure the data is not physically ordered by the indexed attributes due to the clustering index that you created before.

Point Query Repeat the following query multiple times with different conditions for pubID.

```
SELECT * FROM Publ WHERE pubID = ...
```

Which conditions did you use?

For each query a random existing pubID was chosen.

Show the runtime results and compute the throughput.

Executed Queries: 1973107 Queries per Second: 32885.11 Query plan (for one of the queries):

Execution Time: 0.034 ms

Planning Time: 0.052 ms

The query makes use of the B⁺ tree index on the pubID attribute, just as with the clustering index.

Multipoint Query vs. Multipoint Query IN-Predicate – Low Selectivity Repeat the following query multiple times with different conditions for booktitle.

```
SELECT * FROM Publ WHERE booktitle = ...

SELECT * FROM Publ WHERE publD IN (...)
```

Which conditions did you use?

To maintain low selectivity, we are using random non-empty book titles out of the dataset. Similarly, for the authors, we are choosing three random authors, but do not need to specify the "non-empty" condition, since an author is always given.

Show the runtime results and compute the throughput.

Multipoint Query

Executed Queries: 147930 Queries per Second: 2465.5

Multipoint Query IN-Predicate

Executed Queries: 80356 Queries per Second: 1339.27

Query plan (for one of the queries):

Multipoint Query

Planning Time: 0.172 ms Execution Time: 0.061 ms

Again an index scan is performed on the booktitle attribute of the publ table.

Multipoint Query IN-Predicate

```
(cost=19.16..628.21 rows=72 width=112)
Nested Loop
             (actual time=0.129..2.430 rows=294 loops=1)
  -> HashAggregate (cost=18.73..19.45 rows=72 width=23)
                     (actual time=0.119..0.163 rows=294 loops=1)
        Group Key: (auth.pubid)::text
       Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 77kB
        -> Index Scan using idx_clustering_name on auth (cost=0.43..18.55 rows=72 width=23)
                                                    (actual time=0.014..0.062 rows=294 loops=1)"
                Index Cond: ((name)::text = ANY
                            ('{""William Kent"",""Alfons Kemper"",""Walid G. Aref""}'::text[]))"
  -> Index Scan using idx_clustering_pubid on publ (cost=0.43..8.45 rows=1 width=112)
                                            (actual time=0.007..0.007 rows=1 loops=294)
        Index Cond: ((pubid)::text = (auth.pubid)::text)
Planning Time: 0.204 ms
Execution Time: 2.464 ms
```

First, an index scan with the three chosen authors as in the condition is performed on the name index of the auth table. Then, similarly to the clustering index, the hash aggregate operation is performed, followed by an index scan on the pubID attribute of the pubI table. Finally, the results are joined using a nested loop.

Multipoint Query – High Selectivity Repeat the following query multiple times with different conditions for year.

```
SELECT * FROM Publ WHERE year = ...
```

Which conditions did you use?

Same as before, we are using random years from the dataset.

Show the runtime results and compute the throughput.

Index Cond: ((year)::text = '2000'::text)
Planning Time: 0.166 ms

First, a Bitmap Index Scan is performed on the year attribute of the publ table, followed by a Bitmap Heap Scan.

(actual time=1.691..1.691 rows=50803 loops=1)

Non-Clustering Hash Index

Execution Time: 52.764 ms

Note: Make sure the data is not physically ordered by the indexed attributes due to the clustering index that you created before.

Point Query Repeat the following query multiple times with different conditions for pubID.

```
SELECT * FROM Publ WHERE pubID = ...
```

Which conditions did you use?

For each query a random existing pubID was chosen.

Show the runtime results and compute the throughput.

Executed Queries: 2369970 Queries per Second: 39499.5

Query plan (for one of the queries):

Index Cond: ((pubid)::text = 'books/acm/kim95/Kim95'::text)

Planning Time: 0.122 ms Execution Time: 0.025 ms

For this plan an index scan is again performed on the pubID attribute of the publ table.

Multipoint Query vs. Multipoint Query IN-Predicate – Low Selectivity Repeat the following query multiple times with different conditions for booktitle.

```
SELECT * FROM Publ WHERE booktitle = ...

SELECT * FROM Publ WHERE publD IN (...)
```

Which conditions did you use?

To maintain low selectivity, we are using random non-empty book titles out of the dataset. Similarly, for the authors, we are choosing three random authors, but do not need to specify the "non-empty" condition, since an author is always given.

Show the runtime results and compute the throughput.

Multipoint Query

Executed Queries: 136126 Queries per Second: 2268.77

Multipoint Query IN-Predicate

Executed Queries: 172501 Queries per Second: 2875.02

Query plan (for one of the queries):

Multipoint Query

Unlike the ${\bf B}^+$ tree index, the hash index performs a Bitmap Index Scan on booktitle and a Bitmap Heap Scan.

Multipoint Query IN-Predicate

```
Nested Loop (cost=290.53..869.23 rows=72 width=112)
            (actual time=0.216..3.143 rows=294 loops=1)
     HashAggregate (cost=290.53..291.25 rows=72 width=23)
                    (actual time=0.196..0.248 rows=294 loops=1)
        Group Key: (auth.pubid)::text
        Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 77kB
        -> Bitmap Heap Scan on auth
                    (cost=12.56..290.35 rows=72 width=23)
                    (actual time=0.041..0.133 rows=294 loops=1)
11
               Recheck Cond: ((name)::text = ANY
                    ('{""William Kent"",""Alfons Kemper"",""Walid G. Aref""}'::text[]))"
              Heap Blocks: exact=5
              -> Bitmap Index Scan on idx_clustering_name
                        (cost=0.00..12.54 rows=72 width=0)
                        (actual time=0.019..0.020 rows=294 loops=1)
                     Index Cond: ((name)::text =
                            ANY ('{""William Kent"", ""Alfons Kemper"", ""Walid G. Aref""}'::text[]
  -> Index Scan using idx_clustering_pubid on publ
                (cost=0.00..8.02 rows=1 width=112)
                (actual time=0.009..0.009 rows=1 loops=294)
        Index Cond: ((pubid)::text = (auth.pubid)::text)
Planning Time: 0.243 ms
Execution Time: 3.185 ms
```

A Bitmap Heap Scan is performed on the name attribute of the auth table, followed by hash aggregation. Then, an index scan is performed on pubID and finally the results are joined using a nested loop.

