The Palms

Guest edited by William J. Baker and Scott Zona

A summary of fossil records for Arecaceae

MADELINE M. HARLEY FLS*

Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Richmond, Surrey TW9 3AE, UK

Received June 2005; accepted for publication November 2005

Of all monocotyledons the Arecaceae displays by far the richest fossil record, and there is an extensive literature. The earliest unequivocal fossil palm material probably dates from the early to mid Late Cretaceous (Turonian > Coniacian > Santonian). The records are geographically widespread and comprise a wide range of organs: leaves, cuticles, stems, rhizomes, roots, fruits, seeds, endocarps, rachillae, peduncles, inflorescences, individual flowers and pollen. For some of these organs records are rare while for others, such as leaves, stems and pollen, records are abundant. However, fossil material often lacks sufficient diagnostic detail to allow reasonable association with living palm taxa beyond, or even to, subfamilial level. Nevertheless, many fossil genera and numerous species have been described. A brief survey of palm fossil records is presented, and their taxonomy and morphological limitations are considered. © 2006 The Linnean Society of London, *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, 2006, 151, 39–67.

ADDITIONAL KEYWORDS: Coniacian – global cooling events – palaeogeographical distribution – Santonian – Turonian.

INTRODUCTION

The fossil record of Arecaceae is both rich and wide-spread. Chronologically, the expanding and retracting distribution of fossil palm records in higher latitudes has been an important indicator of global change, particularly cooling events during the early Miocene. Fossil records also show that the continent of Africa and the subcontinent of India once possessed much richer palm floras than at present. Leaves, stems and pollen are particularly abundant in the fossil record, but there are also numerous records of fruits and seeds, rhizomes and roots. Rarely, rachillae, inflorescences or individual flowers are recovered.

The earliest unequivocal fossils are from the late mid-Cretaceous to early Upper Cretaceous. These consist of costapalmate leaves: *Sabalites carolinensis* Berry (Berry, 1914a) from the late Coniacian—early Santonian of South Carolina to the Santonian of New Jersey (USA); *S. magothiensis* (Berry) Berry (Berry,

1905, 1911) and Sabalites longirhachis (Unger) J. Kvaček et Herman (Kvaček & Herman, 2004) from the Lower Campanian of Austria. Pinnate leaves are first described from the Lower Campanian of northern Montana (Phoenicites Brongniart; Crabtree, 1987). The earliest record of fossil stems, *Palmoxylon* andegavense Crié and P. ligerinum Crié (Crié, 1892), is purportedly from the Turonian of France while there is a slightly later record, Palmoxylon cliffwoodensis Berry, from the Coniacian-Santonian of New Jersey (Berry, 1916a). Fruits are first recorded from the Upper Cretaceous of Brazil (Palmocarpon luisii; Maury, 1930) and from the Early Palaeocene of Greenland (Koch, 1972). Records of Nypa fruits (Nipadites) are first found in the Palaeocene of the US Gulf Coast states, eastern Brazil (Dolianiti, 1955) and north-east Africa [for example, Chandler (1954); Gregor & Hagn (1982)]. Upper Cretaceous-Palaeocene rhizomes (Rhizopalmoxylon huepaciense Cevallos Ferriz & Ricalde-Moreno and R. teguachachiense Cevallos Ferriz & Ricalde-Moreno; Cevallos Ferriz & Ricalde-Moreno, 1995) are described from northern Mexico, while an inflorescence from the lower

^{*}E-mail: m.harley@kew.org

Maastrichtian of north-eastern Mexico is possibly the earliest record of an inflorescence structure so far described (Weber, 1978). *Spinizonocolpites*, widespread from the Maastrichtian (Gee, 1990; Morley, 2000), represents the earliest undisputed palm pollen, but by the Maastrichtian, other palm-like pollen types also appeared, notably *Mauritidites* and *Longapertites* (Schrank, 1994).

By the late Cretaceous all major palm fossil categories are present, and from the earliest Tertiary (Palaeocene) up until the cooling events of the Miocene, fossil records for the Arecaceae are extraordinarily rich and diverse. Nevertheless, for the majority of palm fossils, the lack of distinctive morphological variation in organs frequently limits the possibility of inferring affinity to taxonomic units below the family level. Pollen records are exceptionally numerous and problematic. There are over 50 fossil pollen genera with a clear or suspected affinity to palms. At the other end of the scale, almost all described fossil palm stems are included in the single genus *Palmoxylon*. In this paper, the main palm fossil categories and their genera are reviewed, and the extent to which relationships can be attributed is considered.

THE FOSSIL GENERA (SEE ALSO APPENDICES 1 & 2)

LEAVES, LEAF AXES, PETIOLES, ETC.

Leaves: Amesoneuron Goeppert, Bactrites Berry, *Calamopsis Heer, Costapalma Daghlian, *Eolirion Schenk, Flabellaria Sternberg, Geonomites Visiani Hemiphoenicites Visiani, Iriartites Berry, Kentites Boureau, Latanites Massalongo, Manicarites Boureau, Palmacites Brongniart, *Palmophyllum Conwentz, *Paloreodoxites Knowlton, Palustrapalma Daghlian, Phoenicites Brongniart (also used for fruits), Pritchardites Boureau, *Propalmophyllum Lignier, Sabalites Saporta (also used for fruits), Sabalophyllum Bonde, *Sanmiguelia Brown, *Serenopsis Hollick [* = doubtfully from a palm (Read & Hickey, 1972)].

Leaf axes, petioles, etc.: Palmocaulon (Deshpande) Menon, Parapalmocaulon Bonde, Phoenicicaulon Bonde, Kumbhojkar & Aher, Sabalocaulon Trivedi & Verma.

Level of affinity possible between fossils and extant taxa

Read & Hickey (1972) defined five basic characteristics of extant palm leaves that can be used alone or in various combinations to recognize fossil palm leaves: (1) leaf blade and segments plicate (not always evident in fossil fragments of individual segments); (2) leaf blades pinnately veined and either simple or pinnately

compound in form, or palmately veined and palmatifid in form; (3) leaf segments with a strong, uniform midvein bounded on either side by two orders of parallel veins; (4) a ligule-like structure (hastula) at the apex of the petiole (usually only on the adaxial surface, rarely on both surfaces) where the radiating segments are inserted on the palmate blade; and (5) a well-organized primary costa (the attenuated continuation of the petiole into the blade of costapalmate and simple blades).

On the basis of the above characteristics Read & Hickey (1972) reviewed a considerable number of palm leaf fossil genera, and many were sunk into synonymy in their revised concept of acceptable genera. They maintained six genera for fossil leaves: *Amesoneuron*, *Bactrites*, *Sabalites*, *Palmacites*, *Phoenicites* and the modern genus *Phoenix*.

Features of the leaves of the modern genera *Phoenix* [induplicate plication, pinnate, lowermost (proximal) pinnae modified as spines (acanthophylls)] and Sabal (induplicate plication, shortly to prominently costapalmate) are probably the most distinctive characteristics encountered within the fossil palm leaf record. Consequently, these modern generic names, particularly *Phoenix*, are often applied to fossils. Therefore, Read & Hickey (1972) redefined the fossil genus Phoenicites as pinnate with reduplicate plication and lowermost pinnae not spine-like. Their taxonomic recommendation that *Phoenicites* should, henceforth, be reserved or applied to non-Phoenix-like pinnate leaves is, perhaps, more than a little confusing to the unwary. Almost as confusing is the case of Sabalites and Sabal. Read & Hickey (1972) did not propose the use of the modern genus Sabal for Sabal-like fossil palm leaves but later Daghlian (1978) described a new species of costapalmate fossil leaf as Sabal dortchii because 'on the basis of its gross form and cuticular anatomy . . . it is almost indistinguishable from those [leaves] of other species of . . . this extant genus.'

Preliminary studies in palm leaf fossils by Dilcher (1968) and Daghlian & Dilcher (1971) showed that further morphological distinction can be achieved by studying venation characteristics and, in organically intact leaves, cuticular material.

Earliest records

Costapalmate leaves are known from the late Coniacian—early Santonian of South Carolina, USA [Sabalites carolinensis Berry (Berry, 1914a)], the Santonian of New Jersey, USA [S. magothiensis (Berry) Berry (Berry, 1905, 1911)] and the Lower Campanian of Austria [Sabalites longirhachis (Unger) J. Kvaček et Herman (Kvaček & Herman, 2004)].

Pinnate leaves, *Phoenicites* sp., are known from the Lower Campanian of northern Montana (Crabtree, 1987).

Two types of palm leaves, *Sabalites* sp. and *Phoenicites* sp., are reported from the lower Maastrichtian of north-eastern Mexico (Weber, 1978).

The fossil palm leaf, *Eolirion primigenium* Schenk, from the Cretaceous (Urgonian) of Austria (Schenk, 1869) was reported to be the earliest palm fossil record (Collinson, Boulter & Holmes, 1993). However, Read & Hickey (1972) expressed doubts that this leaf is from a palm. Furthermore, the Urgonian (which is not a chronostratigraphic time stage) set in the Barremian to earliest Aptian of south-eastern France, is a coral limestone facies (http://www.cretaceousfossils.com/geological_time_scale.htm).

STEMS, RHIZOMES AND ROOTS

Stem 'wood': Palmoxylon Schenk.
Rhizomes: Rhizopalmoxylon Felix.
Bark and thorns: Spinophyllum Huard.

Level of affinity possible between fossils and extant taxa

The limited number of genera for palm stems and rhizomes highlights the problem of defining differences in palm stem anatomy which support taxonomic or systematic definition. The problem of the artificial genus *Palmoxylon* was addressed by Mahabale (1958) and by Kaul (1960), but little resolution was achieved. Kaul (1960) commented that the comparative anatomy of living palm stems remained little understood and, furthermore, that the situation was becoming more and more problematic because of the increasingly large number of different palm fossils that were being discovered which, '... unfortunately ... are never found in organic connection [with one another] to give us some clue to their systematic position . . .'. More recently, Tomlinson (1990) offered a concise explanation of the underlying problem: '... developmental features peculiar to the biology of the palm stem tend to transcend systematic differences. This is unfortunate because it means that the numerous fossil palm stems that have been discovered and described are difficult to relate to the modern knowledge of palm systematics.'

There are innumerable records of fossil palm stems, including many from the 19th century that were monographed in 'Fossil Palm Woods of the World' (Stenzel, 1904), in which the 43 species of *Palmoxylon* were divided into four groups: (A) *Mauritia*-like (four species) (B) *Corypha*-like (19 species) (C) *Cocos*-like (18 species) (D) 'Radices Palmarum' (roots; two species) plus a fifth group, *incertae sedis*, of four species. At that time, with the exception of *P. astrocaryoides* and *P. iriarteum* (Stenzel, 1904), none of the species names reflected any comparison to extant genera. One

hundred years later, the situation is much the same. Only occasionally is a new species of fossil palm wood described with an epithet suggesting generic affinity, for example, *P. livistonoides* (Prakash & Ambwani, 1980). Even more unusually, an affinity with the living species *Borassus aethiopium* Mart. was suggested for *P. aschersoni* Schenk, from the lower Miocene of Libya (Louvet & Magnier, 1971).

Earliest records

The earliest records of fossil stems [Palmoxylon andegavense Crié and P. ligerinum Crié (Crié, 1892)] are, purportedly, from the Turonian of France and a later Coniacian—Santonian record from New Jersey (Palmoxylon cliffwoodensis Berry; Berry, 1916a). Upper Cretaceous—Palaeocene rhizomes (Rhizopalmoxylon huepaciense Cevallos Ferriz & Ricalde-Moreno and R. teguachachiense Cevallos Ferriz & Ricalde-Moreno; Cevallos Ferriz & Ricalde-Moreno, 1995) were described from northern Mexico.

