1 Introduction

Juxtaposed to the well established finding that recalling learned material, compared to merely restudying it, facilitates its long-term retention (Adesope, Trevisan, & Sundararajan, 2017; Glover, 1989; Roediger III & Butler, 2011; Roediger III & Karpicke, 2006a, 2006b; Rowland, 2014) stand the results of a number of studies showing that retrieving previously studied information can even facilitate the acquisition of information that is yet to be learned (Chan, Meissner, & Davis, 2018; Yang, Potts, & Shanks, 2018). This has stimulated the use of various qualifiers such as *interim* (Wissman, Rawson, & Pyc, 2011), *interpolated* (Szpunar, Khan, & Schacter, 2013), and *forward* (Pastötter & Bäuml, 2014; Yang et al., 2018), in order to distinguish the latter testing effect from the former, now sometimes referred to as the *backward* testing effect (e.g Yang et al., 2018).

A typical demonstration of the backward testing effect entails an initial learning phase, followed by a period during which participants either restudy the same material, engage in a memory test involving the studied material, or are not exposed to the original material at all. Finally, after a retention interval, an additional administration of a memory test reveals that the group subjected to a memory test during the intervening period has a distinct advantage over the other two groups. A pioneering study by Darley and Murdock (1971) introduced a key difference which revealed a somewhat different effect. In particular, they observed that, when recalling studied lists of words, participants would systematically produce more prior-list intrusions when their memory for a given list was tested, if their memory of a prior list had not been tested before proceeding to study the given list.

Building on these results, Szpunar, McDermott, and Roediger (2008) told their subjects to study five lists of items in anticipation of a final cumulative test. All subjects were tested immediately after studying the final list, but they engaged in differing intermittent activities between studying the first four lists. One group was tested on each list after studying it, another group restudied each list, and a third group completed a mathematical distractor task.

that testing can promote prompted a surge of interest in the somewhat different effect mentioned above. Throughout this paper, we will use the term *forward testing effect* to refer to the phenomenon under investigation.

1.1 Notes

one has attempted to commit to memory beforehand

According to current theories of testing, an initial test will directly benefit retention to the extent that it engages those effortful retrieval processes that will likely be called upon at the time of a later test Roediger III and Karpicke (2006a)

The expectation of a final test ensured the continued processing of materials across the study sequence.

A number of investigations employed nonepisodic recall as the format of the intermittent testing activity Divis and Benjamin (2014); Pastötter, Schicker, Niedernhuber, and Bäuml (2011); ?

Možda ZV intruzori nije pokazala razlike između skupina jer smo koristili recognition, a ne free recall.

2 Methods

These are the methods.

References

- Adesope, O. O., Trevisan, D. A., & Sundararajan, N. (2017, June). Rethinking the Use of Tests: A Meta-Analysis of Practice Testing. Review of Educational Research, 87(3), 659–701. doi: 10.3102/0034654316689306
- Chan, J. C. K., Meissner, C. A., & Davis, S. D. (2018, November). Retrieval potentiates new learning: A theoretical and meta-analytic review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 144(11), 1111–1146. doi: 10.1037/bul0000166
- Darley, C. F., & Murdock, B. B. (1971). Effects of prior free recall testing on final recall and recognition. *Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 91(1), 66–73. doi: 10.1037/h0031836
- Divis, K. M., & Benjamin, A. S. (2014, October). Retrieval speeds context fluctuation: Why semantic generation enhances later learning but hinders prior learning. *Memory & Cognition*, 42(7), 1049–1062. doi: 10.3758/s13421-014-0425-y
- Glover, J. A. (1989). The "Testing" Phenomenon: Not Gone but Nearly Forgotten. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 81(3), 392–399.
- Pastötter, B., & Bäuml, K.-H. T. (2014, April). Retrieval practice enhances new learning: the forward effect of testing. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00286
- Pastötter, B., Schicker, S., Niedernhuber, J., & Bäuml, K.-H. T. (2011). Retrieval during learning facilitates subsequent memory encoding. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 37(2), 287–297. doi: 10.1037/a0021801
- Roediger III, H. L., & Butler, A. C. (2011, January). The critical role of retrieval practice in long-term retention. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 15(1), 20–27. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2010.09.003
- Roediger III, H. L., & Karpicke, J. D. (2006a). The Power of Testing Memory: Basic Research and Implications for Educational Practice. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 1(3), 181–210. Retrieved from http://www.jstor.org/stable/40212166
- Roediger III, H. L., & Karpicke, J. D. (2006b, March). Test-Enhanced Learning: Taking Memory Tests Improves Long-Term Retention. *Psychological Science*, 17(3), 249–255. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9280.2006.01693.x
- Rowland, C. A. (2014). The effect of testing versus restudy on retention: A meta-analytic review of the testing effect. *Psychological Bulletin*, 140(6), 1432–1463. doi: 10.1037/a0037559
- Szpunar, K. K., Khan, N. Y., & Schacter, D. L. (2013, April). Interpolated memory tests reduce mind wandering and improve learning of online lectures. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110(16), 6313–6317. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1221764110
- Szpunar, K. K., McDermott, K. B., & Roediger, H. L. (2008). Testing during study insulates against the buildup of proactive interference. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, and Cognition*, 34(6), 1392–1399. doi: 10.1037/a0013082
- Wissman, K. T., Rawson, K. A., & Pyc, M. A. (2011, December). The interim test effect: Testing prior material can facilitate the learning of new material. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 18(6), 1140–1147. doi: 10.3758/s13423-011-0140-7
- Yang, C., Potts, R., & Shanks, D. R. (2018, April). Enhancing learning and retrieval of new information: a review of the forward testing effect. npj Science of Learning, 3(1), 8. doi: 10.1038/s41539-018-0024-y