Multipoint Query – High Selectivity Repeat the following query multiple times with different conditions for year.

```
SELECT * FROM Publ WHERE year = ...
```

Which conditions did you use?

Same as before, we are using random years from the dataset.

Show the runtime results and compute the throughput.

Here another Bitmap Index Scan with a Bitmap Heap Scan is performed.

Table Scan

Note: Make sure the data is not physically ordered by the indexed attributes due to the clustering index that you created before.

Point Query Repeat the following query multiple times with different conditions for pubID.

```
SELECT * FROM Publ WHERE pubID = ...
```

Which conditions did you use?

For each query a random existing pubID was chosen.

Show the runtime results and compute the throughput.

First, a parallel Seq Scan is performed on the publ table. Then Gather is used to collect the results from the two parallel workers, from the parallel Seq Scan. [1]

Multipoint Query vs. Multipoint Query IN-Predicate – Low Selectivity Repeat the following query multiple times with different conditions for booktitle.

```
SELECT * FROM Publ WHERE booktitle = ...

SELECT * FROM Publ WHERE publD IN (...)
```

Which conditions did you use?

Execution Time: 66.269 ms

To maintain low selectivity, we are using random non-empty book titles out of the dataset. Similarly, for the authors, we are choosing three random authors, but do not need to specify the "non-empty" condition, since an author is always given.

Show the runtime results and compute the throughput.

Multipoint Query

Executed Queries: 1771 Queries per Second: 29.52

Multipoint Query IN-Predicate

Executed Queries: 342 Queries per Second: 5.7 Query plan (for one of the queries):

Similar to the previous query, a parallel Seq Scan is performed on the publ table, thereafter the results are gathered from the parallel workers.

```
Gather (cost=45542.30..74465.15 rows=73 width=112)
        (actual time=155.433..236.956 rows=294 loops=1)
  Workers Planned: 2
  Workers Launched: 2
  -> Parallel Hash Semi Join (cost=44542.30..73457.85 rows=30 width=112)
                               (actual time=141.319..216.325 rows=98 loops=3)
       Hash Cond: ((publ.pubid)::text = (auth.pubid)::text)
        -> Parallel Seg Scan on publ
                (cost=0.00..27566.39 rows=513839 width=112)
                (actual time=0.383..40.797 rows=411071 loops=3)
        -> Parallel Hash
                (cost=44541.92..44541.92 rows=30 width=23)
                (actual time=139.036..139.036 rows=98 loops=3)
              Buckets: 1024 Batches: 1 Memory Usage: 72kB
              -> Parallel Seq Scan on auth
                    (cost=0.00..44541.92 rows=30 width=23)
                        (actual time=89.358..138.993 rows=98 loops=3)
                     Filter: ((name)::text = ANY
                        ('{""William Kent"",""Alfons Kemper"",""Walid G. Aref""}'::text[]))"
                    Rows Removed by Filter: 1031636
Planning Time: 0.690 ms
```

Planning Time: 0.690 ms Execution Time: 236.986 ms

For the IN-Predicate query, a Seq Scan is performed on auth. A parrallel Hash Semi Join joins the results from the parallel Hash, which we used the Seq Scan for, and a parallel Seq scan on publ. The results are then gathered from the parallel workers, as before.

Multipoint Query – High Selectivity Repeat the following query multiple times with different conditions for year.

```
SELECT * FROM Publ WHERE year = ...
```

Which conditions did you use?

Same as before, we are using random years from the dataset.

Show the runtime results and compute the throughput.

Executed Queries: 1069 Queries per Second: 17.82

Query plan (for one of the queries):

```
Gather (cost=1000.00..35038.69 rows=51877 width=112) (actual time=0.221..77.570 rows=50803 loops=1)
```

Workers Planned: 2 Workers Launched: 2

-> Parallel Seq Scan on publ (cost=0.00..28850.99 rows=21615 width=112) (actual time=0.024..55.584 rows=16934 loops=3)

Filter: ((year)::text = '2000'::text)

Rows Removed by Filter: 394137

Planning Time: 0.117 ms Execution Time: 78.799 ms

A parallel Seq Scan is performed on the publ table and then the results from the two workers are gathered.

Discussion

Give the throughput of the query types and index types in queries/second.

	clustering	non-clust. B ⁺ tree	non-clust. hash	table scan
point (pubID)	33089.12	32885.12	39499.50	34.67
multipoint (booktitle)	2434.23	2465.50	2268.77	29.52
multipoint-IN (pubID)	1371.95	1339.27	2875.02	5.70
multipoint (year)	41.05	41.37	36.90	17.82

Discuss the runtime results for the different index types and the table scan. Are the results expected? Why (not)?

Firstly, the table scan is the slowest method for all query types, which is the expected result, as there is no index at all.

Time Spent on this Assignment

Time in hours per person: XXX

References

References

[1] May 2024. URL: https://www.postgresql.org/docs/current/how-parallel-query-works.html.