FRUITS, ENDOCARPS AND SEEDS

Fruits: Arecoidocarpon Bonde, Arecopsis Fritel, Astrocaryopsis Fliche, Attaleinites Tuzson, Burtinia Endlicher, Caryotispermum Reid & Chandler, Castellinia Massalongo, Fracastoria Massalongo, Hyphaeneocarpon Bande, Prakash & Ambwani, Nipa Thunberg, Nipadites Bowerbank, Palmocarpon Miquel, Palmospermum Reid & Chandler, Phoenicites Brongniart (also used for leaves), Sabalites Saporta (also used for leaves).

Level of affinity possible between fossils and extant taxa

Among the more distinctive fossil fruits, endocarps or seeds are those comparable with Nypa, variously called Burtinia, Castellinia, Fracastoria, Nipa, Nipadites and Nypa. Today most records are referred to Nipa or Nipadites. Rendle (1894) included Burtinia in his revised circumscription of *Nipadites* (*N. burtini*), while Tralau (1964), in his revision of the fossil fruits, re-examined many specimens of Castellinia and Fracastoria and included them in Nypa burtini (Brongniart) Ettinghausen. Both Rendle (1894) and Tralau (1964) recognized that the plethora of species described for fossil Nypa fruits (cf. Bowerbank, 1840) was largely due to variability in size and form that they believed fell within the range of variation observed within a single infructescence of extant Nypa fruticans Wurmb.

Phoenix seeds (date 'stones') have been recorded from the Tertiary of eastern Texas as Phoenicites occidentalis (Berry, 1914b, 1924); from the middle Eocene of Germany (Geiseltal) as Phoenix hercynica (Mai,

1976) and from the lower Miocene of Central Europe as *Phoenix bohemica* (B ŭžek, 1977). *Calamus daemonorops* fruits are recorded in Oligocene lignite deposits in the UK (Chandler, 1957).

Endocarps of *Cocos* were described as *C. zeylanica* from the Pliocene of New Zealand (Berry, 1926), as *C. sahnii* from the Miocene of India (Kaul, 1951) as *C. zeylanica* Berry from the Miocene of New Zealand (Balance, Gregory & Gibson, 1981) and as *C. intertrappea* from the Eocene of India (Patil & Upadhye, 1984). *Cocos nucifera* fruits are known from the Pliocene of Australia (Rigby, 1995), and *C. nucifera*-like fruits are known from the Tertiary of India (Tripathi, Mishra & Sharma, 1999).

Other 'coconuts' include Palmocarpon acrocomioides Hollick, P. cetera Hollick, P. exemplare Hollick and Bactris pseudocuesco Hollick from the Oligocene of Puerto Rico (Hollick, 1928), Attalea from the Upper Eocene of Florida (Berry, 1929) and possibly Attaleinites from the Oligocene of Hungary (Tuzson, 1913 – not seen). Astrocaryopsis sanctae-manchildae from the Cenomanian of France was described as having endocarps notably similar to those of some species of Astrocaryum (Fliche, 1894).

The unilocular ovoid fossil fruit Hyphaeneocarpon indicum, described by Bande, Prakash & Ambwani (1982), was considered by the authors definitely to belong with the 'Hyphaene alliance of the Borassoid group of palms'. Hyphaeneocarpum aegyptiacum (Vaudois-Miéja & Lejal-Nicol, 1987) was reported from the Aptian of Egypt. The fruit shape is more ovoid than pyriform, but fruits of modern Hyphaene are frequently very variable in shape, and modern fruits should be studied for careful comparison with the fossil (R. Bayton, pers. comm.). Furthermore, given the very early age for this material, it is not known whether this record has been thoroughly checked for accuracy of dating.

Many species of palm fruits and seeds have been described from the Lower Eocene London Clay flora: Caryotispermum cantiense, Livistona (?) minima, Oncosperma (?) anglica, Sabal grandisperma, Serenoa eocenica, Corypha wilkinsonii, ?Trachycarpus (Chandler, 1978). Also documented were five new species of Palmospermum (P. excavatum, P. jenkinsi; P. parvum, P. minimum and P. pusillum; Reid & Chandler, 1933); nine new species of *Palmospermum*, as well as a number of un-named species, were included in Chandler (1961). Carvota was considered by Reid & Chandler (1933) to be the 'nearest living ally' to Caryotispermum but distinct from it. Characters important for taxonomic separation of palm seeds recovered from the London Clay are shape and size, form and position of the ventral depression, hilum and chalaza; position of embryo; surface ornamentation and testa structure (Collinson, 1983).

Earliest records

The record of Hyphaeneocarpum aegyptiacum (Vaudois-Miéja & Lejal-Nicol, 1987) from the Aptian of Egypt is the oldest record of palm fruit. The deposits from which Astrocaryopsis sanctae-manchildae was extracted were dated as late Cenomanian (Fliche, 1894), which is considerably older than Aptian. Palmocarpon luisii (Maury, 1930) fossils are first recorded from the Upper Cretaceous of Brazil, and coryphoid fruits and seeds are described from the Early Palaeocene of Greenland (Koch, 1972). Records of Nypa-like fruits are first found in the Palaeocene of Brazil (Dolianiti, 1955) and north-east Africa (Chandler, 1954; Gregor & Hagn, 1982).

RACHILLAE, PEDUNCLES, INFLORESCENCES AND FLOWERS

Flowers, inflorescences or rachillae: Arecoideostrobus Bonde, Palaeophoenix Saporta, Palaeorachis Saporta, Palaeospathe Unger, Palmanthium Schimper, Palmostrobus Mahabalé, Tuzsonia Andreánszky.

Peduncle(s): Palmostroboxylon arengoidum Ambwani.

Level of affinity possible between fossils and extant taxa

The paucity of fossil flowers and inflorescences (or infructescences) has resulted in only a few form genera. Occasionally fossils can be assigned with some confidence to modern genera. For example, fossil palm flowers in mid to late Tertiary Dominican and Mexican amber were assigned to *Trithrinax* (*T. dominicana*) and *Socratea* (*S. brownii*) (Poinar, 2002).

A fossil flower from the Tertiary of Germany was assigned to *Phoenix* as *P. eichleri* (Conwentz, 1886), and le Marquis de Saporta (1889) described *Palaeorachis gracilis*, an inflorescence 'Peut-être mâle', as presumably being related to the leaf fossil *Sabal major* Unger.

It seems extraordinary that palm flowers, which are usually very small and often have tough 'woody' petals, are rarely recovered, especially given the huge production of flowers in many palms. Corner (1966) observed that male flowers '... are so cheap that they are discarded in enormous numbers to make a mulch round the base of the trunk.' Male palm flowers tend to abscise rapidly following anthesis and therefore fossil rachillae rarely have male flowers attached (Andreánszky, 1949). A rare exception is the recovery from the Eocene Messel oil shales of numerous (several thousands) compression fossils of palm flowers. Five types were identified, two of which were associated in inflorescences. Small fruits, infructescences and, less frequently, leaves have also been recovered at the site (Schaarschmidt & Wilde, 1986), which is probably the

largest single find of fossil palm flowers and flowerrelated fossils. Several palm taxa seem to be represented. The flowers are usually male or female; hermaphrodite flowers are less evident. Affinities are difficult to establish because of the rather generalized flower morphology. Furthermore, due to compression it is difficult or almost impossible to study the female organs. However, many of the male flowers have pollen-rich anthers from which it has been possible to establish differences in the morphology of the monosulcate grains (Harley, 1997). One type seems to be *Phoenix*-like, although the floral morphology does not strongly support this (J. Dransfield, pers. comm.); while the exine of the other type is similar to that of pollen in some species of two arecoid genera, Dictyocaryum and Dypsis.

Earliest records

A fruiting palm inflorescence compared with *Manicaria* (Weber, 1978) from the lower Maastrichtian of north-eastern Mexico is possibly the earliest record of a floral structure so far described. However, Weber (1978) stated that 'The fossil inflorescence will be described elsewhere as a new genus.' The publication of the new genus has not yet been traced. The record of *Palmostrobus* (Mahabalé, 1950) from the flood basalts of the Deccan Intertrappean, which are probably Maastrichtian in age (Vandamme *et al.*, 1991), is possibly of similar age. All other records appear to be Eocene.

MIXED ORGANS

Calamus daemonorops (Unger) Chandler

This modern generic name, with another modern generic name as a species epithet published by Chandler (1957), has been applied to a variety of calamoid-like structures including: fragments of fruiting axes, fruits, seeds, flowers, spines and spine bases and pollen (Chandler, 1957, 1963).

POLLEN

Aglaoreidia Erdtman, Arecipites Wodehouse, Arengapollenites Kar, Calamipollenites Sun Mengrong, Calamuspollenites Elsik, Clavamonocolpites González Guzmán, Clavapalmaedites Rao & Ramanujam, Constantinsporis Belsky, Boltenhagen & Potonié, Couperipollis Venkatachala & Kar, Dicolpopollis Pflanzl, Disulcipollis Krutsch, Disulcites Erdtman ex Potonié, Dorreenipites Biswas, Echimonocolpites van der Hammen & Garcia de Mutis, Echimonoporopollis Saxena, Khare & Misra, Echimorphomonocolpites González Guzmán, Gemmamonocolpites van der Hammen & Garcia Mutis, Grimsdalea Gemeraad, Hopping & Muller, Jacobipollenites Ramanujam, Jusingipollis

Jansonius & Hills, Longapertites Van Hoeken Klinkenberg, Luminidites Pocknall & Mildenhall, Mauritiidites Van Hoeken-Klinkenberg, Monocolpites Erdtman ex van der Hammen, Monocolpopollenites Pflug & Thomson, Monosulcipollenites Levet-Carette, Monosulcites Cookson ex Couper, Neocouperipollis Kar & Kumar, Palmidites Couper, Palmaemargopollenites Harley, Palmaepites Biswas, Palmaepollenites Potonié ex Potonié, Paravuripollis Rao & Ramanujam, Piladiporocolpites Kar, Proxapertites van der Hammen, Psiladiporocolpites Kar, Psilamonocolpites van der Hammen & Garcia de Mutis, Quilonipollenites Rao & Ramanujam, Racemonocolpites González Guzmán, Retidiporocolpites Kar Retimonocolpites Pierce, Retitrilatiporites Misra, Singh & Ramanujam, Sabalpollenites Thiergart, Spinizonocolpites Muller, Spinomonosulcites A. Singh & Misra, Trichotomocolpites van der Hammen, Trichotomosulcites Couper, Trilatiporites Ramanujam ex Potonié, Victorisporis Belsky, Boltenhagen & Potonié.

Level of affinity possible between fossils and extant taxa – selected examples (see Appendix 2 for more detail)

Most palms have simple tectate, monosulcate pollen (Harley, 1990). Nevertheless, there are some very distinctive exine and aperture types in the family (Harley & Baker, 2001), many of which are also found in fossil palm pollen.

Arengapollenites Kar (1985) from the Lower Eocene of India is monosulcate, ellipsoid (long axis c. 60 μm), with a sulcus the length of the long axis, intectate and sparsely spinose except along colpus margines where the spines are arranged so that they interlock on invagination of the sulcus. An affinity with Arenga pollen is widely accepted.

Dicolpopollis Pflanzl (1956), including Disulcites, Disulcipollenites and Disulcipollis, is a fossil pollen form highly comparable with some extant, equatorially disulcate palm pollen. Equatorially disulcate pollen occurs in eight extant calamoid genera: Metroxylon, Plectocomia, Myrialepis, Plectocomiopsis, Calamus, Retispatha, Daemonorops and Ceratolobus. The collective distribution of the extant genera includes South-east Asia, India, Burma, south China, Africa (humid tropics), New Guinea, Queensland and the Philippines. Dicolpopollis (Ediger, Bati & Alisan, 1990) has been recorded from the Palaeocene of Malaysia and has been widely recorded from the Eocene of northern India and Burma, France, Hungary and Germany. There are records from the Oligocene-Miocene of northern India, Turkey and England.

Jacobipollis Ramanujam (1966) has been described from the Indian Miocene. According to the revised description, based on additional material, the longest axis is 40– $100~\mu m$, the pore is circular to slightly elongate 10– $25~\mu m$, and it has a 'rugged' tectate margo (Ramanujam, Reddy & Ramakrishna, 1998). The authors make convincing comparisons with *Borassodendron*; the only alternative in the palms would be *Ammandra* with closely similar pollen. *Borassodendron machadonis* pollen has been reported from the Pliocene-early Quaternary of the Mahakam Delta, Kalimantan by Caratini & Tissot (1985).

Longapertites Van Hoeken-Klinkenberg (1964) was originally described from the Maastrichtian of Nigeria. There are isolated examples of species with extended sulcate pollen among recent palms: Calamoideae (Eugeissona, Eremospatha), Coryphoideae (Licuala all spp.), and Arecoideae (Areca, Pinanga and Hydriastele). In the fossil literature records of Longapertites are numerous, and it is likely that a proportion of these are from palms. However, some Longapertites probably represent equatorial disulcate (disulculate) pollen with a broken distal 'bridge', as frequently happens in extant disulculate palm pollen. Extended sulcate pollen may have arisen via loss of the distal bridge (Harley & Baker, 2001) or, alternatively, via the extended sulcate model by development of a distal bridge.

Mauritiidites Van Hoeken-Klinkenberg (1964), like Longapertites, was originally described from the Maastrichtian of Nigeria. Spiny palm pollen is sporadic but widespread in the family, and the spines take many forms. Nowhere are the spines more bizarre than in the tropical South American subtribe Mauritiinae (sensu Dransfield et al., 2005), where they are 'rooted' into depressions in the ectexine (for example, Ferguson & Harley, 1993; Jaramillo & Dilcher, 2001; Rull, 2001). However, only in Mauritia are there distinct swellings of the inner margin of the foot layer beneath each spine. The pollen grains are large, and the swellings are clearly visible in light microscopy. The fossil counterparts are easily recognizable, and the association with Mauritia is widely accepted. The palaeo-distribution in Africa (Salard-Cheboldaeff, 1978, 1981; Schrank, 1994) and South America (Rull, 1998, 2001; Jaramillo & Dilcher, 2001), as opposed to its current restriction to South America, is of particular interest.

Monocolpopollenites Pflug & Thomson (Thomson & Pflug, 1953) was originally described from the Eocene of Germany. This genus has been widely adopted for fossil monosulcate pollen grains, and records are extensive and geographically widespread in the Tertiary. However, this taxon was erected strictly for distinctly asymmetric monosulcate monocot-like fossil pollen (e.g. Harley & Morley, 1995). Asymmetric monosulcate pollen is very common in the palms, especially in the Arecoideae but also, to a lesser extent, in the Coryphoideae. Unfortunately, the genus

has been over-used for dispersed fossil monosulcate pollen whether symmetric or asymmetric; therefore, records have to be checked carefully for palm-like qualities.

Quilonipollenites (Rao & Ramanujam, 1978) was originally described from the Neogene Quilon Beds of Kerala, southern India. The large (long axis: 50-55 µm) extended sulcate pollen, with a thick, semi tectate exine, and coarse reticulum with smaller lumina around the aperture margo, is now widely accepted as having an affinity with some extant species of Eugeissona (E. tristis, E. utilis) (Phadtare & Kulkarni, 1984). The palaeodistribution is of particular interest in view of the Early Tertiary collision of the Indian plate with Asia. Based on the fossil pollen records, the West Malesian genus Eugeissona is thought to be Indian in origin. In India, Quilonipollenites is recorded from the Neyveli lignites (Phadtare & Kulkarni, 1984) which are considered to be Early to middle Eocene in age (Saxena, 1992; Morley, 2000).

Spinizonocolpites Muller (1968) includes a considerable number of described species, but the most frequently encountered morphology is that of S. echinatus and S. baculatus Muller (1968), which were originally known from the Late Cretaceous (or Late Palaeocene; Morley, 2000) of Sarawak. The spiny zonasulcate pollen is usually found as one half of the original spheroidal grain, due to breakdown of the aperture membrane during fossilization. Pollen records are globally widespread from the Maastrichtian, reaching a crescendo in the Eocene, after which records gradually reduce until, by the Early Miocene, records are more or less restricted to South-east Asia; presumably in response to continental movement, and also to less favourable climate changes. Spinizonocolpites is widely accepted as ancestral to the modern genus Nypa, with a South-east Asian distribution. Spinizonocolpites fossils appear to represent more than one species, but extant Nypa is monotypic.

Trichotomosulcites Couper (1953) was originally described from the Cretaceous of New Zealand. The pollen was compared with *Phormium* (Phormiaceae), and given its type locality, this association is accepted. However, this pollen form is very common in the palms, especially in the arecoid palms, and also in the Asparagales, where there is a large clade of genera (Chase, Rudall & Conran, 1996) including Phormium, with trichomosulcate pollen. It may be time to consider the re-circumscription of Trichotomosulcites to embrace a wider application for fossil monocot pollen. In retrospect, it is amusing to reconsider the comment that, 'many monosulcate pollen (Liliaceae, Palmae) contain abnormal trichotomosulcate pollen . . . a form genus for such atavistic, teratological forms is superfluous.' (Krutzsch, 1970).

Earliest records

Spinizonocolpites, widespread from the Maastrichtian (Gee, 1990; Morley, 2000), represents the earliest undisputed palm pollen but, by the Maastrichtian, other palm-like pollen types had also appeared, notably Mauritidites and Longapertites (Schrank, 1994).

CONCLUSIONS

The Arecaceae are extremely well represented in the fossil record. Nevertheless, it is reasonable to suppose that, for any one geological epoch, the fossil record represents no more than a tantalizingly small proportion of the species which comprised the family during that time. By the Late Cretaceous, leaves, stems, fruits, inflorescences and pollen, unequivocally representing the Arecaceae, are present. By this time, within each organ category, there is a range of variation denoting a well established lineage, rather than a recently emerged family. Earliest unequivocal fossil records disallow speculation, and yet the earliest fossils, for example for pollen, Spinizonocolpites and Mauritiidites - both spiny but with strikingly different ultrastructure – cannot represent the most ancient palms. Therefore, if forms like these were already in place by the Maastrichtian, an earlier origin for Arecaceae is surely more likely.

The pollen of Nenga gajah J. Dransf. has a very unusual spinulose reticulum which is more or less detached from the underlying foot layer (Kesseler & Harley, 2004). This phenomenon is intriguing, as it is reminiscent of similar reticulate ectexine pollen encountered in the earlier Cretaceous, such as the monosulcate Brenneripollis from the Albian of the North American Atlantic Coastal Plain and Northern Europe (Juhász & Góczán, 1985) and the inaperturate Afropollis from Africa (Doyle, Jardiné & Doerenkamp, 1982). Some records from the English Barremian-Aptian are of loosely reticulate, spinulose monosulcate pollen (Hughes, 1994) and are particularly reminiscent of Nenga pollen. Although an unequivocal association with Nenga or any other palm is ruled out, it would appear that pollen with a coarse, loosely attached, reticulum was more common in some early evolving angiosperm groups than in extant angiosperms.

Finally, the serendipitous nature of fossil recovery and the limits it imposes on the range and quantity of recovered fossils have to be considered. Cretaceous and Tertiary palaeobotany relies mainly on working with cores and bedding planes made available by natural events, or drilling, mining and quarrying. Pollen is less affected by these limitations than macro fossil organs but also shares a richer Northern than Southern Hemisphere record, a reflection of the more extensive drilling and mining activity of the Northern Hemisphere. Nevertheless, it is probably only a mat-

ter of time before unequivocal palm fossils predating the Turonian are discovered. Emberger (1960) suggested that there are palm stem fossils in the Upper Jurassic, but this may be a step too far.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Dr Bill Baker for inviting me to participate in the 'The Palms' meeting in April 2005. I also thank the two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments on the submitted manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Ambwani K. 1983–84. Palmostroboxylon arengoidum sp. nov. a fossil palm peduncle resembling Arenga from the Deccan Intertrappean Beds of Shahpura, Madhya Pradesh. The Palaeobotanist 32: 134–139.
- Andreánszky G. 1949. Reste einer neuen Tertiären Palme aus Ungarn. *Hungarica Acta Biologica* 1: 31–36.
- Balance PF, Gregory MR, Gibson GW. 1981. Coconuts in Miocene turbidites in New Zealand: possible evidence for tsunami origin of some turbidity currents. *Geology* 9: 592–595.
- Bande MB, Prakash U, Ambwani K. 1982. A fossil palm fruit *Hyphaeneocarpon indicum* gen. et sp. nov. from the Deccan Intertrappean beds, India. *The Palaeobotanist* 30: 303–309.
- Belsky CY, Boltenhagen E. 1963. Sporomorphes de position taxonomique incertaine du Cretace Superieur du Gabon. *Grana Palynologica* 4: 262–270.
- Belsky CY, Boltenhagen E, Potonié R. 1965. Sporae dispersae der Oberen Kreide von Gabun, Äquatoriales Afrika. *Paläontologie Zeitschrift* 39: 72–83.
- **Berry EW. 1905.** A palm from the mid-Cretaceous. *Torreya* **5**: 30–33.
- Berry EW. 1911. Contributions to the Mesozoic flora of the Atlantic coastal plain VII. Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club 38: 399–424.
- Berry EW. 1914a. The Upper Cretaceous and Eocene floras of South Carolina, Georgia. US Geological Survey, Professional Paper 84: 1–200.
- Berry EW. 1914b. Fruits of a date palm in the Tertiary deposits of eastern Texas. American Journal of Science 187: 403–406
- Berry EW. 1916a. A petrified palm from the Cretaceous of New Jersey. *American Journal of Science* 41: 193–197.
- Berry EW. 1919b. Miocene fossil plants from northern Peru. Proceedings of the US National Museum 55: 279–294.
- Berry EW. 1924. The middle and upper Eocene floras of southeastern North America. US Geological Survey, Professional Paper 92: 1–206.
- Berry EW. 1926. Cocos and Phymatocaryon in the Pliocene of New Zealand. American Journal of Science 212: 181–184
- Berry EW. 1929. A palm nut of Attalea from the upper Eocene of Florida. Journal of the Washington Academy of Science 19: 252–255.

- Biswas B. 1962. Stratigraphy of the Mahadeo, Langpar, Cherra and Tura Formations, Assam, India. *Bulletin of the* Geological, Mining and Metallurgical Society of India 25: 1–48.
- Bonde SD. 1986. Sabalophyllum livistonoides gen. et sp. nov. a petrified palm leaf segment from Deccan Intertrappean bed at Nawargaon District Wardha, Maharashtra, India. Biovigyanam 12: 113–118.
- **Bonde SD. 1987.** Parapalmocaulon surangei gen. et sp. nov. from the Deccan Intertrappean bed at Umaria, District Mandla, Madhya Pradesh. Biovigyanam 13: 74–80.
- Bonde SD. 1990. Arecoidocarpon kulkarnii gen. et sp. nov., an arecoid palm fruit from Mohgaon Kalan, Madhya Pradesh. The Palaeobotanist 38: 212–216.
- Bonde SD. 1996. Arecoideostrobus moorei gen. et sp. nov., a palm rachilla from the Deccan Intertrappean beds of India. The Palaeobotanist 43: 102–109.
- Bonde SD, Kumbhojkar MS, Aher RT. 2000. Phoenicicaulon mahabalei gen. et sp. nov., a sheathing leaf base of Phoenix from the Deccan Intertrappean beds of India. Geophytology 29: 11–16.
- **Bowerbank JS. 1840.** A history of the fossil fruits and seeds of the London Clay. London: John Van Vorst.
- Brongniart AT. 1822. Sur la classification et la distribution des végétaux. fossil en general, et seux des terains de sediment supérieur en particulier. *Memoires des museum d'histoire naturelles (Paris)* 8: 203–240.
- Brongniart AT. 1828. Prodrome d'une histoire des végétaux fossiles. Paris: Levrault.
- Brown RW. 1956. Palm-like plants from the Delores Formation (Triassic) in southwestern Colorado. US Geological Survey, Professional Paper 274: 205–209.
- Bureau E. 1896. Sur quelques palmiers fossiles d'Italie.

 Bulletin de Museum d'histoire Naturelle de Paris 2: 280285
- Bužek C. 1977. Date-palm seeds from the Lower Miocene of Central Europe. Věstnik Ústředního Ústavu Geologicko 52: 159–168.
- Caratini C, Tissot C. 1985. Le Sondage Misedor, etude palynologique. Etudes de Géographie Tropical. Bordeaux: Centre Nationale de Recherche Scientifique.
- Cevallos-Ferriz SRS, Ricalde-Moreno OS. 1995. Palmeras fósiles del norte de México. Anales Instituto Biologia Universita Nacional. Autónoma México, Series Botanica 66: 37–106.
- **Chandler MEJ. 1954.** Some Upper Cretaceous and Eocene fruits from Egypt. *Bulletin of the British Museum, Natural History (Geology)* **2:** 147–187.
- Chandler MEJ. 1957. The Oligocene Flora of the Bovey Tracey Lake Basin, Devonshire. Bulletin of the British Museum, Natural History (Geology) 3: 71–123.
- **Chandler MEJ. 1961.** The Lower Tertiary floras of southern England, Vol. I. Palaeocene Floras, London Clay Flora. London: British Museum (Natural History).
- Chandler MEJ. 1963. The Lower Tertiary floras of southern England, Vol. III. Flora of the Bournemouth Beds, the Boscombe, and the Highcliff Sands. London: British Museum (Natural History).

- Chandler MEJ. 1978. Supplement to the Lower Tertiary floras of southern England. Part 5. Tertiary Research Special Paper #4. London: The Tertiary Research Group.
- Chase MW, Rudall PR, Conran JG. 1996. New circumscriptions and a new family of asparagoid lilies: genera formerly included in Anthericaceae. Kew Bulletin 51: 667–680.
- Chitaley SD. 1951. Fossil microflora from the Mohgaon Kalan Beds of the Madhya Pradesh, India. Proceedings of the National Institute of Science, India 17: 373–383.
- Collinson ME. 1983. Fossil plants of the London Clay. London: The Palaeontological Association.
- Collinson ME, Boulter MC, Holmes PL. 1993. Magnoliophyta ('angiospermae'). In: Benton MJ, ed. *The fossil record* 2. London: Chapman & Hall, 809–841.
- Conwentz HW. 1886. Die Flora des Bernsteins. Die angiospermen des Bernsteins. In: H.R. Goeppert und A. Menge (1883): Die Flora des Bernsteins und ihre Beziehungen zur Flora der Tortiärformation und der Gegenwart. Danzig, Germany.
- Corner EJH. 1966. The natural history of palms. London: Weidenfield & Nicolson.
- Couper RA. 1953. Upper Mesozoic and Cainozoic spores and pollen grains from New Zealand. New Zealand Geological Survey Palaeontological Bulletin 22: 1–73.
- Couper RA. 1960. New Zealand Mesozoic and Cainozoic plant microfossils. New Zealand Department of Science and Industrial Research Geological Survey Palaeontological Bulletin 32: 1–87.
- **Crabtree DR. 1987.** Angiosperms of the northern Rocky Mountains: Albian to Campanian (Cretaceous) megafossil floras. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* **74:** 707–747.
- Crié L. 1892. Recherches sur les Palmiers silicifiés des terrains Crétacés de l'Anjou. Bulletin de la Societé d'Études Scientifiques d'Angers 21: 97–103.
- **Daghlian CP. 1978.** Coryphoid palms from the Lower and Middle Eocene of southeastern North America. *Palaeontographica Abteilung B* **166:** 44–82.
- Daghlian CP, Dilcher DL. 1971. Middle Eocene sabaloid palms. Proceedings of the Indiana Academy of Sciences (Abstract) 80: 95.
- Dilcher DL. 1968. Revision of Eocene palms from southeastern North America based upon cuticular analysis. American Journal of Botany 55: 725 [Abstract].
- **Dolianiti E. 1955.** Fructos de *Nipa* no Paleoceno de Pernambuco, Brasil. *Boletin Ministerio de Agricultura Rio de Janeiro* **158:** 1–36.
- **Doyle JA, Jardiné S, Doerenkamp A. 1982.** Afropollis, a new genus of early angiosperm pollen, with notes on the Cretaceous palynostratigraphy and paleoenvironments of Northern Gondwana. Bulletin du Centres Recherches Exploration-Production Elf-Aquitaine **6:** 39–117.
- Dransfield J, Uhl NW, Asmussen CB, Baker WJ, Harley MM, Lewis CE. 2005. A new phylogenetic classification of the palm family, Arecaceae. Kew Bulletin 60: 559–569.
- Ediger VS, Bati Z, Alisan C. 1990. Paleopalynology and paleoecology of *Calamus*-like disulcate pollen grains. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* **62**: 97–105.

- Emberger L. 1960. Traité de botanique. Les végétaux vasculaires. II, fascicules 1 et 2. Paris: Masson.
- Endlicher S. 1837. Principes. LXXV. Palmae. 1783. Burtinia. Genera plantarum secundum ordines naturales deposita, I. Vindoboniae, 257.
- **Erdtman G. 1947.** Suggestions for the classification of fossil and recent pollen grains and spores. *Svensk Botanisk Tidskrift* **41:** 104–114.
- Erdtman G. 1960. On three new genera from the Lower Headon Beds, Berkshire. *Botanisk Notiser* 113: 46–48.
- Felix J. 1883. Die fossilen Holzer Westindiens. Sammlung Palaeontologie Abhandlungen Series 1: 28 pp. + 5 pls. Cassel: T. Fischer.
- **Ferguson IK, Harley MM. 1993.** The significance of new and recent work on pollen morphology of the Palmae. *Kew Bulletin* **48:** 205–243.
- Fliche P. 1894. Sur les fruits de palmiers trouvés dans le cénomanien environs de Sainte-Menehould. Comptes rendus Hebdomadaires des Séances de l'Academie des Sciences 118: 889–890.
- Gee CT. 1990. On the fossil occurrences of the mangrove palm Nypa. In: Knobloch E, Kvaček Z, eds. Paleofloristic and paleoclimatic changes in the Cretaceous and Tertiary. Prague, 315–319.
- Gemeraad JM, Hopping CA, Muller J. 1968. Palynology of Tertiary sediments from tropical areas. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 6: 189–348.
- Goeppert HR. 1852. Beiträge zur Tertiarflora Schlesien's. Palaeontographica 2: 260–282.
- González Guzmán E. 1967. A palynological study on the Upper los Cuervos and Mirador Formations (Lower and Middle Eocene; Tibú Area, Colombia). Leiden: Brill.
- **Gregor HJ, Hagn H. 1982.** Fossil fructifications from the Cretaceous–Palaeocene Boundary of Egypt (Danian Bir Abu Mungar). *Tertiary Research* **4:** 121–147. Leiden.
- van der Hammen T. 1954a. Principios para la nomenclatura palinológica sistématica. Boletin Geologica (Bogotà) 2: 3–24.
- van der Hammen T. 1954b. El desarollo de la Flora Colombiana en los periodos geologicos. I. Maestrichtiano hasta Terciaro mas inferior. Boletin Geologica (Bogotà) 2: 49–106.
- van der Hammen T. 1956. Descripción de algunos géneros y especies de polen y esporas fósiles. Boletin Geologica (Bogotà) 4: 103–109.
- van der Hammen T, Garcia de Mutis C. 1965. The Paleocene pollen flora of Colombia. Leidse Geologische Mededlingen 35: 105–116.
- Harley MM. 1990. Occurrence of simple, tectate, monosulcate or trichotomosulcate pollen grains within the Palmae. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 64: 137–147.
- Harley MM. 1997. Ultrastructure of pollen from some Eocene palm flowers (Messel, Germany). Mededelingen Nederlands Instituut voor Toegepaste Geowetenschappen TNO 58: 193– 209.
- Harley MM, Baker WJ. 2001. Pollen aperture morphology in the Arecaceae: application within phylogenetic analyses, and a summary of the fossil record of palm-like pollen. *Grana* 40: 45–77.
- Harley MM, Morley RJ. 1995. Ultrastructural studies of

- some fossil and extant palm pollen, with notes on the geological history of subtribes Iguanurinae and Calaminae. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology **85:** 153–182.
- Heer O. 1859. Die terti\u00e4re Flora der Schweiz III. Die Gametopetalen und Polypetalen Dicotyledonen. Anhang. J. Wurster Winterthur.
- Hollick A. 1893. A new fossil palm from the Cretaceous Formation at Glen Cove, Long Island. Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club 20: 168–169.
- Hollick A. 1928. Palaeobotany of Porto Rico. Scientific Survey of Porto Rico and the Virgin Islands 7: 177–193.
- Huard J. 1967. Restes epineux de palmier lepidocaryoide du Neogene des Landes. Naturalia Monspeliensia, série botanique 18: 319–346.
- Hughes NF. 1994. The enigma of angiosperm origins. Cambridge Paleobiology Series I. Cambridge, New York, Melbourne: Cambridge University Press.
- **Jansonius J, Hills LV. 1976. (et seq.).** Genera file of fossil spores and pollen. Special Publication. Department of Geology, University of Calgary, Canada.
- Jansonius J, Hills LV. 1980. Supplement 4 Clavapalmaedites card 3659. Genera file of fossil spores and pollen. Special Publication. Department of Geology, University of Calgary, Canada.
- Jansonius J, Hills LV. 1987. Supplement 9 Clavapalmaedites card 3659. Genera file of fossil spores and pollen. Special Publication. Department of Geology, University of Calgary, Canada.
- Jaramillo C, Dilcher DL. 2001. Middle Paleogene palynology of Central Colombia, South America: a study of pollen and spores from tropical latitudes. *Palaeontographica Abteilung* B 258: 87–213.
- Juhász M, Góczán F. 1985. Comparative study of Albian monosulcate angiosperm pollen grains. Acta Biologica (Szeged) 31: 147–172.
- **Kar RK. 1985.** The fossil floras of Kachchh IV. Tertiary palynostratigraphy. *The Palaeobotanist* **34:** 1–279.
- Kar RK. 1995. Diporocolpis: a new type of aperture from the early Eocene sediments of Rajasthan, India. The Palaeobotanist 42: 380–386.
- Kar RK, Kumar M. 1986. Neocouperipollis a new name for Couperipollis Venkatachala & Kar. The Palaeobotanist 35: 171–174
- Kaul KN. 1951. A palm from Kapurdi (Jodhpur, Rajasthan Desert) – Cocos sahnii sp. nov. Current Science 20: 138.
- Kaul KN. 1960. The anatomy of the stem of palms and the problem of the artificial genus *Palmoxylon Schenk - I. Bulletin of the National Botanical Gardens, Lucknow* 51: 1–52.
- **Kesseler R, Harley MM. 2004.** Pollen the hidden sexuality of flowers. London: Papadakis Publisher.
- **Knowlton FH. 1930.** The Flora of the Denver and associated formations of Colorado. *US Geological Survey Professional Papers* **155:** 41.
- Koch BE. 1972. Coryphoid palm fruits and seeds from the Danian of Nugssuaq, West Greenland. *Meddelelser om Grønland udgivne af Kommissionen for Videnskabelige Undersogelser I Grønland* 193: 1–38.
- Krutzsch W. 1970. Atlas der mittel- und jungertertiären dis-

- persen Sporen-und pollen sowie der Mikroplanktonformen des nördlichen Mitteleuropas, VII., Jena: VEB Gustav. Fischer Verlag.
- Kvaček J, Herman AB. 2004. Monocotyledons from the Early Campanian (Cretaceous) of Grünbach, Lower Austria. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 128: 323-353.
- Levet-Carette J. 1964. Étude de la microflore bajocienne d'un sondage effectué dans le sous-sol de Boulogne-sur-Mer (P.- de C.). Annales de la Société Géologique du Nord (Lille) 84: 91-121.
- Lignier O. 1895. Végétaux de Normandie 2. Contributions à la flore Liasique Ste.-Honorine-la-Guillaume (Orne). Mèmoires de la Société Linnéenne de Normandie 2nd séries 18: 124-151.
- Louvet P, Magnier Ph. 1971. Confirmation de la derive du continent Africain au Tertiare par la paléobotanique. 96e Congrès national des sociétés savants, Toulouse, 1971. sciences 5: 177-189.
- Mahabalé TS. 1950. Some new fossil plants from the Deccan Intertrappean. Palaeobotany in India VII. Journal of the Indian Botanical Society 29: 1-46.
- Mahabalé TS. 1958. Resolution of the artificial palm genus, Palmoxylon: a new approach. The Palaeobotanist 7: 76-83.
- Mai DH. 1976. Fossile Früchte und Samen des Geiseltales aus den Mitteleozän. Abhandlungen des zentralen Geologischen Instituts, Berlin 26: 93-149.
- le Marquis de Saporta G. 1865. Études sur la végétation du Sud-Est de la France a l'époque Tertiare. Armissan près Narbonne (Aude). Annales des Sciences Naturelles Botanique, Paris. Serie 2 (4): 5-264. [not seen; cited according to Read & Hickey, 1972].
- le Marquis de Saporta G. 1878. Essai descriptiv sur plantes fossiles des arkoses de Brives pres de Puy-en-Velay. Annales de la Société d'Agriculture, Science, Arts et Commerce du Puy **33:** 1–72. [not seen; cited according to Saporta, 1889].
- le Marquis de Saporta G. 1889. Des palmiers fossils. Revue Générale de Botanique 1: 229-243.
- Massalongo DAB. 1852. Synopsis Palmarum Fossilium. Lotos, Zeitschrift für Waturwissenschaften 2. [not seen; cited according to Tralau, 1964].
- Massalongo DAB. 1858. Synopsis Florae Fossilis Senogalliensis. Veronae: A. Merlo.
- Mathur YK. 1966. On the microflora in the supra-trappeans of western Kutch, India. Quarterly Journal of the Geological Mining and Metallurgical Society of India 38: 40.
- Maury CJ. 1930. O Cretaceo da Parahyba do Norte. As floras do Cretaceo Superior da America do Sul. Monografias Servicio Geologico Museu do Brasil 8: 1-305.
- Médus J. 1975. Palynologie de sédiments Tertiaires du Sénégal méridional. Pollen et Spores 17: 545-601.
- Menon VK. 1964. Palmocaulon raoi possibly a new species of petrified palm petiole from Mohgaon Kalan area in Madhya Pradesh. Proceedings of the Anatomical Institute of Science, India 30B: 15-24.
- Miquel FAW. 1853. De fosseile planten van het krijt in het Hertogdom Limburg. Verhandelingen uitgegeven door de Commissie Belast met het vervaardigen eener Geologische Beschrijving en keert van Nederland te Haarlem 1: 35-56 (1–24). Haarlem.

- Misra BK, Singh A, Ramanujam CGK. 1996. Trilatiporate pollen from Indian Palaeogene and Neogene sequences: evolution, migration and continental drift. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 91: 331-352.
- Morley RJ. 2000. Origin and evolution of tropical rainforest. Chichester: John Wiley.
- Muller J. 1968. Palynology of the Pedawan and Plateau sandstone formations (Cretaceous - Eocene) in Sarawak, Malaysia. Micropaleontology 14: 1-37.
- Nichols DJ, Tate Ames H, Traverse A. 1973. On Arecipites Wodehouse, Monocolpopollenites Thomson & Pflug, and the species 'Monocolpopollenites tranquillus'. Taxon 22: 241-
- Patil GV, Upadhye EV. 1984. Cocos-like fruit from Mohgaonkalan and its significance towards the stratigraphy of Mohgaonkalan Intertrappean beds. In: Sharma AK, Mitra GC, Banerjee M, eds. Proceedings of the symposium on evolutionary botany and biostratigraphy, University of Calcutta 1979. New Delhi: Today & Tomorrow's Printers & Publishers. 541-554
- Pflanzl G. 1956. Das Alter der Braunkohlen des Meissners der Flöze 2 und 3 des Hirschberges und eines benachbarten Kohlenlagers bei Laudenbach. Notizblatt des Hessichen Landesamtes fur Bodenforschung zu Wiesbaden 84: 232-244.
- Phadtare NR, Kulkarni AR. 1984. Affinity of the genus Quilonipollenites with the Malaysian palm Eugeissona Griffith. Pollen et Spores 26: 217-226.
- Pierce RL. 1961. Lower Upper Cretaceous plant microfossils from Minnesota. Minnesota Geological Survey Bulletin 42: 1 - 86
- Pocknall DT, Mildenhall DC. 1984. Late Oligocene-early Miocene spores and pollen from Southland, New Zealand. New Zealand Geological Survey Palaeontological Bulletin **51:** 1-66.
- Poinar G Jr. 2002. Fossil flowers in Dominican and Mexican amber. Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society 138: 57-61.
- Potonié R. 1934. Zur Mikrobotanik des eozänen Humodils des Geiseltals. Arbeiten aus dem Institut für Paläobotanik und Petrography der Brennsteine 4: 25-125.
- Potonié R. 1951. Revision stratigraphisch wichtiger Sporomorphen des mittel-europäischen Tertiärs. Palaeontographica Abteilung B **91:** 131–151.
- Potonié R. 1958. Synopsis der Gattungen der Sporae dispersae. II. Teil: Sporites (Nachträge), Saccites, Aletes, Precolpates, Polyplicates, Monocolpates. Beihefte zum Geologisch Jahrbuch 31: 1–114.
- Potonié R. 1960. Sporologie der eozänen Kohle von Kalewa in Burma. Senckenberg Leth 41: 451-481.
- Potonié R. 1970. Synopsis der Gattungen der Sporae dispersae. IV. Beihefte zum Geologisch Jahrbuch 72: 1-244.
- Potonié R, Thomson PW, Thiergart F. 1950. Zur Nomenklatur und Klassifikation der Neogenen Sporomorphae (Pollen und Sporen). Geologisch Jahrbuch 65: 35–70.
- Prakash U, Ambwani K. 1980. A petrified Livistona-like palm stem, Palmoxylon livistonoides sp. nov. from the Deccan Intertrappean beds of Indoa. The Palaeobotanist 26: 297-306.
- Raatz GV. 1937-8. Mikrobotanisch-stratigraphische Untersuchungen der Braunkohle des Muskauer Bogens. Abhandlun-

- gen der Koeniglich preussischen Geologischen Landesanstalt (Berlin) 183: 1–48.
- Ramanujam CGK. 1966. Palynology of the Miocene lignite from South Arcot District, Madras, India. Pollen et Spores 8: 149–203.
- Ramanujam CGK, Reddy PR, Ramakrishna H. 1998. Botanical affinities of *Jacobipollenites* (Ramanujam) Singh & Misra. *Geophytology* 27: 111–113.
- Rao KP, Ramanujam CGK. 1978. Palynology of the Neogene Quilon Beds of Kerala State in South India I. Spores of pteridophytes and pollen of monocotyledons. *The Palaeobotanist* 25: 397–427.
- Read RW, Hickey LJ. 1972. A revised classification of fossil palm and palm-like leaves. Taxon 21: 129–137.
- Reid EM, Chandler MEJ. 1933. The flora of the London Clay. London: British Museum (Natural History), 102–131.
- **Rendle AB. 1894.** Revision of the genus *Nipadites* Bowerbank. *Journal of the Linnean Society of London (Botany)* **30:** 143–154.
- Rigby JF. 1995. A fossil Cocos nucifera L. fruit from the latest Pliocene of Queensland Australia. In: Pant DD, ed. Birbal Sahni Centenary Volume. Allahabad: Allahabad University, 379–381.
- Rull V. 1998. Biogeographical and evolutionary considerations of Mauritia (Arecaceae), based on palynological evidence. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 100: 109–122.
- Rull V. 2001. A morphometric study of Early Miocene Mauritidites from northern South America: palaeoecological and evolutionary implications. Grana 40: 163–167.
- Salard-Cheboldaeff M. 1978. Sur la palynoflore Maestrichtienne et Tertiare du bassin sédimentaire littoral du Cameroun. Pollen et Spores 10: 215–260.
- Salard-Cheboldaeff M. 1981. Palynologie Maestrichtienne et Tertiaire du Cameroun. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 32: 401–439.
- **Saxena RK. 1992.** Neyveli lignites and associated sediments their palynology, palaeoecology, correlation and age. *The Palaeobotanist* **40:** 345–353.
- Saxena RK, Khare S, Misra NK. 1991. *Echimonoporopollis*, a new pollen genus from the Neyveli Formation Jayamkond-dacholapuram well 12, Tiruchirapalli District, Tamil Nadu. *The Palaeobotanist* 39: 46–49.
- Schaarschmidt F, Wilde V. 1986. Palmenblüten und-blätter aus dem Eozän von Messel. Courier Forschunginstitut Senckenberg 86: 177–202.
- Schenk A. 1869. *Palaeontographica* 19: 1–34. [not seen; cited according to Read & Hickey, 1972].
- Schenk A. 1882. Die von den Gebrudern Schlagintweit in Indien gesammelten fossilen Holzern. Botanisch Jarhbucher 2: 252–258
- Schimper WP. 1870. Traité de Paléontologie végétale ou la flore du monde primitif, 2. Paris.
- Schrank E. 1994. Palynology of the Yesomma Formation in Northern Somalia: a study of pollen spores and associated phytoplankton from the Late Cretaceous Palmae Province. *Palaeontographica Abteilung B* 231: 63–112.
- Singh A, Misra BK. 1991. A new spinose monosulcate genus Spinomonosulcites and an emendation of spinose porate

- Acanthotricolpites. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 67: 217–227.
- Stenzel G. 1904. Fossile Palmenhölzer. In: Uhlig V, von Arthaber G, eds. Beiträge Zur Paläontologie und Geologie Österreich-Ungarns und Des Orients 15. Wien und Leipzig: K.U.K. Hof-und Universitäts-Buchhändler, 107–208.
- von Sternberg KM. 1820. Versuch einer geognostischbotanischen. Darstellung der Flora der Vorwelt 2: 31–33. Fr. Fleischer, Leipzig und Prague.
- Stover LE, Elsik WC, Fairchild WW. 1966. New genera and species of early Tertiary palynomorphs from the Gulf Coast. University of Kansas Paleontological Contributions Paper 5: 1–11.
- Sun Mengrong. 1989. In: Research Party of Marine Geology, Ministry of Geology and Minereral Resources, Institute of Geology, Chinese Academy of Geological Sciences, eds. Cenozoic paleobiota of the continental shelf of the East China Sea (Donghai). Micropaleobotanical volume. Beijing: Geological Publishing House, 258–259.
- Takahashi K. 1964. Sporen und Pollen der Oberkretaz-eischen Hakobuchi-Schichtengruppe, Hokkaido. Memoirs of the Faculty of Science, Kyushu University, Series D, Geology 14: 159–271.
- Thanikaimoni G, Caratini C, Venkatachala BS, Ramanujam CGK, Kar RK, eds. 1984. Selected Tertiary angiosperm pollens from India and their relationship with African Tertiary pollens. Travaux de la Section Scientifique et Technique, Institut Français de Pondichéry 19: 1–92.
- **Thiergart F, Frantz U. 1963.** Some spores and pollen grains from the Tertiary browncoal of Neyveli. *The Palaeobotanist* **11:** 43–45.
- **Thomson PW, Pflug HD. 1953.** Pollen und Sporen des mitteleuropäischen Tertiärs. *Palaeontographica Abteilung B* **94:** 1–138.
- **Thunberg C. 1782.** Nipa, et nytt genus ibland palmtraden. Kungliga Svenska Vetenskapsakademiens Handlingar 3: 231–235.
- **Tomlinson PB. 1990.** *The structural biology of palms.* Oxford: Oxford Science Publications.
- Tralau H. 1964. The genus Nypa van Wurmb. Kungliga Svenska Vetenskapsakademiens. Handlingar 10: 5–29.
- Tripathi RP, Mishra SN, Sharma BD. 1999. Cocos nucifera like petrified fruit from the Tertiary of Amarkantak, M.P., India. The Palaeobotanist 48: 251–255.
- Trivedi BS, Verma CL. 1981. Sabalocaulon intertrappeum gen. et sp. nov. from the Deccan Intertrappean beds of Madhya Pradesh, India. The Palaeobotanist 28/29: 329–337.
- Tuzson J. 1913. Adatok Magyarország fosszilis flórájához (Additamenta ad floram fossilem Hungarieae III). A Magyar Királyi Allamí Földtani Intézet Évkönyve 21: 209–233.
- Unger F. 1860. Sylloge plantarum fossilium I. Denkschriften der kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschsaften, mathematisch-naturwissenschaftliche Klasse 19: 1–48 Wien.
- Van Hoeken-Klinkenberg PMJ. 1964. A palynological investigation of some Upper-Cretaceous sediments in Nigeria. *Pollen et Spores* 6: 209–231.
- Vandamme D, Courtillot V, Besse J, Montigny R. 1991.

 Palaeomagnetism and age determinations of the Deccan

- Traps (India): results of a Nagpur-Bombay traverse and review of earlier work. Review of Geophysics 29: 159–190.
- Vaudois-Miéja N, Lejal-Nicol A. 1987. Paléocarpologies africaine: apparition dès l'Aptien en Égypte d'un Palmier (*Hyphaeneocarpon aegyptiacum* n. sp.). Comptes Rendus Academie des Sciences, Paris, 304 (Series II) (6): 233–238.
- Venkatachala BS, Kar RK. 1969. Palynology of the Tertiary sediments of Kutch. 1. Spores and pollen from Bore-hole, 14. *The Palaeobotanist* 17: 157–178.
- de Visiani R. 1864. Palmae pinnatae tertiariae agri ceneti.

 Memorie del Reale Istituo Veneto di Scienze Lettere ed Arti
 11: 435–460.
- Weber R. 1978. Some aspects of the Upper Cretaceous angiosperm flora of Coahuila, México. Courier Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg 30: 38–46.
- Wodehouse RP. 1933. Tertiary pollen II. Pollen of the Green River oil shales. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 60: 479–524.

APPENDIX 1

Fossil genera frequently encountered in the literature, excluding pollen.

1. Leaves, petioles & o	cuticles	
Ame sone uron	Goeppert, 1852	leaf (fragmentary) – overall leaf form unclear – fragments overlain in part by a dico
Bactrites	Berry, 1924	leaf fragments armed with small teeth on one margin.
Calamopsis	Heer, 1859	'Probably a cycad.' (Read & Hickey, 1972)
Costapalma	Daghlian, 1978	leaf (costapalmate)
Eolirion	Schenk, 1869	'pinnate leaves with no midveins. Not a palm.' (Read & Hickey, 1972). NB. Misspelled as <i>Elolirion</i> – p. 20 of original description in Schenk (1869)
Flabellaria	von Sternberg, 1820	leaf (palmate – no costa or extension of petiole into blade)
Geonomites	de Visiani, 1864	leaf – in synonymy of Sabalites (Read & Hickey, 1972)
Haemiphoenicites	de Visiani, 1864	leaf (pinnate)
Iriartites	Berry, 1919b	leaf fragments (pinnate) – 'a convenient form-genus for the remains of fossil palms that appear to belong to tribe Iriarteae, but whose exact generic identity is uncertain.'
Kentites	Bureau, 1896	leaf (pinnate)
Latanites	Massalongo, 1858	leaves sharing similarities with those of subtribe Lataninae
Manicarites	Bureau, 1896	leaf (pinnate)
Palmacites	Brongniart, 1822	leaf (palmate – no costa or extension of petiole into blade). NB. this generic name also used for palm stems – mostly pre Palmoxylon.
Palmophyllum	Conwentz 1886	leaf – questionable affinity to true palms (Read & Hickey, 1972)
Paloreodoxites	Knowlton 1930	leaf – questionable affinity to true palms (Read & Hickey, 1972)
Palustrapalma	Daghlian, 1978	leaf (palmate)
Phoenicites	Brongniart, 1828	leaf (pinnae reduplicate on adaxial surface – lowermost (proximal) pinnae not spine-like – Read & Hickey, 1972) NB. this generic name has also used for fruit – e.g. Phoenicites occidentalis (Berry, 1914a)
Pritchardites	Bureau, 1896	leaf (palmate)
Propal mophy llum	Lignier, 1895	leaf – questionable affinity to true palms (Read & Hickey, 1972)
Sabalites	le Marquis de Saporta, 1865	leaf (costapalmate). NB. this generic name has also been used for fruit
Sabalophyllum	Bonde, 1986	leaf segment
Sanmiguelia	Brown, 1956	leaf – questionable affinity to true palms (Read & Hickey, 1972)
Serenopsis	Hollick, 1893	'Probably a cone of Williamsonia.' (Read & Hickey, 1972)
Palmocaulon	(Deshpande) Menon, 1964	leaf petiole
Parapalmocaulon	Bonde, 1987	leaf petiole
Phoenicicaulon	Bonde, Kumbhojkar & Aher, 2000	'a sheathing leaf base'
Sabalocaulon	Trivedi & Verma, 1981	leaf petiole

Appendix 1 Continued

2. Stems, rhizomes & roots

PalmoxylonSchenk, 1882stemRhizopalmoxylonFelix, 1883rhizomeSpinophyllumHuard, 1967'bark' & thorns

3. Fruits, endocarps & seeds

Arecoidocarpon Bonde, 1990 fruit Astrocaryopsis Fliche, 1894 fruit

Attaleinites Tuzson, 1913 'a reproductive (?fruit)'

Burtinia Endlicher, 1837 Nipa-like fruits

Caryotispermum Reid & Chandler, 1933 seed – shares some similarities to Caryota

Castellinia Massalongo, 1852 Nipa-like fruits Fracastoria Massalongo, 1858 Nipa-like fruits

Hyphaeneocarpon Bande, Prakash & Ambwani, fruit

1982

 $\begin{array}{cccc} \textit{Nipa} & & \text{Thunberg, 1782} & \text{fruits} \\ \textit{Nipadites} & & \text{Bowerbank, 1840} & \text{fruit} \\ \textit{Palmocarpon} & & \text{Miquel, 1853} & \text{carpel} \\ \textit{Palmospermum} & & \text{Reid \& Chandler, 1933} & \text{seed} \\ \end{array}$

Phoenicites Brongniart, 1828 this generic name also used for leaves

Sabalites le Marquis de Saporta, 1865 NB. this generic name has also been used for leaves

4. Rhachillae, peduncles, inflorescences & flowers

Arecoideostrobus Bonde, 1996

Palaeophoenix le Marquis de Saporta, 1878 inflorescence – the flowers – 'probablement mâles' not present

rhachilla

Palaeorachis le Marquis de Saporta, 1889 rhachillae and inflorescences

Palaeospathe Unger 1860

 $\begin{array}{lll} \textit{Palmanthium} & \text{Schimper, } 1870 & \text{flowers} \\ \textit{Palmostrobus} & \text{Mahabal\'e, } 1950 & \text{inflorescence} \\ \textit{Palmostroboxylon} & \text{Ambwani, } 1983-84 & \text{peduncle} \\ \end{array}$

Tuzsonia Andreánsky, 1949 rhachilla and inflorescences

Mixed organs

Calamus daemonorops (Unger) Chandler, 1957 fragments of fruiting axes, fruits, seeds?, flowers, spines &

spine bases, pollen

APPENDIX 2

Fossil pollen genera which have, or may have, an affinity to extant palms: column 1: genus & type species; column 2: taxonomic comments; column 3: age of type material; column 4: Original type comparison to an extant taxon; column 5: morphology of fossil & evaluation of palm affinity.

		, a		
Genus & type species	Taxonomic comments	Age of type material	Original type comparison to an extant taxon	Morphology & evaluation of palm affinity [LA = long axis]
<i>Aglaoreidia</i> Erdtman, 1960 Type: <i>A. cyclops</i> Erdtman		Upper Eocene – Lower Oligocene, England	None	Monoporate slightly oblate-spheroidal (LA 40–55 µm), exine reticulate except in area surrounding pore. Comparable with pollen of Borassodendron machadonis, but smaller.
Andreisporis Belsky, Boltenhagen & Potonié, 1965 Type: A. mariae Belsky, Boltenhagen & Potonié	Named after one of the field work assistants working with the authors.	lower Senonian, Equatorial West Africa	perhaps corresponds, in transitional terms, to ancient palms – Problematicopalmites' (Belsky & Boltenhagen, 1963)	Subequatorial triporate, sometimes with cryptotrichotomosulcus, tectate, rounded triangular (LA 20–50 µm), planaperturate. Some similarity to Constantinisporis, but pores set further in from margin. No further comment on affinity.
Arecipites Wodehouse, 1933 Type: A. punctatus Wodehouse	Name reflects a general affinity with Arecaceae.	Middle Eocene, USA	Phoenix dactylifera L.	Small monosulcate grain (LA 23–25 µm). Possible coryphoid type palm.
Arengapollenites Kar, 1985 Type: A. achinatus Kar		Lower Eocene, India	Name reflects an affinity with Arenga.	Monosulcate, ellipsoid (LA c. 60 µm), sulcus length as long axis, sparsely spinose except along colpus margines where the spines are arranged so that they interlock on invagination of aperture. Affinity with Arenga accepted.
Calamipollenites Sun Mengrong, 1989 Type: C. calamides Sun Mengrong		Palaeocene, China	Calamus	Pollen in equatorial view the typical trapezium of invaginated disulcate pollen (LA 23–29 µm) distinct, finely reticulate sculpture. Most probably referable to calamoid palms, perhaps should placed in the synonomy of Dicolpopollis.

Monosulcate, ellipsoid (LA 32–40 μm), sulcus length as long axis, tectate-punctate. A misleading name as the type specimen is monosulcate.	Monosulcate (LA 49–69 µm) with conspicuous and prominent clavate sculpture, probably intectate. Clavate monosulcate pollen occurs in Caryota, Arenga and Pinanga (intectate); and in Pinanga and Oncosperma (suprafectate).	Extended sulcate, ellipsoid (LA 25–31 µm), with suprareticulate clavae. Possibly a disulcate calamoid with fractured distal 'bridge', suprareticulate clavae are found in disulcate <i>Daemonorops</i> .	Subequatorial triporate with cryptotrichotomosulcus, circular equatorial outline (LA 20–50 µm), pores circular to oval. Some similarity to Andreisporis but pores closer to margin. No further comment on affinity.	Monosulcate (LA 34–44 µm) tectate, spinose, sulcus length as long axis. There are examples of monosulcate tectate, as well as imtectate, spinose palm pollen. Spinose pollen ultrastructure is very varied in the Arecaceae.
Calamus Not disulcate, like pollen of Calamus.	None	Palms	· perhaps corresponds in transitional terms to ancient palms – Problematicopalmites' Belsky & Boltenhagen (1963)	None
Lower Eocene, USA	Eocene – Colombia	Miocene, India	lower Senonian, Equatorial West Africa	Pliocene, New Zealand
Nichols, Tate Ames & Traverse (1973) placed this genus in synonymy with Arecipites and emended the type species to A. pertusus.		'closely similar to Paravuripollis except that this genus is zonasulcate' (Jansonius in Jansonius & Hills, 1980).	Named after one of the field work assistants working with the authors.	
Calamuspollenites Elsik in Stover, Elsik & Fairchild, 1966 Type: C. pertusus Elsik	Clavamonocolpites González Guzmán, 1967 Type: C. terrificus González Guzmán	Clavapalmaedites Rao & Ramanujam, 1978 Type: C. hammenii Rao & Ramanujam	Constantinsporis Belsky, Boltenhagen & Potonié, 1965 Type: C. jacquei Belsky, Boltenhagen & Potonié	Couperipollis Venkatachala & Kar, 1969 Type: C. perspinosus (Couper) Venkatachala & Kar Basionym: Monosulcites perspinosus Couper, 1953

	ontinued
	N
•	dix
	en

Genus & type species	Taxonomic comments	Age of type material	Original type comparison to an extant taxon	Morphology & evaluation of palm affinity [LA = long axis]
Dicolpopollis Pflanzl, 1956 Type: D. kockelii Pflanzl		?Miocene, Germany	Calamus	Equatorial disulculate, small to medium forms, reticulate, verrucate or granular exine. Widely accepted as disulculate Calamus type – however, there are eight palm genera which share this pollen type (see text).
Disulcipollis Krutzsch, 1970 Type: D. cuddalorensis (Ramanujam) Krutzsch Basionym: Disulcites cuddalorenese Ramanujam, 1966		Miocene, southern India	Metroxylon	Equatorial disulcate (LA 30–37 µm), smooth or finely punctate exine. Widely accepted as disulculate Calamus type – however, there are two other genera where equatorial psilate perforate disulculate pollen occur: Calamus & Daemonorops.
Disulcites Erdtman, 1947 ex Potonié, 1960 Type: D. kalewensis Potonié, 1960	Junior synonym of Dicolpopollis.	Eocene, Burma	None	In equatorial view the typical trapezium of invaginated disulculate pollen (LA 25–38 µm). Accepted as disulculate Calamus type – however, there are eight palm genera which share this pollen type.
Dorreenipites Biswas, 1962 Type: D. platydesma Biswas	Thanikaimoni et al. (1984) included Dorreenpites in the synonymy of Trilatiporites which has often been compared with Sclerosperma. However, Biswas (1962) does not compare Dorreenipites with Sclerosperma but with Platydesma (Rutaceae).	lower Middle Eocene, India	Platydesma (Rutaceae)	Heteropolar, triporate protrudent (LA 39 µm) suboblate, tectate. This pollen form which is closely similar to Trilatiporites is not considered to have an ancestral to Sclerosperma or any other palm (Harley & Baker 2001).

However, an endexine is remarked – if this observation is correct, it is not typical of palm pollen, or monocot pollen in general.

very varied in the Arecaceae.

	FUSSIL RECURDS FO
Spherical to brevi ellipsoid (LA 30–46 µm), large circular to oval pore with unthickened margo, exine thin, tectate and spinose, interspinal exine punctate to microreticulate. Superficial resemblance to Ravenea (Ceroxyloideae) however, pollen of this genus has a thicker exine in relation to overall pollen size.	Monosulcate (LA 59–65 µm), with two/three types of sculptural elements, spines being the most frequent (1–4 µm), exine thin relative to overall size (1.5 µm). Possibly an affinity with palms – there are examples of monosulcate tectate, as well as intectate, spinose palm pollen. Spinose pollen ultrastructure is
None	
Lower to Middle Eocene, India	Eocene, Colombia
Echimonoporopollis Saxena, Khare & Misra, 1991 Type: E. grandiporus Saxena, Khare & Misra	Echimorphomonocolpites González Guzmán, 1967 Type: E. solitarius González Guzmán
	Lower to Middle Eocene, India None

Genus & type species	Taxonomic comments	Age of type material	Original type comparison to an extant taxon	Morphology & evaluation of palm affinity [LA = long axis]
Foveomonocolpites Juhász & Góczán, 1985 Type: F. pereensis Juhász & Góczán		lower Cenomanian, Hungary	'a form of Palmae'	Monosulcate, sulcus as long axis (LA 77 µm), microfoveolate with thin exine cf. to overall size. Largest palm pollen, LA 70–90 µm, foveolate exines do occur – could be from an ancestral palm.
Gemmanonocolpites van der Hammen & Garcia Mutis, 1965 Type: G. gemmatus (van der Hammen) van der Hammen & Garcia Mutis Basionym: Monocolpites gemmatus van der Hammen, 1954b		Maastrichtian, Colombia	'differs from Sabalpollenites'	Monosulcate, irregularly micro gemmate (LA 22.5 µm). Small monosulcate pollen (LA <35 µm) occur commonly in Coryphoideae, and less frequently in Arecoideae – not possible to establish any clear affinity with palms.
Grimsdalea Gemeraad, Hopping & Muller, 1968 Type: G. magnaclavata Gemeraad, Hopping & Muller		Miocene – Pliocene, Trinidad	None	Aperture absent or indistinct (LA 40–62 µm), thin walled with finely baculate scabrate surface and very long (7–10 µm) widely spaced clavae, swollen apices, and bases sunken into locally thickened

towards the spines is much more

inwardly bulging 'endexine'

reminiscent of the situation in

Mauritiidites – perhaps the

endexine is actually foot layer, and the fossil has an affinity to *Mauritia* and its relatives.

Spinizonocolpites. However, the

This fossil often considered to

'endexine'.

have some affinity to

of these are from ancestral palms, but are actually disulculate pollen with a broken distal 'bridge'.

In the fossil literature records of Longapertites are numerous and it is very likely that many

Jacobipollenites Ramanujam, 1966 Type: J. magnificus Ramanujam	Miocene, southern India	Borassodendron (Ramanujam et al., 1998)	Spheroidal (LA 38.5–48 µm), monoporate, pore 5–10 µm, semi tectate, coarsely reticulate. Revised description based on further material (Ramanujam et al., 1998) – size, LA 40–100 µm, pore, circular to slightly elongate 10–25 µm, with a rugged tectate margo. The comparisons of Ramanujam et al. (1998) with Borassodendron are convincing, the only alternative in the palms would be Ammandra with closely similar pollen.
Jusingipollis Jansonius & Hills, 1987 Type: J. microreticulata (Juhász & Góczán) Jansonius & Hills Basionym: Singipollis microreticulata Juhász & Góczán, 1985	middle Albian, Hungary	None	Trichotomosulcate (LA 16 µm), tectate, microfoveolate. Attention is drawn to this fossil mainly because the angiosperm-like trichotomosulcus is a feature of the pollen of many palms. However, the small size of the pollen suggests that it is possibly from an early dicotyledonous
Longapertites Van Hoeken Klinkenberg, 1964 Type: <i>L. marginatus</i> Van Hoeken Klinkenberg	Maastrichtian, Nigeria	Compared with Dicolpopollis, but accepting that apices nearest to the distal pollen have merged (in an evolutionary sense) to form an elongated sulcus.	plant. There are isolated examples of species with extended sulcate pollen in recent palms – Calamoideae (Eugeissona, Eremospatha); Coryphoideae (Licuala all spp.); Arecoideae (Areca, Pinanga, Hydriastele).

Appendix 2 Continued

Genus & type species	Taxonomic comments	Age of type material	Original type comparison to an extant taxon	Morphology & evaluation of palm affinity [LA = long axis]
Luminidites Pocknall & Mildenhall, 1984 Type: L. reticulatus (Couper) Pocknall & Mildenhall Basionym: Phormium reticulatum Couper, 1960		Miocene, New Zealand	None	Trichotomosulcate, subcircular to sub triangular, coarsely reticulate, but around margo or even generally on distal side, perforate. In palms coarsely reticulate trichotomosulcate pollen grains are known for Ceroxylon, Pinanga & Hydriastele.
Mauritiidites Van Hoeken- Klinkenberg, 1964 Type: M. crassibaculatus Van Hoeken-Klinkenberg		Maastrichtian, Nigeria	Mauritia	Monosulcate, spinose, sulcus as long axis (LA 63–65 µm), spines deeply rooted in ectexine, swelling of the inner margin of the foot layer beneath each spine. Association with <i>Mauritia</i> accepted – this is the only one of the three genera in subtribe Mauritinae (Lepidocaryeae: Calamoideae) with embedded spines where there are also swellings of the inner face of the foot layer below
Monocolpites Brdtman, 1947 ex van der Hammen, 1954b Type: M. longicolpatus van der Hammen, 1956 (first designation)	The holotype of the type species is a recent pollen grain of Orthosanthus chimboracensis (HBK) Baker (Iridaceae) thus Monocolpites, by the designation of the type species, is made illegitimate and a later synonym of Orthosanthus (Jansonius & Hills 1976). In Erdtman (1947) nomen nudum			Monosulcate pollen.

Monosulcate pollen.	Monosulcate, generally asymmetric with regard to overall shape, and often also in relation to aperture shape, aperture usually slightly shorter than long axis. Tectate and, usually, psilate, perforate finely rugulate. Original size given as 24 µm, however, Nichols et al. (1973) suggest a range of 20–50 µm, which is more realistic. Strictly this taxon was erected for distinctly asymmetric monsulcate monocot-like fossil pollen – as such it is decidedly palm-like – especially Arccoideae or, to a lesser extent, Coryphoideae.	Monosulcate pollen.	Monosulcate, elliptic in outline (LA 29–34 µm), strong exine. Small monosulcate pollen (LA <35 µm) occur commonly in Coryphoideae, and less frequently in Arecoideae – not possible to form strong conclusions regarding affinity.
	Originally none, but now widely accepted as palm-like. However, the genus has been widely used, and often misapplied, over the years for monosulcate pollen generally, with inattention to the asymmetric form of the type species.		Gingkoales
	Originally Eocene, Germany – however, a widely adopted genus for asymmetric monosulcate fossil pollen grains, and records extensive, and widespread in the Tertiary.		"?Lower Tertiary' [Palaeocene], New Zealand
No type species, no diagnosis, therefore nomen nudum.		Obligate junior synonym of Monosulcites with which it shares the same type species.	
Monocolpopites Biswas, 1962	Monocolpopollenites Pflug & Thomson in Thomson & Pflug, 1953 Type: M. tranquillus (Potonié) Thomson & Pflug Basionym: Pollenites tranquillus Potonié, 1934	Monosulcipollenites Levet-Carette, 1964 Type: M. minimus (Cookson ex Couper) Levet-Carette Basionym: Monosulcites minimus Cookson ex Couper, 1953	Monosulcites Cookson ex Couper, 1953 Type: M. minimus Cookson ex Couper

eq
Continu
2
dix
pen
9

Genus & type species	Taxonomic comments	Age of type material	Original type comparison to an extant taxon	Morphology & evaluation of palm affinity [LA = long axis]
Neocouperipollis Kar & Kumar, 1986 Type: N. kutchensis (Venkatachala & Kar) Kar & Kumar Basionym: Couperipollis kutchensis Venkatachala & Kar, 1969		Lower Eocene, India	Correctly compared unfavourably to spine type and density in Arengapollenites.	Monosulcate, sulcus length as long axis, brevi ellipsoid (LA 35–65 µm), spines strong with bulbous bases and pointed tips (up to 5 µm). Not clear whether pollen tectate or intectate. An affinity with palms not obvious, but should not be entirely ruled out
Palmaemargopollenites Harley, 1997 Type: P. fossperforatus Harley		Eocene oil shales, Messel, Germany	Pollen from anthers <i>in situ</i> in fossil palm flowers.	Ellipsoid or broadly ellipsoid monosuleate pollen grains (LA 20–35 µm). Sulcus slightly shorter than long axis, tectate or semi tectate, tectum of sulcus margines differentiated from nonmarginate tectum which may be punctate, perforate, microslits, fossulate, microfossulate, rugulate, cerebroid, insulate, foveolate, or finely reticulate.
Palmaepites Biswas, 1962 Type: P. eocenica Biswas		Lower – Middle Eocene, India	Arecaceae *Jessenia bataua, Juania australis (*Jessenia included in Oenocarpus – Dransfield et al., 2005).	Monosulcate, sulcus slightly shorter than long axis, exine psilate-perforate, thin (LA 36 µm). Common and characteristic in the deposits where it is found. Could well be a palm – but particular associations with Jessenia or Juania seem unfounded.
Palmaepollenites Potonié, 1951 ex Potonié, 1958 Type: P. tranquillus (Potonié) Potonié Basionym: Pollenites tranquillus Potonié, 1934	Obligate junior synonym of Monocolpopollenites (they share same type species)	Eocene, Germany		Asymmetric monosulcate pollen (LA c. 24 μm).

forms are known in Daemonorops and Korthalsia, but the pores are comparatively small and discrete.

Palmidites Couper, 1953 Type: P. maximus Couper	Earlier Chitaley (1951) had suggested this generic name as a nomen nudum section of Monosulcites	Pliocene, New Zealand	'palmaceous'	Monosulcate (LA 50–88 µm) sulcus length as long axis, psilate perforate. If a palm affinity is correct then it is most likely to be with ceroxyloid or arecoid palms.
Paravuripollis Rao & Ramanujam, 1978 Type: P. mulleri Rao & Ramanujam		Miocene (–Pliocene?), India	Correctly compared unfavourably to Spinizonocolpites.	Ellipsoid and zonasulcate, the aperture divides the grain into two more or less equal halves (LA 22.5–29 µm), intectate, densely pilate-clavate. Being a dispersed grain it is not possible to know whether the zonasulcus is orientated meridionally or equatorially relative to the poles. Similar pollen occur in <i>Korthalsia</i> (Calamoideae).
Piladiporocolpites Kar, 1995 Type: P. caratinii Kar	One of three new fossil form genera described by Kar (1995), showing variations of 'a new type of aperture' which he called 'diporocolpis', a confusing name as the apertures are large pores, not colpi.	Lower Eocene, India	'not comparable to any known fossil or living genera in its apertural condition.'	Pollen ellipsoid with two large pores, one on either short axis (LA 48–55 µm), gemmate (not pilate as inferred from name), margine of pore described as 'spinose' not clear from images – probably the ragged margo of the pore, exine thick (2–4 µm). No obvious affinity in palms but, could be a modification of distal disulcate. Diporate

Appendix 2 Continued

Genus & type species	Taxonomic comments	Age of type material	Original type comparison to an extant taxon	Morphology & evaluation of palm affinity [LA = long axis]
Proxapertites van der Hammen, 1956 Type: P. operculatus (van der Hammen) van der Hammen Basionym: Monocolpites operculatus van der Hammen, 1954b		Palaeocene, Colombia	Astrocaryum acaule Mart.	More or less zonasulcate (LA c. 50 µm) – however, one half slightly larger than other, exine thin, microfoveolate to foveolate. In the original description the grains are described as having 'a big wide aperture' at the proximal side of the grain, the illustrations show a zonasulcate grain – possibly one half of the grain was interpreted as an operculum. The comparison with Astrocaryum pollen is erroneous – here the pollen is erroneous – here the pollen is mono- or trichotomosulcate. In the palms pollen grains showing notable similarity to Proxapertites occur in a few species of Areca. They also occur, and much more frequently, in the Araceae where monosulcate or zonate account for the majority of aperturate genera.
Psiladiporocolpites Kar, 1995 Type: P. packyexinus Kar	One of three new fossil form genera described by Kar (1995), showing variations of 'a new type of aperture' which he called 'diporocolpis', a confusing name as the apertures are large pores, not colpi.	Lower Eocene, India	'not comparable to any known fossil or living genera in its apertural condition.'	Pollen ellipsoid with two large pores, one on either short axis (LA 42–55 µm), psilare, margin of pore described as 'spinose' not clear from images – probably the ragged margo of the pore, exine thick (2–5 µm). No obvious affinity in palms but, could be a modification of distal disulcate. Diporate forms are known in Daemonorops and Korthalsia, but the pores are comparatively small and discrete.

there are many species with reticulate disulcate pollen, in some cases the distal exine area is wide, and the sulci short.

Psilamonocolpites van der Hammen & Garcia de Mutis, 1965 Type: P. medius (van der Hammen) van der Hammen & Garcia de Mutis Basionym: Monocolpites medius van der Hammen, 1954b		Maastrichtian, Colombia	Compared, and seen to be different from, Arecipites and Palmapollenites	Monosulcate, aperture length as, or slightly less than long axis (33 µm), exine thin, psilate perforate. Illustrated pollen appears to slightly extended sulcate – could be from a palm, see comments under entry for Longanerties.
<i>Quilonipollenites</i> Rao & Ramanujam 1978 Type: <i>Q. sahnii</i> Rao & Ramanujam		Neogene (Quilon Beds), Kerala, S. India	referable to Palmae'	Extended sulcate (LA 50–55 µm), tectate, coarsely reticulate — lumina smaller around aperture margo. Now widely accepted as having an affinity with some extant species of Eugeissona (E. tristis, E. utilis) which have closely similar pollen (Phadtare & Kulkarni, 1984).
Racemonocolpites González Guzmán, 1967 Type: R. racematus (van der Hammen) ex González Guzmán Basionym: Monocolpites racematus van der Hammen, 1954a		Upper Cretaceous – Eocene, Colombia	None	Monosulcate (LA 30–40 µm), probably intectate, gemmate, baculate or clavate sculpture. Uncertain, but could have a palm affinity.
Retidiporocolpites Kar, 1995 Type: R. excellensus Kar	One of three new fossil form genera described by Kar (1995), showing variations of 'a new type of aperture' which he called 'diporocolpis', a confusing name as the apertures are large pores, not colpi.	Lower Eocene, India	'not comparable to any known fossil or living genera in its apertural condition.'	Pollen ellipsoid with two large pores, one on either short axis (LA 33–42 µm), finely reticulate, finer around aperture margos, exine (c. 2.5 µm). No obvious affinity in palms, could be a modification of distal disulcate. Diporate forms are known in <i>Daemonorops</i> and <i>Korthalsia</i> , and in <i>Calamus</i>

panu
2 Conti
Appendix 3

Genus & type species	Taxonomic comments	Age of type material	Original type comparison to an extant taxon	Morphology & evaluation of palm affinity [LA = long axis]
Retimonocolpites Pierce, 1961 Type: R. dividuus Pierce		10wer' Upper Cretaceous	Monocotyledoneae (?). Similar forms Liliacidites Couper 1953' NB. Not always associated with palms for example R. fragilis described as sp. nov. and compared with pollen of Cycadaceae.	Monosulcate, aperture almost encircles grain, ellipsoidal to spherical (LA c. 27 µm), tectate, finely reticulate, occasionally separated from endexine; exine c. 1.5 µm. Small zonasulcate pollen grains occur in the calamoid palms (Salacca & Korthalsia) reticulate forms not known. If the endexine is indeed endexine and not a foot layer, it suggests that the pollen is more likely to be from an early dicot.
Retitrilatiporites Misra, Singh & Ramanujam, 1996 Type: R. kutchensis (Venkatachala & Kar) Misra, Singh & Ramanujam Basionym: Trilatiporites kutchensis Venkatachala & Kar, 1969	A new genus introduced to distinguish the overt protrudent pore morphology of Trilatiporites and Dorreenipites from the 'feeble to imperceptible protrusions of some other triporates.	Eocene, India	'closely resembles Miocene Sclerosperma-type pollen (Médus, 1975) from Senegal, western Africa.'	Triporate, triangular in presumed polar view, straight or slightly convex faces (LA 24–41 µm) triangular or rounded triangular, reticulate, exine 2–4 µm thick. More Sclerosperma-like than Dorreenipites or Trilatiporites, but walls too thick, and pore position not quite right – altogether not as convincing as the Senegal examples.
Riedelia Thiergart & Frantz 1963 Type: R. simplex Thiergart & Frantz		Tertiary, India	we suppose that this type of pollen grain may be associated to the Gymnospermeae of the Gingko-group or to bolymo?	Monosulcate (LA 25 µm), sulcus length as long axis. Uncertain, but could have a palm affinity.
Sabaloidites Potonié, Thomson & Thiergart, 1950	No generic diagnosis, not validly proposed, junior synonym of Sabalpollenites.		1 dilliad	

	Monosulcate (LA 36–41 µm), sulcus length as long axis, perforate to reticulate. Sabalpollenites is used for small to average more or less bisymmetric monosulcate coryphoid-like pollen – many of the comparisons seem convincing.	Zonasulcate, usually only found as one half of the original spheroidal grain, due to breakdown of aperture during fossilisation (LA 33–43 µm), tectate, exine perforate to finely reticulate with short to long spines. Widely accepted as ancestral to Nypa, and possibly once represented by a number of species.	Monosulcate, sulcus length as long axis (LA 70–85 μm), spinose, spines 1–7 μm long, sulcus margo smooth or spinose.
	Sabal, Chamaedorea pavoniana	Nypa fruticans, and it is also often considered to be related to the plants which produced Proxapertites, although this may well not be the case.	None
		Eocene (prob. all Tertiary), Indonesia. Widespread, from Maastrichtian, reaching a crescendo in the Eocene, after which records gradually reduce.	Miocene, India
Junior synonym of Arecipites – invalid no longer recognised by the author (Potonié, 1958).	Junior synonym of Arecipites.	A considerable number of species described, but the most frequently encountered morphology is that of S. echinatus, and S. baculatus (Muller 1968).	Several other species, previously assigned to Neocouperipollis, were also transferred to Spinomonosulcites.
Sabaloipollenites Potonié, 1951	Sabalpollenites Thiergart in Raatz (1937–38) Type: S. convexus Thiergart in Raatz	Spinizonocolpites Muller, 1968 Type: S. echinatus Muller	Spinomonosulcites A. Singh & Misra, 1991 Type: S. varispinosus A. Singh & Misra

	eq	
	ntinu	
7	~	
Ì		
(N	
•	. 4	
•	X	
•	ы	
	ы	

Genus & type species	Taxonomic comments	Age of type material	Original type comparison to an extant taxon	Morphology & evaluation of palm affinity [LA = long axis]
Trichotomocolpites van der Hammen, 1956 Type: T. normalis van der Hammen	the holotype of the type species – T. normalis – is a recent pollen grain of Pyrenoglyphis major (Jacq.) Karst (Palmae). (Pyrenoglyphis syn. Bactris). Thus Trichotomocolpites, by designation of the type species is made illegitimate and a later synonym of Pyrenoglyphis (see Jansonius & Hills, 1976).		Palmae	'pollen grains with only a three-split aperture'
Trichotomosulcites Couper, 1953 Type: T. subgranulatus Couper		Cretaceous, New Zealand	Phormium (Phormiaceae) 'many monosulcate pollen (Liliaceae, Palmae) contain abnormal trichotomosulcate pollen a form genus for such atavistic, teratological forms is superfluous.' (Krutzsch, 1970)	Trichotomosulcate, sulcus 3- armed, subcircular to triangular outline (LA 27– 35 µm), psilate-granular. Common in Cretaceous of New Zealand – but these probably more likely to be ancestral to Phormiaceae than Palmae. Nevertheless, asymmetric, less frequently symmetric, trichotomosulcate pollen is very common in the palms, especially in subfamily Arecoideae.
Trilatiporites Ramanujam, 1966 ex Potonié, 1970 Type: T. noremi Ramanujam ex Potonié	Dorreenipites may be a senior synonym.	Miocene, southern India	None	Heteropolar, triporate protrudent with thickened rim (LA 29–48 µm) suboblate, tectate. This pollen form is closely similar to <i>Dorreenpites</i> ; it is not considered to have any association with Arecaceae (Harley & Baker, 2001).

Subequatorial porotrichotomosulcus, tectate, rounded triangular equatorial outline (LA 20–50 µm), pores circular to oval. Some similarity to Andreisporis but pores closer to margin, and set in the angles of the triangular outline. There are a number of reasons why this is unlikely to be ancestral to Sclerosperma (Harley & Baker, 2001). Probably should also be referred to Problematicopalmites with Andreisporis & Constantinisporis.	Monosulcate broad ellipsoidal (LA 34–46 µm), sulcus wide with broad apices, semi tectate, coarsely reticulate, sparsely columellate, lumina reduced to perforations around sulcus margo. Closely similar grains found in some species of Hydriastele.
Sclerosperma mannii H. Wendl.	'differs from <i>Liliacidites</i> mostly in the shape of the pollen and the colpus'
lower Senonian, Equatorial West Africa	Campanian, Japan
Named for one of the field work assistants working with the authors.	
Victorisporis Belsky, Boltenhagen & Potonié, 1965 Type: V. robertii Belsky, Boltenhagen & Potonié	Weylandipollis Takahashi, 1964 Type: W. retiformis Takahashi